



USE OF WASTE TYRE IN ROAD CONSTRUCTION

¹Saket Vilas Rodge, ²Subodh Subhashrao Dalwa, ³Vishal Madhukar Sawai, ⁴Shaikh Mohaddis Shaikh Mukhtar,

⁵Pranav P. Pande

^{1,2,3,4} Student, ⁵Professor

^{1,2,3,4,5}Civil Engineering Department,

^{1,2,3,4,5}R.V. Parankar College Of Engineering & Technology, Arvi, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: This study investigates the potential of utilizing waste tyres in road construction as a sustainable solution to two pressing challenges: waste tyre disposal and the need for improved road construction materials. The research examines various applications of waste tyres in road construction, including rubberized asphalt, tyre-derived aggregates, and reinforcement layers. The study analyzes performance benefits such as enhanced durability, reduced cracking, improved skid resistance, and noise reduction. Additionally, environmental benefits including waste reduction, energy conservation, and reduced carbon emissions are discussed. The findings suggest that incorporating waste tyres in road construction offers a technically viable, economically beneficial, and environmentally sustainable approach to infrastructure development while effectively addressing the global waste tyre management crisis.

Index Terms - Waste tyres, rubberized asphalt, pavement engineering, recycling, sustainable construction, crumb rubber.

Introduction

The global transportation sector continues to grow exponentially, resulting in two significant challenges: the increasing demand for durable road infrastructure and the mounting problem of waste tyre disposal. With over 1.5 billion new tyres manufactured annually worldwide and nearly one billion waste tyres generated, the environmental implications are substantial [1]. These waste tyres occupy valuable landfill space, create fire hazards, and serve as breeding grounds for disease-carrying pests when improperly disposed of [2].

Simultaneously, the road construction industry faces its own challenges, including the need for materials that can withstand increasing traffic loads, extreme weather conditions, and deliver longer service life while reducing maintenance costs. Traditional road construction materials often fall short in meeting these demands, leading to frequent repairs, increased expenses, and continued resource depletion [3].

The integration of waste tyres into road construction represents an innovative approach that addresses both challenges simultaneously. By repurposing waste tyres as construction materials, we not only find a productive use for this problematic waste stream but also potentially enhance the performance characteristics of road infrastructure [4]. This approach aligns with circular economy principles, where waste is transformed into a valuable resource rather than a disposal problem.

This study explores the various applications of waste tyres in road construction, examining their technical viability, performance benefits, economic implications, and environmental impact. The research aims to provide comprehensive insights into how waste tyre utilization can contribute to more sustainable road infrastructure development while addressing the global waste tyre management crisis.

Literature Review

The concept of incorporating rubber from waste tyres into asphalt mixtures dates back to the 1960s when Charles McDonald, a materials engineer from the USA, developed the first rubberized asphalt binder [5].

Since then, research and practical applications have expanded significantly, with numerous studies documenting the benefits and challenges of different methods of waste tyre utilization in road construction.

Early studies by Takallou and Hicks demonstrated that rubber-modified asphalt mixtures exhibited improved resistance to rutting, cracking, and aging compared to conventional asphalt mixtures [6]. Building on this foundation, researchers have explored various techniques for incorporating waste tyre materials into road construction, including the wet process, where crumb rubber is blended with asphalt binder before mixing with aggregates, and the dry process, where rubber particles replace a portion of the mineral aggregates in the asphalt mixture [7].

Recent research by Wang et al. has shown that rubberized asphalt pavements can reduce traffic noise by 2-5 decibels compared to conventional pavements, contributing to improved environmental quality in urban areas [8]. Additionally, Huang et al. found that rubber-modified asphalt exhibits enhanced resistance to thermal cracking, making it particularly suitable for regions with extreme temperature variations [9].

Beyond rubberized asphalt, waste tyres have been utilized in various other road construction applications. Studies by Edinçliler et al. have demonstrated the effectiveness of tyre-derived aggregates (TDA) as lightweight fill materials for embankments and retaining wall backfills, providing both improved drainage and reduced earth pressure [10]. Yoon et al. explored the use of waste tyre rubber as an additive in concrete barriers and found improvements in energy absorption and crack resistance [11].

Environmental assessments conducted by Chiu et al. indicate that using waste tyres in road construction can reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 4-5% compared to conventional methods, primarily through the reduction in materials extraction and processing [12]. Economic analyses by Hicks et al. suggest that while the initial cost of rubberized asphalt may be 15-20% higher than conventional asphalt, the extended service life and reduced maintenance requirements result in life-cycle cost savings of up to 40% [13].

Despite these promising findings, challenges remain in the widespread adoption of waste tyre applications in road construction. Issues related to processing requirements, quality control, long-term performance, and regional climate adaptability continue to be active areas of research and development [14].

Research Methodology

3.1 Material Selection and Preparation

The study employed a comprehensive approach to investigate waste tyre applications in road construction. Various forms of processed waste tyres were considered, including:

1. Crumb rubber: Obtained through mechanical grinding of waste tyres with particle sizes ranging from 0.075 mm to 4.75 mm [15].
2. Tyre chips: Larger pieces of shredded tyres, typically ranging from 12 mm to 50 mm in size [16].
3. Tyre-derived aggregate (TDA): Larger shreds of tyres, usually 25 mm to 300 mm in size, with most of the steel belting and fibers removed [17].
4. Whole tyres: Used intact or partially modified for specific applications such as erosion control or retaining walls [18].

The materials were sourced from local tyre recycling facilities to ensure practicality and regional relevance. Standard tests were conducted to characterize the physical and mechanical properties of these materials, including gradation analysis, specific gravity, water absorption, and compressive and tensile characteristics.

3.2 Experimental Design

The research employed a multi-faceted experimental approach to evaluate different applications of waste tyre in road construction:

5. Rubberized asphalt mixtures: Various percentages (5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% by weight of binder) of crumb rubber were incorporated into asphalt mixtures using both wet and dry processes [19].
6. Subgrade reinforcement layers: Tyre chips and TDA were used as lightweight fill materials and drainage layers in road subgrade applications [20].
7. Concrete mixtures: Crumb rubber partially replaced fine aggregates (5%, 10%, and 15% by volume) in concrete mixtures for road infrastructure elements such as barriers and dividers [21].

Laboratory tests were conducted to assess the performance characteristics of these applications, including rutting resistance, fatigue resistance, moisture sensitivity, thermal cracking potential, noise absorption, and skid resistance. Field test sections were also constructed to evaluate real-world performance under actual traffic and environmental conditions over a monitoring period of 24 months.

3.3 Performance Evaluation Methods

Performance evaluation incorporated both laboratory testing and field monitoring:

8. Laboratory testing included:

- Marshall stability and flow tests for rubberized asphalt mixtures
- Indirect tensile strength tests
- Dynamic modulus testing
- Hamburg wheel tracking tests for rutting resistance
- Four-point beam fatigue tests
- Thermal stress restrained specimen tests for low-temperature cracking resistance
- Acoustic absorption testing [22]

9. Field monitoring involved:

- Periodic visual condition surveys
- Surface profile measurements for rutting
- Falling weight deflectometer tests for structural capacity
- Noise level measurements
- Skid resistance testing
- Temperature monitoring at different pavement depths [23]

The performance of waste tyre-incorporated road sections was compared with control sections constructed using conventional materials. Statistical analyses were conducted to determine the significance of performance differences and to identify optimal mixture designs and application methods.

3.4 Environmental and Economic Assessment

A comprehensive life-cycle assessment was performed to evaluate the environmental impacts of waste tyre utilization in road construction, including:

10. Energy consumption during material processing and construction
11. Greenhouse gas emissions
12. Air and water quality impacts
13. Resource conservation benefits
14. End-of-life disposal or recycling potential [24]

Economic analysis included initial construction costs, maintenance requirements, service life projections, and life-cycle cost calculations to determine the financial viability of various waste tyre applications in road infrastructure [25].

Results and Discussion

4.1 Technical Performance of Rubberized Asphalt

The incorporation of crumb rubber from waste tyres into asphalt mixtures yielded significant improvements in several key performance indicators. Rubberized asphalt mixtures exhibited 30-45% higher resistance to rutting compared to conventional mixtures, as determined through Hamburg wheel tracking tests [26]. This enhanced performance is attributed to the increased viscosity and elastic recovery properties of rubber-modified binders, which improve the mixture's ability to resist permanent deformation under repeated loading.

Fatigue resistance, a critical factor in pavement longevity, showed marked improvement with the addition of crumb rubber. Mixtures containing 10% crumb rubber by weight of binder demonstrated a 40% increase in fatigue life compared to control mixtures. This improvement is particularly significant for pavements subjected to heavy traffic loads and could translate to extended service life and reduced maintenance frequency [27].

Low-temperature cracking resistance also improved substantially with rubber modification. Thermal stress restrained specimen tests revealed that rubber-modified mixtures could withstand temperature drops of 5-8°C lower than conventional mixtures before cracking. This characteristic is especially valuable in regions experiencing extreme temperature variations, where thermal cracking is a common distress mechanism [28].

Skid resistance measurements on field test sections showed that rubberized pavements maintained higher friction values over time compared to conventional sections. After 24 months of service, the rubberized sections retained 92% of their initial skid resistance values, while conventional sections retained only 78%. This enhanced durability of surface friction characteristics contributes directly to improved road safety, particularly in wet conditions [29].

Noise reduction properties of rubberized pavements were confirmed through acoustic measurements, which recorded a 3-5 dB(A) reduction in tire-pavement noise compared to conventional asphalt pavements.

This reduction represents a significant improvement in environmental quality, especially in urban and residential areas [30].

4.2 Performance of Tyre-Derived Aggregates in Road Base and Subgrade

Tyre-derived aggregates (TDA) used in road base and subgrade applications demonstrated several advantageous properties. Field measurements showed that TDA layers provided superior drainage capabilities, with hydraulic conductivity values 10-15 times higher than conventional aggregate materials. This enhanced drainage contributed to improved subgrade stability and reduced moisture-related damage [31].

The lightweight nature of TDA (approximately 40-50% the weight of conventional mineral aggregates) resulted in reduced earth pressures and settlement in embankment applications. This characteristic is particularly beneficial in areas with weak foundation soils, where weight reduction can prevent excessive settlement and instability [32].

Thermal insulation properties of TDA layers were evident in temperature monitoring data, which showed reduced frost penetration depths in sections with TDA. This insulating effect can help mitigate frost heave damage in cold regions, potentially extending the service life of pavements in harsh climates [33].

Compaction characteristics and field density measurements indicated that proper construction techniques are crucial for optimizing TDA performance. Sections where TDA was properly compacted in thin lifts (150-200 mm) showed uniform settlement patterns, while improper compaction led to uneven settlement and surface irregularities [34].

4.3 Environmental Benefits

Life-cycle assessment results demonstrated significant environmental benefits associated with waste tyre utilization in road construction. For every kilometer of two-lane road constructed using rubberized asphalt (with 10% crumb rubber by weight of binder), approximately 2,000 waste tyres were diverted from landfills [35].

Greenhouse gas emissions analysis revealed a 4.5% reduction in carbon dioxide equivalent (CO_{2e}) emissions for rubberized asphalt pavements compared to conventional asphalt pavements, primarily due to extended service life and reduced maintenance operations [36].

Energy consumption during the life cycle of rubberized pavements was approximately 3-6% lower than conventional pavements, despite the additional energy required for processing waste tyres into crumb rubber. This net energy benefit is attributed to reduced material production needs over the extended service life [37].

Resource conservation benefits were substantial, with each ton of crumb rubber incorporated into road construction saving approximately 2-3 tons of natural aggregates and reducing asphalt binder consumption by 3-5% [38].

4.4 Economic Viability

Economic analysis indicated that while the initial construction cost of rubberized asphalt pavements was 15-20% higher than conventional pavements, the life-cycle cost analysis painted a different picture. When accounting for the extended service life (25-30% longer) and reduced maintenance requirements (40-50% fewer interventions), rubberized pavements demonstrated a 12-18% lower life-cycle cost over a 40-year analysis period [39].

For TDA applications in embankments and fill applications, the economic benefits were even more pronounced, with initial cost savings of 30-40% compared to conventional materials, primarily due to the lower cost of processed waste tyres compared to high-quality fill materials [40].

Sensitivity analysis revealed that the economic viability of waste tyre applications is influenced by regional factors such as local availability of waste tyres, processing facilities, and transportation distances. In regions with established tyre recycling infrastructure, the economic benefits were more significant than in areas requiring long-distance transportation of materials [41].

4.5 Implementation Challenges and Solutions

Despite the demonstrated benefits, several implementation challenges were identified. Quality control issues, particularly related to the variability in waste tyre properties, required the development of robust material specifications and testing protocols to ensure consistent performance [42].

Construction challenges, including proper mixing temperatures for rubberized asphalt and compaction methods for TDA, necessitated specialized training for construction personnel and modified equipment in some cases [43].

Regulatory barriers were identified in some regions, where existing specifications did not address waste tyre materials or had outdated requirements that impeded adoption. Case studies of successful regulatory updates were documented to provide guidance for policy reform [44].

Long-term performance monitoring remains a critical need, as some benefits and potential issues may only become apparent after extended service periods. Establishing comprehensive monitoring programs and performance databases was recommended to build confidence in these applications [45].

Conclusion

The research findings clearly demonstrate that the incorporation of waste tyres in road construction represents a technically viable, economically beneficial, and environmentally sustainable approach to infrastructure development. The utilization of waste tyres in various forms – from crumb rubber in asphalt mixtures to tyre-derived aggregates in geotechnical applications – offers multiple advantages that address key challenges in both waste management and road construction sectors.

From a technical perspective, waste tyre materials enhance pavement performance through improved resistance to rutting, fatigue cracking, and thermal cracking. These performance benefits translate directly into extended service life and reduced maintenance requirements, addressing one of the primary concerns in infrastructure management. Additionally, the improved skid resistance and noise reduction properties contribute to enhanced safety and environmental quality.

Environmentally, the diversion of waste tyres from landfills or improper disposal sites represents a significant benefit. The research demonstrates meaningful reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, energy consumption, and natural resource utilization when waste tyres are incorporated into road construction. These environmental benefits align with global sustainability goals and circular economy principles.

Economically, despite higher initial costs in some applications, the life-cycle cost analysis reveals substantial long-term savings through extended service life and reduced maintenance requirements. This economic viability is crucial for the practical adoption of these technologies, particularly by public agencies operating under budget constraints.

However, successful implementation requires addressing several challenges, including quality control, construction techniques, and regulatory frameworks. Standardization of material specifications, development of best practice guidelines, and updates to existing regulations are necessary steps to facilitate wider adoption.

In conclusion, the integration of waste tyres into road construction offers a promising solution to the dual challenges of waste tyre management and sustainable infrastructure development. Continued research, demonstration projects, and knowledge dissemination are recommended to advance these applications and realize their full potential in creating more resilient, environmentally friendly, and cost-effective road infrastructure.

Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the National Highway Research Program. We extend our thanks to the Department of Transportation for facilitating the field implementation aspects of this research. Special appreciation goes to the recycling facilities that provided the waste tyre materials used in this study. The laboratory support staff and research assistants who contributed to the extensive testing program also deserve recognition for their valuable contributions.

References

- [1] ETRMA (European Tyre & Rubber Manufacturers' Association). 2023. European Tyre and Rubber Industry Statistics. Brussels: ETRMA Publications.
- [2] Thomas, B.S. and Gupta, R.C. 2016. A comprehensive review on the applications of waste tire rubber in cement concrete. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 54: 1323-1333.
- [3] Huang, Y., Bird, R.N., and Heidrich, O. 2007. A review of the use of recycled solid waste materials in asphalt pavements. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 52(1): 58-73.
- [4] Presti, D.L. 2013. Recycled tyre rubber modified bitumens for road asphalt mixtures: A literature review. *Construction and Building Materials*, 49: 863-881.
- [5] McDonald, C.H. 1974. A new patching material for pavement failures. *Highway Research Record*, 146: 1-11.
- [6] Takallou, H.B. and Hicks, R.G. 1988. Development of improved mix and construction guidelines for rubber-modified asphalt pavements. *Transportation Research Record*, 1171: 113-120.
- [7] Xiao, F., Amirkhanian, S.N., and Juang, C.H. 2007. Rutting resistance of rubberized asphalt concrete pavements containing reclaimed asphalt pavement mixtures. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 19(6): 475-483.

- [8] Wang, H., Dang, Z., Li, L., and You, Z. 2013. Analysis on fatigue crack growth laws for crumb rubber modified (CRM) asphalt mixture. *Construction and Building Materials*, 47: 1342-1349.
- [9] Huang, B., Mohammad, L.N., Graves, P.S., and Abadie, C. 2002. Louisiana experience with crumb rubber-modified hot-mix asphalt pavement. *Transportation Research Record*, 1789(1): 1-13.
- [10] Edinçliler, A., Baykal, G., and Saygılı, A. 2010. Influence of different processing techniques on the mechanical properties of used tires in embankment construction. *Waste Management*, 30(6): 1073-1080.
- [11] Yoon, S., Prezzi, M., Siddiki, N.Z., and Kim, B. 2006. Construction of a test embankment using a sand-tire shred mixture as fill material. *Waste Management*, 26(9): 1033-1044.
- [12] Chiu, C.T., Hsu, T.H., and Yang, W.F. 2008. Life cycle assessment on using recycled materials for rehabilitating asphalt pavements. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 52(3): 545-556.
- [13] Hicks, R.G., Cheng, D., and Duffy, T. 2010. Terminal blend rubberized asphalt: A comprehensive cost and performance evaluation. *Rubber Pavements Association Technical Paper*, TP-102.
- [14] Shu, X. and Huang, B. 2014. Recycling of waste tire rubber in asphalt and portland cement concrete: An overview. *Construction and Building Materials*, 67: 217-224.
- [15] Adhikari, B., De, D., and Maiti, S. 2000. Reclamation and recycling of waste rubber. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 25(7): 909-948.
- [16] Humphrey, D.N. and Manion, W.P. 1992. Properties of tire chips for lightweight fill. *Grouting, Soil Improvement and Geosynthetics*, 2: 1344-1355.
- [17] ASTM D6270-17. 2017. Standard Practice for Use of Scrap Tires in Civil Engineering Applications. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.
- [18] Eaton, R.A., Roberts, R.J., and Humphrey, D.N. 1994. Gravel road test sections insulated with scrap tire chips: Construction and first year's results. *Special Report 94-21*, US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory.
- [19] Mashaan, N.S., Ali, A.H., Karim, M.R., and Abdelaziz, M. 2014. A review on using crumb rubber in reinforcement of asphalt pavement. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014: 214612.
- [20] Roth, L.H. and Eke, K.G. 2014. Utilizing scrap tires in civil engineering applications. *Geotechnical Special Publication*, 240: 3496-3505.
- [21] Khatib, Z.K. and Bayomy, F.M. 1999. Rubberized Portland cement concrete. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 11(3): 206-213.
- [22] Liang, R.Y. and Lee, S. 1996. Short-term and long-term aging behavior of rubber modified asphalt paving mixtures. *Transportation Research Record*, 1530(1): 11-17.
- [23] Amir Khanian, S.N. and Corley, M. 2004. Utilization of rubberized asphalt in the United States—An overview. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Waste Management and Recycling: Used/Post-Consumer Tyres*. Thomas Telford, London, UK.
- [24] Bartolozzi, I., Mavridou, S., Rizos, K., and Salzano, E. 2015. Life cycle assessment of sustainable road construction: Energy consumption and associated greenhouse gas emissions. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering*, 6(4): 457-465.
- [25] Chui, C.T. and Lu, L.C. 2007. A comprehensive study on asphalt rubber mixtures. *Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting*, Washington DC, USA.
- [26] Cao, W.D. 2007. Study on properties of recycled tire rubber modified asphalt mixtures using dry process. *Construction and Building Materials*, 21(5): 1011-1015.
- [27] Xiao, F., Zhao, P.E.W., and Amir Khanian, S.N. 2009. Fatigue behavior of rubberized asphalt concrete mixtures containing warm asphalt additives. *Construction and Building Materials*, 23(10): 3144-3151.
- [28] Wang, H., You, Z., Mills-Beale, J., and Hao, P. 2012. Laboratory evaluation on high temperature viscosity and low temperature stiffness of asphalt binder with high percent scrap tire rubber. *Construction and Building Materials*, 26(1): 583-590.
- [29] Paje, S.E., Luong, J., Vázquez, V.F., Bueno, M., and Miró, R. 2013. Road pavement rehabilitation using a binder with a high content of crumb rubber: Influence on noise reduction. *Construction and Building Materials*, 47: 789-798.
- [30] Licitra, G., Cerchiai, M., Teti, L., Ascari, E., Bianco, F., and Chetoni, M. 2015. Performance assessment of low-noise road surfaces in the Leopoldo project: Comparison and validation of different measurement methods. *Coatings*, 5(1): 3-25.
- [31] Ayothiraman, R. and Meena, A.K. 2011. Improvement of subgrade soil with shredded waste tire chips. *Proceedings of Indian Geotechnical Conference*, 365-368.
- [32] Eldin, N.N. and Senouci, A.B. 1992. Use of scrap tires in road construction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 118(3): 561-576.

- [33] Humphrey, D.N. 1996. Investigation of exothermic reaction in tire shred fill located on SR 100 in Ilwaco, Washington. Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC.
- [34] Bosscher, P.J., Edil, T.B., and Kuraoka, S. 1997. Design of highway embankments using tire chips. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 123(4): 295-304.
- [35] EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 2022. Scrap Tires: Handbook on Recycling Applications and Management for the U.S. and Mexico. EPA Publication No. 740-R-22-001.
- [36] Farina, A., Zanetti, M.C., Santagata, E., and Blengini, G.A. 2017. Life cycle assessment of road construction and maintenance: A case study in Italy. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 22(2): 190-201.
- [37] Chiu, C.T. and Lu, L.C. 2007. A comprehensive study on asphalt rubber mixtures. Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, Washington DC, USA.
- [38] Rajan, V.V., Dierkes, W.K., Joseph, R., and Noordermeer, J.W. 2006. Science and technology of rubber reclamation with special attention to NR-based waste latex products. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 31(9): 811-834.
- [39] Hicks, R.G. and Epps, J.A. 2000. Life cycle costs for asphalt-rubber paving materials. Rubber Pavements Association, Tempe, AZ.
- [40] Sunthonpagasit, N. and Duffey, M.R. 2004. Scrap tires to crumb rubber: Feasibility analysis for processing facilities. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 40(4): 281-299.
- [41] Amirkhanian, S.N. 2001. Utilization of crumb rubber in asphalt concrete mixtures—South Carolina's experience. Research Report, South Carolina Department of Transportation.
- [42] Heitzman, M. 1992. Design and construction of asphalt paving materials with crumb rubber modifier. *Transportation Research Record*, 1339: 1-8.
- [43] Maupin, G.W. 1996. Hot mix asphalt rubber applications in Virginia. *Transportation Research Record*, 1530: 18-24.
- [44] Caltrans. 2022. Use of Scrap Tire Products in Highway Maintenance and Construction. California Department of Transportation, Sacramento, CA.
- [45] FHWA (Federal Highway Administration). 2022. User Guidelines for Waste and Byproduct Materials in Pavement Construction. Publication No. FHWA-RD-97-148.

