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Abstract: Inventory is significant element of working capital management in the companies except Financial ones. Inventory management is the administration of non-proliferative Assets i.e. Inventory and stock items. This Research Paper is mainly based on secondary data like website, Various blogs, Annual reports of the company, Financial statements of the company i.e. profit and loss Account and Balance sheet etc. The main Aim of the study is to evaluate the profitability and liquidity of Sugar Companies. For evaluate the profitability and liquidity, different statistical tools and accounting ratio has been used in this segment.

Index Terms - Inventory management, Financial Ratio Analysis, Financial Statements, Financial Liquidity

I. INTRODUCTION

Inventory management help company to spot which and how much inventory to order at what time. It track inventory from purchase to the sale of goods. The exercise identifies and responds to trends to ensure there’s always enough stock to fulfil customer orders and proper warning of a shortage. Once sold, inventory becomes revenue. Before it sells, inventory (although reported as an asset on the balance sheet) ties up cash. Therefore, too much stock costs money and reduces cash flow. One measurement of good inventory management is inventory turnover. An accounting measurement, inventory turnover reflects how often stock is sold in a period. A business does not want more stock than sales. Poor inventory turnover can lead to headstock, or unsold stock.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Prof. PR Halani had written in his paper in International Multidisciplinary Research Journal (RHIMRJ) on the subject “Inventory Management: A Comparative Study of Selected Paper Companies”. The main objectives of her study is to evaluate the liquidity position of the paper companies. And for that she used various Accounting tools and statistical tools for getting results like as average, S.D. C.V. Maximum and Minimum and used One way ANOVA test etc. She also explain the relationship between Inventory and working capital by using various Accounting Ratio And finally she recommended that paper companies should try to reduce the volume of inventory and try to increase the Current Assets.

Hong shen ,Qiang Deng ,Rebecca Lao and Simon Wu (2017) had written in his Paper on “A Case Study of Inventory Management in a Manufacturing Company in China”. The main aim of this study is to focus on Inventory management in manufacturing company. They discussed the various factors affecting Inventory and conclude the efficient and effective approach regarding Inventory management. Also gives some basic idea for identifying the key factors in inventory Management.
III. TESTING OF HYPOTHESIS

**H0**: There is No Significant Relationship between Inventory and Working Capital of Some Selected Sugar Companies in India.

**H1**: There is Significant Relationship between Inventory And working Capital of Some Selected Sugar Companies in India.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Being the explanatory research, the Analysis is based on secondary source of data. For Analysis data had been collected from official website of the company, Financial Statements of the company, Accounting reports, various reports, Various blogs, article, website, Various reference books, and newspaper. The accessible secondary data is intensively used for research study.

**Sample Size**: The following listed sugar Companies has been selected for the purpose of analysis.

1. Bajaj Hindustan Sugar Limited. (BHSL)
2. Bannari Amman Sugars Limited (BASL)
3. Balrampur Chini Mills Limited (BCML)
4. Ponni Sugars (Erode) Limited (PSL)
5. Dalmia Bharat Sugar and Industries Limited (DBSL)

V. DATA COLLECTION

For the purpose of analysis, Data is collected From the official website of the company, Financial Statements of the various company i.e. profit and loss Account, Balance sheet, Cash flow statement, etc. Last five years data is to be taken for the Analysis. And for Analysis following accounting tools and statistical methods were used in this study.

- Average i.e Arithmetic Mean
- Variance
- Standard Deviation
- Co-efficient of Variations (C.V)
- Correlation
- Inventory to Working Capital Ratio (IWCR)

VI. DATA ANALYSIS

**Inventory to working capital Ratio**: Inventory to working capital Ratio is one of the most important indicator of Financial Liquidity and it’s efficiency. This ratio shows a relationship between the inventory i.e. stock of the company and Net working Capital i.e Net current assets (Current Assets – Current liability). Higher the inventory to working capital Ratio, lower the Liquidity of the company and vice a versa. The formula of finding Inventory to Working capital Ratio is as under.
IWCR = Inventory / Working Capital

This Ratio indicates that, how much portion of cash or working capital is tied up in inventory. Thus, it’s gives a very perfect idea about company’s liquidity

### Table: Inventory / Working Capital Ratio (IWCR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>DBSL IWCR</th>
<th>TASL IWCR</th>
<th>BCML IWCR</th>
<th>BASL IWCR</th>
<th>PSL IWCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>4.936683964</td>
<td>1.221193</td>
<td>-21.52353891</td>
<td>3.299479169</td>
<td>7.498825686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>3.88996275</td>
<td>0.871808</td>
<td>4835.913143</td>
<td>0.51874007</td>
<td>70.40207198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D</td>
<td>1.972298849</td>
<td>0.907333</td>
<td>69.5407301</td>
<td>0.720241631</td>
<td>8.390594256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>3.312000289</td>
<td>0.859664</td>
<td>-145.7149147</td>
<td>2.483797058</td>
<td>2.084429359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>7.800650054</td>
<td>1.198678</td>
<td>14.76514794</td>
<td>4.206900913</td>
<td>22.30513595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.V</td>
<td>39.95189612</td>
<td>76.4586</td>
<td>-323.091525</td>
<td>8.390594256</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>0.853254165</td>
<td>-0.34031116</td>
<td>0.419890865</td>
<td>0.328838042</td>
<td>-0.751128964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Interpretation:

As we seen in the above table no. 4.1, five year data related to some selected Sugar Companies is mention. The average or arithmetic mean in this table is lies between -21.52353891 to 7.498825686. The highest IWCR is found in the year 2012-13 in PSL. It show that, the company’s liquidity position is not sound in comparison of rest of the year and company in the year 2012-13 in PSL company compare to rest of the company. It indicates that the high amount or proportion of working capital is invested in inventory and it reduces the financial liquidity in operation. So, the company try to reduce the investment in inventory by using various mentors of holding inventory like EOQ method.

Variance, Standard Deviations and co efficient of Variations – these all are statistical tools described the variations between sample selected for Analysis. It indicates that how mean value is deviated from average or mean of such distribution. If the variance and standard deviation is less compare to its mean then results by using such data is more authentic and reliable. Standard deviation is lies between 0.7202241631 to 69.540707301. If the variations between sample is large then it’s standard deviation is also high while co efficient of Variations is shows in percentage and it’s indicates the variations between sample in percentage. In BCML company, standard deviation is highest, indicates that there is more variations in sample. Less variations in sample gives the perfect Result regarding its relationship between the two Variable described in this table i.e. Inventory and Working Capital.

### ANOVA: Single Factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBSL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21.07358781</td>
<td>5.268396953</td>
<td>4.370961277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.2463</td>
<td>1.311575</td>
<td>0.009819737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCML</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-114.3611784</td>
<td>-28.59029461</td>
<td>6114.957288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.71620706</td>
<td>3.429051765</td>
<td>0.57973696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSL</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35.40969907</td>
<td>8.852424767</td>
<td>81.65455946</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ANOVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>F crit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Groups</td>
<td>3672.299648</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>918.074912</td>
<td>0.740195275</td>
<td>0.579142679</td>
<td>3.05568276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within Groups</td>
<td>18604.7171</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1240.314473</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22277.01674</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Capital. In **BASL** Company, standard deviation is minimum compare to rest of the companies, shows that, there is a very less variations(i.e.(0.1956/0.4769)*100= 40%) between sample. If the variations is less, data is more reliable and conclusion is also more reliable and accurate. More variations between two Variable indicates less authenticity of the data and result of by using such data is also not more reliable and authentic. Correlation shows the linearity between two Variable. If the correlation is positive, shows the positive or significant relationship between two Variable i.e Inventory and Working Capital Management. Here, in this table, the correlation of all the company is positive except, Pooni Sugar Limited company. Hence, we can say that, there is linear relationship between value of inventory and working capital in case of following three company.

- DBSL
- BCML
- BASL

And there is no linear relationship between Inventory and Working Capital in case of rest of the company i.e. TASL and PSL.

**ANOVA Test (Single Factor):**

**Hypothesis:**

**H0**: There is no Significant Relationship between Inventory and working Capital of some selected Sugar Companies in India.

**H1**: There is Significant Relationship Between Inventory and working capital of some selected Sugar companies in India.

- Degree of freedom = 19
- Table Value of $F = F_{-tab}(0.05) = 3.05568276$
- Calculate Value of $F = F_{-Cal} = 0.740195275$
- $F_{-Cal} < F_{-tab}(0.05)$< 3.055

Here, the calculate value of $F$ ($F_{-cal}$), is less than compare to Table Value of $F$ ($F_{-Tab}(0.05)$), So, here, **NULL HYPOTHESIS** Should be Accepted. Thus, We Can Say that There is No Significant Relationship between Inventory and Working Capital of Some Selected Sugar Companies.

**CONCLUSION**

The proportion of sugar companies in India shown an average -0.92 times. The standard Ratio of inventory to working capital management is not adequate in case of BCML Company. Negative Ratio shows High investment in inventory and excess of current liabilities compare to Current Assets. Thus, it is suggested that, the listed sugar Companies should try to reduce the investment in inventory and also try to reduce the volume of inventory (Raw materials, WIP, Finished Goods) by using various inventory control model like EOQ, ROP, Fixing Stock levels, ABC, FSN, SDE etc. And also try to increase investment in current assets.
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