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Abstract:
India and Myanmar shears a long geographical boundaries and after the establishment of Look east policy both countries started playing an important role in the growth of economic sector, educational sector and also plays an important role in policy making. In this research paper we discuss about how the relationship aspects changes between India and Myanmar after the establishment of Look east policy and how India use this scenario in improvising its role in South East Asia.
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I. Introduction
India and Myanmar are historically part of British Empire in Asia. Both the countries became independent at the end of the Second World War, India in 1947 and Myanmar in 1948. Both countries share a great historical and social relation. At the outset Prime Ministers Jawaharlal Nehru and U Nu worked closely with each other in the area of economic development. India some military assistance provided by India to Myanmar, and both were active members of the Non Aligned Movement. However, relations between the two became strained in 1962. India shows a strong imposition of military dictatorship in Myanmar by General Ne Win and supported the pro-democracy forces. In the era of Ne Win regime adopted an anti-Soviet stance in India and the Soviet Union were philanthropic through and likewise declined to intersection the Commonwealth of Nations, and take out as of the Non-Aligned Movement in 1979. The protectionist approach approved by the State Law and Order Renewal Board additional disadvantaged the rejuvenation of jam-packed associations among the two countries. After 1993, nevertheless, India’s policy near Myanmar was reviewed and India adopted a more pragmatic and less moralistic stance. Defense Cooperation between India and Myanmar is based on mutual interests and national security considerations. It has been marked by regular exchanges of high-level visits and some bilateral military exercises. There is an increasing realization on the part of New Delhi that the insurgent groups cannot be dealt with by military means alone. India held that in view of Myanmar’s strategic location, the success of Look East Policy, whose initial focus was on Southeast Asia as well as on the integration of North East Region (NER) with the Southeast Asian countries largely depended on India-Myanmar friendly ties. Indian Policy planners predictable Myanmar’s “historical role as a crossroad between China, India and Southeast Asia”.1
II. METHODOLOGY-

The data are collected from various secondary sources and an analysis of this paper is based on those data. Historical analytical methodology has been employ in this paper. This paper is with the objective of revealing the new aspects of India-Myanmar relation after the look east policy and act east policy and the improvisation of relations of both countries between the period of LEP and AEP.

III. Aim-

This research paper is primarily engaged towards filling this essential policy gap: to spot and list out the challenges further as define the probably best policy response. Within the light of that scene, the subsequent queries area unit self-addressed within the article.

• What expanse unit the policy procedures that are underneath the sponsorship of the “Look East” or “Act East” policy concerning the northeast?
• What range of unit the contests facing the Centre and particularly the states towards instigating the “Act East” policy?
• What can be the humblest policy responses to those challenges?


India’s Look East Policy (LEP), propelled in the early 1990s, was predestined, at a essential near, to “recombine and extent out in the civilizational planetary “India dividends with its adjacent neighbors in Southeast Asia, and “catalase the allocation of measurements and occasions to progress the monetary happiness of peoples of the province.”

Renaud Egereau contends that “The modification in India’s Myanmar policy was fragment of its LEP, which in line with its monetary improvements trusted for a reconciliation with the economically effective South East Asian states.”

The LEP, propelled under the P.Narasimha Rao-led Congress government to attach the Indian economy with the flourishing economy of neighboring Southeast Asia, mandated that India heals its borders with Myanmar. The broad objectives of the LEP during the 1990s were three-fold–to institutionalize associations with ASEAN and its associates; to reinforce mutual associations with associate states of ASEAN; and to shape a appropriate residence for themself to preclude Southeast Asia dwindling under the influence of any one key supremacy.

Narasimha Rao government categorical to participate the soldierly command which led to a stumpy level nomination of Myanmar’s vice Foreign Minister, U Baswa, in August 1992. The Burmese allocations prepared three points throughout this visit. First, it said it valued India’s obligation to democracy, and hoped that India would be enduring about the resumption of democracy in Myanmar. Second, Myanmar accredited that mutual sanctuary and political apprehensions existed between the two countries. Myanmar was therefore willing to cooperate with India in taking multiparty action. The third point, Baswa made was that his country would be willing to growth monetary and scientific collaboration.

Narendra Modi Regime and Act East Policy: With the change of government, the priorities of foreign policies take new turn in order to keep pace with changing circumstances. The Narendra Modi regime gave priority to the neighbours and regions by adopting the Policy of neighbours first. This followed by the invitation to the SAARC countries in the oath ceremony of Indian Prime Minister. Indian establishment took a new turn by forging enhanced and strong ties with the countries of South East Asia and East Asia with the adoption of Act East Policy in place of Look East policy adopted during the regime of Narasimha Rao in the decade of nineties India's Act East Policy focusses on the extended neighborhood in the Asia-Pacific region. The policy which was initially believed as an economic initiative, has gained a lot of political, strategic and cultural aspects including establishment of corporate mechanisms for communication and cooperation. India has enhanced its relations to critical associations with Indonesia, Republic of Korea (ROK), Australia, Singapore, Vietnam, Malaysia, Japan, and Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and counterfeit close binds with all the countries in the Asia-Pacific sector. Then, apart from ASEAN, ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF) and East Asia Summit (EAS), India has also been actively participated in regional conferences such as Bay of Bengal Initiative for Multi Sectorial Technical and Economic Cooperation (BIMSTEC), Asia Cooperation Dialogue (ACD), Mekong Ganga Cooperation (MGC) and Indian Ocean Rim Association (IORA). The Act East Policy accentuate India-ASEAN assistance in our domestic schedule on
infrastructure, manufacturing, trade, skills, urban renewal, smart cities, Make in India and other such initiatives. Connectivity alliances, coordination in space, S&T and people-to-people exchanges could become a springboard for regional integration and prosperity. The aim of the "Act East Policy" is to promote economic cooperation, cultural ties and develop strategic relationship with countries in the Asia-Pacific region through continuous engagement at bilateral, regional and most oblique levels, hence providing enhanced network to the States of North Eastern Region including Arunanchal Pradesh with all the other countries in our neighborhood. The North Eastern part of India had played a vital role in our Act East Policy (AEP). AEP provides an assimilation between North East India adding the state of Arunanchal Pradesh and the ASEAN region. As far as the question of India Myanmar relations is concerned both countries came across the change of the guard at the government. There was reason to anticipate a good future of friendly relations between the two countries because after a long time there was a formation of democratic government in Myanmar. The first meeting between the Heads of government of both the countries soon occurred in the ASEAN and East Asia summit in the capital city of Myanmar. This meeting paves the way for future bilateral interaction between the India and Myanmar.

The President of Myanmar, Mr. U Htin Kyaw had a critical visit to India at the invitation of the Indian President Pranab Mukherjee from 27 to 30 August 2016. This was the initial relation between the top leadership of the two countries. This visit emphasizes the concern that Myanmar adds to the relations with India. Both sides used the opportunity to conduct a board-ranging and extensive thoughts on all aspects of this bilateral relations. The most important message was passed by Prime Minister Modi to President Kyaw, in the Statement after the mutual talks held in Hyderabad House, stated that “at every step of the way, 1.25 billion people of India will stand by you - both as partners and as friends.” This was a message both in the background of common ties and in the regional context of maintaining balance and equilibrium to the enhancing influence of China in Myanmar's affairs which often makes Myanmar’s citizens and leaders attentive and nervous. Myanmar fulfills an important role in ensuring and boosting peace and security in northeast India and also in its economic well-being. Two out of the four Agreements signed during President Kyaw’s visit was related to enhance the connection between northeast India and ASEAN through Myanmar. The initial point is the India-Myanmar-Thailand mutual highway, which must have been completed and had been in operation by 2015. But the project was delayed. Now it is expected to be finished only by 2020 since 69 bridges are still to be formed. Next one relates to the promotion of the road segment between Kalewa and Yagyi. Both the nations asserted their agreement to strengthen the marine security coordination in the Bay of Bengal. Myanmar is that footprint that will determine the success or the failure of the "Act East Policy" initiated by the Prime Minister of India, Mr. Modi in Naypyidaw in November 2014. When President Kyaw’s visited India, it was declared to strengthen the economic and commercial areas that included power and energy, banking and trade in pulses and agriculture. Both the countries agreed to enrich the cooperation in oil research and hydrocarbon pipeline development by some Indian corporations. India also offered to share the experience of creating institutions for a hugely responsive democracy as also ways to deal with relations between the Centre and the States and Regions as well as ethnic and religious minorities. India stated support for the national accord and peace process of the Government of Myanmar under the "21st Century Panglong Conference". The strategic and security interests of India justifiably outweigh the domestic concerns within India favoring Myanmar’s return to democracy. The solution lies not in attempting to export democracy to Myanmar but in trying to nudge the ruling military regime toward democracy through regional engagement involving all stakeholders.

V. India’s vision on Myanmar-

Expressions in Republic of India still enkindle a temperance in India’s policy of appealing with Myanmar’s military rulers. Whereas certain contend that standards must be residence prior than comforts, the others continue that India’s new procedure has progressive Myanmar’s in assemblage abstemiously it’s helped India’s reason. Neither has Republic of India been prepared to encompass China, nor has the military junta been ready to exterminate the material of north-easter intensifying. The United States too has unremitting to support burden on Republic of India and China to production a fruitful role in transferring the experiment presentation by Asian nation.

For the instaneous, however, New Delhi seems to own unwavering to persist on development. A limited influences, however, valor remind some adjustment in India’s Myanmar policy. Myanmar’s permitting yearning for nuclear weapons may strong-arm Indian policy constructors to consideration on their stratagem.
Similarly, continuous failure in fulfilling its energy needs in Asian nation vis-à-vis China too may push New Delhi to reorient its strategy. Furthermore, Myanmar’s assistance in tecking the insurrectionaries too would be an achievement loads would, thus, depend on Myanmar’s response to India’s gestures. Whether or not it chooses to nurture a military science ally in Republic of India are a insufficient things we want to distinguish out for India's Intention overdue Look East Policy.

(A)- India's Hesitancy regarding projected NE India in its Look East Policy:

A way to sanction an interior positioning to the design East Policy would be to endowment a right contemporary person to the North Japanese States. In 1999 once China conveyed along counsellors, students and commercial societies from China, India, Asian nation and Bangladesh to newcomer a provincial monetary forum, it invited them to Kunming, the capital of province. The venue underscored Chinese intention to require advantage of Yunnan's topographical position and its cultural attractions with its neighbors transversely the decoration and to restore Yunnan's historic role as a connection to South East Asia and South Asia. But, once it came to India's converse host the Forum of Regional Economic Cooperation, created by Kunming initiative, the meeting passed in capital of India and not in Guwahati, Shillong or Imphal. All this can be no little irony.

(B)- Risk of a Maritime Familiarized Look East Policy:

North East Indians consider South East Asian countries as their neighbors. However in an exceedingly maritime sense, the east price of Bharat - state, Andhra or Tamilnadu is additionally adjacent to South East Asia. Indeed, traditionally earth India’s ties with South East Asia are a lot of maritime than continental. Narasimha Rao, underneath whose leadership the design East Policy began, was the primary Bharatn Prime Minister from terra firma India. He belongs to an area terribly on the point of the Coromandel Coast, well-known for its maritime ties to South East Asia. Continentally familiarized trade with South East Asia might not be encouraging for the mainland because it is cheaper and fewer hard for India to trade with South East Asia by ocean rather than land. It’s going to be tempting so for Bharat to create associations with South East Asia that are principally seafaring in its place of continentally familiarized.

(C)- The China syndrome:

In recent years, China's extensive economy has drawn India's South Asian neighbors into its monetary circle. Not wonderfully, this has fashioned numerous Indians nervous. Myanmar’s trade with each Bharat and China has expanded since 1989 however the trade with China has grown way more soon than the trade with Bharat. Even China's trade with Bangladesh has outdone India's by a little however significant sideline. Sidewise the monetary sphere, Chinese influence in Asian nation in military and political terms has been extraordinary. Undeniably, it's diode Bharat to assess its policy of contrasting the pack and supporting the movement of democracy diode by Aung San Suu Kyi.

(D)- The protection Anxieties:

It's moderately superficial that the political indecision in North East Indies has been a thoughtful deliberate nearby determining India's Asian nation policy within the light-weight of India's Look East Policy. Unquestionably, it's been opposed that in India's security anxieties - procurement Asian nation to act alongside North East Indian dissatisfied teams that take accommodation in Asian nation - instead of a need to grant the enterprise East Policy a mainland positioning that elucidates India's turnaround vis-a-vis Asian nation.

VI. CONCLUSION -

The Indian government obligation preserve a logical foreign policy and assistance to build democratic institutions in Myanmar in ways that do not embarrass the military junta. Productive commitment through official and diplomatic channels—not conflict—is the key to dissuading the military regime away from authoritarian procedures. The strategic and security safeties of India admissibly compensate the domestic apprehensions within India supporting Myanmar’s reoccurrence to democracy. The explanation lies not in struggling to export democracy to Myanmar but in anowing to shove the presiding military regime toward democracy concluded regional assignation concerning all participants. India’s long-term strategic safeties may well be healthier assisted by a democratic regime in Myanmar.
Moderately, it'll need the meeting of cultures, of peoples, of hearts, of a sense that being a part of the “Indian dream” is actually emancipating. What must be done now's a follow through with binding political and body selections to secure the region and guarantee synchronization between its gathering of traditional teams and populations to essentially spinning into “India’s gateway”.

**Reference**


5-Kapur, Harish (2009), Foreign Policies of India’s Prime Ministers, Lancer International: New Delhi, pp. 308-309.

6-Tony Allison, Myanmar shows India the road to Southeast Asia, Asia Times, 21 February, 2001.


8-The Hindu, October 19, 2016