PREVALENCE OF LATERAL EPICONDYLITIS IN ELECTRICAL IRON PRESS WORKERS BY COZEN TEST
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Abstract: Lateral Epicondylitis is an overuse injury caused by the eccentric and concentric overload at the origin of common extensor tendon leading to the tendinosis and inflammation of the ECRB, so due to the repetitive occupational activities involving wrist extension and supination are taught to be causative. The need for study is repetitive movements cause hypertrophy of muscles and often results in loss of flexibility of muscles which is affecting the quality of work of the ironing workers.

Aim: To Find the Prevalence of Lateral Epicondylitis in Electrical Ironing Press Workers by Cozen Test.

Method: An Observational study was carried out on 98 ironing workers who uses Electrical iron box, with age group of 30-50 years having 6-10 years of experience and 8-10 hours of working daily. The study was conducted in and around PCMC area Pune using purposive sampling method as per the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The subjects were informed about the study, so to find the prevalence of lateral epicondylitis the Cozen Test was performed and to rate the pain intensity the NPRS was used. Data was collected, analyzed and results were obtained.

Results: According to the Test performed as mentioned above 65.31% of the population tested positive for the Cozen Test and 34.69% were tested negative.

Conclusion: The Prevalence of Lateral Epicondylitis is 65.31% in Electrical Ironing Press Workers by using Cozen Test.
Index Terms: Lateral Epicondylitis, Electrical Iron Box, Extensor carpi radialis brevis, Cozen Test, NPRS.

INTRODUCTION:

Lateral epicondylitis is a common musculotendinous degenerative disorder of the extensor origin at the lateral humeral epicondyle. [1]

Repetitive occupational activities involving wrist extension and supination are thought to be causative. [1]

The typical symptoms include lateral elbow pain, pain with wrist extension, and weakened grip strength. [1]

It is more common in men than women and is believed to be a degenerative disorder. [1]

The muscle which is mostly involved is Extensor carpi radialis brevis (ECRB) and less frequently involved is Extensor carpi radialis Longus (ECRL).

In some cases, the extensor digitorum communis is also involved. [1] Patient complains of pain at the outer aspect of elbow and has difficulty in gripping objects and lifting them. [1]

The risk factors among the ironing workers need to be assessed in terms of gender, age, height of person, weight of the person, quantity of the clothes, working time per day and type of iron box. [2]

These people do the work or the business on small scale occupational industry or cottage industry. [2]

Ironing worker performed on roadside either by men or women is fully manually operated and generally lack in ergonomic aspects. [2]

It is the common condition in the age group of 30-50 years. [3]

The workers often stand at a same place for hours. His upper limbs are in repetitive motion and lower limb is in static position. [3]

Static work is defined as a work in which muscles are contracting without motion. [3]

The main concern with static work is that the muscles are contracted and blood flow to the muscle groups is decreased. As a result, muscle soreness and fatigue occur. [3]

The worker is often lifting the iron box while ironing to provide uniform ironing in all the areas of the cloth deviations. [3] The average weight of the iron box is 7.5kg. [3]

It was found that 35.00 per cent of respondents were working between 6-8 hours/day and 65.00 per cent of respondents were working between 8-10 hours/day. Almost 99.99% of workers work in standing posture during Ironing. [3]

The activities which ironing workers do is the Forceful lifting of the iron box, during ironing, forearm pronation and wrist into flexion and simultaneously radial and ulnar deviation while pressing the clothes and to hold the iron handle it requires the MCP Joints to be extended and digital phalanges are completely flexed. [3]

Diagnosis carried out for Lateral Epicondylitis is cozen test. [5]
II. METHODOLOGY

An Observational study was carried out on 98 ironing workers who uses Electrical iron box, with age group of 30-50 years having 6-10 years of experience and 8-10 hours of working daily. The study conducted in and around PCMC area Pune using purposive sampling method as per the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The subjects were informed about the study, so to find the prevalence of Lateral Epicondylitis the Cozen Test was performed and to rate the pain intensity the NPRS was used. Data were collected, analyzed and results were obtained.

II.A INCLUSION CRITERIA
1. Men [1].
2. Age Group: 30-50 years of age. [3]
3. Workers have been working for 8-10 hours daily. [3]
4. Men who have been working minimum for 6 years and maximum for 10 years.
5. Willing for the participation.
6. Only those workers using electrical iron box.

II.B EXCLUSION CRITERIA
1. Women.
2. Elbow fracture.
3. Radial tunnel syndrome.
4. Tenosynovitis.
5. Peripheral nerve injury.

II.C OUTCOME MEASURES

1. Cozen Test:
   - In the cozen test the patient elbow is stabilized by the therapist thumb and then patient is asked to actively make a fist, pronate the forearm, radially deviate and extend the wrist while therapist will resist the motion. [5]
   - The positive test indicates sudden pain at the lateral side of the elbow.
   - Sensitivity: 83%. [6]
   - Specificity: 90%. [6]
III. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data was collected and analyzed by appropriate statistical test.
IV. RESULTS

**GRAPH 1: AGE DISTRIBUTION OF SUBJECTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE OF PARTICIPANTS</th>
<th>NO OF PARTICIPANTS</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand total</strong></td>
<td><strong>98</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTERPRETATION:** Graph 1 shows that 5.10% (5) subjects are between the age of 31-35 years, 30.61% (30) subjects are between the age of 38-40 years, 32.65% (32) subjects are between the age of 41-46 years of age, 31.63% (31) subjects are between the age of 48-50 years.

**GRAPH 2: DISTRIBUTION OF SUBJECTS AS PER NPRS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPRS</th>
<th>NO. OF SUBJECTS</th>
<th>% PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO PAIN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MILD PAIN</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODERATE PAIN</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>54.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVERE PAIN</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>98</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTERPRETATION: Graph 2 shows that 17.35% (17) subjects were having mild pain, 54.08% (53) subjects were having moderate pain, 1.02% (1) subjects were having no pain, 27.55% (27) subjects were having severe pain.

GRAPH 3: DISTRIBUTION OF THE PARTICIPANTS AS PER HOURS OF WORKING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOURS OF WORKING</th>
<th>No. of Cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>98</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTERPRETATION: Graph 3 shows the hours of working, were in 1.02% (1) subject working for 6 hours, 10.20% (10) subjects were working hours are 7 hours, 32.65% (32) subjects were working for 8 hours, 43.88% (43) subjects were working for 9 hours, 12.24% (12) subjects were working for 10 hours.

GRAPH 4: DISTRIBUTION OF SUBJECTS AS PER YEARS OF WORKING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEARS OF WORKING</th>
<th>No. of Cases</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INTERPRETATION: Graph 4 shows the years of working, were 1.02% (1) subjects are working for 6 years, 6.12% (6) subjects are working for 7 years, 18.37% (18) subjects are working for 8 years, 21.43% (21) subjects are working for 9 years, 53.06% (52) subjects are working for 10 years.

GRAPH 5: DISTRIBUTION OF SUBJECTS AS PER HAND DOMINANCE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HAND DOMINANCE</th>
<th>NO OF SUBJECTS</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LT</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTERPRETATION: Graph 5 shows the hand dominance, where 13.27% (13) subjects were left-handed and 86.73% (85) subjects were right-handed.

GRAPH 6: DISTRIBUTION OF SUBJECTS AS PER WEIGHT OF IRON BOX.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEIGHT OF IRON BOX</th>
<th>NO OF SUBJECTS</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>98</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distribution of subjects based on the weight of the iron box is as follows:

- 6 kg: 23% (12 subjects)
- 6.5 kg: 12% (4 subjects)
- 7 kg: 50% (49 subjects)
- 7.5 kg: 11% (22 subjects)
- 8 kg: 4% (11 subjects)
FIGURE 4: WEIGHT OF IRON BOX.

INTERPRETATION: Graph 6 show the weight of the iron box which subjects are using, here the 12.24% (12) subjects are using the iron box weighing 6kg, 4.08% (4) subjects are using the iron box weighing 6.5kg, 0.00% (49) subjects are using the iron box weighing 7kg, 22.45% (22) subjects are using the iron box weighing 7.5kg, 11.22% (11) subjects are using the iron box weighing 8kg.

GRAPH 7: DISTRIBUTION OF SUBJECTS AS PER COZEN TEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cozen test</th>
<th>No. of Subjects</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INTERPRETATION: Graph 7 shows the distribution of the cozen test, were 34.69% (34) subjects showing negative test and 65.31% (64) subjects showing positive test.

The result was that the prevalence of lateral epicondylitis in electrical iron press workers by cozen test was 65.31% found positive during the study.
VI. DISCUSSION

Lateral Epicondylitis (also known as Tennis elbow) is an overuse injury caused by the eccentric and concentric overload at the origin of the common extensor tendon, leading to the tendinosis and inflammation of the ECRB. \(^{(9)}\)

Overuse of the muscles and tendon of the forearm and elbow together with repetitive contraction or manual tasks can put too much strain on the elbow tendons. \(^{(9)}\)

These contraction or manual tasks require manipulation of the hand that causes mal adaptations in tendon structure that lead to pain over the lateral epicondyle. \(^{(9)}\)

Pain is mostly located anterior and distal from the lateral epicondyle. \(^{(9)}\)

Repetitive occupational activities involving wrist extension and supination are thought to be causative. The typical symptoms include lateral elbow pain, pain while doing the wrist extension and grip strength reduced. \(^{(1)}\)

The muscle which is mostly involved is Extensor carpi radialis brevis (ECRB) and less frequently involved is Extensor carpi radialis longus (ECRL). In some cases, the Extensor digitorum communis is also involved. \(^{(1)}\)

The Age group which are mostly affected is 41-45 years with 32.65%, these age group are affected as the condition is degenerative with increased fibroblasts, vascular hyperplasia, proteoglycans, and immature collagen and which is leading to the granulation formation within the tendon and causing lateral elbow pain. \(^{(10)}\) and age group of 31-35years with percentage of 5.10% were least affected as these age group is not having much working years due to which percentage is less in these age group.

The study was done by Sujata Kumari, Ritu Gupta and Jatinder Kaur Gill conducted a study on “Assessment of work related Musculoskeletal problems among the workers engaged in ironing clothes” in 2020 and study concluded that work related musculoskeletal disorder are major health problems among the ironing workers which may due to performing physical work for a prolonged time period and undefined manual repetitive work and lack in ergonomic design and shows the average age of the respondents was found as 41 years with standard deviation. \(^{(3)}\)

The result of pain intensity showed that mostly subjects are having moderate pain with 54.08% because when the ECRB is weakened from overuse, microscopic tears form in the tendon where it attaches to the lateral epicondyle and leads to inflammation and pain. As the muscle are in stressed when the load and the force is applied simultaneously, due to which blood flow to the muscle groups is reduced, so the muscle goes under fatigue and muscle soreness.

Eleonare Herquelot, J, Roquelaure Y, Ha C, Leclerc A, Goldberg M, did study on Work related Risk factors for lateral epicondylitis and other causes of elbow pain in working population in 2013. The study concluded that the strength of the association between the combined physical exertion and elbow movements and Lateral Epicondylitis, certain observed difference in association with lateral epicondylitis and elbow pain only indicate the need for longitudinal studies and known Risk factors which was conducted by in which, a total of 389 (10.5%) workers had elbow pain without lateral epicondylitis and 90 (2.4%) workers had lateral epicondylitis. \(^{(7)}\)

The ironing workers movements of hand when they do ironing is forceful lifting of the iron box. during ironing there is simultaneously forearm supination and pronation with wrist with flexion and extension with simultaneously radial deviation and ulnar deviation while pressing the clothes and to handle the iron handle it requires the Meta carpal phalanges joint to be extended and digital phalanges are completely flexed. The Extensor carpi radialis brevis is at increased risk for the damage because of its static position.
The study was conducted by Z Joyce fan, Sarbara, Bao S on “Quantitative exposure-response relation between physical workload and prevalence of Lateral Epicondylitis in a working population” in 2009 and study concluded that frequency of forceful exertion or a combination of forearm supination and forceful lifting were significant physical factors and should be considered for prevention strategies. (4)

The movements which they have performed over the years causes the stress on the tendon i.e., ECRB and due to these there is degeneration of collagen which contain proteins, and which lead to stiffness and lack of mobility. (10)

The study was conducted by JP Haahr, JH Andersen on “physical and psychological risk factors for Lateral Epicondylitis” in 2003 and study concluded that due to non-neutral posture of hand and arm, use of heavy hand tools, and High physical exertion measured as a combination of forceful work, non-neutral posture of hand, arm, and repetition. Furthermore, tennis elbow among women was associated with low social support at work. (8)

The cozen test in which 64 subjects were positive and 34 subjects were negative. When the test performed the patient felt the pain over the lateral epicondyle due to inflammation of the muscle and repetitive movement of the hand causing the test to be positive. Hence the prevalence of the lateral epicondylitis in electrical ironing press workers is 65.31%.

VII. CONCLUSION
The results of the study showed that 65.31% prevalence of Lateral Epicondylitis is found in Electrical iron press workers.

VIII. CLINICAL IMPLICATION AND FURTHER SCOPE OF STUDY
- Intervention and newer technique can be studied in preventing of lateral epicondylitis in same population.
- Further complication related to lateral epicondylitis can be studied.
- On larger sample size the study can be done in age group above 60 years and years of experience can be taken more for example above 15 years of experience.

IX. LIMITATION OF STUDY
- To weigh the iron box the weighing machine were not available at some places.
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