IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Fabric Fault Detection Using Deep Transfer Learning

[1]Shreyas Zadrao,[2]Vipul Shewale,[3]Atharva Waze, Madhuri Ghuge

[1]Computer Engineering student
[2]Computer Engineering student
[3]Computer Engineering student, Assistant Professor
[1]Computer Engineering Department
[1]Bharati Vidyapeeth College of Engineering Navi Mumbai

Abstract: Defect Detection in modern material assembling is a fundamental requirement for which productive practical arrangements must be sought. In ongoing years, a few distinct techniques have been created to recognize these deformities, some of which have been fruitful to a more prominent or lesser degree. Traditionally, the acknowledgment of texture has a lot of difficulties because of its manual visual examination. Additionally, the methodologies dependent on early AI calculations legitimately rely upon high-quality highlights, which are tedious and blunder inclined cycles. Hence, an automated system is needed for the classification of fabric to improve productivity. In this paper, we propose a solution in which a deep transfer learning model will be trained on a fabric dataset. The training process will include various data augmentation techniques like rescaling, zooming, horizontal flipping, etc. The model extracts important features from a given image and classifies automatically in an end-to-end fashion. We assessed the consequences of our model utilizing assessment measurements, for example, exactness, adjusted precision,AUC and Recall.

***Index Terms* – fabric, precision, AUC, deep transfer learning .**

I. INTRODUCTION

The texture is one of the vintage creations of people , which has developed from high-quality fabric materials to the cutting edge machine-based electronic textiles. In the material assembling industry, weave design, which is the main factor for textures, assumes a huge function in plan and overhaul, textural investigation because of its structure, It is essential to perform fault detection of fabric pattern before it is processed further by machines, in the manufacturing process. Directly, regularly, texture design acknowledgment is subject to manual tasks utilizing natural eyes supported with gear, for example, a magnifying lens or amplifying glass. Traditionally, this manual inspection is performed by an expert who requires expertise and experience. However, it is accompanied by several drawbacks such as extensive labor, inefficiency, and time-consuming, but also leads to subjective human factors, such as mental and physical stress, dizziness, and therefore, it is indispensable to develop an automated inspection system for the fault detection of fabric patterns to produce high-quality products that meet the needs of customers.

Lately, texture design (surface) acknowledgment has increased a lot of consideration and made extraordinary accomplishments [1]-Halepoto [2]-Xiao [3]-Kumar. Normally, techniques for mesh design acknowledgment can be partitioned into two general classifications, i.e., surface-based factual strategy and information base/model-based strategy.[4]-Wang proposed a method based on differential analysis, using histogram equalization and adaptive wiener filter to acquire information about fabric structure from different directions, and later, used the adaptive mesh model to divide images into sub-images for obtaining gray-scale features. The method was robust for extracting interlacing points in the fabric structure, but the work was limited to only simple fabrics. The acknowledgment strategy dependent on the information base/model uses an acknowledgment or characterization calculation to recognize and coordinate the texture's designs. [5]-Kuo and [6]-Pan [7]-Gau [8]-Lou assessed back proliferation (BP) neural organizations for the grouping of the pre-perceived example put away in an information base of examples dependent on a white-dark co-event matrix.[9]-Schroder presented a way to deal with gauge design, yarn ways, widths, and their varieties for the visual prototyping of cloth. This strategy, partly, was as yet subject to the manual choice of model parameters.[10]-Zhang proposed a texture deformity acknowledgment strategy utilizing streamlined Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) with a perception procedure for muddled textures. They favored just a predetermined number of pictures for tests yet in a compelled environment. There are a few restrictions to the acknowledgment techniques for fabric. The existing examinations depended intensely on high quality highlights engineering.The accessibility of texture pictures information base was limited. The rotational varieties in texture legitimately impacted the surface highlights extraction during picture obtaining. The improper lighting effect could result in unclear texture images. Inspired by these previous works and to address the shortcomings, The proposed is a solution which can tell whether a fabric is defective or non- defected fabric using deep transfer learning. And the fabric dataset will be used to train a deep transfer learning model. The training process will include various data augmentation techniques zooming, rescaling, horizontal flipping, etc. The proposed model performed start to finish texture including extraction and order utilizing the texture pictures that we had created.

II. PROPOSED SOLUTION

Deep Transfer Learning Approach

As we definitely know, huge and compelling profound learning models are information hungry. They require preparing with thousands or even a huge number of information focuses prior to making a conceivable prediction. Training is over the top expensive, both as expected and resources. The most concerning issue, however, is that models like this one are performed uniquely on a solitary task. Future undertakings require another arrangement of information that focuses just as equivalent or more measures of resources. However, the human mind doesn't work that way. It doesn't dispose of recently acquired information when explaining another task. Instead, it settles on choices dependent on things gained from the past. Transfer learning is a methodology in profound learning (and AI) where information is moved from one model to another. A basic confusion is that preparation and testing information should originate from a similar source or be with a similar distribution. Using move learning, we can tackle a specific errand utilizing full or part of an as of now pre-prepared model on an alternate assignment. The proposed solution was tried on many different types of pre-trained transfer learning models mentioned as follows

VGG 16

The VGGNet architecture contains 144 million parameters with a stack of small-sized convolutional kernels [11]-Simonyan. It consists of 16 convolutional layers with small-sized kernels (3 × 3), five max-pooling layers, three fully connected layers, and an output classifier layer with Softmax nonlinear activation. Since the architecture contains a large number of parameters as compared with AlexNet (which is again a transfer learning model), it is more expensive computationally because it requires an extensive amount of memory.

ResNet

In 2016, the concept of the residual network (ResNet)[12]-Zhang was introduced. The main advantage of ResNet is that it solves the problem of vanishing gradient and degrading accuracy by introducing a concept of shortcut connections making it flexible, task-dependent, and theseshortcut connections are allowed to skip one or more consecutive layers.

Inception V3

The objective of the beginning [13]-Szegedy module is to go about as a "staggered highlight extractor" by registering 1×1, 3×3, and 5×5 convolutions inside a similar module of the organization — the yield of these channels are then stacked along with the channel measurement and prior to being taken care of into the following layer in the network. The unique manifestation of this design was called GoogleNet, yet resulting appearances have essentially been called Inception VN where N alludes to the adaptation number put out by Google. The Inception V3 engineering remembered for the Keras center originates from the later distribution by Szegedy et al., Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision (2015) which proposes refreshes to the initiation module to additional lift ImageNet order accuracy. The loads for Inception V3 are more modest than both VGG and ResNet, coming in at 96MB.

Efficient Net

EfficientNet[14]-Mingxing Tan, first introduced in Tan and Le, 2019 is among the most efficient models (i.e.requiring least FLOPS for deduction) that arrives at State-of-the-Art precision on both imagenet and regular picture grouping move learning tasks. The littlest base model is like MnasNet, which came to approach SOTA with an essentially more modest model. By acquainting a heuristic route with scale the model, EfficientNet gives a group of models (B0 to B7) that speaks to a decent blend of effectiveness and exactness on such a scaling heuristics (compound-scaling, subtleties see Tan and Le, 2019) permits the productivity situated base model (B0) to outperform models at each scale while keeping away from broad framework search of hyperparameters. A summary of the latest updates on the model is available here, where various augmentation schemes and semi-supervised learning approaches are applied to further improve the imagenet performance of the models. These extensions of the model can be used by updating weights without changing model architecture.

Mobile Net

The general design of the Mobilenet [15]-Howardis as follows, having 30 layers. It is additionally exceptionally low support accordingly performing very well with high speed. There are likewise numerous kinds of pre-prepared models with the size of the organization in memory and on the plate being corresponding to the number of boundaries being used. The speed and force utilization of the organization is relative to the number of MACs (Multiply-Accumulates) which is a proportion of the quantity of combined Multiplication and Addition operations.

III. IMAGE ACQUISITION AND PREPROCESSING

The texture pictures were gathered to shape a dataset. The dataset required reasonable changes, resizing, and preprocessing of the pictures. The number of images was smaller in size, so we used various augmentation techniques to increase the dataset, which helped the model have a good generalization and accomplish better recognition.

IV. MODEL GENERATION AND TRAINING

A learning algorithm that receives input data ”X” (map into attributes to the target) and predicts the output ”Y” is called a model. For our model, we employed various models as mentioned above. During training, the algorithm performed optimization on the parameters (update weights and biases) which was used for the recognition of the model.

V. EVALUATION METRICS

The dataset available was highly imbalanced and needed the use of those metrics which give more importance to imbalanced property and classify images up to a great extent. So the performance of our model was evaluated using evaluation metrics like Cohen Kappa Score and Area Under the Curve (AUC) Score. In addition to this, we also calculated using various other metrics like accuracy, F1 Score, Precision, Accuracy, etc.

AUC ROC Curve

The Receiver Operator Characteristic (ROC) curve is an assessment metric for binary classification issues. It is a probability curve that plots the TPR against FPR at different edge esteems and basically isolates the 'signal' from the 'noise'.The 'Area Under the Curve' (AUC) is the proportion of the capacity of a classifier to recognize classes and is utilized as a rundown of the ROC curve. The higher the AUC, the better the exhibition of the model at recognizing the positive and negative classes.

Cohen's kappa coefficient

Cohen's kappa coefficient is a measurement which measures between rater understanding for subjective (straight out) items.It is by and large idea to be a more strong measure than a basic per cent arrangement figuring since k considers the arrangement happening by some coincidence. Cohen's kappa gauges the arrangement between two raters who each characterize N things into C totally unrelated classes.

A. Formula

$$K = (P0 - Pe)/(1 - Pe)$$

P0 = relative observed agreement among raters.
Pe = the hypothetical probability of chance agreement.

VI. DATASET

The Textile Texture-Database (TILDA) [16]-TechnischeUniversität Hamburg was developed within the framework of the working group Texture Analysis of the DFG’s (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) in the TechnischeUniversität Hamburg in 1995. It has eight representative textile types. For each of the above classes, 50 TIF pictures (768 x 512 pixels, gray-level image 8 bits) were acquired through relocation and rotation of the textile sample.

VII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Model	Accur-acy (%)	Precis-ion	Recall	AUC
Mobile Net	0.7992	0.8326	0.8024	0.7982
Inception	0.8453	0.8453	0.8453	0.9287
Efficient Net	0.89802	0.79	0.77	0.90054

As the results suggest, the Inception V3 model outperformed all the other models in terms of evaluation metrics used in this paper.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the proposed solution is an altered profound learning model for the grouping of fabrics. The proposed profound learning model provided better results with Inception V3 model. Firstly all the pictures are kept in one envelope and move to learn is concerned with the goal that they get characterized themselves as defective or not. Then, the picture obtaining and preprocessing of texture pictures are finished and the information growth methods are applied to expand the size of the dataset. Lastly, a pre-prepared CNN model is utilized where just the recently connected layers are prepared to keep different layers frozen. The significant level surface highlights are separated, and afterward at long last ordered depending on whether the texture is flawed or not. Model execution is assessed utilizing different execution measurements, for example, exactness, adjusted exactness, accuracy, review, and F1-score. The model is hearty when varieties, for example, texture tone, yarn thickness, rotational direction, and lopsided light are considered. The proposed model uses fewer boundaries while preparing to make it computationally financially savvy, and subsequently has the potential for the material and design industry.

REFERENCES

1. H. Halepoto, K. Kaleemand T. Gong, "Real-Time Quality Assessment of Neppy Mélange Yarn Manufacturing Using Macropixel Analysis".
2. Z. Xiao, X. Nie, F. Zhang, L. Geng, J. Wu and Y. Li, "Automatic recognition for striped woven fabric pattern".
3. M. BaiandK. Kumar, "Deploying multi layer extraction and complex pattern in fabric pattern identification", *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 79, no. 15-16, pp. 10427-10443, 2019. Available: 10.1007/s11042-019-7421-y.
4. J. Li, W. Wang,B. Xin and N. Deng, "A novel digital method for weave pattern recognition based on photometric differential analysis", *Measurement*, vol. 152, p. 107336, 2020. Available: 10.1016/j.measurement.2019.107336.
5. C. Kuo,K. Peng C. Shih and C. Ho, "Application of computer vision in the automatic identification and classification of woven fabric weave patterns", *Textile Research Journal*, vol. 80, no. 20, pp. 2144-2157, 2010. Available: 10.1177/0040517510373630.
6. R. Pan, W. Gao,H. Wangand J. Liu, "Automatic recognition of woven fabric patterns based on pattern database", *Fibers and Polymers*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 303-308, 2010. Available: 10.1007/s12221-010-0303-6.
7. Ruru Pan, Weidong Gao, Jihong Liu, Hongbo Wang and Xiaoting Zhang, "Automatic Detection of Structure Parameters of Yarn-dyed Fabric", *Textile Research Journal*, vol. 80, no. 17, pp. 1819-1832, 2010. Available: 10.1177/0040517510369411.
8. R. Pan, W. Gao, J. Liu and H. Wang, "Automatic recognition of woven fabric pattern based on image processing and BP neural network", *Journal of the Textile Institute*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 19-30, 2011. Available: 10.1080/00405000903430255.
9. K. Schroder, A. Zinke and R. Klein, "Image-Based Reverse Engineering and Visual Prototyping of Woven Cloth", *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 188-200, 2015. Available: 10.1109/tvcg.2014.2339831.
10. Z. Liu, C. Zhang, C. Li, S. Ding, Y. Dong and Y. Huang, "Fabric defect recognition using optimized neural networks", *Journal of Engineered Fibers and Fabrics*, vol. 14, p. 155892501989739, 2019. Available: 10.1177/1558925019897396.
11. Simonyan, K.; Zisserman, A. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. arXiv 2014, arXiv:1409.1556.
12. He, K.; Zhang, X.; Ren, S.; Sun, J. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Las Vegas, NV, USA, 27–30 June 2016; pp. 770–778.
13. Christian Szegedy, Vincent Vanhoucke, Sergey Ioffe, Jonathon Shlens, ZbigniewWojna. "Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision".
14.Mingxing Tan, Quoc V. Le."EfficientNet: Rethinking Model Scaling for Convolutional Neural Networks"
15.Andrew G. Howard, Menglong Zhu, Bo Chen, Dmitry Kalenichenko, Weijun Wang, Tobias Weyand, Marco Andretti, Hartwig Adam."MobileNets: Efficient Convolutional Neural Networks for Mobile Vision Applications".
16. TechnischeUniversität Hamburg-Hamburg. (1995). TILDA Textile Texture-Database.. Web site: http:// lmb.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/resources/datasets/tilda.en.html.