STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF GAS TURBINE BLADE THROUGH COOLING HOLES USING ANSYS
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ABSTRACT
Today, Gas turbines are one of the most widely used for air craft propulsion, land based power generation and industrial applications. The temperature at which the turbine operates (firing temperature) also impacts efficiency, with higher temperature leading to higher efficiency. However, inlet temperature of a turbine is limited by the thermal conditions that can be accepted by the turbine blade metal alloy. Gas temperatures at the turbine can be 1200°C to 1400°C but some manufactures have boosted inlet temperature as high 1600°C by engineering blade coatings and cooling systems to protect metallurgical components from thermal damage. In the present work to examine the heat transfer analysis of gas turbine with different models consisting of blade with varying number of holes (5, 9 & 13) were analyzed to find out the optimum number of cooling holes. The Steady state structural analysis is carried out using ANSYS software with different blade materials of chromium steel and Titanium Alloy.
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I. LITERATURE SURVEY
Extensive work has been reported in the literature on cooling of gas turbine blade. Narasaraju et.al.[1] have considered N155 and Inconel718 nickel chromium alloy as the blade material and performed steady state thermal and structural analysis with varying number of cooling passages. It is proved that decreased temperature of the blade will reduce power out and efficiency of plant. Hence the number of cooling holes restricted to 13. Deepanraj et.al. [2] have performed Theoretical analysis of gas turbine blade by finite element method and considered titanium-aluminum alloy as the blade material and it is concluded that blade configuration with 8 holes given as optimum blade temperature of 800°C.

II. DETAILS OF A GAS TURBINE BLADE
2.1 Materials of Turbine Blade
A Crucial limiting factor in jet engines was depends upon the performance of the materials available for the key section (combustor and turbine) of the engine. The need for better materials spurred much research in the field of alloys and recent trends in manufacturing technologies, and that results are existed from research in a long list of new materials and methods that make modern gas turbines possible.

The improvement of super composite alloys in the 1940s and produced new processing methods such as vacuum induction melting in the 1950s greatly increased the temperature capability of turbine blades. Further processing methods like hot is static pressing improved the alloys used for turbine blades and increased turbine blade performance. Modern turbine blades often use nickel-based super alloys that incorporate titanium alloy, cobalt, and rhenium.

2.2 Details of a Gas Turbine Blade
L=200 mm, l=115 mm, D=1.2 mm, N=3426 Rpm, d=1545 mm

Table 1 Mechanical Properties of Chromium steel and Titanium Alloy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Chromium steel</th>
<th>Titanium Alloy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Mpa</td>
<td>80700</td>
<td>205000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>Kg/cu m</td>
<td>7750</td>
<td>8190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>W/m-k</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cp</td>
<td>J/kg-k</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>586.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting point</td>
<td>ºC</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>1344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield stress</td>
<td>Mpa</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>1067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Turbine blade Profile:
Radius of tip is found by the following relation ship

\[ r_t^2 = \frac{m}{\pi \cdot \rho \cdot C_a \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{d}{R_0} \right)^2 \right] } \]

Mass flow rate m= 40 kg/sec, \( C_a \)=gas velocity at inlet =30 m/sec, \( r_s \)= shaft radius=722.5mm
\[ r_t^2 = \frac{40}{\pi \times 8190 \times 30 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{0.6}{722.5} \right)^2 \right]} \]
\[ r_t = 7.19 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm} \]

Blade speed \[ U = \frac{\pi d N}{60} \]
\[ = \frac{\pi \times 1545 \times 10^{-3} \times 3426}{60} \]
\[ = 277.14 \text{ m/sec} \]

The axial chord length is determined by the following relationship

\[ \left( \frac{b}{x} \right)_{op} = \frac{2}{C_{L, OP}} \cos \alpha^2 (\tan \alpha_{in} - \tan \alpha_{ex}) \]

For Optimal lift coefficient \( C_{L, OP} \) ranges from 0.9 to 1.2. For this blade \( C_{L, OP} = 1 \)

The next ratio to predict is \( \frac{a}{b} \) and \( \frac{z}{e} \) which is given by

\[ |\alpha_{ex}| = \frac{7}{6} \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{z}{e} \right) - 10^6 + 4 \left( \frac{z}{e} \right) \]

\[ |\sigma_{ex}| = 3.015 \]

A preliminary results hold up lies between \( 0.25 < \frac{z}{e} < 0.625 \) suggested nt Wilson.

select \( \frac{z}{e} = 0.50 \). the trailing edge thickness is taken from \( 0.015c < t_{te} < 0.05c \). select 0.03c

For stagger angle \( \cos \lambda = \frac{b}{c} \)
\[ \lambda = 31.13^0 \]

### III. CATIA DESIGN

CATIA offers a solution to shape design, styling, surfacing workflow and visualization to create, modify, and validate complex innovative shapes from industrial design to Class-A surfacing with the ICEM surfacing technologies. CATIA supports multiple stages of product design whether started from scratch or from 2D sketches (blueprints).

### IV. MODELING AND MESHING

#### 4.1 Gambit

Gambit is modeling software that is capable of creating meshed geometries that can be read into FLUENT and other analysis software.

##### 4.1.1 Coordinate Format

Since the gas turbine blade airfoil geometry is defined by sets of coordinate points, the more points defined will increase the accuracy of the model. An airfoil geometry defined by twenty points for both the top and bottom surface will result in a good definition. The list of coordinates seen in figure. were derived by scripting equations 1-6 into a Mat lab M-file, which can be found in the appendix, which then supplied the corresponding x, y, and z coordinate for each of the twenty points along the upper and lower surface of the airfoil. With the coordinates defined, they must be listed in a text document in the following format:

Fig.4.1 Proper Coordinate format for Reading Coordinates into Gambit.

#### 4.1.2 Creating the Gas Turbine Blade Geometry

Launch Gambit. Once Gambit is open make certain the solver is set for the appropriate output, i.e. FLUENT 5/6, by selecting **Solver** → **FLUENT 5/6**. The coordinate document must now be imported into Gambit. This is done by selecting **File** → **Import** → **ICEM Inputs** → This will open the **ICEM import** window.
4.1.3 Create Boundary
Geometry operation→ volume command→Brick size of 0.05x0.2x0.05m size volume as a boundary of a blade, Geometry operation→ volume command→Blend real volumes champers left range 0.02, right range 0.01m, then it create the blade boundary.

4.1.4 Create holes on turbine blade
Geometry operation→ volume command→Cylinder size height 0.2and radius0.0003m, and select move/copy option and move mid cord of the airfoil, the turbine blade with 5 number of holes copy 4 at a distance of 0.01m in X-axis. Geometry operation→ volume command→Subtract real volumes Subtract blade volume to cylinder select apply. The turbine blade with 9 number of holes copy 8 at a distance of 0.005, and 13 number of holes copy 12 at a distance of 0.0035.

V. STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

In ANSYS the turbine blade is analysed sequentially with thermal analysis preceding structural analysis. Import the model in to ansys then define element type Main Menu→ Preprocessor→Element Type→ Add and at the left column mention structural solid and at the right column select the Solid 20 node 90 and Brick 8node 185. And mention the material properties of selected material. Next specify the mesh controls in order to obtain a particular mesh density. By select the Meshing→ Mesh Tool and mention the element edge length is 0.5, and extrudes the meshed area into meshed volume with the length of the turbine blade is 200. By apply the temperature and convection loads on surface elements, and then initialize the solution by select the Solution→ Solve→ Current LS. After the solution is done then select the General post processor→ Plot results→ Counter plot→ Nodal solution the results can be obtained.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

6.1 Structural Analysis of Turbine Blade
The steady state structural analysis is carried out with different blade materials of Chromium Steel and Titanium Alloy to determine the thermal flux and stresses induced in the blade.

Table2: Show the variation of Displacement, Stresses, Strains of chromium steel and Titanium Alloy with respect blade with without holes and blades with varying number of holes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of holes</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Displacement(mm)</td>
<td>Chromium Steel</td>
<td>6.19 e^{-12}</td>
<td>6.42 e^{-12}</td>
<td>6.72 e^{-12}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Titanium Alloy</td>
<td>5.43 e^{-12}</td>
<td>5.61 e^{-12}</td>
<td>5.85 e^{-12}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chromium Steel</td>
<td>Titanium Alloy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stresses (N/mm²)</td>
<td>41.678</td>
<td>27.918</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.191</td>
<td>26.256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>3.43 e⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>3.60 e⁻¹⁰</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.17 e⁻¹⁰</td>
<td>4.30 e⁻¹⁰</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.1: Variation of Displacement, Stresses and Strain of Chromium Steel with respect of blade with 5 no of holes. It is observed from figure that the maximum displacement, stresses and strains of blade containing 5 no of holes is observed as 6.19 e⁻¹² mm, 27.961N/mm² and 3.43 e⁻¹⁰.
Fig 6.2: Variation of Displacement, Stresses and Strain of Titanium Alloy with respect of blade with 5 no of holes.

Figure shows variation of Displacement, Stresses and Strain of Titanium Alloy with respect of blade with without holes and blade with 5 no of holes. It is observed from figure that the blade containing 5 no of holes is observed as $5.43 \times 10^{-12}$ mm, $26.956 \text{ N/mm}^2$ and $2.80 \times 10^{-10}$.

Fig 6.3: Variation of Displacement, Stresses and Strain of Chromium steel with respect of blade with 9 no of holes.

Figure shows variation of Displacement, Stresses and Strain of Chromium steel with respect of and blade with 9 no of holes. It is observed from figure that the maximum displacement, stresses and strains of blade containing 9 no of holes is observed as $6.42 \times 10^{-12}$ mm, $27.718 \text{ N/mm}^2$ and $3.60 \times 10^{-10}$. 
Figure 6.4: Variation of Displacement, Stresses and Strain of Titanium Alloy with respect of blade with 9 no of holes. Figure shows variation of Displacement, Stresses and Strain of Titanium Alloy with respect of blade with 9 no of holes. It is observed from figure that the maximum displacement, stresses and strains of blade containing 9 no of holes is observed as $5.61 \times 10^{-12}$ mm, 27.989 N/mm² and $3.06 \times 10^{-10}$. 
Figure 6.5: Variation of Displacement, Stresses and Strain of Chromium steel with respect of blade with 13 no of holes.

Figure shows variation of Displacement, Stresses and Strain of Chromium steel with respect of blade with 13 no of holes. It is observed from figure that the maximum displacement, stresses and strains of blade containing containing 13 no of holes is observed as $6.72 \times 10^{-12}$ mm, 41.678 N/mm$^2$ and $5.17 \times 10^{-10}$.

Figure 6.6: Variation of Displacement, Stresses and Strain of Titanium alloy with respect of blade with 13 no of holes.

Figure shows variation of Displacement, Stresses and Strain of Titanium alloy with respect of blade with 13 no of holes. It is observed from figure that the maximum displacement, stresses and strains of blade containing containing 13 no of holes is observed as $5.85 \times 10^{-12}$ mm, 41.178 N/mm$^2$ and $4.30 \times 10^{-10}$.

6.2 Theoretical calculations:

Let $\varepsilon$ be the actual strain on the turbine blade when applied load at F.

The stress is given by the equation

$$\sigma = E(\varepsilon - \mu F)$$

If we consider the blade, loading condition.
Area A = 1.72 x 10^2 mm^2, young’s modulus E = 80700 Mpa, Poisson’s ratio μ = 0.28, F=10 N/m^2

\[ \varepsilon_0 = \frac{\sum_{j}^{13} 1.72 \times 10^2 \times 80700}{\sum_{j}^{13} 1.72 \times 10^2 \times 80700 + 0.28 \times 1 \times 10^{-3}} \]

\[ \varepsilon_0 = 2.84 \times 10^{-3} \]

Stress value of Chromium steel, Consider 13 number of holes

\[ \sigma = E\varepsilon_0 - \mu F \]
\[ \sigma = 80700(2.84 \times 10^{-3} - 0.28 \times 10^{-6}) \]
\[ \sigma = 22.69 \text{ N/mm}^2 \]

Similarly for 9 number of holes

\[ \sigma = 24.06 \text{ N/mm}^2 \]

Similarly for 5 number of holes

\[ \sigma = 30.76 \text{ N/mm}^2 \]

Stress value of Titanium alloy, Consider 13 number of holes

Area A = 1.64 x 10^2 mm^2, young’s modulus E = 205000 Mpa, Poisson’s ratio μ = 0.284, F=10 N/m^2

\[ \varepsilon_0 = \frac{\sum_{j}^{13} 1.64 \times 10^2 \times 205000}{\sum_{j}^{13} 1.64 \times 10^2 \times 205000 + 0.284 \times 1 \times 10^{-3}} \]

\[ \varepsilon_0 = 1.84 \times 10^{-4} \]

From the equation

\[ \sigma = E\varepsilon_0 - \mu F \]
\[ \sigma = 205000(1.84 \times 10^{-4} - 0.284 \times 10^{-6}) \]
\[ \sigma = 37.13 \text{ N/mm}^2 \]

Similarly for 9 number of holes

\[ \sigma = 29.02 \text{ N/mm}^2 \]

Similarly for 5 number of holes

\[ \sigma = 27.106 \text{ N/mm}^2 \]

Table 3: Comparison results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of holes</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Stresses(N/mm²)</th>
<th>Strain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>Theoretical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Chromium Steel</td>
<td>41.678</td>
<td>30.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Titanium Alloy</td>
<td>41.178</td>
<td>37.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Chromium Steel</td>
<td>27.918</td>
<td>24.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Stress (N/mm^2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>Theoretical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium Steel</td>
<td>27.191</td>
<td>22.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium Alloy</td>
<td>26.256</td>
<td>25.106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**6.4 Graphs**

Graph1: Maximum Displacement Vs No of Hole

Graph2: Maximum Stresses Vs No of Holes

Graph3: Maximum Strain Vs No of Holes

**VII. CONCLUSIONS**

The structural analysis is studied for two different materials that is Chromium steel and Titanium alloy. By observing the calculated graphs, the induced von misses stress and strain are within allowable limits. The 13 holed blade made up of titanium alloy material has better with standing capabilities like von misses stresses, strain and deformations than comparing with the Chromium steel as discussed in the results.

**7.1 FUTURE SCOPE:**

The internal heat transfer can be enhanced with different number of cooling passages provided at the turbine blade profile. In the present analysis radially drilled cooling holes are provided to pass the cooling air. In future demand for the more heat transfer rate of turbine blade without failure it need for the effect of rotation in ribbed channels, cooling channels with dimples and pin-fin cooling. In further rectangular and spherical shape holes also analyzed for the effect of better performance.

In the present work blade materials are used as Chromium Steel and Titanium alloy. In future it increases the turbine blade rotor inlet temperatures, and then better materials are used for higher thermal applications. Thermal barrier coatings are used for protect the external cooling of the turbine blades.
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