Gender Bias Vs Gender Neutrality In The Corporate Sector

[1] Manish Kumar Dadhwal, [2] Dr Vikas Bhatheja

[1] Research Scholar PhD Psychology, [2] Guide & Associate Professor
[1] Department of Psychology,
[1] Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh, India

Abstract: This paper critically compares gender bias and gender neutrality in the corporate sector, focussing on their impact on workplace practices and employee outcomes. It examines gender stereotypes in India, highlighting disparities in opportunities and roles. Key barriers in HR processes, such as biased recruitment and the glass ceiling, are analyzed. Psychological effects, occupational stress, and coping mechanisms are explored. The paper discusses benefits of gender neutrality and best practices, offering recommendations for policy changes, leadership training, and cultural shifts to promote workplace equity.

Index Terms - **Gender differences, occupational stress, corporate sector, workplace biases, gender neutrality, glass ceiling, coping strategies**

I. INTRODUCTION

The modern corporate sector is an arena of high demands, characterized by competitive pressures, long working hours, and increasingly blurred lines between professional and personal lives. Occupational stress, which can manifest in emotional exhaustion, reduced productivity, and health issues, is an unavoidable consequence of these dynamics. However, the experience and perception of stress differ significantly between genders. Men are often influenced by societal expectations of career performance and success, while women must frequently navigate the dual burden of professional roles and traditional familial responsibilities. Research shows that these differences stem from a complex interplay of psychological, social, and structural factors. For instance, men may feel stress primarily from job insecurity or unmet career expectations, while women may feel heightened stress from unequal workplace opportunities, harassment, and societal norms that assign them disproportionate caregiving roles. This disparity is especially pronounced in India, where societal traditions often amplify the challenges women face in balancing work and home life. The study examines cultural conditioning, workplace biases, the glass ceiling phenomenon, and organizational benefits of promoting gender-neutrality. Recommendations for fostering inclusive and supportive corporate environments are proposed, emphasizing best practices adopted globally and within Indian firms. By addressing gender-specific stressors and advocating for equity, this meta-analysis aims to inform actionable strategies that enhance workplace harmony and productivity while ensuring employee well-being.

II. SHAPING OF GENDER STEREOTYPES

Gender stereotypes significantly shape perceptions of women's abilities, particularly in male-dominated roles, resulting in biased judgments. Women displaying traditionally "masculine" traits, such as assertiveness and ambition, may face penalties for deviating from societal expectations of warmth and nurturing behaviour (Eagly & Karau, 2002). These biases often lead to unfavourable evaluations, creating additional barriers for women aspiring to leadership or technical roles. Theories like the intersectional invisibility model further emphasize the compounded disadvantages faced by individuals with multiple marginalized identities, such as women of color or women with disabilities (Purdie-Vaughns & Eibach, 2008). These frameworks reveal the

layered nature of gender inequities, necessitating strategies that address not only gender but also other intersecting forms of identity. Addressing gender stereotypes requires both organizational commitment and societal shifts to challenge traditional norms and expectations. Promoting diversity training and fostering environments where all traits are valued equally can gradually reduce the negative impact of these stereotypes on career advancement.

III. GENDER BIASES IN INDIA

In India, deeply ingrained gender roles shape societal norms, influencing individuals from childhood. Boys are encouraged to focus on careers and self-reliance, while girls are socialized to prioritize caregiving and domestic responsibilities, laying the groundwork for gender disparities in occupational stress. Rajadhyaksha and Smita (2004) found that Indian women spend 15 more hours weekly on domestic chores than men, contributing to the "second shift" phenomenon, where women face higher stress due to dual roles. Societal pressures also lead women to feel guilt for prioritizing careers, exacerbating stress levels. Conversely, men are expected to emphasize financial stability and professional success, often at the cost of emotional well-being. Societal norms discourage men from seeking help, resulting in unresolved stress and burnout. Addressing these disparities requires collaborative efforts from families, schools, and workplaces to redefine gender roles and promote equity. Flexible hours, shared parental leave, and equitable corporate policies can help reduce occupational stress and foster balanced professional and personal lives.

IV. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Research on gender bias versus gender neutrality in the corporate sector highlights significant disparities in workplace experiences and opportunities. A recent study on gender perceptions in research institutions showed that men and women differ greatly in their views on gender equality, with women often perceiving a lack of institutional support compared to their male counterparts. This mirrors broader corporate challenges, such as the glass ceiling and gender barriers in HR processes, where women face more obstacles in climbing the corporate ladder. Gender bias manifests through stereotypical expectations in leadership and decision-making roles, with women often seen as less capable than men despite equal qualifications. On the other hand, gender neutrality practices, which focus on eliminating biases and creating an inclusive environment, have been shown to benefit workplace equity. Implementing gender-neutral policies-such as flexible work arrangements and mentorship programs - has proven effective in fostering greater gender equality and reducing occupational stress. Best global and Indian practices show that organizations with proactive gender-neutral policies experience improved performance, employee well-being, and reduced bias-related challenges. Future research could explore how these practices can be more widely adopted and how they intersect with broader societal changes towards gender equality. Gender bias remains a pervasive issue in the corporate sector, influencing hiring practices, career progression, and workplace dynamics. Early research by Heilman (2012) highlights how gender stereotypes lead to biased perceptions, particularly in male-dominated fields, where women are often perceived as less capable or suitable for leadership roles. This bias is reinforced through organizational structures and social expectations, creating barriers that hinder women's career advancement (Eagly & Karau, 2002). In contrast, gender neutrality focuses on eliminating such biases by fostering an inclusive environment where opportunities are determined by skill and merit, rather than gender. Studies show that organizations with gender-neutral policies benefit from improved performance, increased diversity, and enhanced employee satisfaction (Deloitte, 2021; McKinsey & Company, 2020). Gender-neutral policies, such as flexible working hours, equal parental leave, and mentorship programs, can reduce occupational stress and support work-life balance, particularly for women, who traditionally bear a heavier burden of caregiving responsibilities (Rajadhyaksha & Smita, 2004). However, gender-neutral approaches are not without their challenges. A significant barrier is the "glass ceiling," which limits women's access to senior leadership roles despite their qualifications (McKinsey & Company, 2021). The lack of mentorship, organizational support, and gender-specific development programs often exacerbates this issue (Kanter, 1977). Ultimately, adopting gender-neutral policies across organizational levels and processes has proven to foster equality and reduce stress, leading to more inclusive and productive workplaces (Babcock & Laschever, 2003).

V. GENDER DIFFERENCES AT THE WORKPLACE

Gender differences manifest over time, influencing career trajectories and reinforcing systemic inequities, especially in male-dominated sectors (Heilman, 2012). Women often face a "double-bind" dilemma, where demonstrating competence can lead to perceptions of lacking social skills, making them less likable or approachable (Rudman & Glick, 2001). These compounded challenges discourage women from seeking higher roles, perpetuating the gender gap in leadership. Research emphasizes the need for integrative solutions that address societal, organizational, interpersonal, and individual factors contributing to these disparities (Hall et al., 2019). Initiatives such as mentorship programs, leadership development training, and equitable performance evaluations can help bridge these gaps. By fostering inclusive cultures and implementing unbiased policies, organizations can create pathways for equitable career progression.

VI. GENDER BARRIERS IN HR PROCESSES

Recruitment and hiring processes often harbour implicit biases, particularly in resume screening and interviews. Women, especially mothers, face discriminatory practices such as being perceived as less committed to their careers. Studies reveal that resumes with female names receive fewer callbacks for high-skill roles compared to male counterparts. Intersectionality further complicates these issues, as stereotypes about race, ethnicity, and socio-economic background intersect with gender, influencing hiring decisions. For instance, women of colour or those from marginalized communities often face heightened scrutiny in the hiring process. Structured interviews, blind resume evaluations, and diverse hiring committees have emerged as effective tools to mitigate these biases. Additionally, job advertisements should use gender-neutral language to encourage applications from a diverse talent pool. Organizations must also ensure transparency in recruitment processes, with clear criteria for evaluation and selection. By addressing these barriers, companies can build a more diverse workforce that benefits from varied perspectives and experiences, ultimately driving innovation and success.

VII. CHALLENGES IN BREAKING THE GLASS CEILING

Despite advances in gender diversity at entry levels, women remain underrepresented in senior leadership roles, a phenomenon often described as the "glass ceiling." Globally, McKinsey & Company (2021) reports that while women constitute 48% of entry-level employees, they hold only 22% of executive roles. In India, the disparity is more pronounced, with women occupying just 12% of senior leadership positions. Factors such as gender biases, lack of mentorship, limited networking opportunities, and discriminatory promotion practices contribute to this gap. Women often face challenges in accessing leadership pipelines due to ingrained stereotypes that question their ability to handle high-pressure roles. Organisations must actively work to dismantle biases within their cultures, creating pathways for women to ascend to decision-making roles. Breaking the glass ceiling not only advances gender equity but also enhances organizational performance by diversifying leadership perspectives.

VIII. GENDER DIFFERENCES IN OCCUPATIONAL STRESS

Occupational stress varies significantly by gender, driven by distinct triggers and societal expectations. Women often experience stress related to unequal pay, limited opportunities for advancement, and workplace harassment while men tend to report stress stemming from performance pressures and job insecurity. A study by Bhagat et al. (2019) found that 68% of women identified gender bias as a major stressor, compared to 45% of men. These disparities are further exacerbated in industries with skewed gender representation, where women may feel isolated or unsupported. Organizations should implement policies to eliminate harassment, promote pay equity, and provide clear paths for career progression. Additionally, fostering supportive environments where employees feel valued and heard can alleviate workplace stress. Mental health programs tailored to address gender-specific stressors, along with initiatives to build awareness and resilience, can significantly improve employee well-being.

IX. BENEFITS OF GENDER NEUTRALITY

Gender neutrality in the workplace ensures opportunities, roles, and benefits are not influenced by gender, fostering inclusivity, innovation, and productivity. Studies highlight its impact on business performance: Deloitte (2021) reported that companies with gender-diverse leadership teams are 25% more likely to achieve

superior financial outcomes, while McKinsey & Company (2020) noted a 21% higher probability of above-average profitability in such organizations. Sectors like technology and finance demonstrate these advantages, with companies reporting higher employee satisfaction and growth due to gender-neutral policies. In India, Tata Consultancy Services (TCS) saw a 15% rise in employee satisfaction after introducing flexible work hours and equal parental leave. Infosys significantly improved gender diversity, particularly in leadership, enhancing balanced decision-making. Beyond financial benefits, gender-neutral workplaces create healthier organizational cultures by fostering respect, communication, and teamwork while reducing discrimination. Organizations with gender-neutral policies not only draw top talent but also drive creativity and sustainable growth.

X. BEST PRACTICES IN GLOBAL CORPORATES ON GENDER NEUTRALITY

Leading global companies have adopted innovative approaches to foster gender neutrality. Unilever achieved a 50:50 gender ratio in management roles by 2021 through mandatory unconscious bias training, mentorship, and flexible work arrangements. Google's "Bias Busters" workshops and objective promotion policies led to a 20% rise in female leadership over five years. Similarly, Mastercard's "Girls4Tech" initiative and Goldman Sachs' "Launch with GS" program promote gender inclusivity in technology and entrepreneurship, respectively. Scandinavian firms like IKEA and Volvo lead in gender-neutral policies, offering extensive parental leave and eliminating wage gaps, resulting in high employee satisfaction and retention. Accenture aims for a gender-balanced workforce by 2025, focusing on equal pay audits, transparent hiring, and networking forums for women.These practices underscore that gender neutrality is not just ethical but also advantageous, boosting innovation, employee satisfaction, and business outcomes. Organizations globally demonstrate that embracing diversity is integral to achieving success.

XI. BEST PRACTICES IN INDIAN CORPORATE FIRMS

Indian companies are actively promoting gender neutrality through innovative programs tailored to local contexts. Infosys' "Diversity and Inclusion" initiative includes mentorship, leadership development, and equal pay policies, resulting in 40% of its workforce being women, with growing leadership representation. TCS supports work-life balance through extended maternity leave, flexible hours, and the "Rebegin" program for women resuming careers, improving professional retention. Mahindra & Mahindra's "Women Leaders Program" boosted female executives by 30% over three years, addressing leadership gender gaps. Wipro's "HeForShe" campaigns and robust grievance redressal systems ensure safe and inclusive workplaces, while Hindustan Unilever's pay parity audits and mentorship schemes enhance career advancement for women. Godrej Industries emphasizes equal opportunity hiring, and Tech Mahindra's training in emerging technologies with gender-neutral parental leave reflects its commitment to work-life balance. These efforts highlight Indian firms' proactive role in adopting global best practices while addressing local needs, setting new benchmarks in equity, employee satisfaction, and organizational success.

XII. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ENSURING GENDER NEUTRALITY

To ensure gender neutrality, workplaces must adopt inclusive policies addressing biases and promoting equality. Flexible work arrangements and leadership training support fair representation, while transparent pay structures and audits address wage gaps. Sensitization workshops and anti-bias training foster inclusivity, while accessible grievance mechanisms build trust. Mental health initiatives tailored to diverse needs ensure well-being. Open communication allows practices to align with employee needs. Global examples like Unilever's bias training and Volvo's gender-neutral policies highlight the success of such measures, showcasing how inclusivity drives innovation, satisfaction, and long-term success. These strategies help create equitable, thriving workplace cultures. Certain best practices followed by Multi National Corporations for ensuring Gender Neutrality are as given below:

- **Comprehensive Gender Sensitization Programs**. Gender sensitization programs should be a mandatory component of corporate training. Workshops should educate employees at all levels about unconscious biases, gender stereotypes, and the importance of recognizing these biases in workplace behaviors. These programs should aim to foster a culture of respect, inclusivity, and understanding, encouraging individuals to assess and challenge their perceptions of gender. With greater awareness, employees can actively participate in creating an environment where gender-neutral behaviors are the

norm. Such programs can significantly reduce discrimination, promote equity, and build a culture of mutual respect and understanding in the workplace.

- **Transparent Recruitment Processes**. Gender-neutral recruitment practices are essential in fostering equality. One effective strategy is implementing blind hiring practices, where candidates' names, genders, and personal details are concealed during the recruitment process. This ensures that hiring decisions are made based solely on a candidate's qualifications, experience, and skills. By focusing purely on competencies and potential, companies can eliminate unconscious gender biases that often influence decisions. Additionally, job descriptions should be carefully crafted to avoid gendered language, and recruitment panels should be diverse to ensure balanced perspectives, ultimately promoting equal opportunities for all candidates regardless of gender.

- **Equal Pay Audits**. Regular equal pay audits are crucial for addressing wage disparities between men and women. Companies should routinely analyse their salary data to identify any pay gaps that exist for similar roles and responsibilities. If disparities are found, they should take immediate corrective actions, such as adjusting salaries and improving compensation structures to ensure parity. Transparent reporting of audit results should be shared with all employees to build trust and demonstrate the organization's commitment to fairness. Equal pay audits ensure that employees are rewarded equitably for the value they bring, regardless of gender, and help in building a truly inclusive work culture.

- **Flexible Work Policies**. Flexible work policies are key to promoting gender neutrality, particularly in relation to work-life balance. Companies should offer options such as remote work, flexible working hours, and job-sharing to accommodate employees' diverse needs. These policies allow both men and women to balance professional and personal responsibilities more effectively. For instance, remote work can be especially beneficial for caregivers and those with family responsibilities. Offering flexible work arrangements can reduce stress and improve overall job satisfaction, creating a more inclusive workplace where employees, regardless of gender, can thrive both personally and professionally.

- **Parental Leave**. Offering equal parental leave to both men and women is a vital step toward promoting gender equality. By providing both parents with the same length of leave, organisations can help normalise shared caregiving responsibilities, challenging traditional gender norms that place the burden of childcare on women. Equal parental leave not only supports women's career advancement but also promotes the idea that caregiving is a shared responsibility, allowing both men and women to equally contribute to family and work, reducing stress and encouraging a more equitable workplace culture.

- **Mentorship and Sponsorship Programs.** Mentorship and sponsorship programs play a significant role in supporting women's career progression in the workplace. These programs connect junior female employees with senior leaders who can provide guidance, advice, and career support. In addition to mentorship, sponsorship programs can help women gain visibility in the organization, which is often essential for career advancement. By facilitating access to influential networks, these programs offer women a platform to break barriers, overcome challenges, and access leadership roles. Companies should ensure that these programs are accessible to women across all departments and provide equal opportunities for both genders to connect with mentors and sponsors.

- **Zero Tolerance for Harassment**. Implementing a zero-tolerance policy for harassment is vital for creating a safe and respectful work environment. Companies must establish clear, accessible mechanisms for employees to report incidents of harassment without fear of retaliation. This should include confidential reporting channels, prompt investigations, and appropriate disciplinary actions. Additionally, ongoing training should be provided to all employees to ensure they understand what constitutes harassment and how to prevent it. By fostering an environment where harassment is not tolerated, companies can promote a culture of respect and equality, where all employees feel safe and valued, regardless of gender.

- **Gender-Equity Training for Leadership**. Leadership plays a crucial role in shaping an organization's culture. Therefore, gender-equity training for leaders is essential to ensure they understand gender biases and are equipped with the skills to create inclusive environments. Training should focus on identifying and addressing biases in decision-making, recognizing gendered power dynamics, and fostering an equitable workplace culture. Leaders must also be trained on how to support gender diversity, handle complaints of discrimination, and promote gender-inclusive policies effectively.

- **Performance-Based Promotions**. Promotions and career advancements should be based on objective performance criteria, rather than gender, favoritism, or personal networks. Organizations must implement transparent systems for evaluating performance, ensuring that all employees, regardless of gender, are assessed based on their skills, achievements, and contributions. Gender-neutral promotion policies are essential for eliminating barriers to career advancement for women. Clear criteria for promotion and regular feedback can help employees understand how they can progress within the company and ensure that everyone has equal opportunities to move up the corporate ladder, irrespective of gender.

- **Support Networks for Women**. Support networks, such as employee resource groups, can be instrumental in providing women with a sense of community and shared experience in the workplace. These groups offer women a platform to discuss challenges they face, share strategies for overcoming barriers, and build professional networks. Additionally, these forums can provide valuable feedback to management regarding gender-related issues within the organization. Support networks not only empower women to take charge of their careers but also foster an environment where women feel valued and supported. Companies should actively promote and provide resources for these groups, helping them thrive and benefit from collective insights.

- **Diversity Metrics and Accountability**. To ensure the success of gender-neutral initiatives, companies should track and publicly report gender diversity metrics. This includes monitoring the gender ratio at all levels of the organization, the progress of diversity-related programs, and employee satisfaction regarding gender equality. By setting clear diversity goals and holding leadership accountable for achieving them, companies can ensure that gender diversity remains a priority. Transparency in reporting gender metrics builds trust within the workforce and shows that the organization is committed to improving gender equity. Companies should also align these metrics with performance evaluations for leaders, encouraging them to prioritize gender diversity in their decisions.

- **Childcare Support**. Providing childcare support is an important step in helping working parents manage their responsibilities effectively. Companies can offer on-site childcare facilities, or alternatively, provide financial assistance or subsidies for external childcare services. This reduces the stress on employees, particularly women, who often face challenges in balancing work and childcare. By alleviating the financial and logistical burden of childcare, organizations can create a more inclusive workplace that supports all employees in meeting both their personal and professional obligations. This also enhances retention and satisfaction, as employees will feel that the company values their contributions both at home and in the office.

- **Inclusive Leadership Development Programs**. Leadership development programs should be designed to identify and prepare high-potential employees for leadership roles, with an emphasis on gender inclusivity. These programs should focus on building the skills and confidence of women to take on leadership positions. This can include training in negotiation, decision-making, strategic thinking, and leadership styles, as well as providing opportunities to take on high-profile projects. By offering these development opportunities to all employees, companies ensure that leadership pipelines are diverse and that women are equipped to break through the glass ceiling. Such programs contribute to a more balanced and inclusive leadership structure in organizations.

- **Recognition of Role Models**. Recognizing and celebrating the achievements of female employees can inspire others and demonstrate the organization's commitment to gender equity. Role models serve as powerful examples of success, particularly in industries where women are underrepresented in leadership roles. Organizations should highlight the achievements of women through awards, public recognition, or leadership platforms. This recognition not only boosts morale but also creates a culture where gender equality is valued and celebrated. By making female role models visible, companies encourage other women to aspire to similar success and show that their contributions are equally important and valued.

By implementing the above given recommendations, companies can create an environment where all employees thrive, irrespective of gender. Such measures not only address gender disparities but also position organizations as leaders in diversity and inclusion.

XIII. SCOPE FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Future research on gender differences in occupational stress in the corporate sector should focus on several key areas. Exploring intersectionality, including race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic background, alongside gender, can provide deeper insights. Sector-specific studies could identify unique stressors in industries like IT, healthcare, and manufacturing. Longitudinal research tracking stress across career transitions, such as promotions or retirement, would be valuable. Examining the influence of workplace culture, leadership styles, and organizational policies on gender-based stress disparities is also crucial. Cross-cultural studies and research on the impact of remote work and AI on stress are increasingly relevant. Investigating the effectiveness of interventions like mindfulness programs and mentorship, as well as the role of family and social networks in stress management, will further contribute to the field. Lastly, using both quantitative and qualitative methods will offer a comprehensive understanding of gender-based stress and guide strategies for promoting workplace well-being and equity.

XIV. CONCLUSION

Occupational stress in the corporate sector is intricately linked to gender, with men and women experiencing and coping with stress differently. While men face pressures related to performance and financial success, women grapple with dual burdens and systemic biases. Addressing these disparities requires a holistic approach that combines psychological insights, societal reforms, and workplace interventions. Gender neutrality is not just a moral imperative but a business advantage, as diverse and inclusive teams drive innovation, productivity, and financial success. By implementing comprehensive recommendations, ranging from equal pay audits to mentorship programs, corporates can create a supportive environment where employees of all genders thrive. The examples of leading global and Indian firms underline the feasibility and benefits of gender-neutral policies. By fostering inclusivity and addressing gendered stressors, organizations can build resilient workforces that contribute to sustainable growth and societal progress. Promoting gender neutrality is thus a crucial step toward a more equitable and prosperous corporate world.

REFERENCES

[1] Allen, T. D., Herst, D. E., Bruck, C. S., & Sutton, M. (2000). Consequences associated with work-to-family conflict: A review and agenda for future research. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 5(2), 278–308.

[2] Beal, F. M. (1970). Double jeopardy: To be black and female.

[3] Bhagat, R. S., Allie, S. M., & Krishna, G. (2019). Occupational stress among corporate employees: Gender-specific stressors and coping mechanisms. Indian Journal of Organizational Behavior, 34(4), 345–362.

[4] Bhagat, R. S., & Krishna, G. (2020). Psychological coping and its gendered dimensions in corporate India. Journal of Work Psychology, 15(1), 78–95.

[5] Correll, S. J., Benard, S., & Paik, I. (2007). Getting a job: Is there a motherhood penalty? American Journal of Sociology, 112(5), 1297–1339.

[6] Eagly, A. H., & Carli, L. L. (2007). Women and the labyrinth of leadership. Harvard Business Review, 85(9), 62–71.

[7] Eagly, A. H., & Karau, S. J. (2002). Role congruity theory of prejudice toward female leaders. Psychological Review, 109(3), 573–598.

[8] Eagly, A. H., Makhijani, M. G., & Klonsky, B. G. (1992). Gender and the evaluation of leaders: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 111(1), 3–22.

[9] Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle-aged community sample. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 21(3), 219–239.

[10] Folkman, S., & Moskowitz, J. T. (2004). Coping: Pitfalls and promise. Annual Review of Psychology, 55(1), 745–774.

[11] Gaucher, D., Friesen, J., & Kay, A. C. (2011). Evidence that gendered wording in job advertisements exists and sustains gender inequality. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 101(1), 109–128.

[12] Gender and Leadership Studies Institute. (2021). Leadership diversity: Breaking the glass ceiling. GLSI Annual Report.

[13] Goldin, C., & Rouse, C. (2000). Orchestrating impartiality: The impact of "blind" auditions on female musicians. The American Economic Review, 90(4), 715–741.

[14] Gupta, A., Singh, P., & Sharma, R. (2018). Gender biases and their impact on stress and job satisfaction in IT professionals. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 29(6), 784–802.

[15] Hall, E. V., Galinsky, A. D., & Phillips, K. W. (2015). MOSAIC model of stereotypes: A conceptual framework. Social Cognition, 33(1), 10–25.

[16] Heilman, M. E. (2001). Description and prescription: How gender stereotypes prevent women's ascent up the organizational ladder. Journal of Social Issues, 57(4), 657–674.

[17] IKEA. (2021). Gender inclusivity and workplace satisfaction: 2021 report. IKEA. Retrieved from https://www.ikea.com

[18] Jones, S. M., & Gergen, K. J. (2019). Gender biases in corporate decision-making: A global perspective. Journal of Applied Psychology, 104(5), 614–629.

[19] Kumar, S. (2021). Gender sensitivity in Indian workplaces: An overview. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 23(4), 45–67.

[20] Powell, G. N., & Graves, L. M. (2003). Women and men in management. Sage Publications.

[21] Rajadhyaksha, U., & Smita, J. (2004). Work-family conflict in India: Development of a new measure. Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, 40(1), 49–62.

[22] Rajadhyaksha, U., & Smita, P. (2004). Barriers to career advancement for women in the Indian corporate sector. Indian Journal of Industrial Relations, 39(4), 571–586.

[23] Rudman, L. A., & Glick, P. (2001). Prescriptive gender stereotypes and backlash toward agentic women. Journal of Social Issues, 57(4), 743–762.

[24] Rudman, L. A., & Phelan, J. E. (2008). Backlash effects for disconfirming gender stereotypes in organizations. Research in Organizational Behavior, 28, 61–79.

[25] Schmidt, F. L., & Hunter, J. E. (1998). The validity and utility of selection methods in personnel psychology. Psychological Bulletin, 124(2), 262–274.

[26] Soni, A. (2020). Remote work: Gendered challenges in the Indian corporate sector. Journal of Contemporary Management Research, 14(2), 214–236.

[27] Soni, S. (2020). Gender diversity and inclusion in the Indian IT sector. South Asian Journal of Human Resources Management, 7(1), 45–63.

[28] Wirth, L. (2001). Breaking through the glass ceiling: Women in management. ILO Publications.