IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Ethical Management Of AI Technologies In Business

Dr. G. Anitha Devi
Assistant Professor
PG & Research Department of Commerce
Sri Kanyaka Parmaeswari Arts & Science College for Women,

Chennai

Abstract:

Artificial Intelligence improves Business Process efficiency, Enhances Employee efficiency, drives productivity, and adds value to the company by improving employee engagement, and customer interface decision-making processes. The absence of effective ethical standards and procedures for managing AI technologies can result in unforeseen negative effects, which is a problem for businesses. The descriptive analysis design and stratified sampling method with 63 respondents are used in this research. It suggests that implementing data protection policies is important to avoid any privacy-related breach with compliance. It concludes by aligning the need for AI with ethical standards and concerns to protect all the stakeholders and ensure the company's sustainability.

Keywords: Ethical principles, privacy and security, Transparency and Accountability, Fairness and Non-Discrimination, Continuous Improvement.

Introduction:

Management integrates diverse views on morality, virtue, justice, and respect. The objective of business ethics management is fair and proper treatment of all employees and customers. Corporate code of conduct rules, ethics, and systems for business explicitly define what is expected. This helps to control several inappropriate behaviors, thus enhancing the firm. Artificial Intelligence improves Business Process efficiency, Enhances Employee efficiency, drives productivity, and adds value to the company by improving employee engagement, and customer interface decision-making processes through strategic thinking and information technology. At the AI summit, bias, data trust, privacy, and stakeholder trust take priority. This forces a reservation for the employees' ethics and compliance and different employee engagement aspects to reduce negative value.

Review of Literature:

Rezaei et al (2024) examine ethics in practice through a challenge-based approach, a mixed-method approach to identify and understand the ethical challenges impacting decision-making. Certain challenges related to DM with privacy and data protection, bias and fairness, and transparency and explainability were identified as particularly salient. Accountability, responsibility, and AI effect on employment are

highlighted as issues in the DM process with relatively high coefficients. By contrast, obstacles like intellectual property and ownership, algorithmic manipulation, and global governance and regulation are discovered to be less central to the DM process.

McGrath et al (2024) show that most organizations codify AI Ethical Principles with obligatory training requirements. In the absence of a clear AI ethical practice statement, there is confusion and little active enforcement when ethical principles are violated. It would also be an important effort to study e-ERM effectiveness linking AI capabilities with the appropriate AI ethical practices and principles. Subject matter experts in the area to use the e-ERM and test its recommendations with a sample.

Camilleri, M. A. (2024) outlines the AI governance frameworks proposed by technology giants, politicians, and supranational organizations. It also contributes to the existing literature on AI governance at the intersection of AI and 'corporate social responsibility'. It highlights the main axes of AI governance and discusses the promotion of accountability and transparency explainability, interpretability, and reproducibility; fairness and inclusiveness privacy and end-user safety, concerning risk prevention and cyber security problems resulting from AI systems.

Ahmad, A. (2024) examines the ethical practices and the process of accounting in the place of Jordan. 379 respondents used the survey method through cluster and proportional sampling method of this research. the major factors impacting the research are transparency, fairness, and accountability for using AI. It concludes the factors providing valuable insight to tackle the challenges used in the application of accounting processes with artificial intelligence to the industry.

Osasona, F et al (2024) examine the AI-based decision to develop the framework of an accountability process to ensure that individuals, organizations, and developers for the consequences of the ethical consideration. it involves the ethical factors are job selection, inequality in the employee and customers, and power to shape the company to scrutiny the data. it addresses the responsibility and accountability of the company rules and regulations by taking the decision-making process of the usage of the AI technology with the help of human and artificial intelligence to boost the company values.

Statement of the Problem:

Businesses face challenges in using AI technology such as Understanding AI decisions, Responsibility for AI actions, ensuring fairness Preventing misuse of AI, and Managing misinformation and copyright. The absence of ineffective decision-making, ethical standards, and negative effects are trust, loyalty, unbiased, and privacy issues. Therefore, the research is important to know the effect of ethical management using AI technologies impacting the business and steps to provide the solution of the ethical policies and standards.

Hypothesis:

H1: Industry Sector impacting the factors of Ethical Management of AI technologies.

H2: Strong data protection measures and compliance with data privacy regulations enhance customer loyalty and trust in the company.

H3: Regular updates and reviews of AI ethics policies contribute to continuous improvement and innovation in AI practices.

Objectives of the Study:

- To explore mechanisms for regular review and updating of AI ethics policies and practices**.**
- To Analyze the impact of adhering to ethical AI principles on stakeholder trust.

Limitation of the study:

- The research was conducted with a focus on Chennai city alone.
- The respondents may have different views.

Research Methodology:

This study employed a descriptive analysis research design. Both data collection and interpretation depended on filling out questionnaires through respondents; hence, the information is primary and original. The study used secondary data from related articles, journals, and the internet to give the necessary context and prove the findings made. 76 respondents were sampled through a stratified sampling technique to ensure a distribution would represent all the subgroups.

Data Analysis:

H01: Industry Sector impacting the factors of Ethical Management of AI technologies

The f-value of ethical principles is 2.944 and the p-value is less than 0.005 indicating the factors strongly influenced the sectors because every sector must have rules and regulations for running a successful business. The values of f =3.412 and p-value = 0.001 explain that transparency and accountability strongly influenced the industry sector because the ethical rules and laws must be transparent and easy to access within the company sector.

Independent Samples Test

Factors of Ethical Management Using AI Technologies		t	Df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Ethical Principles	Equal variances assumed	2.944	61	.005
	Equal variances not assumed	2.884	48.327	.006
Transparency and Accountability	Equal variances assumed	3.412	61	.001
	Equal variances not assumed	3.447	60.172	.001
Fairness and Non-Discrimination	Equal variances assumed	7.059	61	.000
	Equal variances not assumed	6.784	34.189	.000
Privacy and Security	Equal variances assumed	6.230	61	.000
	Equal variances not assumed	6.187	57.706	.000
Continuous Improvement	Equal variances assumed	6.775	61	.000

	Equal variances not assumed	6.687	53.926	.000

The value of F = 7.059 p=0.000, indicates that fairness and non-discrimination strongly impacted the industry sector because the employees and the outsiders working in the company are equal and treat people without bias to promote equality and justice in society.

The factor privacy and security F = 57.706 p=0.000 strongly influenced the company sector. The company should maintain the data-driven information of the employees and the stakeholders it gives protection to the company from outsiders.

F value is 6.775 and the p-value is 0.000 indicating that It is strongly influenced by sector because AI technology using ethical management enhances their company values through the process of installing your AI through regular review for the continuous improvement of the business to achieve its target.

H02: Strong data protection measures and compliance with data privacy regulations enhance customer loyalty and trust in the company.

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Ethical Principles	Between Groups	314.620	6	52.437	21.419	.000
	Within Groups	137.094	56	2.448		
	Total	451.714	62			
Transparency and Accountability	Between Groups	125.584	6	20.931	22.227	.000
	Within Groups	52.733	56	.942		
	Total	178.317	62			
Fairness and Non-Discrimination	Between Groups	368.864	6	61.477	62.766	.000
	Within Groups	54.850	56	.979		
	Total	423.714	62			

For Ethical Principles, the sum of squares is 314.620 with 6 degrees of freedom, giving a mean square of 52.437. The F-value is 21.419 significant at .000 probability level p< .001 showing that there is indeed a significant difference between the groups.

Transparency and Accountability, the sum of squares 125.584, and the degrees of freedom 6 yield a mean square of 20.931. The F-value is 22.227, p.000. indicating a statistically significant difference between the groups.

Fairness and Non-Discrimination, the sum of squares is 368.864 for 6 degrees of freedom, thus giving a mean square of 61.477. The F-value is 62.766 at a .000 significance level shows a statistically significant difference between the groups. It concludes that the company must properly maintain data handling practices by following ethical rules and regulations using proper AI systems which helps to gain the trust of the customers. it provides strength to the company.

H03: Regular updates and reviews of AI ethics policies contribute to continuous improvement and innovation in AI practices

The value of R (.824) indicates very strong positive relationships between the predictors (Privacy and Security, Ethical Principles, Transparency and Accountability, Fairness and Non-Discrimination) and the dependent variable. Hence, these predictors are highly correlated with the outcome.

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.824[a]	.679	.657	1.39565
a. Predictors: (Constant), Privacy and Security, Ethical Principles, Transparency and Accountability, Fairness and Non-Discrimination				

The value of R Square (.679) indicates that 67.9% of the variance in the dependent variable explanation given by the predictor. The adjusted R Square of .657 says that this modified measure of R Square accounts for the effect of the number of predictors, thus it helps to adjust for the possible inflation that may be caused in R Square by including more predictors. Having an adjusted R Square of .657 still speaks for a very high level of explanatory power-at least 65.7% variance.

The standard error of the estimate (1.39565) measures typical distances the actual y-values tend to fall from the regression line. A smaller standard error describes better data-to-model fitting.

ANOVA[a]						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	238.740	4	59.685	30.642	.000[b]
	Residual	112.975	58	1.948		
	Total	351.714	62			
a. Dependent Variable: Continuous Improvement						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Privacy and Security, Ethical Principles, Transparency and Accountability, Fairness and Non-Discrimination						

The F-value of 30.642 is determined by taking the ratio of the mean square of the regression to that of the mean square of the residuals (59.685/1.948). This statistic indicates if some of the regression coefficients, at least one of them, differ from zero. The significance level is .000, indicating that the total model is statistically significant and that the predictors together "Continuous Improvement" have a significant effect.

Coefficients[a]						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	.424	1.434		.296	.769
	Ethical Principles	-.299	.117	-.338	-2.562	.013
	Transparency and Accountability	.532	.195	.379	2.728	.008
	Fairness and Non-Discrimination	.668	.137	.733	4.866	.000
	Privacy and Security	.062	.202	.049	.307	.760
a. Dependent Variable: Continuous Improvement						

The estimates for Ethical Principles, Transparency and Accountability, and Fairness and Non-Discrimination are statistically significant, demonstrating these predictors have a real effect on Continuous Improvement. Privacy and Security fails to make any substantial change in this model. Fairness and Non-Discrimination dimension has been shown to be the most effective one.

Findings:

The low p-values across all factors suggest that the differences in their management using AI technologies are statistically significant. This highlights the focus on the ethical aspects of AI implementation technologies. The analysis through ANOVA indicates that these ethical factors are treated and managed differently in the various groups. Our SDGA model findings also highlight the need to focus on these changes so that there are ethical and responsible completely private AI technologies that respect the consumer and develop trust and loyalty. In Regression analysis, Privacy and Security do not contribute significantly to the rate of improvement in AI technologically advanced Business. In ANOVA, Ethical Principles, Transparency, Accountability, Fairness, and Non-Discrimination are strongly significant in privacy and security.

Suggestion:

Transparency is important for creating ethical principles set guidelines to create clear explainability and transparency in the AI system. The AI system process provides routine audits and inspections to check the bias and fairness in the company. the General Data Protection Regulation law for ethical consideration helps to protect the laws and to prevent the beaches of privacy protection policies.

Conclusion:

AI technology in business helps to gain trust, equality, and the well-being of society. The current technology AI is ethics developing principles that guide business to ensure they are operating transparently and accountably; There is a need to have routine audits and checks for biases to enhance fairness and responsibility. Data protection mechanisms ensure privacy to meet the set regulations and legal requirements, and security practices to tackle the evolving risks. It aligns with the need to AI train augments and reskill employees substitutes to human-enabled beings.

Participation with effective stakeholders with AI following technologies, in changing policies way and laws helps the ethical use of AI. Businesses can fully utilize the potential of AI while ensuring that the progress is aligned with ethical standards and concerns. The company should always be committed to the ethical management of AI as it will not only protect all the stakeholders and ensure the sustainability of the company but also have positive social effects.

Practical Implication:

Trust and reputation are crucial in the proper governance of AI technologies. AI systems allow decision-making for privacy offer clear visibility and assist the stakeholders make the right decisions and accountability. The company's efforts in providing training and reskilling of employees show the company's commitment to the employees' growth. Customers tend to stick with companies that do not take advantage of trust and loyalty.

References:

Rezaei, M., Pironti, M. and Quaglia, R. (2024), "AI in knowledge sharing, which ethical challenges are raised in decision-making processes for organisations?", Management Decision, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-10-2023-2023

Quintin McGrath, Alan R. Hevner, Gert-Jan de Vreede. Managing Ethical Risks of Artificial Intelligence in Business Applications. TechRxiv. February 27, 2024. DOI: 10.36227/techrxiv.170905835.50964792/v1

Camilleri, M. A. (2024). Artificial intelligence governance: Ethical considerations and implications for social responsibility. Expert systems, 41(7), e13406.

Ahmad, A. (2024). Ethical implications of artificial intelligence in accounting: A framework for responsible ai adoption in multinational corporations in Jordan.International Journal of Data and Network Science, 8(1), 401-414.

Osasona, F., Amoo, O. O., Atadoga, A., Abrahams, T. O., Farayola, O. A., & Ayinla, B. S. (2024). Reviewing the ethical implications of AI in decision-making processes. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6(2), 322-335.

https://www.ipag.edu/en/blog/ethical-management

https://www.investopedia.com/how-ai-is-used-in-business