



Feminist Approach In *Pride And Prejudice* By Jane Austen

Gopal Chauta
Lecturer in English
Government polytechnic, Munger (Bihar)

Abstract

Pride and Prejudice, A Novel of Manners by Jane Austen published in 1813 and her second novel, is often examined through various critical lenses, including feminism. Through the character of Elizabeth Bennet, Austen challenges traditional gender roles and redefines the concept of marriage, making *Pride and Prejudice* a significant feminist text of the 19th century. This research article explores the feminist approach in the novel, focusing on themes of female autonomy, gender roles, marriage, and societal expectations. The analysis highlights Austen's critique of patriarchal norms and her advocacy for women's intellectual and emotional independence.

Austen's portrayal of female characters in *Pride and Prejudice* provides an insightful commentary on the limitations imposed on women in the Regency era. During this period, women were expected to conform to societal expectations, prioritize marriage as a means of financial security, and remain subservient to male authority figures. However, Austen subverts these norms by crafting a heroine who values personal agency, wit, and moral integrity over wealth and social standing.

The novel presents multiple perspectives on marriage, ranging from practical unions based on economic necessity to those driven by love and mutual respect. The contrast between Elizabeth Bennet's approach to marriage and that of Charlotte Lucas and Lydia Bennet, underscores the restrictive choices available to women. Charlotte's matrimonial to Mr. Collins highlights the sacrifices women had to make to secure stability, while Lydia's reckless escape with Mr. Wickham exemplifies the susceptibilities faced by women who defied social conventions without considering the consequences. In contrast, Elizabeth's ultimate rejection of societal pressure and insistence on marrying for love makes her a revolutionary character for her time.

Austen critiques the patriarchal structures that govern female inheritance and social mobility. The Bennet sisters' financial dependence on marriage is a direct consequence of the entailment of their family estate, which prevents them from inheriting their father's property. This legal constraint underscores the limited

options available to women and reinforces the societal expectation that they must seek financial security through marriage.

Beyond Elizabeth Bennet, other female characters also serve as reflections of different aspects of womanhood in the Regency period. Jane Bennet represents the idealized, gentlewomanly virtue, while Lydia's character exposes the dangers of female naivety in a male-dominated world. Even Lady Catherine de Bourgh, despite being a wealthy and influential woman, remains constrained by the rigid class and gender expectations of her time. These characters provide a nuanced exploration of the ways in which women navigated societal expectations.

While some critics argue that *Pride and Prejudice* does not fully embrace feminist ideals due to its emphasis on marriage, the novel undeniably presents progressive ideas about female autonomy, self-respect, and the right to choose one's own partner. Austen's work remains a critical text in feminist literary studies, offering a powerful critique of gender roles and advocating for women's independence within the constraints of 19th-century society.

Keywords- Feminist, Gender, Autonomy, Regency, Womanhood, Marriage, Independence, Autonomy

Introduction

The feminist movement seeks to address gender inequalities and promote women's rights and independence. In literature, feminist criticism examines how texts reinforce or challenge patriarchal structures. Although *Pride and Prejudice* predates modern feminism, Jane Austen's portrayal of women, particularly Elizabeth Bennet, offers a proto-feminist perspective. This article investigates how Austen critiques the limited roles assigned to women in her era and advocates for their intellectual and personal agency.

Austen's novel provides a striking depiction of the limited opportunities available to women in early 19th-century England. Women were largely dependent on marriage for financial security, as they were denied legal rights to property and inheritance in many cases. This economic dependency placed women in a vulnerable position, often forcing them into marriages of convenience rather than love. Through her portrayal of various female characters, Austen critiques this reality, highlighting the necessity of personal choice and self-respect in the realm of marriage.

Elizabeth Bennet, the novel's protagonist, serves as Austen's strongest voice of defiance against societal expectations. Unlike many of her contemporaries, Elizabeth refuses to marry for economic stability alone. Her rejection of Mr. Collins, despite his secure social position, demonstrates her belief that marriage should be based on mutual respect and affection. This decision marks a significant feminist assertion, as Elizabeth prioritizes her own happiness over social convention. Moreover, her willingness to challenge the opinions of powerful figures, such as Lady Catherine de Bourgh, reflects her commitment to personal agency.

Pride and Prejudice explores the notion of female intellect and its role in shaping women's identities. Elizabeth is distinguished not only by her beauty but also by her wit, intelligence, and ability to engage in

critical discussions. Unlike the more traditionally submissive female characters in the novel, Elizabeth challenges male authority, most notably in her interactions with Mr. Darcy. Her refusal to be intimidated by his wealth and status subverts the traditional power dynamics between men and women, presenting an early argument for gender equality.

Austen's other female characters provide a spectrum of perspectives on women's roles in society. Charlotte Lucas represents the pragmatic approach to marriage, demonstrating how financial necessity often forced women to compromise their desires. Lydia Bennet's reckless behaviour, on the other hand, reveals the consequences of women being denied proper education and guidance. Austen's nuanced portrayal of these women underscores the complexities of female existence in a patriarchal society, further reinforcing her feminist critique.

The Novel *Pride and Prejudice* may not be an overtly feminist novel in the modern sense, it undoubtedly challenges traditional gender roles and promotes the idea of female autonomy. Austen's portrayal of Elizabeth Bennet as an independent and intelligent woman serves as an early example of feminist thought in literature. Austen presents a compelling argument for the necessity of self-determination and personal integrity in the face of societal pressure by addressing the economic and social limitations placed on women.

Gender Roles and Expectations in the Regency Era

The Regency period (1811–1820) was marked by rigid gender roles. Women were expected to marry well, as they had limited economic opportunities and legal rights. Education for women was primarily ornamental, focusing on accomplishments such as music, drawing, and etiquette rather than intellectual development. *Pride and Prejudice* reflects these constraints but also challenges them through its female characters.

Charlotte states, **"I am not romantic, you know. I never was. I ask only a comfortable home."** This highlights how women often had to marry for security rather than love due to limited financial independence.

Elizabeth firmly refuses Mr. Collins' proposal despite societal expectations, stating, **"You could not make me happy, and I am convinced that I am the last woman in the world who would make you so."** This defiance challenges the norm that women should accept any advantageous marriage proposal.

Lady Catherine scolds Elizabeth for refusing to submit to higher social status, declaring, **"If you were sensible of your own good, you would not wish to quit the sphere in which you have been brought up."** This reflects rigid class and gender expectations that dictated women's lives.

Elizabeth Bennet: A Proto-Feminist Heroine

Elizabeth Bennet, the novel's protagonist, embodies qualities that resist traditional gender norms:

Elizabeth values intelligence and wit over superficial accomplishments. She engages in sharp, thoughtful conversations, displaying her critical thinking skills. When Lady Catherine de Bourgh interrogates her about her upbringing and education, Elizabeth responds confidently, **"I am not to be intimidated into silence by the boldness of others."** This remark demonstrates her refusal to conform to expectations that women should be passive and submissive in conversation, asserting her right to independent thought and expression.

Mr. Collins, a pompous clergyman and the heir to the Bennet estate, proposes to Elizabeth, offering financial security and social respectability. Given that Elizabeth's family faces the prospect of losing their home upon her father's death, accepting Mr. Collins' proposal would be the pragmatic choice. However, Elizabeth firmly rejects him, stating: "**You could not make me happy, and I am convinced that I am the last woman in the world who would make you so.**" This response highlights Elizabeth's belief that marriage should be based on mutual respect and emotional compatibility, rather than mere financial security. She refuses to sacrifice her personal happiness for economic gain, which was an uncommon stance for women of her time.

Lady Catherine represents the rigid expectations of the aristocratic and patriarchal society, believing that women should be submissive and obedient. She confronts Elizabeth when she hears rumours about a possible engagement between Elizabeth and Darcy. Lady Catherine expects Elizabeth to immediately deny the possibility and submit to her authority.

Rather than succumbing to Lady Catherine's intimidation, Elizabeth firmly asserts her independence, saying: "**I am only resolved to act in that manner, which will, in my own opinion, constitute my happiness, without reference to you, or to any person so wholly unconnected with me.**" This defiance is significant because it directly challenges the idea that a woman's future must be dictated by social superiors or family expectations. Elizabeth refuses to be coerced into submission, demonstrating her self-respect and determination.

In his first proposal, Mr. Darcy expects Elizabeth to accept, assuming that his wealth and social status are enough to secure her hand. However, his proposal is also laced with arrogance and condescension, as he admits that he struggled against his feelings due to her lower social standing. Instead of being flattered or pressured, Elizabeth boldly rejects him, stating: "**From the very beginning—from the first moment, I may almost say—of my acquaintance with you, your manners, impressing me with the fullest belief of your arrogance, your conceit, and your selfish disdain of the feelings of others, were such as to form the groundwork of disapprobation on which succeeding events have built so immovable a dislike.**" By rejecting a powerful, wealthy man who assumes she will be grateful for his proposal, Elizabeth challenges the notion that women must marry for financial security rather than love and respect.

Marriage as a Feminist Concern in the Novel

Marriage in *Pride and Prejudice* is both a societal expectation and a feminist issue. Austen critiques the economic necessity of marriage. Charlotte Lucas' marriage to Mr. Collins serves as a significant example of marriage as a feminist concern, illustrating the limited choices available to women in the early 19th century. Charlotte, at 27 years old, is considered past the prime age for marriage, and her prospects are bleak due to her lack of beauty and fortune. Her decision to accept Mr. Collins, despite having no affection for him, reflects the harsh reality that many women faced—marriage was often their only means of securing financial stability and social respectability.

Charlotte pragmatically explains her reasoning to Elizabeth Bennet, saying: **"I am not romantic, you know. I never was. I ask only a comfortable home; and considering Mr. Collins's character, connection, and situation in life, I am convinced that my chance of happiness with him is as fair as most people can boast on entering the marriage state."** This quote highlights how Charlotte views marriage not as a romantic partnership but as a necessity for survival. Unlike Elizabeth, who desires a marriage based on love and mutual respect, Charlotte prioritizes security and social position over personal happiness. Her decision is contrasted with Elizabeth's rejection of Mr. Collins, reinforcing the idea that women had limited agency in choosing a spouse unless they were willing to risk financial insecurity. Charlotte's marriage ultimately demonstrates the constraints imposed on women in a patriarchal society. It reflects Jane Austen's critique of a system where women were expected to marry for economic survival rather than love, making marriage a key feminist concern in *Pride and Prejudice*.

Charlotte Lucas accepts Mr. Collins' proposal despite lacking affection for him, illustrating the limited choices available to women. At 27, Charlotte is considered past the ideal age for marriage, and without beauty or wealth, she sees few alternatives. She tells Elizabeth Bennet: **"I am not romantic, you know. I never was. I ask only a comfortable home; and considering Mr. Collins's character, connection, and situation in life, I am convinced that my chance of happiness with him is as fair as most people can boast on entering the marriage state."** This statement underscores her pragmatic approach to marriage. While Elizabeth rejects Mr. Collins in hopes of marrying for love, Charlotte prioritizes economic security over personal fulfilment. Her choice demonstrates the societal constraints placed on women, who had to marry well to ensure their survival. Charlotte's situation critiques a system in which women are forced into marriages that do not guarantee happiness but provide social respectability and stability.

In contrast to Charlotte's calculated decision, Lydia Bennet's impulsive elopement with George Wickham exposes the dangers women faced when they lacked financial and social security. Lydia, reckless and naïve, believes Wickham will marry her out of love. However, Wickham has no intention of marrying her, leaving Lydia's reputation—and by extension, her entire family's reputation—at serious risk. Mr. Collins even writes to Mr. Bennet, stating: **"The death of your daughter would have been a blessing in comparison to this."** This extreme reaction highlights the harsh reality for women—without a proper marriage, Lydia would be socially ruined, unable to secure a respectable position in society. Ultimately, Mr. Darcy must intervene and bribe Wickham to marry Lydia, emphasizing that women had little control over their futures and were dependent on male intervention for security.

Counterarguments: Is *Pride and Prejudice* a Feminist Novel?

Jane Austen's *Pride and Prejudice* is often debated in terms of its feminist qualities. While Elizabeth Bennet is an independent and intelligent heroine, some critics argue that the novel is not overtly feminist because it does not directly challenge the patriarchal structures of Austen's time. Below are three reasons why *Pride and Prejudice* may not be considered a complete feminist novel, supported by examples from the text.

1. The Novel Ultimately Upholds Marriage as a Woman's Ultimate Goal

Although Elizabeth Bennet initially rejects the idea of marrying for convenience, she still ultimately marries, reinforcing the traditional view that a woman's success depends on securing a husband. Throughout the novel, marriage is portrayed as the primary means by which women achieve social and financial security. For example:

- a. Charlotte Lucas, Elizabeth's close friend, marries Mr. Collins not for love but for stability, demonstrating the limited choices available to women. She tells Elizabeth, "*Happiness in marriage is entirely a matter of chance*" (Chapter 6).
- b. Elizabeth's own marriage to Mr. Darcy, while based on love, still results in her gaining wealth and a higher social position, reinforcing the traditional notion that a woman's life is ultimately fulfilled through marriage.

2. Elizabeth's Empowerment is Limited by Social Conventions

Elizabeth Bennet is intelligent, witty, and outspoken, but her independence is constrained by societal expectations. Unlike men, she cannot pursue a profession or inherit property, and her opportunities for autonomy are restricted.

- a. When Mr. Collins proposes to Elizabeth, her family is shocked that she rejects him, as he is the heir to their estate and could provide security. Mrs. Bennet tells her, "*If you go on refusing every offer of marriage, you will never get a husband—and I am sure I do not know who is to maintain you when your father is dead*" (Chapter 20).
- b. Despite Elizabeth's strong character, her future is still tied to her ability to marry well, illustrating that her independence is conditional rather than absolute.

3. Austen Does Not Directly Challenge the Legal Constraints on Women

Although Austen highlights the limited rights of women, she does not directly advocate for legal or structural changes.

- a. The *entailment* of the Bennet estate is a major plot point, as it can only be inherited by a male heir, leaving the Bennet sisters vulnerable to financial insecurity. However, the novel does not suggest that this law should be overturned—rather, the solution presented is marriage.
- b. Women in the novel have little legal or financial autonomy, yet the story does not offer any radical critique of this system. Even Elizabeth's eventual happiness is achieved within the existing social structure, rather than by changing it.

Conclusion

Jane Austen's *Pride and Prejudice* serves as an insightful critique of the gender roles and societal expectations imposed on women in the early 19th century. Through the character of Elizabeth Bennet, Austen presents a proto-feminist perspective that challenges the notion that women must prioritize financial security over personal happiness in marriage. Elizabeth's refusal to marry for convenience, her assertiveness in the face of societal pressure, and her emphasis on intelligence and self-respect all reflect an early advocacy for female autonomy and self-determination.

Austen's nuanced portrayal of various female characters further underscores the complexities of women's experiences within a patriarchal society. Charlotte Lucas' pragmatic marriage to Mr. Collins highlights the harsh economic realities that many women faced, while Lydia Bennet's reckless elopement illustrates the vulnerability of women who lacked proper guidance and financial security. These contrasting depictions serve to reinforce Austen's critique of a system that left women with few viable options outside of marriage.

while *Pride and Prejudice* undoubtedly challenges certain restrictive gender norms, it does not fully align with modern feminist ideals. The novel ultimately upholds marriage as the primary path to a woman's stability and success, as seen in Elizabeth's eventual union with Mr. Darcy. Despite her independence, Elizabeth's happy ending is still contingent on securing a husband, reflecting the limitations placed on women's autonomy within the existing social framework. Additionally, while Austen highlights the legal and financial disadvantages women faced—such as the entailment of the Bennet estate—she does not explicitly advocate for structural changes to these inequities.

This ambivalence raises the question of whether *Pride and Prejudice* can be classified as a fully feminist novel. While Austen's portrayal of Elizabeth as an intelligent, self-respecting woman challenge many of the period's gender expectations, the novel remains within the confines of the social order it critiques. The story does not propose revolutionary changes but rather suggests that women can navigate societal constraints through personal integrity and wise decision-making.

Thus, *Pride and Prejudice* remains a significant literary work in the discussion of gender roles, offering both a critique of patriarchal norms and a celebration of female intelligence and agency. Austen's depiction of Elizabeth Bennet as a woman who values love, respect, and personal fulfilment over societal expectations continues to resonate with readers, making the novel an enduring exploration of women's rights and self-determination within a constrained world.

References

- Brown, L. W. (1978). Jane Austen and the Feminist Tradition. *Nineteenth-Century Fiction*, 28(3), 321-338.
- Chang, H.-C. (2014). The Impact of the Feminist Heroine: Elizabeth in *Pride and Prejudice*. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 3(3), 76-81.
- Donovan, J. (1991). Women and the Rise of the Novel: A Feminist-Marxist Theory. *Signs*, 16(1), 441-462.
- Duckworth, A. M. (1991). Jane Austen and the Construction of a Progressive Author. *College English*, 53(1), 77-90.
- Ergasheva, G. O., & Safarova, Z. T. (2024). The Image of Feminist Character in *Pride and Prejudice* by Jane Austen. *EPRA International Journal of Research & Development (IJRD)*, 9(1), 85-99.
- Gesmaloni, G. (2017). The Power and Discrimination of Women as Seen in Jane Austen's *Pride and Prejudice*. *Jurnal Ilmiah Langue and Parole*, 1(1), 105-116.
- Johnson, C. L. (1989). A 'Sweet Face as White as Death': Jane Austen and the Politics of Female Sensibility. *Novel: A Forum on Fiction*, 22(2), 159-174.
- Khusenova, Z. N., & Sa'dullayeva, M. A. (2025). Literary Analysis of Feminism in Austen Jane's Work: *Pride and Prejudice*. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, 5(02), 628-631.
- Kumar, S. 2020. Babytai Kamble's Novel *The Prisons We Broke*: From Women's Perspective. *Journal of Critical Review*, 7(11), pp. 4774-4779.
- Kumar, S., 2024. Dalit and Female Voices in Bama's and Sivakami's Select Novels. *International Journal of Creative Research Thought*, 12(6), pp.592-600.
- Newton, J. L. (1978). "Pride and Prejudice": Power, Fantasy, and Subversion in Jane Austen. *Feminist Studies*, 4(1), 27-42.
- Suaidi, S., & Rusfandi, R. (2016). Feminism Reflected in *Pride and Prejudice* Novel by Jane Austen 1813. *Jurnal Ilmiah Bahasa dan Sastra*, 3(1), 85-99.