



Role Of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) And Its Impact On Economic Growth

Vinay Gupta; Dr.Swati Mathur
HOD; Assistant Professor
Dr Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Agra

ABSTRACT

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has evolved into a crucial component of modern business strategy, contributing significantly to economic growth and sustainable development. It encompasses the voluntary and ethical efforts made by companies to aid social, environmental, and economic progress. Initiatives under CSR, including environmental protection, community support, and fair labor practices, boost a company's reputation, build consumer confidence, and increase employee efficiency. From an economic perspective, CSR investments stimulate economic growth by fostering innovation, creating employment opportunities, and enhancing market competitiveness. Responsible business practices attract investors, boost consumer confidence, and lead to long-term financial stability. Additionally, CSR initiatives reduce regulatory risks and encourage collaborations between the private sector, government, and civil society to address socio-economic challenges.

The primary data have been collected by using a questionnaire specifically designed for this study under guidance in experts. Annual reports and sustainability reports of the concern company have also been used to collect the information.

The analysis of global literature and publications brings forth new perspectives, concepts, challenges, and solutions to comprehend how Indian companies conceptualize corporate social responsibility in relation to environmental sustainability. The globalization and liberalization of the Indian economy have transformed corporate objectives from a socio-economic emphasis towards enhancing shareholder value for the benefit of diverse stakeholders.

This paper explores the relationship between CSR and economic growth, highlighting how responsible corporate behavior contributes to sustainable development. By examining case studies and empirical data, it demonstrates how CSR not only benefits society but also enhances business profitability and economic resilience. The findings suggest that integrating CSR into corporate strategies is crucial for long-term economic progress and social welfare.

Keywords:

Corporate Social Responsibility, Economic Growth, Competitiveness, Environment, Sustainable Development, Liberalization business ethics.

1. Introduction

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is the concept that firms, in addition to their obligations to their stockholders or investors, have responsibilities towards society. In addition to revenue, businesses are believed to have obligations towards stakeholders such as employees, consumers, communities, and the environment. CSR requires firms to be socially and ecologically sensitive, as well as to raise HR standards, implement fair trade, reduce environmental impact, help the community, and increase satisfaction among employees.

There are various reasons for an organization to be socially responsible. The significance of doing the right thing for communities, the environment, and social concerns may be the most essential aspects. Other motivations may include financial savings from fewer expenditures, a favorable business image,

environmental preservation, community service, enhanced employee happiness, and stakeholder satisfaction.

CSR can help an organization to build a Brand Equity by:

1. Increasing brand awareness
2. Improve the product image
3. Build brand credibility
4. Evokes the feelings of the brand
5. Create a sense of brand community
6. Awaken brand engagement.

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) in India has gained legal and societal importance in past years. Several sustainable results have emerged from CSR initiatives in India and across the globe. However, many organizations think CSR as a liability and a hindrance. Businesses and corporates' expectations have grown beyond profit margins in recent years. However, many people in favor of mandatory CSR in small businesses.

During the past decades, there has been a diversity of approaches in CSR research but no overall results have been achieved. In the opinion of some thinkers are that CSR relates to transactions between divergent companies, business sectors, segments, cultures and countries. So it is very difficult to measure the effects of CSR on business brand equity

build a positive image of the organization and enables effective customer relationship management (CRM).

Today's consumers care about more than the price and quality of their products; they care about their environment and society in which they live, and they want to be part of a program that contributes to the well-being of the planet. Modern companies have come to understand that CSR helps in not just build brand equity but also brand awareness.



In today's business landscape, corporate social responsibility (CSR) is becoming increasingly vital in shaping the strategies of companies aimed at achieving sustainable development and promoting social equity. CSR not only embodies the ethical obligations of organizations towards society but also creates avenues for mitigating social and economic disparities. When it comes to managing internal business operations, the adoption of CSR strategies offers considerable advantages, such as enhancing corporate reputation, boosting employee engagement, and fostering long-term economic growth. The growing interest in CSR stems not only from ethical imperatives but also from the tangible benefits it can provide in streamlining operations and fostering a more equitable and inclusive business climate. Implementing CSR initiatives necessitates not only modifications to the organizational framework but also a transformation in workplace culture, emphasizing the significance of social responsibility and ethical conduct.

This study aims to explore the methodological dimensions of investigating the trends and challenges organizations encounter in the integration of CSR strategies and their effects on diminishing inequality. It will examine the perspectives of business entities regarding these initiatives, concentrating on the elements that affect their adoption and effective execution. The methodological analysis will be conducted through a systematic literature review, bibliometric analysis with suitable visual representations, surveys, and gap analysis. This research posits that CSR holds substantial potential to contribute to the reduction of economic and social inequality; however, this potential frequently remains unexploited due to various

obstacles. Nevertheless, the authors assert that these barriers can be surmounted through tailored strategies, the establishment of ethical benchmarks, and a commitment to continuous learning.

This research aspires to enrich the existing knowledge in the field of CSR and to provide a foundation for the development of future strategies aimed at effective implementation within business practices. In light of the current demands and challenges faced by organizations, the study seeks to offer practical and pertinent recommendations to facilitate a sustainable and equitable transition towards more responsible management of business operations.

The interplay between CSR, economic growth, and economic inequality is intricate and multifaceted, and this research endeavors to establish a clear framework for understanding these relationships. By concentrating on methodological aspects, we aim to set the groundwork for future inquiries that will enable a more profound analysis of the connections between these phenomena. While a comprehensive explanation of these processes necessitates further extensive research, we contend that this study effectively demonstrates how CSR can significantly contribute to reducing economic inequality and fostering sustainable economic growth.

2. Literature Review

Survey of Related Literature Review –

Today, corporate social responsibility has become one of the most talked topics in business.. In order to ensure the sustainable development and growth of companies, in the contemporary capitalist economy corporate social responsibility has become necessary. Against this background, this section reviews the existing literature on CSR. An attempt is also made to derive some hypotheses from the literature review to be tested in order to achieve the objectives of this study

Kevin Keller(1993) explained in his *Journal of Marketing* “Conceptualizing, Measuring and Managing Customer-based Brand Equity,” Corporate social responsibility may also be utilized to boost employee morale and satisfaction, enhance retention, and recruit new personnel. Higher staff morale can result in higher productivity. Higher retention rates may result in lower training expenses. With relation to new workers, enhancing their productivity and lowering their adaptation learning curve positions.

Luo and Bhattacharya, (2006) found that regardless of many thought CSR may internalize, in the current scenario, companies are more interested in how CSR can improve their company's brand equity, which is the primary reason that firms are now considering and initiating corporate social responsibility activities or associating current activities under the corporate social responsibility banner. Increasing the previous rate, current corporate organizations are active in publicizing their CSR actions to their stakeholders' via media.

Victoria Lopez, Armina Garcia, and Lazaro Rodriquez,(2007) explained in their study on “Sustainable Development and Corporate Performance” that One of the main reasons companies engage in socially responsible behavior is the possible financial gain that can come from it. Recent studies show that companies engaging in socially responsible behavior tend to show long-term financial gains and increases in value. The companies showed a financial loss or a small positive difference in the first three years because most of the benefits of socially responsible behavior occur over the long term.

Muhammad Shakeel Niaz (2012) conducted a study on “The Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Brand Equity”. He conclude that companies develop their corporate social responsibility (CSR) strategies and allocate their CSR funds according to the social responsibility of their individual buyers through advertising; then the result will be a sense of sympathy in the minds of their customers for their products. This will lead to a company positive reputation which will ultimately end up in brand equity. From a strategic perspective, firm build a competitive advantage over a short period of time that leads to brand equity and its results. The strategic point is corporate association, which includes both corporate and personal social responsibility in the same direction.

Shafi and Madhavaiah (2013) In their opinion, integrated Marketing Communication (IMC) plays a crucial role in building Brand Equity. When companies use the correct integrated Marketing Communication components at the right time at the right place, they will see an increase in their Brand Equity. Building and gaining access to a dynamic and influential brand is a top priority for the companies because it gives them a lot of advantages and positions.

Alexander et al. (2014) determine the impact of corporate social responsibility (CSR) on the development of future brands within an organization. Some recipient communities have been broken down into focus groups for discussion on the implications of CSR. These focus groups identified three main implications for CSR: Stakeholder expectations, Collaboration Research and development.

(Cormier & Magnan, 2014) found in their study that people who have close interactions with these persons, such as family, friends, and role models, are referred to as the social environment; these people can impact decisions. The normative views and drive to conform are employed to measure the subjective-norms criterion.

Esmailpour and Barjoei (2016) explained that Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is a major concern for most companies and their leaders. One of the company's most valuable assets is its brand. Brand equity is an asset that, in its light, the company can gain many advantages and maintain its value. A positive brand awareness helps to improve a wide range of outcomes. The authors conducted a study to analyze the impact of CSR and Brand Image on 'Brand Equity'. The results showed that CSR has a significant positive effect on 'Brand Image' and 'Brand Equity'. Furthermore, 'Brand Image' positively impacts 'Brand Equity'. CSR efforts are more strategically aligned with product differentiation and brand distinctiveness. This relationship is especially important in competitive markets and differentiated.

Caracuel and Guerrero-Villegas (2017), They found that modern social activities and management methods can help companies strengthen their brands. Companies often set up operations in developing countries in order to benefit from environmental and social norms while reducing their operating costs. On the other hand, corporations can use CSR efforts to directly impact the development of those countries. The study found that companies operating in less developed regions can strengthen their corporate reputation by carrying out CSR efforts.

Parilti et al. (2018) In their research on students to measure the impact of CSR on brand equity, they found that when students' awareness of CSR in relation to banks, their brand equity perceptions increased.

Urkut and Cengiz (2019), based on their research on consumers who have had at least one experience with services provided by private hospitals, they found that CSR perception has a positive impact on CBA dimensions and overall CBA (consumer-based brand equity)

Tsai et al., (2020) explained that when it comes to purchasing decisions, the function of the brand is critical to enhancing product visibility. Building a successful brand is a difficult task. The market's availability of alternative items with numerous brands makes it simple for customers to swap brands. This brand boom was caused by the easier way to Configure the Symmetrical and Asymmetrical Paths of Brand Equity and Relationship of Firm Created Content and User Generated Content as communicate across hundreds of cable channels to the Internet, product placement in films, and even cell phones.

Faeq et al., (2021) A high level of brand awareness helps to improve a wide range of outcomes, such as customer satisfaction, service quality, customer loyalty, and future purchases. Because of this, much of the marketing effort is focused on improving the consumer's mental image of your brand. As a result, corporate social responsibility (CSR) can have a positive impact on the development of brand awareness and the development of positive consumer attitudes.

Zain Noori Ismael (2022) examined that CSR can add value to the company sending a message about its quality Products. As a result of the halo effect, such signals great products have a chance to spread to others company areas. Thus, companies that practice socially responsible practices can be seen as trademarks that provide quality goods and services and corporate social responsibility the activity has the potential to improve the overall picture about the company brand. Compared to companies that did not use any CSR program, companies that participated in successful community-related corporate social responsibility programs the greatest benefit in terms of perceived quality and product image

Sharma E, Sathish M. "CSR leads to economic growth or not": an evidence-based study to link corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities of the Indian banking sector with economic growth of India. *Asian J Bus Ethics*. 2022;11(1):67–103. doi: 10.1007/s13520-022-00142-5. Epub 2022 Mar 1. PMID: PMC8886699.

SR activities contributed to economic growth

S.N	Citation	Parameters	Country	Relationship
1	Belasri et al. (2020)	Technical efficiency score, macro variables, CSR (environment, social, and governance)	41 developed countries	Positive
2	Zhang et al. (2019)	GDP, income disparity, urban poverty, human rights, resource exploitation, environmental degradation, industrialization and urbanization, income index	China	Positive
3	Kao et al. (2018)	Social responsibility, operating performance, social contribution, public image, education index	China	Positive and long-term benefit
4	Vastradmath (2015)	Social responsibility programs, health services, education, environment, infrastructure development	India	Positive
5	Mishra and Modi (2012)	Poverty alleviation, environmental sustainability, education, executive coaching and training, health and food, infrastructure	India	Positive
6	Mahapatra and Mohanty (2013)	environmental sustainability, social sustainability, human development index, global reporting initiative, education index, global warming/greenhouse effect, The Happy Planet Index, The Kyoto Protocol, Sustainable Development Goals	India	Positive
7	Fontaine (2013)	Value creation, risk management, corporate philanthropy, reduction in the unemployment rate	Netherlands	Positive
8	Manescu (2011)	Return on assets (ROA), CSR and firm profitability, firm profitability, risk and crisis management, environmental reporting, corporate governance	USA	Positive
9	Belal and Momin (2009)	Social and environmental issues, health issues, and GDP	Asia-Pacific and African regions	Positive
10	Visser (2009)	Cultural tradition, political reforms, socio-economic priorities, governance gaps, crisis response, international standardization, investment incentives, stakeholder activism, economic responsibilities	Developing countries in Africa, Asia, and Latin America	Positive
11	Dobers and Halme (2009)	Environmental management, pollution rate, human development index (HDI), adult literacy, and GDP	Developing countries in South America and Africa	Positive
12	Reinhardt et al. (2008)	Social welfare, legal, political, and social considerations	USA and developing countries	Positive
13	Verma and Chauhan (2007)	Human development indexes, GDP, environment, health and education, rural development	India and USA	Positive benefit
14	Ward et al. (2007)	International trade, direct investment, environmental management, company-	Middle-income and low-income	Positive

		community agreements	countries	
15	Heal (2005)	Risk management, waste reduction, regulatory protection, brand equity, employee productivity, cost of capital, CSR, and capital markets	USA	Positive

3. Objectives of the Study

- To analyze the relationship between CSR and economic growth.
- To examine the role of CSR in fostering sustainable development.
- To explore case studies of CSR implementation and its economic impact.

4. Materials and Methods

The study's sample comprised 50 companies listed on the stock exchange during the period of 2022-2023. To qualify for inclusion, these companies were required to submit an annual report containing information on their corporate social responsibility (CSR) investments. Additionally, the availability of data for control variables was necessary. The total CSR investment was quantified based on the expenses incurred for environmental, social, and charitable activities, as well as employee-related costs and product initiatives. This study focused on total investment since not all companies disclose the specific amounts allocated to each activity dimension. The financial performance of the companies was assessed using return on assets (ROA), with a one-year lag. Data analysis involved conducting normality tests, heteroscedasticity tests, and autocorrelation tests. Hypothesis testing was performed using simple lag regression, following the statistical model outlined below

$$ROA_{i,t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 InvCSR_{i,t} + \beta_2 LEV_{i,t} + \beta_3 Size_{i,t} + \beta_4 PBV_{i,t} + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

5. Results

The regression findings for Equation (1) are presented in Table 1. The coefficient for CSR investment, denoted as β_1 , is both positive and statistically significant. A positive β_1 suggests a favorable correlation between current CSR initiatives and the future performance of the company. Additionally, the coefficient for the control variable aligns with our expectations. The data in Table 1 indicate that an increased level of CSR spending correlates with a decreased level of book leverage, as evidenced by a negative and statistically significant coefficient. Furthermore, the price-to-book ratio exhibits a positive coefficient, although it does not reach statistical significance. In contrast, the size of the company is associated with a negative coefficient. The control variable LEV represents the ratio of debt to assets, while Size is quantified by the natural logarithm of total assets, and PBV reflects the ratio of market value to book value.

Table 1. Empirical Results (Dependent variable: future firm performance)

Variable	t	β
Constant	-0.206	-1.875
InvCSR	0.017	3.894***
LEV	-0.099	-4.285***
Size	-0.001	-0.275
PBV	0.001	1.097
Adj. R2		0.148
F Sig		10.530
n		207

***significant at 1% **significant at 5% *significant at 10%

5. Discussion

The investigation into the effects of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) on economic inequality uncovers significant elements that are both present in theoretical discussions and observable in the contemporary practices of business entities. The regression analysis indicates a correlation between CSR investments and the financial performance of companies, aligning with established management theories. A substantial level of CSR investment is presumed to signify the extent of social responsibility initiatives undertaken.

Incorporating CSR into corporate strategies serves a pivotal function in connecting economic advancement with issues of inequality. By prioritizing sustainable development objectives and fostering inclusive growth, CSR initiatives effectively align economic success with social accountability. The rise of digital finance and the emphasis on human capital are creating innovative pathways for economic inclusion, particularly in developing regions. Additionally, the commitment to energy efficiency, innovation, and environmental governance illustrates how responsible corporate behavior can drive economic progress while simultaneously addressing environmental concerns. As organizations embrace CSR-oriented strategies, they play a vital role in mitigating inequality by advocating for equitable labor practices, sustainable wages, and enhanced access to opportunities. These initiatives ultimately foster a more equitable economic framework where growth is both socially responsible and environmentally sustainable. Consequently, CSR remains integral to fostering a future where economic development harmonizes with the principles of social equity and environmental stewardship, thereby reinforcing the global dedication to sustainable development. By analyzing data from 50 companies, the study identifies key gaps and areas where efforts to address economic inequality could be improved.

6. Conclusions

The findings of this research lend support to established management theories. Social responsibility can be viewed as a strategic approach that fosters legitimacy, enhances reputation, and provides a competitive edge. Investments in Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) across various dimensions can lead to increased effectiveness and cost savings through multiple avenues, ultimately enhancing overall company performance. Content employees contribute to organizations by boosting productivity and lowering training and operational costs, while satisfied customers tend to increase their purchasing frequency. Additionally, pleased investors are likely to offer capital at reduced rates, thereby decreasing capital expenses. A supportive community can legitimize the business, effective ecological management can create favorable conditions. CSR plays a crucial role in shaping economic growth by fostering sustainable development, innovation, and job creation. Companies that integrate CSR into their core strategy not only enhance their financial performance but also contribute to societal progress. While challenges exist, effective policies and strategic implementation of CSR can drive long-term economic stability and inclusive growth. Future research should focus on measuring the direct economic impact of CSR across different sectors and economies.

Furthermore, examining the influence of CSR on economic inequality yields important insights into the current practices and methodologies employed by businesses. The study underscores how varying methodological approaches to assessing the effectiveness of CSR initiatives can contribute to reducing economic inequality and highlight areas requiring further attention. Our research revealed notable differences in the methods used to evaluate the impact of CSR initiatives, which vary according to the size of the enterprises and the resources at their disposal. Smaller businesses typically adopt more straightforward methods, such as tracking changes in wages and working conditions.

From our research, we conclude that the concepts of "Corporate Social Responsibility" (CSR), "Economic Growth," and "Inequality" interact in a distinct manner, generating added value and synergy, as evidenced by the following relationships: the connection between CSR and economic growth fosters a sustainable business model that enhances not only the financial performance of companies but also their long-term viability. The link between CSR and inequality is evident in the promotion of ethical practices aimed at social justice and inclusion, which leads to a more equitable allocation of resources and opportunities within society. Conversely, the relationship between economic growth and inequality exacerbates economic disparities, potentially giving rise to new social challenges.

Most importantly, the interplay among CSR, economic growth, and inequality is crucial for establishing a cohesive sustainable strategy. Such a strategy can effectively integrate social, economic, and environmental objectives, thereby promoting the long-term sustainability of businesses and ensuring a more equitable distribution of the benefits derived from economic growth.

References

1. Abell, Peter, Ofer Engel, and Henry P. Wynn. 2015. Corporate Social Responsibility, Inequality and Corporate Governance. In *The True Value of CSR*. Edited by Barbara Fryzel. London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 163–74. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Ahen, Frederick, and Joseph Amankwah-Amoah. 2018. Institutional Voids and the Philanthropization of CSR Practices: Insights from Developing Economies. *Sustainability* 10: 2400. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Allen, Myria W., and Christopher A. Craig. 2016. Rethinking corporate social responsibility in the age of climate change: A communication perspective. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility* 1: 1. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Asongu, Simplice, and Nicholas Odhiambo. 2021. Thresholds of income inequality that mitigate the role of gender inclusive education in promoting gender economic inclusion in sub-Saharan Africa. *Social Responsibility Journal* 17: 106–26. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Balaceanu, Cristina, Diana Apostol, and Daniela Penu. 2012. Sustainability and Social Justice. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 62: 677–81. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Bruton, Garry, Christopher Sutter, and Anna-Katharina Lenz. 2021. Economic Inequality–Is Entrepreneurship the Cause or the Solution? A Review and Research Agenda for Emerging Economies. *Journal of Business Venturing* 36: 106095. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Carroll, Archie B. 1991. The Pyramid of Corporate Social Responsibility: Toward the Moral Management of Organizational Stakeholders. *Business Horizons* 34: 39–48. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Carroll, Archie B. 2009. A History of Corporate Social Responsibility: Concepts and Practices. In *The Oxford Handbook of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 1st ed. Edited by Andrew Crane, Dirk Matten, Abigail McWilliams, Jeremy Moon and Donald S. Siegel. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 19–46. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Carroll, Archie B. 2016. Carroll’s Pyramid of CSR: Taking Another Look. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility* 1: 3. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Chungyalpa, Wangchuk. 2019. Understanding Business Sustainability: The What, the Why, and the How of Sustainable Business Practices. *Indian Journal of Sustainable Development* 5: 24–37. [[Google Scholar](#)]
11. Cradden, Conor. 2020. *Repoliticizing Management: A Theory of Corporate Legitimacy*. Corporate Social Responsibility Series; London and New York: Routledge, pp. 1–179. [[Google Scholar](#)]
12. Deng, Dejun, Yi Wu, and Linyi Qin. 2023. CSR Preference, Market Competition, and Corporate Financial Performance. *Managerial and Decision Economics* 44: 1396–409. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Derevianko, Olena H. 2019. Corporate Reputation Management: Theory and Applied Rating Approach. In *Innovative Entrepreneurship: Approach to Facing Relevant Socio-Humanitarian and Technological Challenges*. Lviv: Publishing House “Liha-Pres.”, pp. 118–41. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Elkington, John. 1997. *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Oxford: Capstone. 402p. [[Google Scholar](#)]
15. Enderle, Georges. 2018. Corporate responsibility for less income inequality. *Review of Social Economy* 76: 399–421. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Esenyel, Vildan. 2020. Key elements of corporate reputation. *Journal of Ekonomi* 2: 76–79. [[Google Scholar](#)]
17. Etikan, Julie. 2024. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Its Influence on Organizational Reputation. *Journal of Public Relations* 2: 1–12. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
18.] [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Freeman, R. Edward. 1984. *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Pitman Series in Business and Public Policy; Boston: Pitman. 276p. [[Google Scholar](#)]
20. Freeman, R. Edward. 2015. Stakeholder Theory. In *Wiley Encyclopedia of Management*, 1st ed. Edited by Cary L. Cooper. Hoboken: Wiley, pp. 1–6. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Freeman, R. Edward, and John McVea. 2017. A Stakeholder Approach to Strategic Management. In *The Blackwell Handbook of Strategic Management*. Hoboken: Wiley, pp. 183–201. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Fu, Shuke, Mengxia Tian, Yingchen Ge, Tingting Yao, and Jiali Tian. 2024. Influencing Factors and Mechanisms of Corporate Social Responsibility Reputation under Green and Low-Carbon Transition: Evidence from Chinese Listed Companies. *Energies* 17: 2044. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]

23. Gutterman, Alan S. 2024. *Strategic Planning for Sustainability. A Guide for Sustainable Entrepreneurs*. Amsterdam: Elsevier. 309p. [[Google Scholar](#)]
24. Imran, Muhammad, Muhammad Kamran Khan, Shabbir Alam, Salman Wahab, Muhammad Tufail, and Zhang Jijian. 2024. The implications of the ecological footprint and renewable energy usage on the financial stability of South Asian countries. *Financial Innovation* 10: 102. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Jamali, Dima. 2008. A Stakeholder Approach to Corporate Social Responsibility: A Fresh Perspective into Theory and Practice. *Journal of Business Ethics* 82: 213–31. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Janang, Joanne Shaza, Corina Joseph, and Roshima Said. 2020. Corporate Governance and Corporate Social Responsibility Society Disclosure: The Application of Legitimacy Theory. *International Journal of Business and Society* 21: 660–78. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Javed, Muzhar, Muhammad Amir Rashid, Ghulam Hussain, and Hafiz Yasir Ali. 2020. The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility on Corporate Reputation and Firm Financial Performance: Moderating Role of Responsible Leadership. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management* 27: 1395–409. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Katsoulakos, Takis, and Yannis Katsoulacos. 2007. Integrating Corporate Responsibility Principles and Stakeholder Approaches into Mainstream Strategy: A Stakeholder-oriented and Integrative Strategic Management Framework. *The International Journal of Business in Society* 7: 355–69. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Koh, Sin Yee. 2020. Inequality. In *International Encyclopedia of Human Geography*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 269–77. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Kolk, Ans, and Rob van Tulder. 2010. International business, corporate social responsibility and sustainable development. *International Business Review* 19: 119–25. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Malay, Olivier E. 2021. Improving government and business coordination through the use of consistent SDGs indicators. *Ecological Economics* 184: 106991. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Michelon, Giovanna, Michelle Rodrigue, and Elisabetta Trevisan. 2020. The Marketization of a Social Movement: Activists, Shareholders and CSR Disclosure. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 80: 101074. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Michetti, Melania, and Mehmet Pinar. 2019. Forest Fires Across Italian Regions and Implications for Climate Change: A Panel Data Analysis. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 72: 207–46. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Mühl, Diego Durante, and Letícia De Oliveira. 2022. A Bibliometric and Thematic Approach to Agriculture 4.0. *Heliyon* 8: e09369. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Newell, Peter, and Jędrzej George Frynas. 2007. Beyond CSR? Business, Poverty and Social Justice: An Introduction. *Third World Quarterly* 28: 669–81. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Ntiamoah, Evans Brako, Priscilla Oforiwa Egyiri, and Michael Kwamega. 2014. Corporate Social Responsibility Awareness, Firm Commitment and Organizational Performance. *Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies* 2: 91–101. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Orlitzky, Marc. 2009. Corporate Social Performance and Financial Performance: A Research Synthesis. In *The Oxford Handbook of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 1st ed. Edited by Andrew Crane, Dirk Matten, Abigail McWilliams, Jeremy Moon and Donald S. Siegel. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 113–34. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Orlitzky, Marc, Frank L. Schmidt, and Sara L. Rynes. 2003. Corporate Social and Financial Performance: A Meta-Analysis. *Organization Studies* 24: 403–41. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Pekovic, Sanja, and Sebastian Vogt. 2021. The Fit between Corporate Social Responsibility and Corporate Governance: The Impact on a Firm's Financial Performance. *Review of Managerial Science* 15: 1095–125. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Pesqueux, Yvon, and Salma Damak-Ayadi. 2005. Stakeholder Theory in Perspective. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society* 5: 5–21. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Pires, Vanessa, and Guilherme Trez. 2018. Corporate Reputation: A Discussion on Construct Definition and Measurement and Its Relation to Performance. *Revista de Gestão* 25: 47–64. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Porter, Michael E., and Mark R. Kramer. 2011. Creating Shared Value. *Harvard Business Review* 89: 62–77. [[Google Scholar](#)]
43. Ravallion, Martin. 2014. Income Inequality in the Developing World. *Science* 344: 851–55. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]

44. Rolf, Skylar. 2023. Responding to a Societal Crisis: How Does Corporate Social Responsibility Engagement Influence Corporate Reputation? *Journal of General Management*. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
45. Sandoval-Gomez, Raul Junior, Jesus Antonio Alvarez-Cedillo, Maria Teresa Sarabia-Alonso, Teodoro Alvarez-Sanchez, Rebeca Perez-Garcia, and Alexis Gonzalez-Vasquez. 2022. Implementation of business culture for Mexican SMEs versus globalization 4.0. *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies* 4: 34–43. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
46. SchiopoiuBurlea, Adriana, and Ion Popa. 2013. Legitimacy Theory. In *Encyclopedia of Corporate Social Responsibility*. Edited by Samuel O. Idowu, Nicholas Capaldi, LiangrongZu and Ananda Das Gupta. Berlin and Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 1579–84. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]

