Constitutionalism In The Age Of Artificial Intelligence: Bridging Innovation And Public Interest

Dr. Shweta Gupta

Assistant Professor,

Dept. of Law

GLA University, Mathura, India

Abstract- The rapid evolution of artificial intelligence (AI) has significantly influenced governance, legal frameworks, and protection of fundamental rights, necessitating the evolution of constitutionalism. With AI systems driving decision-making in public administration, judicial processes, and policy implementation, accountability, transparency, and power distribution between states and non-state actors become contentious issues. Digital constitutionalism has arisen as a reaction to address this need, seeking to incorporate technological advancements while protecting democratic principles and human liberties. The study examines the way constitutional frameworks respond to AI-led challenges, comparing legal concepts, regulatory templates, and comparative governance strategies. The results suggest that current constitutional doctrines tend to find it difficult to deal with the intricacies of AI, especially in data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the responsibility of automated decision-making. With international efforts, such as the European Union's GDPR, setting the baseline models, variations in legal responses create a demand for a complete suite of governance tools. The revolutionary possibilities of AI have to be anchored in constitutional precepts in order to achieve equality, defend the public interest, and block unlimited growth in power by companies or states. Synchronizing constitutional traditions with the new technologies of AI necessitates an evolving legal strategy that fits innovation but solidifies democratic resilience. The study highlights the need for policymakers, legal thinkers, and tech specialists to come together to create frameworks where technological advancement is balanced against the rule of law so that AI is made to benefit society ethically and fairly.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Digital Constitutionalism, AI Governance, Fundamental Rights, Democratic Accountability.*

I. Introduction

Constitutionalism is a legal-political doctrine aimed at constraining authority to safeguard liberty against capricious domination. It has had substantial evolution from Antiquity to Modernity (Amaral Júnior, 2021). "Digital Constitutionalism" originally pertained to constraining the private authority of online entities, in contrast to restricting governmental power. It gradually broadened to include a wider array of legal and political measures; both state and non-state, focused on asserting basic rights online (Mendes & Fernandes, 2020). The word signifies society's digital essence, as technical components such as artificial intelligence become further embedded in everyday life, shown by virtual assistants like Alexa, Bixby, and Siri.

The provision of essential services to the populace, whether public or private, is facilitated by virtual assistants, particularly in customer service operations of telephone and internet providers, online retailers, and public service concessionaires for water and electricity supply and distribution, which utilize "chatbots." Recent advancements in computing and digital technologies have significantly transformed global society and its dynamics, reshaping communications, public administration, education, access to knowledge, consumption, personal interactions, and various other areas of daily life(Francis, 2023).

1.1.   Digital Constitutionalism: A New (Cycle of) Constitutionalism?

Digital Constitutionalism is a new stage in the development of constitutionalism, transforming classic constitutional principles to suit the demands of the digital age. It recognizes the expansion of technology, especially the internet and artificial intelligence, on the legal and political fabric of society (Mendes & Fernandes, 2020). In contrast to classical constitutionalism, which mainly aimed at curbing state power, Digital Constitutionalism broadens its scope to govern private actors, including technology firms, who possess enormous data and online platforms. This reflects the imperative to safeguard fundamental rights in the digital environment, ensuring privacy, freedom of expression, and access to information. As digital technologies become more pervasive in everyday life, Digital Constitutionalism attempts to reconcile the interests of the state, private actors, and individuals in order to protect democratic values in a globalized world (José, & Maluf, 2024).

1.2.   AI and Liberal Constitutionalism

First, Liberal constitutionalism is still relevant, historically associated with states as political entities with distinct traditions, cultures, and identities. Even with the internationalization of constitutional law or global constitutionalism, constitutions continue to express the identity and values of particular communities, bound to traditions and places. Although constitutional democracies have the common aim of safeguarding rights and the rule of law, the efficacy of these protections depends on political, institutional, and social dynamics. Various constitutional values influence policy, as witnessed in the divergent responses to freedom of expression and platform power along the Atlantic (De Gregorio, 2022).

Second, Digital constitutionalism is influenced by social norms and processes and closely related to societal constitutionalism and pluralism. Society contributes to local and global liberal constitutional orders but has a multifaceted role because autonomous orders with separate processes, structures, and identities have emerged. These orders, ranging from civil society, the economy, religion, and the digital sphere, are not necessarily in tune with national constitutional values or universal standards.

Third, Global dynamics define digital constitutionalism as transnational agencies play the role of major standard setters and decision makers. Transnational corporations and international organizations impact data flows and content regulation, resulting in a hybridization of local rule of law. Technological norms and standards are influenced by corporations, private associations, and international agencies, as is demonstrated in the business and human rights principles of online platforms. Also, the authority of the internet platforms to establish international standards of protection is a movement toward constitutionalization outside conventional liberal constitutionalism.

Digital constitutionalism enlarges liberal constitutionalism, decentering the connection between law and place through a focus on norms and powers influenced by many independent rationalities. Constitutional law is in jeopardy to maintain its position amid intervention by international actors, transnational business firms, and standard-setters. Constitutional democracies are equal in principles but variant in understanding rights, powers, and legitimacy, leading to variant responses. Several entities also exert influence on the governance of online technology, with the imposition of internal norms and competing protection standards in addition to constitutional democratic values (de Abreu Duarte, et al., 2023).

The purpose of this research is to study the convergence of artificial intelligence (AI) and constitutionalism through exploring how AI technology affects the public interest, rights, and systems of governance. It aims to reveal problems that AI brings in providing democratic values, assuring openness,

and protecting individuals' freedom according to constitutional legislation. The study will contribute to the argument of digital constitutionalism by exploring whether new legal frameworks are needed to address the complexity introduced by AI, such as accountability, prejudice, and concentration of power. By connecting innovation with the public interest, the study provides insights into how constitutional values can be translated to govern AI development so that technological progress is aligned with societal values and safeguards the common good in a rapidly digitalizing world.

II. Literature review

Avbelj, M. (2024) examined how constitutionalism was threatened by the sudden emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) in the society. The study discussed both theoretical and empirical implications, emphasizing the necessity of a new approach that accommodated constitutional theory in responding to the revolutionary effect of AI. The results indicated a move toward reconsidering legal and governance frameworks to adequately merge evolving technologies.

José, P., & Maluf, L. (2024) examined the development of constitutionalism in the digital era, emphasizing how technology, and specifically artificial intelligence, reconfigured power relations among people, the state, and private actors. Through the lens of Brazilian law and international analysis, the study identified challenges and provided insights into balancing digital rule with basic rights.

de Abreu Duarte, F., et al., (2023) examined the effects of digital technologies on freedoms and rights, placing an emphasis on digital constitutionalism. Through an examination of three central perspectives—liberal, societal, and global—it examined their interplay and proposed a framework to safeguard essential rights and contain power in the digital age, with an emphasis on rule of law and accountability.

Teubner, G., & Golia Jr, A. (2023) examined a symposium on digital constitutionalism through the lens of societal constitutionalism. Researchers discussed how fundamental constitutional norms could be applied to the digital age, emphasizing global digitality and novel institutional arrangements. The study indicated that it transcended conventional state-focused perspectives and recommended revolutionary approaches for combating digital dangers and justice.

One significant gap within existing literature is the scant extent to which AI-specific challenges can be tackled under constitutionalism as it tries to balance technological advances with public good. Though all existing studies fairly widely write on digital constitutionalism (Avbelj, 2024; José & Maluf, 2024), there is very little emphasis laid on the adaptation of AI-specific effects into constitutional systems. The crossroads of AI, public law, and democratic government is not well explored, especially when it comes to basic rights, accountability, and transparency (de Abreu Duarte et al., 2023). In addition, there is no integrated theoretical framework that not only transforms classical constitutional concepts but also outlines specific strategies for the regulation of AI, its alignment with public values, and the governance of the intricate interactions between the state, market, and civil society in the digital age (Teubner & Golia Jr., 2023).

III. Material and methods

The current study adopts a doctrinal legal research methodology, focusing on the analysis of secondary sources such as constitutional texts, AI legislation, court decisions, policy reports, and academic literature. Comparative legal analysis was conducted to compare AI governance models in different jurisdictions, assessing their implications for the constitution. Case studies of legal problems emerging from AI also be analyzed to determine judicial interpretations and regulatory responses. The study is structured systematically to facilitate in-depth evaluation of existing literature and legal frameworks, providing a well-balanced analysis of constitutionalism in the age of AI.

3.1 Research Objectives

a) To analyze existing constitutional frameworks and legal doctrines in relation to Artificial Intelligence (AI) and their applicability in the digital era.

b) To examine scholarly perspectives on the intersection of AI and constitutionalism, focusing on democratic governance, rights protection, and accountability.

c) To identify best practices from comparative constitutional approaches and propose recommendations for AI governance within democratic legal frameworks.

a. Research Question

a) How do existing constitutional frameworks and legal doctrines apply to AI governance in the digital era?

b) What are the key scholarly perspectives on the intersection of AI and constitutionalism, particularly in relation to democratic governance, rights protection, and accountability?

c) What best practices can be identified from comparative constitutional approaches to ensure AI governance aligns with democratic legal frameworks?

IV. Results

a) Objective 1: To analyze existing constitutional frameworks and legal doctrines in relation to Artificial Intelligence (AI) and their applicability in the digital era.

Historic constitutional norms, the main purpose of which has been the governance of a state-oriented, analog era, frequently fail to cope with the difficulties created by AI technologies, including questions of privacy, data security, responsibility, and the realignment of power between the state, private entities, and citizens (Teubner, & Golia Jr, 2023). Doctrines of law like due process and equal protection are increasingly under threat from the ability of AI to make decisions, questioning the fairness, transparency, and absence of bias in automated systems (Liu, 2018). In addition, constitutional models tend not to keep pace with the global and borderless environment of digital technologies, and hence there is a need to reconsider how laws can govern AI and balance innovation and public interest (Thumfart, 2024). With increasing integration of AI in public and private sectors, there is a need to assess the limitations of current legal frameworks and investigate how they can be modernized to protect rights and ensure democratic principles in the digital world.

b) Objective 2: To examine scholarly perspectives on the intersection of AI and constitutionalism, focusing on democratic governance, rights protection, and accountability.

Artificial intelligence is a two-edged tool that promotes governance at the same time as it causes problems of privacy, surveillance, and discriminatory algorithms in decision-making (Singh, et al., 2025). Government agencies, such as courts and public policy, need to reassess the protection of constitutional rights like justice, equality, and due process in a digitalized world through AI applications (Kiilu, 2025). Scholars also call for establishing open structures of accountability to ensure that AI systems remain open and accountable to legal institutions (Kuziemski, & Misuraca, 2020). This meeting of AI and constitutionalism needs to be tackled as a multi-disciplinary approach that integrates legal theory, technology, and ethics to safeguard democratic integrity and individuals' rights in a world where things are increasingly automated.

Objective 3: To identify best practices from comparative constitutional approaches and propose recommendations for AI governance within democratic legal frameworks.

Selecting effective methods for strong AI governance in a democratic legal order is crucial. Various nations have different systems of regulating AI, with each providing important lessons on how to balance innovation and protect rights. Europe's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) offers a model for AI in ensuring privacy and human rights in automated decision-making (Unver, 2024). In America, AI development advances without federal legislation, but the Algorithmic Accountability Act proposes transparency and responsibility (Leslie et al., 2021). Comparative constitutional law demonstrates that the

integration of democratic values—participation, accountability, and transparency—has the potential to harmonize AI technologies with values in society. The study proposes stricter transparency standards, independent regulators, and rights-based approaches in AI regulation (Sharma, 2023).

V. Discussion

Digital constitutionalism is the central theme of the present research being discussed under the topic with respect to the influence of AI on governance, rights, and democratic forms. Although Avbelj (2024) and José & Maluf (2024) reflect the perspective that the constitution has developed over time in the digital era, recent studies highlight the AI specific issues, including algorithmic bias and lack of accountability. Unlike de Abreu Duarte et al. (2023), whose research examines digital constitutionalism from liberal, societal, and global viewpoints, it concentrates on bridging innovation through AI with public interest. Costa, et al., (2024) highlight AI governance in democratic contexts, but such study further combines legal frameworks such as the GDPR to suggest regulatory interventions. In contrast to Berch, et al., (2024), who analyzes internet governance in general, the present study specifically addresses the role of AI in changing power dynamics, providing comparative constitutional analysis that harmonizes technological progress with safeguarding fundamental rights.

VI.　　Conclusion

The convergence of constitutionalism and artificial intelligence poses opportunities and challenges to protecting democratic governance models, values, and rights. As AI further shapes legal and political spheres, digital constitutionalism must be adapted to counterbalance innovation with the public interest, promoting transparency, accountability, and justice. Current constitutional models need to be reformed to meet AI-induced challenges like algorithmic bias, data privacy, and asymmetrical power relations between states and private actors. Comparative legal strategies point to best practices for AI regulation that protect essential rights. Policymakers and scholars of law need to join forces in the future to formulate effective regulatory measures that balance technological advancements with constitutional ideals, with a view to AI contributing to the common good in a democratic and ethical context.

Reference

1. Amaral Júnior, J. L. M. (2021). Constitucionalismo e conceito de constituição. Revista Direito Público, 18, 692-742. https://doi.org/10.11117/rdp.v18i98.5583

2. Avbelj, M. (2024). Reconceptualizing Constitutionalism in the AI Run Algorithmic Society. *German Law Journal*, 1-14.

3. Bartole, S. (2020). The Internationalisation of Constitutional Law. Oxford: Hart

4. Berch, V., Lankevych, A., Naturkach, R., Bysaha, Y., & Prodan, V. (2024). The role of digital technologies in building an inclusive and transparent society: an analysis of the legal mechanisms of democratic governance. *Amazonia Investiga*, *13*(79), 177-188.

5. Celeste, E. (2019). Digital Constitutionalism: A New Systematic Theorisation. International Review of Law, Computers & Technology, 33, 76-99. https://doi.org/10.1080/13600869.2019.1562604

6. Costa, C. J., Aparicio, M., Aparicio, S., & Aparicio, J. T. (2024). The democratization of artificial intelligence: Theoretical framework. *Applied Sciences*, *14*(18), 8236.

7. de Abreu Duarte, F., De Gregorio, G., & Golia, A. (2023). Perspectives on digital constitutionalism. In *Research Handbook on Law and Technology* (pp. 315-329).

8. De Gregorio, G. (2020). The Rise of Digital Constitutionalism in the European Union. International Journal of Constitutional Law, 19, 41-70. https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/moab001

9. De Gregorio, G. (2022). Digital Constitutionalism across the Atlantic. Global Constitutionalism, 11(2), 297

10. Francis (2023). Artificial Intelligence and Peace: Message of His Holiness Pope Francis for the 57th World Day of Peace. Vatican.

https://www.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/messages/peace/documents/20231208-   messaggio-57giornatamondiale-pace2024.html

11. Jorgensen, R.F. (Eds.). (2019). Human Rights in the Age of Platforms. Cambridge: MIT Press

12. José, P., & Maluf, L. (2024). The Emergence of Digital Constitutionalism within the Historical Trajectory of Constitutionalism. *Beijing Law Review*, *15*(4), 1861-1881.

13. Kettemann, M. (2021), The Normative Order of the Internet. Oxford: Oxford University Press

14. Kiilu, T. K. (2025). Challenges of Democratization in the Age of AI: Navigating Governance, Equity, and Ethical Dilemmas. In *Democracy and Democratization in the Age of AI* (pp. 203-234).

15. Kuziemski, M., & Misuraca, G. (2020). AI governance in the public sector: Three tales from the frontiers of automated decision-making in democratic settings. *Telecommunications policy*, *44*(6), 101976.

16. Leslie, D., Burr, C., Aitken, M., Cowls, J., Katell, M., & Briggs, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence, human rights, democracy, and the rule of law: a primer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.04147*.

17. Liu, H. Y. (2018). The power structure of artificial intelligence. *Law, Innovation and Technology*, *10*(2), 197-229.

18. Mendes, G. F., & Fernandes, V. (2020). Constitucionalismo digital e jurisdição constitucional: Uma agenda de pesquisa para o caso brasileiro. Revista Brasileira e Direito, 16, 1- 33. https://doi.org/10.18256/2238-0604.2020.v16i1.4103

19. Sharma, S. (2023). Trustworthy artificial intelligence: design of AI governance framework. *Strategic Analysis*, *47*(5), 443-464.

20. Singh, B., Jain, A., Wongmahesak, K., & Chandra, S. (2025). Reimagining Democracy in the Digital Era: Transformative Role of Artificial Intelligence in Modern Governance. In *Democracy and Democratization in the Age of AI* (pp. 1-18).

21. Suzor, N. (2019). Digital Constitutionalism: Using the Rule of Law to Evaluate the Legitimacy of Governance by Platforms. Social media + Society, 4(3). Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305118787812

22. Teubner, G., & Golia Jr, A. (2023). Societal constitutionalism in the digital world: An introduction. *Ind. J. Global Legal Stud.*, *30*, 1.

23. Thumfart, J. (2024). The Construction of Digital Sovereignty in Struggles for Recognition. In *The Liberal Internet in the Postliberal Era: Digital Sovereignty, Private Government, and Practices of Neutralization* (pp. 55-140).

24. Unver, M. B. (2024, August). AI governance: Compromising democracy or democratising AI? In *TPRC 2024 The Research Conference on Communications, Information & Internet Policy*.