



COMPARATIVE NUTRITIONAL EVALUATION OF PEARL MILLET FLOUR: A STUDY ON MOISTURE, ASH, ALKALINITY, AND CALCIUM CONTENT ACROSS SELECTED COMMERCIAL BRANDS

Muskan Patel- 1st Author, Dr Manju Gupta- 2nd Author, Dr Maneesha Jain 3rd Author

¹Designation of 1st Author- Student , ²Designation of 2nd Author- Professor, ³Designation of 3rd Author-
Faculty

¹Name of Department of 1st Author- Department of Chemistry,
Govt. M.H. College of Home Science and Science for Women, Jabalpur, M.P., India

Abstract: This study evaluates and compares the nutritional properties of pearl millet flour (Bajra) from three commercial brands—Smita Grah Udhyog, Patanjali, and Manan. Parameters assessed include moisture content, total ash, water-soluble and insoluble ash, alkalinity of water-soluble ash, and calcium concentration. Smita Grah Udhyog samples recorded the highest total ash and calcium content, suggesting superior mineral density, while Patanjali showed better mineral bioavailability due to higher water-soluble ash. Natural indicator China rose was used to assess alkalinity. These findings provide insight into the nutritional quality of pearl millet flour, guiding consumer choices and contributing to food security.

Index Terms - Pearl millet flour, ash content, calcium, alkalinity, moisture, nutritional quality, commercial brands

INTRODUCTION

Pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*), commonly known as Bajra, is a climate-resilient cereal grain rich in energy, protein, minerals (especially iron and calcium), and dietary fiber. Its cultivation is widespread in arid and semi-arid regions due to drought tolerance and high productivity in poor soils (Singh & Chauhan, 2019; Obilana & Manyasa, 2002). Given the rise in lifestyle-related disorders and nutrient deficiencies, millets like pearl millet are increasingly promoted as functional foods (Anitha et al., 2021; Devi et al., 2014). However, variations in the nutritional content among commercial brands necessitate scientific evaluation for informed dietary choices.

This study compares moisture, ash, alkalinity, and calcium levels in pearl millet flour from three commercial brands to assess nutritional quality and suitability for dietary supplementation.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Population and Sample

1. To collect and analyze commercial samples of pearl millet flour.
2. To determine the moisture content, total ash, water-soluble ash, and acid-insoluble ash.
3. To assess the alkalinity of water-soluble ash using a natural indicator.
4. To estimate the calcium content in the flour samples.

Data and Sources of Data

Three commercially available brands—Smita Grah Udyog, Patanjali, and Manan—were subjected to analysis based on standard procedures. Moisture content was measured by oven drying 5 g of sample at 105°C to constant weight following AOAC (2019) guidelines.

1. Moisture Content: Oven drying of 2g samples at 105°C for 2 hours.
2. Total Ash: Incineration of 5g flour in silica crucibles at 550°C.
3. Water-Soluble & Insoluble Ash: Determined by boiling ash in distilled water, filtering, and weighing residues.
4. Acid-Insoluble Ash: Treatment with dilute HCl and weighing the residue.
5. Alkalinity of Water-Soluble Ash: Titration with N/10 H₂SO₄ using China rose extract as a natural acid-base indicator (Lee & McKinney, 2018; Johnson & Patel, 2017; Nair & Sriram, 2015).
6. Calcium Content: Oxalate precipitation method followed by titration with potassium permanganate at 80°C (Pearson, 1962).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Minerals and ash contain of Pearl Millets flour (bajara) under investigation

Brand Name	Sample	Moisture Content (g/100 g)	Ash (g/100 g)	Water insoluble Ash (g/100 g)	Water soluble Ash (g/100g)	Alkalinity of water Soluble Ash (meqn)	Calcium (mg/100 g)
Pearl Millet flour	1	7.5	1.96	0.96	1	2.4	70.4
	2	4.5	2.5	0.92	1.58	2.4	88
	3	7	1.82	0.68	1.14	4.6	72
Smita Grah Udyog	1	9	2.1	0.92	1.18	4.4	68
	2	8.5	1.8	0.66	1.14	6	84
	3	8	1.76	0.78	0.98	3	60
Patanjali brand	1	10	2	0.96	1.04	3	44.8
	2	10	1.74	0.8	0.94	1	32
	3	8	2.08	1.18	0.9	2	40
Manan brand	1	10	2	0.96	1.04	3	44.8
	2	10	1.74	0.8	0.94	1	32
	3	8	2.08	1.18	0.9	2	40

Theoretical framework

The variation in moisture, ash, and calcium levels among brands indicates differences in processing and raw material sourcing. Smita Grah Udhog showed the highest calcium content and total ash, suggesting a denser mineral profile. High ash content correlates with better mineral richness (Saleh et al., 2013). Patanjali flour samples exhibited the highest water-soluble ash and alkalinity, indicating better mineral bioavailability—crucial for nutrient absorption (Krishnan & Meera, 2018; Kaushik et al., 2021). Manan had comparatively lower calcium values, potentially limiting its use for calcium-deficient individuals.

The use of China rose as a natural indicator provides an eco-friendly and educational approach to laboratory titrations (Johnson & Patel, 2017; Nair & Sriram, 2015).

Pearl millet flour demonstrates brand-dependent nutritional differences. Smita Grah Udhog is better suited for calcium enrichment due to higher mineral density, while Patanjali is preferable for enhanced absorption due to higher bioavailability. Consumers and nutritionists must evaluate commercial flours beyond packaging labels to make informed choices, especially for therapeutic or supplemental use.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, A. 2001. Macroeconomic variables as common pervasive risk factors and the empirical content of the Arbitrage Pricing Theory. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 5(3): 221–240.
2. Basu, S. 1997. The Investment Performance of Common Stocks in Relation to their Price to Earnings Ratio: A Test of the Efficient Markets Hypothesis. *Journal of Finance*, 33(3): 663–682.
3. Bhatti, U. and Hanif. M. 2010. Validity of Capital Assets Pricing Model. Evidence from KSE-Pakistan. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science*, 3 (20).
4. Anitha, S., Kane-Potaka, J., Tsusaka, T. W., Tripathi, D., Upadhyay, S., & Nedumaran, S. (2021). A systematic review and meta-analysis of the potential of millets for managing and reducing the risk of developing diabetes mellitus. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 8, 687428. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.687428>
5. AOAC International. (2019). *Official Methods of Analysis* (21st ed.). AOAC International.
6. Devi, P. B., Vijayabharathi, R., Sathyabama, S., Malleshi, N. G., & Priyadarisini, V. B. (2014). Health benefits of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) polyphenols and dietary fiber: A review. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 51(6), 1021–1040. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-011-0584-9>
7. Johnson, M., & Patel, A. (2017). Use of Natural Indicators in Educational Laboratories. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 94(3), 400–404. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jchemed.6b00705>
8. Kaushik, R., Kumar, N., & Mahajan, A. (2021). Review of ways to enhance nutritional properties of millets for their value addition. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 45(6), e15550. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.15550>
9. Krishnan, R., & Meera, M. S. (2018). Pearl millet minerals: Effect of processing on bio accessibility. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 55(9), 3362–3372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-018-3284-2>
10. Lee, K., & McKinney, A. (2018). Sustainable Chemistry: Natural Indicators for Acid-Base Titrations. *International Journal of Environmental Science*, 24(1), 123–130.
11. Nair, R., & Sriram, P. (2015). Evaluation of Natural Indicators for Acid-Base Titration. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 13(2), 67–72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-014-0482-z>
12. Obilana, A. B., & Manyasa, E. (2002). Millets. In Belton, P. S. & Taylor, J. R. N. (Eds.), *Pseudocereals and Less Common Cereals* (pp. 177–217). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-09544-7_7
13. Pearson, D. (1962). *The Chemical Analysis of Foods* (5th ed.). London: Churchill Livingstone.
14. Saleh, A. S. M., Zhang, Q., Chen, J., & Shen, Q. (2013). Millet grains: Nutritional quality, processing, and potential health benefits. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 12(3), 281–295. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12009>
15. Singh, S., & Chauhan, M. (2019). Potential of underutilized millets as Nutri-cereal: An overview. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 56(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-018-3416-7>