IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

IJTIHAD AS A BRIDGE: ISLAMIC LEGAL REFORM AND ITS POTENTIAL TO ALIGN WITH INTERNATIONAL WOMEN'S HUMAN RIGHTS

Mr. Ashraf Azmi[1]

Dr. Wasim Ahmad[2]

[1] Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, Integral University, Lucknow
[1] Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, Integral University, Lucknow

ABSTRACT

The relationship between Islamic law and international women's human rights has long been the subject of scholarly debate, particularly in the context of gender equality, family law, and personal status regulations in Muslim-majority societies. This paper examines the role of ijtihad independent juristic reasoning as a transformative mechanism capable of reconciling Islamic jurisprudence with international human rights norms, especially those concerning women's rights. It argues that many gender inequalities attributed to Islamic law are rooted not in immutable divine injunctions but in historically conditioned patriarchal interpretations of fiqh. Through the revival of contextual and purposive interpretations grounded in maqāṣid al-sharīʿah (objectives of Islamic law), ijtihad offers a legitimate internal methodology for reform. The paper analyses the evolution of ijtihad, its decline and revival, and the contributions of contemporary Muslim reformist scholars such as Abdullah Ahmed An-Naʿim, Amina Wadud, Fazlur Rahman, Khaled Abou El Fadl, and Jasser Auda. It further evaluates the compatibility between Islamic legal principles and international human rights instruments, particularly the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW). The study concludes that dynamic ijtihad can serve as a normative bridge between Islamic legal traditions and universal women's human rights by promoting justice, dignity, equality, and social welfare without undermining Islamic authenticity.

[1] Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, Integral University, Lucknow
[2] Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law, Integral University, Lucknow

Keywords: *Ijtihad, Islamic legal reform, women's rights, CEDAW, maqāṣid al-sharīʿah, gender justice, Islamic jurisprudence, human rights.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The discourse on women's human rights within Islamic legal traditions occupies a central place in contemporary legal, political, and theological debates.[3] Questions concerning equality in marriage, divorce, inheritance, guardianship, testimony, and political participation frequently generate tension between traditional interpretations of Islamic law and prevailing international human rights standards. Critics often portray Islamic law as inherently incompatible with gender equality, while many Muslim scholars contend that Islam, properly understood, upholds justice and dignity for all persons, including women.[4]

The apparent contradiction largely emerges from an insufficiently examined distinction between *Sharīʿah* and *fiqh*. *Sharīʿah* represents divine guidance derived from the Qur'an and Sunnah, whereas *fiqh* consists of human juristic interpretations shaped by specific socio-historical conditions. Contemporary scholars increasingly argue that patriarchal interpretations developed during medieval periods became institutionalized as immutable law, despite their manifestly contextual origins. This critical distinction creates legitimate space for legal reform through *ijtihad* the juristic process of independent reasoning.[5]

Historically, *ijtihad* enabled Islamic law to adapt dynamically to changing social realities. However, over time, the notion that "the gates of *ijtihad*" had closed contributed to legal stagnation and the predominance of *taqlid* (blind adherence to precedent). In the modern era, reformist Muslim scholars have sought to revive *ijtihad* as a tool for reconstructing Islamic jurisprudence in light of contemporary realities, including international human rights norms and women's rights.[6]

This paper explores whether *ijtihad* can function as a legitimate bridge between Islamic legal traditions and international women's human rights. It examines the conceptual foundations of *ijtihad*, its historical development, contemporary reformist approaches, and the compatibility between Islamic legal objectives and global human rights frameworks. The paper further analyses select national experiences of reform and evaluate specific areas where contextual juristic reasoning can advance substantive gender justice.

[3] John L. Esposito & Natana J. DeLong-Bas, *Women in Muslim Family Law* 1–8 (4th ed., Syracuse University Press, New York, 2022).

[4] Abdullahi Ahmed An-Naʻim, *Toward an Islamic Reformation: Civil Liberties, Human Rights and International Law* 1–12 (Syracuse University Press, New York, 1990).

[5] Wael B. Hallaq, *A History of Islamic Legal Theories* 107–115 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).

[6] Fazlur Rahman, *Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual Tradition* 2–15 (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1982).

II. CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING OF IJTIHAD

The term *ijtihad* derives from the Arabic root *jahada*, meaning "to strive" or "to exert effort." In Islamic jurisprudence, it refers to the intellectual effort undertaken by qualified jurists to derive legal rulings from the Qur'an and Sunnah in matters where explicit textual guidance is absent or requires contextual elaboration. As a foundational methodology of Islamic law, *ijtihad* has historically distinguished Islam's legal tradition as one capable of internal evolution and principled adaptation.[7]

Classical jurists recognized several principal forms of *ijtihad*:

- ***Qiyās*** *(Analogical Reasoning)* — extending established legal rulings to new situations based on a shared underlying cause (*ʿillah*);
- ***Istihṣān*** *(Juristic Preference)* — departing from strict analogy where equity and justice demand a different outcome;
- ***Maslahah Mursalah*** *(Unrestricted Public Interest)* — considering broader social welfare in legal determinations unaddressed by specific textual evidence;
- *ʿ**Urf** (Custom)* — incorporating locally accepted customs not contrary to foundational Islamic principles.[8]

Modern scholarship emphasizes that *ijtihad* was never intended to be static. It was a dynamic process designed to ensure that Islamic law remained responsive to evolving social conditions. Contemporary reformist studies further argue that contextual and purposive *ijtihad* grounded in *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* (the higher objectives of Islamic law) can serve as the primary vehicle for progressive legal reform, enabling Islamic jurisprudence to engage constructively with contemporary challenges including gender justice and human rights.[9]

III. HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT AND DECLINE OF IJTIHAD

The historical development of *ijtihad* constitutes one of the most significant dimensions of Islamic legal history. From the earliest period of Islam, *ijtihad* functioned as an essential mechanism through which Muslim jurists interpreted divine revelation and responded to changing social realities.[10] Its evolution was deeply connected to the expansive growth of Islamic civilization across diverse cultures and regions. Over time,

[7] Bernard G. Weiss, *The Spirit of Islamic Law* 115–118 (University of Georgia Press, Athens, 1998).
[8] Wael B. Hallaq, *An Introduction to Islamic Law* 45–48 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).
[9] Jasser Auda, *Maqasid al-Shariah as Philosophy of Islamic Law* 1–20 (International Institute of Islamic Thought, London, 2008).

[10] Noel J. Coulson, *A History of Islamic Law* 80–82 (Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 1964).

however, the dynamic spirit of *ijtihad* gradually declined, giving rise to legal conservatism and the predominance of *taqlid*. This transformation had lasting consequences for Islamic law, including its approach to social reform and women's rights.[11]

A. Early Foundations During the Prophetic Period

The roots of *ijtihad* can be traced to the lifetime of Prophet Muhammad (PBUH). Although divine revelation through the Qur'an and Sunnah constituted the primary sources of law, the Prophet occasionally permitted companions to exercise personal reasoning in matters where no explicit revelation had been received. This established a foundational precedent for juristic interpretation within the Islamic tradition.[12]

One of the most frequently cited examples is the appointment of Muʿadh ibn Jabal as governor and judge in Yemen. According to the well-known hadith, the Prophet inquired how Muʿadh would decide legal matters. Muʿadh replied that he would first consult the Qur'an, then the Sunnah, and if neither provided guidance, he would exercise his own reasoned judgment (*ijtihad*). The Prophet's approval of this methodology legitimized independent reasoning as an integral component of Islamic legal epistemology.[13]

B. Ijtihad During the Era of the Rightly Guided Caliphs

Following the death of the Prophet in 632 CE, Muslim society encountered political, social, and legal challenges requiring independent reasoning. The four Rightly Guided Caliphs (*al-Khulafā' al-Rāshidūn*)—Abu Bakr, Umar ibn al-Khattab, Uthman ibn Affan, and Ali ibn Abi Talib—actively exercised *ijtihad* in administering the rapidly expanding Islamic state.[14]

Caliph Umar ibn al-Khattab is particularly regarded as an early exemplar of pragmatic and contextual *ijtihad*. His legal decisions demonstrated flexibility and genuine concern for public welfare (*maslahah*). For example, he suspended the prescribed punishment for theft during conditions of famine because social hardship undermined criminal culpability. He also restricted the distribution of conquered lands to ensure long-term economic stability. These examples illustrate that early Islamic governance prioritized justice, welfare, and contextual reasoning over rigid literalism.[15]

C. Development During the Classical Period

The classical period of Islamic jurisprudence, roughly spanning the eighth to tenth centuries CE, witnessed the systematic development of legal methodology (*usūl al-fiqh*) and the flourishing of *ijtihad*. As Islam expanded

[11] Leila Ahmed, *Women and Gender in Islam* 85–92 (Yale University Press, New Haven, 1992).
[12] Bernard G. Weiss, *The Spirit of Islamic Law* 118–120 (University of Georgia Press, Athens, 1998).
[13] Mohammad Hashim Kamali, *Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence* 471–472 (3rd ed., Islamic Texts Society, Cambridge, 2003).
[14] Sherman A. Jackson, *Islamic Law and the State* 45–48 (Brill, Leiden, 1996).
[15] Wael B. Hallaq, *An Introduction to Islamic Law* 42–45 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

into Persia, North Africa, and Central Asia, Muslim jurists encountered complex legal questions arising from diverse cultures and administrative systems, necessitating sophisticated interpretive tools.[16]

This period witnessed the emergence of the major Sunni schools of law (*madhāhib*):

- The Hanafi School, emphasizing reason and analogical reasoning;
- The Maliki School, according importance to the established practices of the people of Medina;
- The Shafiʿi School, systematizing legal theory through Imam al-Shafiʿi's seminal work
- The Hanbali School, prioritizing textual evidence from Hadith over speculative reasoning.[17]

The existence of multiple jurisprudential schools itself demonstrates that Islamic law historically embraced interpretive diversity rather than monolithic uniformity. The classical era also produced sophisticated legal tools including *qiyās*, *istihṣān*, *maslahah*, *istishāb* (presumption of continuity), and *ʿurf*. These methodologies enabled jurists to respond creatively to evolving social realities while maintaining fidelity to Islamic principles.[18]

D. The Notion of the "Closure of the Gates of Ijtihad"

By the tenth century CE, Islamic jurisprudence entered a phase characterized by increasing legal formalism and doctrinal rigidity. Many scholars began asserting that the major legal questions had already been resolved by authoritative earlier jurists and that subsequent scholars were expected to practise *taqlid*—strict adherence to established legal schools rather than independent reasoning.[19]

This development gave rise to the controversial notion of the "closure of the gates of *ijtihad*." Although modern historians debate whether such closure was ever formally declared or universally observed, there is substantial evidence that independent reasoning became increasingly restricted in practice. Several factors contributed to this transformation, including political instability and the decline of centralized authority; the institutionalization of the major schools of jurisprudence; fear of doctrinal fragmentation and heresy; and the gradual displacement of creative scholarship by commentary and repetition. The dominance of *taqlid* significantly reduced the flexibility of Islamic jurisprudence, with particularly severe consequences for areas of law governing gender relations and women's status.[20]

[16] Wael B. Hallaq, *A History of Islamic Legal Theories* 120–128 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
[17] Wael B. Hallaq, *An Introduction to Islamic Law* 52–54 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

[18] Wael B. Hallaq, *A History of Islamic Legal Theories* 135–140 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
[19] Joseph Schacht, *An Introduction to Islamic Law* 70–72 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1964).
[20] Leila Ahmed, *Women and Gender in Islam* 130–145 (Yale University Press, New Haven, 1992).

E. Revival of Ijtihad in the Modern Era

The modern revival of *ijtihad* began during the nineteenth century in response to colonialism, legal modernization, and intellectual stagnation in the Muslim world. Reformist thinkers argued that Islamic civilization had weakened precisely because it abandoned independent reasoning and became entrapped in rigid imitation. Prominent figures such as Jamal al-Din al-Afghani, Muhammad Abduh, Rashid Rida, and Sir Syed Ahmad Khan called for reopening the doors of *ijtihad* to address contemporary challenges.[21]

Muhammad Abduh, one of the most influential Islamic reformists, emphasized that Islam is fundamentally compatible with reason, science, and social progress. He argued that many legal doctrines reflected historical customs rather than eternal religious principles, and that reconsidering such doctrines through reasoned interpretation was both legitimate and necessary[22].

In the twentieth and twenty-first centuries, scholars including Fazlur Rahman, Abdullah Ahmed An-Naʿim, Khaled Abou El Fadl, Amina Wadud, and Jasser Auda further developed reformist approaches grounded in contextual interpretation and *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*. Contemporary reformist scholarship treats *ijtihad* as indispensable for addressing issues such as constitutional governance, democracy, bioethics, and women's human rights. Particularly in the domain of gender justice, the revival of *ijtihad* has enabled scholars to critically distinguish between divine ethical principles and historically conditioned patriarchal interpretations of Islamic law.[23]

IV. WOMEN'S RIGHTS IN ISLAM: NORMATIVE IDEALS AND JURISTIC REALITIES

The Qur'an introduced transformative reforms concerning women's status in seventh-century Arabia. Women were granted rights to inheritance, property ownership, consent in marriage, maintenance, and divorce—rights frequently unavailable in many contemporary societies. Scholarship widely acknowledges these reforms as historically progressive and contextually significant.[24]

The Qur'an repeatedly affirms spiritual equality between men and women:

"Indeed, the most noble of you in the sight of Allah is the most righteous of you." (Qur'an 49:13)

Similarly, Qur'anic verses affirm mutuality and compassion within marital relations:

[21] Charles C. Adams, *Islam and Modernism in Egypt* 10–20 (Oxford University Press, London, 1933).

[22] Fazlur Rahman, *Islam and Modernity* 45–55 (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1982).
[23] Amina Wadud, *Inside the Gender Jihad* 1–12 (Oneworld Publications, Oxford, 2006).
[24] Karen Armstrong, *Muhammad: A Prophet for Our Time* 185–190 (HarperCollins, New York, 2006).

"And among His signs is that He created for you spouses from among yourselves that you may find tranquility in them." (Qur'an 30:21)

Despite these foundational egalitarian principles, many classical juristic interpretations institutionalized male authority through specific legal rules. These included unequal divorce rights; male guardianship (*wilāyah*) in marriage; differentiated inheritance shares; restrictions on women's testimonial competence in commercial disputes; and limitations on women's political leadership.[25]

Contemporary scholars argue persuasively that these rules reflected specific historical socio-economic realities rather than eternal divine mandates. In seventh-century Arabian society, men bore primary economic responsibilities, and many of the differentiated rules functioned within a broader framework designed to protect women's material welfare. Reformist scholarship increasingly seeks to reinterpret these doctrines through contextual *ijtihad* and *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, situating them within their original purposes rather than treating them as context-free rules applicable irrespective of changed social conditions.[26]

V. INTERNATIONAL WOMEN'S HUMAN RIGHTS FRAMEWORK

The modern international women's rights framework emerged primarily following the adoption of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948). The subsequent adoption of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) in 1979 established the most comprehensive international treaty specifically addressing women's rights, often described as the international bill of rights for women.[27]

CEDAW obliges States Parties to eliminate discrimination against women across a broad spectrum of domains, including:[28]

- marriage and family relations;
- education and employment;
- political participation and public life;
- nationality and legal personhood;
- healthcare and reproductive rights.[29]

[25] Joseph Schacht, *An Introduction to Islamic Law* 121–130 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1964).
[26] Jasser Auda, *Maqasid al-Shariah as Philosophy of Islamic Law* 45–58 (International Institute of Islamic Thought, London, 2008).
[27] Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), adopted Dec. 18, 1979, 1249 U.N.T.S. 13; Rebecca J. Cook, *Human Rights of Women: National and International Perspectives* 3–10 (University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia, 1994).
[28] Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), arts. 1–16.

[29] Hilary Charlesworth & Christine Chinkin, *The Boundaries of International Law: A Feminist Analysis* 58–70 (Manchester University Press, Manchester, 2000).

Several Muslim-majority countries ratified CEDAW with reservations, particularly concerning provisions perceived to conflict with Islamic law. These reservations commonly relate to family law, inheritance, and marital equality provisions. The practice of entering reservations has generated substantial scholarly debate regarding the integrity of the treaty framework and the scope of permissible accommodation of religious legal norms within international human rights law.[30]

Scholars examining the relationship between Islamic law and CEDAW argue that many of the tensions arise from traditional juristic interpretations rather than from the essential objectives of Islam itself. Research increasingly emphasizes that *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*—particularly justice (*ʿadl*), welfare (*maslahah*), and human dignity (*karāmah*)—can be brought into meaningful dialogue with international human rights principles through methodological reform grounded in contextual *ijtihad*.[31]

VI. MAQĀṢID AL-SHARĪ'AH AND GENDER JUSTICE

The theory of *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* provides one of the most important frameworks for Islamic legal reform. Classical scholars, notably Al-Ghazali (d. 1111 CE) and Al-Shatibi (d. 1388 CE), identified the primary objectives of Islamic law as the protection of religion, life, intellect, lineage, and property. These essential aims were understood not as ends in themselves but as reflecting a deeper moral commitment to human flourishing and divine justice.[32]

Contemporary scholars have significantly expanded the articulation of these objectives to encompass human dignity, freedom, equality, and social justice. Jasser Auda's systems approach to *maqāṣid* is particularly notable, emphasizing flexibility, multidimensionality, and contextual interpretation as essential features of a living legal methodology. Applied to gender relations, this approach enables scholars to re-examine whether specific legal rules serve or undermine the overarching Islamic commitments to justice and human welfare.[33]

Abdullah Ahmed An-Naʿim similarly advocates a reformist approach that distinguishes between universal ethical principles embedded in Islamic tradition and historically conditioned legal rules that reflected the social structures of earlier eras. His work argues that Islamic law can be reconstructed through constitutionalism, human rights discourse, and contextual *ijtihad* without sacrificing its religious authenticity.[34]

Through *maqāṣid*-based reasoning, reformist scholars contend that the higher objectives of Islamic law inherently support substantive gender justice. Rules that perpetuate systemic disadvantage for women cannot,

[30] Abdullahi Ahmed An-Na'im, *Toward an Islamic Reformation: Civil Liberties, Human Rights and International Law* 165–180 (Syracuse University Press, New York, 1990).
[31] Jasser Auda, *Maqasid al-Shariah as Philosophy of Islamic Law* 45–58 (International Institute of Islamic Thought, London, 2008).
[32] Wael B. Hallaq, *Shari'a: Theory, Practice, Transformations* 318–325 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

[33] Amina Wadud, *Inside the Gender Jihad* 91–102 (Oneworld Publications, Oxford, 2006).
[34] Abdullahi Ahmed An-Na'im, *Toward an Islamic Reformation: Civil Liberties, Human Rights and International Law* 185–205 (Syracuse University Press, New York, 1990).

on this view, represent the authentic ethical vision of Islamic jurisprudence. Rather, they represent the contingent products of historical circumstances that no longer obtain.[35]

VII. CONTEMPORARY REFORMIST APPROACHES TO IJTIHAD

A. Fazlur Rahman and the Double Movement Theory

Fazlur Rahman proposed a "double movement" hermeneutic approach to Qur'anic interpretation. The first movement entails understanding specific Qur'anic verses and prophetic traditions within their precise historical and social context; the second movement extracts the broader moral and ethical principles from that contextualized understanding and applies them to contemporary society.[36]

Rahman argued that many gender-related rulings in classical jurisprudence reflected specific social conditions rather than eternal divine prescriptions. The underlying ethical principles justice, dignity, and human welfare—must therefore guide modern legal interpretation rather than the historically contingent rules that implemented those principles under different conditions. This methodology enables scholars to remain faithful to the spirit of Qur'anic ethics while reformulating specific legal rules to meet the demands of contemporary social reality.[37]

B. Amina Wadud and Gender-Inclusive Hermeneutics

Amina Wadud advocates a comprehensive gender-inclusive reading of the Qur'an that challenges the assumption of male interpretive authority. She critiques patriarchal exegesis for systematically marginalizing female perspectives and emphasizes the Qur'an's foundational ethical commitment to equality and mutuality between persons.[38]

Wadud's methodology relies upon contextual reading, linguistic analysis, and ethical interpretation to challenge discriminatory legal doctrines. She argues that the Qur'an must be read as a whole, with its overarching moral vision—the dignity and equality of all human beings before God—serving as the interpretive standard against which specific verses and rulings are assessed.

C. Khaled Abou El Fadl and the Ethics of Moral Authority

Khaled Abou El Fadl critiques authoritarian interpretations of Islamic law that monopolize religious authority and silence dissent. He argues that such interpretations fundamentally distort the Islamic tradition by

[35] Jasser Auda, *Maqasid al-Shariah as Philosophy of Islamic Law* 45–58 (International Institute of Islamic Thought, London, 2008).
[36] Fazlur Rahman, *Major Themes of the Qur'an* 7–10 (2nd ed., University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 2009).

[37] Ebrahim Moosa, "Introduction", in *Revival and Reform in Islam* 12–18 (Oneworld Publications, Oxford, 2000).
[38] Amina Wadud, *Inside the Gender Jihad* 91–98 (Oneworld Publications, Oxford, 2006).

substituting human will for divine guidance. Islamic jurisprudence must, on his account, prioritize mercy, justice, and moral beauty as its core ethical values.[39]

Abou El Fadl's scholarship demonstrates how misogynistic legal opinions emerged through interpretive processes that privileged particular cultural assumptions rather than the authentic moral vision of the Qur'an and Sunnah. Reform, on this view, requires recovering the authentic ethical commitments of the Islamic tradition rather than imposing external values.

D. Abdullah Ahmed An-Na'im and Human Rights

An-Naʿim argues that modern constitutionalism and international human rights can coexist authentically with Islam through reinterpretation and internal reform. Drawing upon the work of Mahmoud Mohamed Taha, he develops a methodology for Islamic reform that distinguishes between the Meccan and Medinan phases of revelation, arguing that the universalist ethical principles of the Meccan period should serve as the primary normative foundation for contemporary Islamic law.[40]

His scholarship demonstrates concretely how contextual *ijtihad* may reconcile Islamic law with CEDAW and women's rights discourse, not by subordinating Islam to external norms, but by recovering the universal ethical commitments embedded within the Islamic tradition itself.

VIII. AREAS WHERE IJTIHAD CAN ADVANCE WOMEN'S RIGHTS

A. Marriage and Guardianship

Classical jurisprudence frequently treats women as dependent upon male guardians (*wali*) in the formation of marriage contracts. Reformist scholars argue, however, that the Qur'an's emphasis on consent, partnership, and mutual dignity in marriage supports a reinterpretation of guardianship that recognizes women's legal autonomy as adults capable of exercising independent legal agency. Modern *ijtihad* can therefore reframe guardianship from an institution of hierarchical control to one of familial support and social facilitation, without compromising the legitimate protective functions it may serve.[41]

B. Divorce

Traditional jurisprudence grants unilateral divorce power (*talāq*) primarily to men, while women's access to divorce through *khulʿ* typically requires relinquishment of financial entitlements. Contemporary scholars

[39] Khaled Abou El Fadl, *The Great Theft: Wrestling Islam from the Extremists* 80–96 (HarperCollins, New York, 2005).

[40] Abdullahi Ahmed An-Na'im, *Toward an Islamic Reformation: Civil Liberties, Human Rights and International Law* 49–65 (Syracuse University Press, New York, 1990).

[41] Asma Barlas, *Believing Women in Islam: Unreading Patriarchal Interpretations of the Qur'an* 185–198 (University of Texas Press, Austin, 2002).

argue that Qur'anic principles of mutuality and justice support substantive equivalence in divorce rights. The successful family law reforms implemented in Morocco and Tunisia demonstrate concretely that gender-equitable divorce mechanisms can be achieved through *ijtihad* grounded in *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* and widely accepted by Muslim communities.[42]

C. Inheritance

Inheritance law remains one of the most contested areas of Islamic family law. Classical inheritance shares assigned to women in certain categories reflect a comprehensive economic structure in which men bore primary financial obligations toward dependants. Reformist scholars note that where socio-economic conditions have fundamentally transformed with women increasingly sharing or assuming primary financial responsibilities contextual *ijtihad* may justify reinterpretation of specific shares consistent with the overarching objectives of justice and welfare that motivated the original Qur'anic provisions.[43]

D. Testimony and Evidentiary Competence

Classical rules regarding women's testimonial competence in commercial and certain criminal matters emerged within historical contexts characterized by limited female participation in public economic life. Contemporary reformists argue that the dramatic educational and social transformations of recent centuries fundamentally invalidate the empirical assumptions underlying such distinctions. The Qur'an's own provision of the two-witness rule in commercial contexts (Qur'an 2:282) was itself understood by early commentators as a practical measure rather than a theological assertion of women's lesser credibility, making reform through contextual *ijtihad* both historically grounded and jurisprudentially sound.

IX. CHALLENGES TO REFORM THROUGH IJTIHAD

Despite its transformative potential, *ijtihad* as a vehicle for gender justice faces significant institutional and political obstacles that must be candidly assessed.

A. Conservative Institutional Resistance

Many traditional scholars view reformist interpretations as threats to religious authenticity and institutional authority. The historical sacralization of medieval jurisprudence has created deep resistance to reinterpretation, with reformist scholars frequently subjected to accusations of heresy or capitulation to Western cultural

[42] Ziba Mir-Hosseini, *Marriage on Trial: Islamic Family Law in Iran and Morocco* 102–118 (I.B. Tauris, London, 2000); Lynn Welchman, *Women and Muslim Family Laws in Arab States* 33–48 (Amsterdam University Press, Amsterdam, 2007).

[43] Jasser Auda, *Maqasid al-Shariah as Philosophy of Islamic Law* 45–58 (International Institute of Islamic Thought, London, 2008).

imperialism.[44] This resistance is particularly pronounced within formal religious establishments that derive institutional authority from custodianship of the classical tradition.

B. Political Instrumentalization of Religious Law

In numerous states, religious law is deployed politically to reinforce patriarchal power structures and resist liberal reforms. State actors may instrumentalize religious authority to delegitimize reformist scholarship, preventing it from gaining the institutional recognition necessary for effective legal reform. This entanglement of religious authority with political power constitutes a significant structural obstacle to the development of progressive jurisprudence.

C. Colonial Legacy and Epistemological Suspicion

Debates surrounding Islamic reform are frequently complicated by the legacy of colonialism and the associated perception that international human rights discourse represents Western cultural imperialism rather than universal values.[45] This suspicion can render progressive reform proposals vulnerable to delegitimization as collaborationist or culturally submissive, even when those proposals emerge authentically from within the Islamic scholarly tradition itself. Reformists must navigate this epistemological challenge by consistently grounding their arguments in Islamic sources and methodologies rather than external norms.

D. Lack of Institutional Recognition

Independent reformist scholarship frequently lacks institutional recognition within traditional religious establishments and state religious bureaucracies. Without institutional support, reformist interpretations—however jurisprudentially sophisticated—may remain confined to academic discourse without achieving the practical legal reform they propose. Building institutional pathways for progressive *ijtihad* therefore constitutes a strategic priority alongside scholarly development.

X. COMPARATIVE EXPERIENCES OF REFORM IN MUSLIM-MAJORITY STATES

Several Muslim-majority countries have successfully utilized *ijtihad* and *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* to reform family laws in ways that advance women's rights while retaining Islamic legitimacy.[46] These experiences demonstrate concretely that the potential of *ijtihad* for gender reform is neither merely theoretical nor culturally specific.

[44] Fatima Mernissi, *Islam and Democracy: Fear of the Modern World* 130–145 (Perseus Books, Cambridge, 1992).
[45] Talal Asad, *Formations of the Secular: Christianity, Islam, Modernity* 191–205 (Stanford University Press, Stanford, 2003).

[46] Mashood A. Baderin, *International Human Rights and Islamic Law* 125–145 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003).

A. Morocco

The 2004 Moroccan family law reforms (*Moudawana*) represent perhaps the most frequently cited example of successful *ijtihad*-based reform in the area of gender justice. The reforms significantly expanded women's rights in marriage, divorce, child custody, and personal autonomy.[47] Crucially, the reform process explicitly invoked *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* and principles of justice as its jurisprudential foundation, enabling it to achieve broad legitimacy within Moroccan society and among the religious establishment.

B. Tunisia

Tunisia has implemented the most comprehensive family law reforms of any Muslim-majority country, including the abolition of polygamy and the establishment of substantive equality between men and women in marriage and divorce proceedings. Tunisian reformers grounded these changes in an interpretation of Islamic law emphasizing the prohibition of harm (*la darar wa la dirar*) and the overarching objectives of justice and public welfare. The Tunisian experience demonstrates that fundamental reform can be achieved through internal Islamic legal reasoning without wholesale secularization.[48]

C. Indonesia

Indonesian Islamic scholarship, particularly through institutions such as Nahdlatul Ulama and Muhammadiyah, has developed a distinctive tradition of contextual jurisprudence that integrates human rights principles and gender-sensitive interpretation into Islamic legal discourse. Contemporary Indonesian scholarship demonstrates sophisticated engagement with *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* and women's rights frameworks, contributing important methodological resources to the global reformist project.

These national examples collectively illustrate that Islamic legal reform through *ijtihad* is neither unprecedented nor incompatible with religious legitimacy. They provide important precedents and models for jurisdictions where reform remains at an earlier stage.

[47] Lynn Welchman, *Women and Muslim Family Laws in Arab States* 33–48 (Amsterdam University Press, Amsterdam, 2007).
[48] Ann Elizabeth Mayer, *Islam and Human Rights: Tradition and Politics* 98–112 (5th ed., Westview Press, Boulder, 2018).

XI. IJTIHAD AS A NORMATIVE BRIDGE BETWEEN ISLAMIC LAW AND HUMAN RIGHTS

The central argument of this paper is that *ijtihad* serves as a normative bridge rather than a rupture between Islamic jurisprudence and international women's human rights. Three foundational propositions support this conclusion.

First, Islamic jurisprudence is historically dynamic rather than static. The rich history of *ijtihad* demonstrates that Islamic law has always possessed the internal resources for contextual adaptation. The decline of independent reasoning during certain historical periods was a contingent historical development, not an intrinsic characteristic of the tradition.[49]

Second, the objectives of Islamic law prioritize justice, dignity, and human welfare values that are genuinely convergent with, rather than opposed to, international human rights norms. The convergence between *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah* and human rights principles is not superficial but reflects a deep structural affinity between the Islamic legal tradition's ethical vision and the aspirations of international human rights law.[50]

Third, human rights principles can and should emerge from internal Islamic methodologies rather than through external imposition. Reform that is grounded in authentic Islamic sources and reasoning possesses a legitimacy that externally imposed reform necessarily lacks. *Ijtihad* provides precisely the internal methodology through which such authentic reform can be developed and implemented.

The bridge metaphor is particularly apt because reform through *ijtihad* does not require abandoning the Islamic tradition. It does not demand that Muslim communities choose between their faith and universal values. Rather, it revitalizes the tradition's own interpretive mechanisms to fulfil its foundational ethical commitments under contemporary conditions. This approach is simultaneously respectful of Islamic authenticity and responsive to the imperatives of gender justice and human dignity.[51]

[49] Wael B. Hallaq, "Was the Gate of Ijtihad Closed?", 16 Int'l J. Middle East Stud. 3, 4–10 (1984).
[50] Mashood A. Baderin, *International Human Rights and Islamic Law* 31–45 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003).

[51] Amina Wadud, *Inside the Gender Jihad* 91–102 (Oneworld Publications, Oxford, 2006).

XII. CONCLUSION

The relationship between Islamic law and international women's human rights is neither inherently antagonistic nor irreconcilable. The substantial body of scholarship examined in this paper demonstrates that much of the perceived conflict between them arises from historically conditioned patriarchal interpretations embedded within classical jurisprudence rather than from the core ethical teachings of Islam itself.

Ijtihad, as a foundational mechanism of Islamic legal reasoning, provides a legitimate and authentically Islamic pathway for reform. By employing contextual interpretation, *maqāṣid al-sharīʿah*, and ethical reasoning, contemporary Muslim scholars can reinterpret legal doctrines in ways that uphold justice, dignity, equality, and human welfare. The contributions of scholars such as An-Naʿim, Wadud, Rahman, Abou El Fadl, and Auda, together with the practical experiences of reform in Morocco, Tunisia, and Indonesia, demonstrate that this project is both jurisprudentially rigorous and practically achievable.

The revival of *ijtihad* is therefore essential not only for the specific agenda of women's rights but for the broader renewal of Islamic jurisprudence as a living, morally serious, and socially responsive legal tradition in the modern world. Rather than viewing international women's human rights as external threats to Islamic authenticity, Islamic legal reform can engage constructively with universal human rights norms through its own internal jurisprudential methodologies.

Ultimately, *ijtihad* possesses the genuine potential to transform Islamic law into a dynamic legal tradition capable of addressing contemporary gender justice imperatives while preserving its religious legitimacy and cultural integrity. The gates of *ijtihad* were never truly closed; they require only the scholarly courage and institutional will to open them once more.

REFERENCES

- Abdullahi Ahmed An-Na'im, *Islam and the Secular State* (Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 2008).
- Abdullahi Ahmed An-Na'im, *Toward an Islamic Reformation: Civil Liberties, Human Rights and International Law* (Syracuse University Press, New York, 1990).
- Abu Hamid al-Ghazali, *Al-Mustasfa min 'Ilm al-Usul* Vol. I (Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah, Beirut, 1993).
- Abu Ishaq al-Shatibi, *Al-Muwafaqat fi Usul al-Shari'ah* Vol. II (Dar Ibn Affan, Cairo, 1997).
- Abu Yusuf, *Kitab al-Kharaj* (Dar al-Ma'rifah, Beirut, 1979).
- Abu Zahrah, *Usul al-Fiqh* (Dar al-Fikr al-'Arabi, Cairo, 1958).
- Albert Hourani, *Arabic Thought in the Liberal Age* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983).
- Amina Wadud, *Inside the Gender Jihad* (Oneworld Publications, Oxford, 2006).
- Amina Wadud, *Qur'an and Woman: Rereading the Sacred Text from a Woman's Perspective* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1999).

- Ann Elizabeth Mayer, *Islam and Human Rights: Tradition and Politics* 5th ed. (Westview Press, Boulder, 2018).
- Asma Barlas, *Believing Women in Islam: Unreading Patriarchal Interpretations of the Qur'an* (University of Texas Press, Austin, 2002).
- Bernard G. Weiss, *The Spirit of Islamic Law* (University of Georgia Press, Athens, 1998).
- Charles C. Adams, *Islam and Modernism in Egypt* (Oxford University Press, London, 1933).
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), adopted Dec. 18, 1979, 1249 U.N.T.S. 13.
- Ebrahim Moosa, "Introduction", in *Revival and Reform in Islam* (Oneworld Publications, Oxford, 2000).
- Fatima Mernissi, *Beyond the Veil: Male-Female Dynamics in Modern Muslim Society* (Saqi Books, London, 1985).
- Fatima Mernissi, *Islam and Democracy: Fear of the Modern World* (Perseus Books, Cambridge, 1992).
- Fazlur Rahman, *Islam* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1979).
- Fazlur Rahman, *Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual Tradition* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1982).
- Fazlur Rahman, *Major Themes of the Qur'an* 2nd ed. (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 2009).
- Hilary Charlesworth & Christine Chinkin, *The Boundaries of International Law: A Feminist Analysis* (Manchester University Press, Manchester, 2000).
- Imam al-Shafiʿi, *Al-Risalah* (Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah, Beirut, 1979).
- Jasser Auda, *Maqasid al-Shariah as Philosophy of Islamic Law: A Systems Approach* (International Institute of Islamic Thought, London, 2008).
- John L. Esposito & Natana J. DeLong-Bas, *Women in Muslim Family Law* 4th ed. (Syracuse University Press, New York, 2022).
- Joseph Schacht, *An Introduction to Islamic Law* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1964).
- Karen Armstrong, *Muhammad: A Prophet for Our Time* (HarperCollins, New York, 2006).
- Khaled Abou El Fadl, *Speaking in God's Name: Islamic Law, Authority and Women* (Oneworld Publications, Oxford, 2001).
- Khaled Abou El Fadl, *The Great Theft: Wrestling Islam from the Extremists* (HarperCollins, New York, 2005).
- Leila Ahmed, *Women and Gender in Islam* (Yale University Press, New Haven, 1992).
- Lynn Welchman, *Women and Muslim Family Laws in Arab States* (Amsterdam University Press, Amsterdam, 2007).
- Mark E. Cammack, Lawrence A. Young & Tim B. Heaton, "Legislating Social Change in an Islamic Society—Indonesia's Marriage Law", 44 *American Journal of Comparative Law* 45 (1996).

- Mashood A. Baderin, *International Human Rights and Islamic Law* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003).
- Mohammad Hashim Kamali, *Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence* 3rd ed. (Islamic Texts Society, Cambridge, 2003).
- Mohammad Hashim Kamali, *Shari'ah Law: An Introduction* (Oneworld Publications, Oxford, 2008).
- Mohammad Hashim Kamali, *The Objectives of Shari'ah* (Islamic Texts Society, Cambridge, 2008).
- Mounira M. Charrad, *States and Women's Rights: The Making of Postcolonial Tunisia, Algeria, and Morocco* (University of California Press, Berkeley, 2001).
- Muhammad Abduh, *Risalat al-Tawhid* (Dar al-Shuruq, Cairo, 1966).
- Noel J. Coulson, *A History of Islamic Law* (Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 1964).
- Quran 2:228.
- Quran 2:282.
- Quran 30:21.
- Quran 49:13.
- Rebecca J. Cook, *Human Rights of Women: National and International Perspectives* (University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia, 1994).
- Robert W. Hefner, *Civil Islam: Muslims and Democratization in Indonesia* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2000).
- Saba Mahmood, *Politics of Piety: The Islamic Revival and the Feminist Subject* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2005).
- Sherman A. Jackson, *Islamic Law and the State* (Brill, Leiden, 1996).
- Sunan Abu Dawud, Hadith No. 3592.
- Talal Asad, *Formations of the Secular: Christianity, Islam, Modernity* (Stanford University Press, Stanford, 2003).
- Tariq Ramadan, *Radical Reform: Islamic Ethics and Liberation* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2009).
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted Dec. 10, 1948, G.A. Res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc. A/810.
- Wael B. Hallaq, *A History of Islamic Legal Theories* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- Wael B. Hallaq, *An Introduction to Islamic Law* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).
- Wael B. Hallaq, *Authority, Continuity and Change in Islamic Law* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001).
- Wael B. Hallaq, *Shari'a: Theory, Practice, Transformations* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).
- Wael B. Hallaq, "Was the Gate of Ijtihad Closed?", 16 *International Journal of Middle East Studies* 3 (1984).
- Yusuf al-Qaradawi, *Fiqh al-Zakah* Vol. I (Dar al-Taqwa, Cairo, 1999).

- Ziba Mir-Hosseini, *Marriage on Trial: Islamic Family Law in Iran and Morocco* (I.B. Tauris, London, 2000).
- Ziba Mir-Hosseini, "How the Door of Ijtihad Was Opened and Closed: A Comparative Analysis of Recent Family Law Reforms in Iran and Morocco", 64 *Washington and Lee Law Review* 1499 (2007).