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Abstract

Aim: The study was designed to examine the in vitro antioxidant activities of pet.ether, ethyl acetate and ethanolic extract of plant flower of Rhododendron arboreum Linn. Method: The shade dried flower plant powder was extracted with pet.ether, ethyl acetate and ethanol by continuous hot percolation method using Soxhlet apparatus. Result: The antioxidant activity was determined by DPPH assay, Superoxide anion scavenging activity, iron chelating activity, hydroxyl radical scavenging activity, nitric oxide scavenging activity, FRAP assay, total antioxidant activity (phosphomolybdic acid method) at four different doses 125 to 1000 µg/ml with reference natural standard rutin, quercetin, ascorbate and EDTA respectively and total phenolic and total flavonoid content was analysed. Conclusion: An IC₅₀ value was found that ethanolic extract of Rhododendron arboreum is more effective all antioxidant activity. These in-vitro assays indicate that this plant extract are better source of natural antioxidant, which might be helpful in preventing the progress of various oxidative stresses.
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Introduction

Rhododendron arboreum Linn is an evergreen shrub or small tree with a showy display of bright red flowers. The name ‘RHODODENDRON’ is derived from the Greek word ‘RHODO’ means rose & ‘DENDRON’ means tree. Rhododendron is the national flower of Nepal & is known as (Laligurans) & the state tree of Uttarakhand. It is called ‘Burans, Bras, Buras or Barahke-phool’ in local dialect. It is widely popular for the processed juice of its flowers which have gained market popularity as rhodojuice /
sharbat. The plant is found in the Himalayas from Kashmir eastwards to Nagaland. Various parts of the plant exhibited medicinal properties & it is used for the treatment of various ailments.

*Rhododendron arboreum* Linn plant belongs to genus *Rhododendron* and family *Ericaceae*. Commonly it is known as “Billi” and “Buransh” in hindi. The flowers of *R. arboreum* range in color from a deep scarlet, to red with white markings, pink to white. Bearing up to twenty blossoms in a single truss this rhododendron is a spectacular sight when in full bloom. It is reported that the bright red forms of this rhododendron are generally found at the lower elevations (Orwa *et al*., 2009). Flowers are showy, red in dense globose cymes (Chauhan, 1999). Calyx- fine cleft, Corolla-tube spotted funnel shaped, Stamens-hypozygous declining, Filaments filiform, Anthers-ovate, Style-capitate (Paxton, 1834). It is one of the most traditional system of medicine in Ayurvedic and Siddha.

**Sub species**

- *Rhododendron arboreum* spp. Arboreum (red or rose red flowers) found in Western Himalayas.
- *Rhododendron arboreum* spp. Cinnamomeum (white, pink or red flowers) found in Central Himalayas.
- *Rhododendron arboreum* spp. Delavayii (red flowers) found in Eastern Himalayas.
- *Rhododendron arboreum* spp. Nilagiricum (red flowers) found in Nilgiri.

Petals are eaten for their sour-sweet taste. Flowers are offered to deities in almost all religious functions. Fresh leaves are burnt along with leaves of species of *Juniper/Thuja/ Pinus*, for making smoke that is believed to be sacred and help in purifying air in West Kameng and Tawang districts of Arunachal Pradesh. Young leaves are applied to the forehead for alleviating headache. Flowers and bark are used to cure digestive and respiratory disorders.

**Materials and Methods**

*a) Collection and authentication of plant material*

The leaves plant of *Rhododendron arboreum* was collected from Himachel Pradesh, India. Taxonomic distinguishing proof was produced using The American College, Madurai, Madurai District, Tamilnadu, India. The plant powdered materials were put away in a hermetically sealed holder. The flowers were shade dried and ground into fine powder. The powdered materials were stored in air tight polythene bags until use.

*b) Preparation of plant extract*

The flower powder samples of *Rhododendron arboreum* were extracted with pet.ether, ethyl acetate and ethanol at temperature between 60-70ºC by using soxhlet extractor. The solvent was evaporated by rotavapor to obtained viscous semi solid masses.
In vitro antioxidant studies

1. DPPH radical scavenging effect

The DPPH assay of flower part of the plant was measured using the method described by (Mensor et al., 2001). The ethanolic extract was taken in different concentrations varying between 125 to 1000µgmL and results showed that the antioxidant activity, the percentage of inhibition. The absorbance was measured at 518 nm and converted into percentage radical scavenging activity as follows.

\[
\text{Scavenging activity (\%) = } \frac{A_{518} \text{ Control} - A_{518} \text{ Sample}}{A_{518} \text{ Control}} \times 100
\]

2. Nitric oxide radical scavenging activity

The ability of the plant extracts to scavenge the nitric oxide radical activity were determined by the method described by Green et al., (1982). Nitric oxide radical generated from sodium nitroprusside in aqueous solution at optimum pH conditions interacts with oxygen to produce nitrile ions which can be estimated by the use of Griess Ilosvay reaction at 540 nm.

\[
\text{Scavenging effect (\%) = } (1 - \text{absorbance of sample/absorbance of control}) \times 100
\]

3. Iron chelating activity

The iron chelating assay of flower plant extracts of *Rhododendron arboreum* were described by (Benzie and Strain, 1996). The principle is based on the formation of O-Phenanthroline-Fe2+ complex and its disruption in the presence of chelating agents. EDTA was used as a classical metal chelator. The experiment was performed in triplicates.

\[
\text{Scavenging effect (\%) = } (1 - \text{absorbance of sample/absorbance of control}) \times 100
\]

4. Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity

The hydroxyl radical scavenging properties of ethanolic extract were determined by (Elizabeth and Rao, 1990). The assay is based on quantification of degradation product of 2-deoxy ribose by condensation with TBA. Hydroxyl radical was generated by the Fe3+-Ascorbate-EDTA-H2O2 system (Fenton reaction). The scavenging activity on hydroxyl radical was calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Scavenging activity (\%) = } (1 - \text{absorbance of sample/absorbance of control}) \times 100
\]

5. Superoxide radical scavenging activity

The assay for superoxide anion radical scavenging activity was supported by riboflavin-light-NBT system (Winterbourne et al., 1975). Ascorbic acid was used as standard. The scavenging ability of the plant extract was determined by the following equation:

\[
\text{Scavenging effect (\%) = } (1 - \text{absorbance of sample/absorbance of control}) \times 100
\]
6. Total antioxidant activity (Phosphomolybdic acid method)

The antioxidant activity of the ethanolic extract of *Rhododendron arboreum* was evaluated by the transformation of Mo (VI) to Mo (V) to form phosphomolybdenum complex (Prieto *et al.*, 1999). Ascorbic acid was used as standard. The antioxidant capacity was estimated using following formula:

\[
Total \text{ antioxidant activity (\%)} = \frac{A_{518} \text{ Control} - A_{518} \text{ Sample}}{A_{518} \text{ Control}} \times 100
\]

7. FRAP assay

The FRAP assay of whole plant extracts of *Rhododendron arboreum* were described by modified method of (Benzie and Strain, 1996). Readings of the coloured product (Ferrous tripyridyltriazine complex) were taken at 593 nm. The standard curve was linear between 200 and 1000 µM FeSO₄. Results were expressed in µM (Fe (II) /g) dry mass and compared with that of ascorbic acid.

8. Estimation of total phenol content

Total phenolic content of aerial part of the plant was measured using the method described by Mallick and Singh *et al.*, (1980).

9. Estimation of total flavonoids content

Total flavonoid content of aerial part of the plant was measured using the method described by Cameron *et al.*, (1943).

Results and Discussion

**Invitro Antioxidant**

1) DPPH Photometric Assay

The ability of pet.ether, ethyl acetate and ethanolic extracts to scavenge DPPH photometric assay was calculated as % inhibition and was compared with rutin used as standard. It was observed that at 1000 µg/ml of concentration, the percentage inhibition of plant extracts was found to be 42.96% in pet.ether, 60.35% in ethyl acetate and 67.33% in ethanol when compared to Rutin 69.83% which is statistically significant at same concentration. The IC₅₀ value was found to be 1190 µg/ml for pet.ether, 610 µg/ml for ethyl acetate and 455 µg/ml for ethanolic extract of *Rhododendron arboreum* and for rutin it was 480 µg/ml.
Table 1: Effect of various extracts of *Rhododendron arboreum* on DPPH assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Sample (Petroleum ether extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethyl acetate extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethanolic extract)</th>
<th>Standard (Rutin)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>16.22 ± 0.051</td>
<td>18.08 ± 0.014</td>
<td>38.56 ± 0.058</td>
<td>18.85 ± 0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.36 ± 0.014</td>
<td>25.58 ± 0.033</td>
<td>48.12 ± 0.076</td>
<td>22.08 ± 0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>34.55 ± 0.012</td>
<td>43.64 ± 0.041</td>
<td>51.98 ± 0.052</td>
<td>52.21 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>42.96 ± 0.070</td>
<td>60.35 ± 0.020</td>
<td>67.33 ± 0.044</td>
<td>69.83 ± 0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IC₅₀** = 1190 µg/ml  
**IC₅₀** = 610 µg/ml  
**IC₅₀** = 455 µg/ml  
**IC₅₀** = 480 µg/ml

*All values are expressed as mean ± SEM for three determinations.

2. Superoxide anion scavenging activity

The ability of pet.ether, ethyl acetate and ethanolic extracts to superoxide anion scavenging activity was calculated as % inhibition and was compared with quercetin used as standard. It was observed that at 1000 µg/ml of concentration, the percentage inhibition of plant extracts was found to be 51.34% in pet.ether, 72.58% in ethyl acetate and 78.65% in ethanol when compared to quercetin 98.01% which is statistically significant at same concentration. The IC₅₀ value was found to be 930 µg/ml for pet.ether, 340 µg/ml for ethyl acetate and 200 µg/ml for ethanolic extract of *Rhododendron arboreum* and for quercetin it was 60 µg/ml.

Table 2: Effect of various extracts of *Rhododendron arboreum* on Superoxide anion scavenging activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Sample (Petroleum ether extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethyl acetate extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethanolic extract)</th>
<th>Standard (Quercetin)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>30.43 ± 0.012</td>
<td>31.52 ± 0.021</td>
<td>40.68 ± 0.032</td>
<td>73.81 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>37.81 ± 0.035</td>
<td>48.46 ± 0.033</td>
<td>52.86 ± 0.026</td>
<td>91.31 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>43.98 ± 0.018</td>
<td>65.76 ± 0.042</td>
<td>65.12 ± 0.040</td>
<td>92.99 ± 0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>51.34 ± 0.022</td>
<td>72.58 ± 0.018</td>
<td>78.65 ± 0.028</td>
<td>98.01 ± 0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IC₅₀** = 930 µg/ml  
**IC₅₀** = 340 µg/ml  
**IC₅₀** = 200 µg/ml  
**IC₅₀** = 60 µg/ml

*All values are expressed as mean ± SEM for three determinations.*
3. Iron chelating activity

The ability of pet.ether, ethyl acetate and ethanolic extracts to scavenge iron chelating activity was calculated as % inhibition and was compared with EDTA used as standard. It was observed that at 1000 μg/ml of concentration, the percentage inhibition of plant extracts was found to be 51.78% in pet.ether, 59.46% in ethyl acetate and 63.42% in ethanol when compared to EDTA 97.90% which is statistically significant at same concentration. The IC₅₀ value was found to be 970 μg/ml for pet.ether, 490 μg/ml for ethyl acetate and 280 μg/ml for ethanolic extract of *Rhododendron arboreum* and for EDTA it was 65 μg/ml.

Table 3: Effect of various extracts of *Rhododendron arboreum* on iron-chelating method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>% of activity(±SEM)*</th>
<th>Sample (Petroleum ether extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethyl acetate extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethanolic extract)</th>
<th>Standard (EDTA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>19.80 ± 0.022</td>
<td>26.68 ± 0.018</td>
<td>29.37 ± 0.035</td>
<td>58.68 ± 0.007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>28.91 ± 0.018</td>
<td>39.12 ± 0.022</td>
<td>48.56 ± 0.028</td>
<td>65.87 ± 0.018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>34.32 ± 0.040</td>
<td>50.23 ± 0.026</td>
<td>56.98 ± 0.020</td>
<td>83.83 ± 0.012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>51.78 ± 0.026</td>
<td>59.46 ± 0.030</td>
<td>63.42 ± 0.018</td>
<td>97.90 ± 0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IC₅₀</strong> = 970 µg/ml</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IC₅₀</strong> = 490 µg/ml</td>
<td><strong>IC₅₀</strong> = 280 µg/ml</td>
<td><strong>IC₅₀</strong> = 65 µg/ml</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All values are expressed as mean ± SEM for three determinations

4. Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity

The ability of pet.ether, ethyl acetate and ethanolic extracts to hydroxyl radical scavenging activity was calculated as % inhibition and was compared with ascorbate used as standard. It was observed that at 1000 μg/ml of concentration, the percentage inhibition of plant extracts was found to be 52.08% in pet.ether, 64.12% in ethyl acetate and 68.80% in ethanol when compared to ascorbate 55.23% which is statistically significant at same concentration. The IC₅₀ value was found to be 940 µg/ml for pet.ether, 520 µg/ml for ethyl acetate and 470 µg/ml for ethanolic extract of *Rhododendron arboreum* and for ascorbate it was 410 µg/ml.
Table 4: Effect of various extracts of *Rhododendron arboreum* on Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>% of activity(±SEM)*</th>
<th>Sample (Petroleum ether extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethyl acetate extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethanolic extract)</th>
<th>Standard (Ascorbate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>19.80 ± 0.048</td>
<td>26.34 ± 0.018</td>
<td>35.22 ± 0.056</td>
<td>26.87 ± 0.076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.32 ± 0.026</td>
<td>40.44 ± 0.035</td>
<td>45.65 ± 0.044</td>
<td>30.30 ± 0.054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>39.90 ± 0.032</td>
<td>49.78 ± 0.030</td>
<td>52.78 ± 0.038</td>
<td>60.64 ± 0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>52.08 ± 0.052</td>
<td>64.12 ± 0.028</td>
<td>68.80 ± 0.035</td>
<td>55.23 ± 0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC$_{50}$ = 940 µg/ml  
IC$_{50}$ = 520 µg/ml  
IC$_{50}$ = 470 µg/ml  
IC$_{50}$ = 410 µg/ml

*All values are expressed as mean ± SEM for three determinations

5. Nitric oxide scavenging activity

The ability of pet.ether, ethyl acetate and ethanolic extracts to nitric oxide scavenging activity was calculated as % inhibition and was compared with ascorbate used as standard. It was observed that at 1000 µg/ml of concentration, the percentage inhibition of plant extracts was found to be 52.08% in pet.ether, 60.10% in ethyl acetate and 64.92% in ethanol when compared to ascorbate 55.23% which is statistically significant at same concentration. The IC$_{50}$ value was found to be 920 µg/ml for pet.ether, 690 µg/ml for ethyl acetate and 430 µg/ml for ethanolic extract of *Rhododendron arboreum* and for ascorbate it was 410 µg/ml.

Table 5: Effect of various extracts of *Rhododendron arboreum* on Nitric oxide scavenging activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>% of activity(±SEM)*</th>
<th>Sample (Petroleum ether extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethyl acetate extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethanolic extract)</th>
<th>Standard (Ascorbate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>24.56 ±0 .018</td>
<td>27.60 ± 0.048</td>
<td>42.38 ± 0.018</td>
<td>26.87 ± 0.076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.18 ± 0.052</td>
<td>38.43 ± 0.033</td>
<td>48.89 ± 0.034</td>
<td>30.30 ± 0.054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>38.52 ± 0.028</td>
<td>46.65 ± 0.028</td>
<td>58.66 ± 0.028</td>
<td>60.64 ± 0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>52.08 ± 0.035</td>
<td>60.10 ± 0.035</td>
<td>64.92 ± 0.032</td>
<td>55.23 ± 0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC$_{50}$ = 920 µg/ml  
IC$_{50}$ = 690 µg/ml  
IC$_{50}$ = 430 µg/ml  
IC$_{50}$ = 410 µg/ml

*All values are expressed as mean ± SEM for three determinations
6. Total antioxidant activity (Phosphomolybdic acid method)

The ability of pet.ether, ethyl acetate and ethanolic extracts to scavenge Superoxide anion scavenging activity was calculated as % inhibition and was compared with ascorbate used as standard. It was observed that at 1000 μg/ml of concentration, the percentage inhibition of plant extracts was found to be 53.14% in pet.ether, 58.76% in ethyl acetate and 67.08% in ethanol when compared to ascorbate which is statistically significant at same concentration. The IC\textsubscript{50} value was found to be 840 μg/ml for pet.ether, 620 μg/ml for ethyl acetate and 450 μg/ml for ethanolic extract of Rhododendron arboreum and for ascorbate it was 410 μg/ml.

Table 6: Total antioxidant activity of various extracts of Rhododendron arboreum (Linn.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>% of activity(±SEM)*</th>
<th>Sample (Petroleum ether extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethyl acetate extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethanolic extract)</th>
<th>Standard (Ascorbate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>18.65 ± 0.035</td>
<td>21.30 ± 0.028</td>
<td>34.18 ± 0.018</td>
<td>26.87 ± 0.076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>25.60 ± 0.020</td>
<td>34.61 ± 0.036</td>
<td>46.95 ± 0.016</td>
<td>30.30 ± 0.054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>37.69 ± 0.012</td>
<td>45.54 ± 0.022</td>
<td>56.26 ± 0.030</td>
<td>60.64 ± 0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>53.14 ± 0.030</td>
<td>58.76 ± 0.016</td>
<td>67.08 ± 0.023</td>
<td>55.23 ± 0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC\textsubscript{50} = 840 µg/ml
IC\textsubscript{50} = 620 µg/ml
IC\textsubscript{50} = 450 µg/ml
IC\textsubscript{50} = 410 µg/ml

*All values are expressed as mean ± SEM for three determinations

7. FRAP assay

The ability of pet.ether, ethyl acetate and ethanolic extracts to scavenge Superoxide anion scavenging activity was calculated as % inhibition and was compared with ascorbate used as standard. It was observed that at 1000 µg/ml of concentration, the percentage inhibition of plant extracts was found to be 51.43% in pet.ether, 58.64% in ethyl acetate and 72.11% in ethanol when compared to ascorbate which is statistically significant at same concentration. The IC\textsubscript{50} value was found to be 930 µg/ml for pet.ether, 510 µg/ml for ethyl acetate and 275 µg/ml for ethanolic extract of Rhododendron arboreum and for ascorbate it was 50 µg/ml.
Table 7: FRAP assay of various extracts of *Rhododendron arboreum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>% of activity (±SEM)*</th>
<th>Sample (Petroleum ether extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethyl acetate extract)</th>
<th>Sample (Ethanolic extract)</th>
<th>Standard (Ascorbate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>22.63 ± 0.032</td>
<td>29.70 ± 0.018</td>
<td>38.72 ± 0.038</td>
<td>72.04 ± 0.014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>34.52 ± 0.018</td>
<td>35.36 ± 0.026</td>
<td>48.55 ± 0.016</td>
<td>82.05 ± 0.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>42.95 ± 0.026</td>
<td>48.88 ± 0.020</td>
<td>59.34 ± 0.024</td>
<td>86.04 ± 0.026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>51.43 ± 0.020</td>
<td>58.64 ± 0.034</td>
<td>72.11 ± 0.030</td>
<td>98.07 ± 0.041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>IC₅₀ = 930 µg/ml</strong></td>
<td><strong>IC₅₀ = 510 µg/ml</strong></td>
<td><strong>IC₅₀ = 275 µg/ml</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All values are expressed as mean ± SEM for three determinations

8. Estimation of total phenol content

TPC showed a sharp pet.ether extract range of **3.726 mg/g**, ethyl acetate extract range of **5.21 mg/g** and ethanolic extract range of **8.06 mg/g** as concentration of plant extract varied from 50µg/ml to 1000µg/ml.

Table 8: The total phenolic content of various extracts of flower of *Rhododendron arboreum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>Total phenol content (mg/g of Catechol) (±SEM)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Petroleum ether extract of <em>Rhododendron arboreum</em></td>
<td>3.726 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate extract of <em>Rhododendron arboreum</em></td>
<td>5.21 ± 0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ethanolic extract of <em>Rhododendron arboreum</em></td>
<td>8.06 ± 0.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All values are expressed as mean ± SEM for three determinations

9. Estimation of total flavonoids content

The TFC showed a sharp pet.ether extract range of **0.010 mg/g**, ethyl acetate extract range of **0.298 mg/g** and ethanolic extract range of **2.024 mg/g** as concentration of plant extract varied from 50µg/ml to 1000µg/ml.
Table 9: The total flavonoids content of various extracts of flower of *Rhododendron arboreum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>Total flavonoids content (mg/g) (±SEM)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Petroleum ether extract of <em>Rhododendron arboreum</em></td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate extract of <em>Rhododendron arboreum</em></td>
<td>0.298 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ethanolic extract of <em>Rhododendron arboreum</em></td>
<td>2.024 ± 0.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All values are expressed as mean ± SEM for three determinations

CONCLUSION

The present study was clearly indicated ethanolic extract of *Rhododendron arboreum* Linn showed strong antioxidant activity when compared with pet.ether and ethyl acetate extracts. Therefore, further investigations need to be carried out to isolate and identify the antioxidant compounds present in the ethanolic plant extract.
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