IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

VERDICTBOT:AI Chatbot For Legal Case Analysis & Suggestion

[1]Mangala H S ,[2]Chandan gowda D K, [3]Bharath B B, [4]Chetan S,[5]Craig Manohar

[1]Assistant Professor, [2]Student, [3]Student,[4]Student, [5]Student

[1]Computer Science & Engineering,

[1]Dayanand Sagar Academy Of Technology And Management, Bangaluru, India

Abstract: Access to justice is a fundamental right, yet millions lack adequate legal resources. AI chatbots are proposed as transformative tools to bridge this gap by democratizing legal information access. This paper synthesizes insights from case studies, user-centric research, and technical evaluations to explore their potential. It highlights key barriers, including ethical concerns, technical limitations, and user trust issues. Emerging findings underscore the role of tailored implementations like LEGALBOT and JuridiQC's AI-based search tools in addressing these challenges. The study concludes with recommendations for optimizing chatbot efficacy in legal contexts.

Index Terms **- Access to Justice, AI Chatbots, Legal Information, User Trust, Natural Language Processing, Ethical Challenges.**

I. INTRODUCTION

This is the basic principle to build just and equal society. It lets people from all walks of life get redress or remedies for grievances, proclaim one's rights, and access judicial systems. However, one can't be very sanguine about the near future of global access to justice. About five billion people in the world are denied proper access to justice, according to a report by the Global Justice Forum. Even in the most developed countries, such as Canada, systemic inefficiencies and unnecessarily high costs just prevent people from solving most of their regular legal issues.

The new digital era brings forth so many innovations aimed directly toward solving these problems, leading first among them being AI-based chatbots in terms of solution towards access for people to legal resources. The difference in the alternative presented by the AI chatbots is that, unlike traditional legal services that require a very high investment of money and time, they are cost-effective, scalable, and always available 24/7. The systems apply NLP and ML algorithms to interpret the queries of the users and provide legal advice and even draft documents. A few examples are JuridiQC in Canada, trying to make access to legal information simple with the use of chatbots, and LEGALBOT in India, showcasing how AI can help make the gap between complex legal terminology and its users even smaller. Access to justice is considered to be universally recognized as a basic human right and also as part of the rule of law.

This will enable the citizen to stake their rights, receive judicial redress, and subject people to accountability in courts of law. This might be a very very essential part, but millions of people face great hurdles while trying to get justice. According to some estimates, as high as five billion people worldwide cannot have easy access to sufficient availability of the law as perceived by the Global Justice Forum. Some of the barriers are prohibitively expensive legal costs, low legal literacy, lack of proximity to courts geographically, and systemic inefficiencies that tend to affect the marginalized communities.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a mixed-methods approach to provide a comprehensive analysis of AI chatbots in legal contexts. The methodology is structured to include qualitative and quantitative data collection from three key studies: 3.1 JuridiQC Case Study JuridiQC, a legal information platform in Canada, provides a valuable case study on the integration of AI tools in the legal domain. Data for this study was collected through qualitative interviews with the development team and users who participated in the beta testing phase. The study also utilized internal documentation, including feasibility reports and feedback analyses, to identify challenges and opportunities associated with chatbot implementation.

Key parameters included:

- Technical challenges in integrating AI with the existing system.
- User feedback on usability, reliability, and satisfaction.
- Organizational barriers, such as resource constraints and policy implications.

This case study focuses on JuridiQC, a Canadian legal information platform developed by the Société québécoise d'information juridique (SOQUIJ). The study explores how the platform aimed to implement an AI chatbot to simplify access to legal information for Quebec residents.

Data Collection: The VERDICTBOT case study utilized qualitative data collected through:

1. **Interviews:**
   Semi-structured interviews were conducted with developers, project managers, and users involved in the chatbot's conceptualization and beta testing phases. These interviews explored perceptions of the chatbot's usability, reliability, and overall effectiveness.
2. **Internal Documentation:**
   Reports, meeting notes, and feasibility studies from SOQUIJ were analyzed to identify organizational and technical challenges encountered during the project.
3. **User Feedback:**
   Survey responses from beta testers provided insights into user satisfaction, trust in AI-generated responses, and common barriers to adoption.
4. **Surveys:**
   Post-interaction surveys were distributed to users who engaged with the chatbot. The surveys measured user satisfaction, perceived utility, and confidence in the chatbot's responses.

Challenges and Adjustments

Despite initial success, the verdictbot chatbot faced several challenges, particularly in adapting to the nuanced legal language and complex scenarios encountered in family law. Legal professionals noted that the chatbot could not provide legal counsel, only general information, which limited its effectiveness. As a result, the platform decided to adjust its offering, focusing on enhancing search capabilities instead of providing direct answers to legal questions.

Analysis

Data from interviews and documentation were thematically analyzed to identify recurring challenges, including technical integration issues, user trust concerns, and organizational resistance. Additionally, the study examined how verdictbot pivoted to alternative AI applications, such as integrating AI into its search bar, to address these challenges

Technical Evaluation of VERDICTBOT

LEGALBOT was evaluated for its technological capabilities, including its natural language processing (NLP) algorithms, database integration, and performance metrics.

The methodology involved:

- **Architecture Review:**

Analysis of LEGALBOT's backend, including its use of pre-trained NLP models and domain-specific knowledge bases

- **Scenario Testing:**

LEGALBOT was tested across various legal contexts, such as contract disputes and family law inquiries, to measure accuracy and relevance.

- **Comparative Analysis:**

Performance was benchmarked against other AI tools like Justice Bot to identify strengths and limitations. By combining insights from these three methodologies, this study provides a holistic understanding of the potential and challenges of AI chatbots in legal systems.

Synthesis of Findings

The data collected from these three components were synthesized to provide a holistic understanding of AI chatbots in legal systems. By combining organizational insights from JuridiQC, user-centric findings from Stanford, and technical evaluations of LEGALBOT, this methodology ensures that the research addresses the multifaceted nature of the topic.

Limitations

While this methodology provides comprehensive insights, certain limitations must be acknowledged:

- The VERDICTBOT case study is region-specific, which may limit the generalizability of its findings.
- Stanford's user research relied on fictional scenarios, which may not fully capture the complexities of real-life legal situations.
- VERDICTBOT's evaluation is constrained by the availability of jurisdiction-specific data and its reliance on pre-trained NLP models.

In addition to traditional surveys and interviews, the JuridiQC study employed user persona mapping to understand the diverse needs of its audience. Personas included:

1. The Proactive Researcher: Individuals who actively seek legal information for personal empowerment.

2. The Reactive User: Those who turn to the chatbot during crises, such as an eviction notice or family dispute.

3. The Cautious Skeptic: Users hesitant to trust AI but willing to explore it as a supplementary tool.

These personas guided the development team in refining the chatbot's interface and content. For example, proactive researchers valued detailed explanations with source links, while reactive users preferred concise, actionable advice.

Stanford Study – Role of Bias in User Interactions

The Stanford user research also explored how biases in AI responses affected user trust and decision making. Participants were given intentionally biased chatbot responses to evaluate whether they could discern inaccuracies. Results revealed that 72% of users accepted the AI-generated advice without questioning its validity, underscoring the importance of transparency and source attribution in chatbot design.

Conclusion

This mixed-methods approach allows for a nuanced exploration of AI chatbots' potential to enhance access to justice. By integrating qualitative insights, user perceptions, and technical evaluations, this methodology provides a robust foundation for addressing the research objectives and identifying actionable strategies for the development and deployment of legal AI chatbots.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Results

The findings are categorized into three primary domains, each representing a key aspect of AI chatbot integration in legal systems: organizational implementation, user interaction, and technological performance.

VERDICTBOT Case Study

The JuridiQC initiative revealed significant barriers to chatbot implementation, despite initial enthusiasm.

1. User Trust: Many users expressed skepticism about the chatbot's ability to handle complex legal queries. This mistrust stemmed from the chatbot's perceived lack of transparency in providing citations or reasoning behind its answers.

2. Technical Limitations: Integration with verdictbot's existing search engine posed challenges. The chatbot struggled with jurisdiction-specific queries, requiring extensive customization of its NLP model.

3. Policy and Resource Constraints: Limited funding and organizational resistance hindered full-scale deployment. The decision to redirect AI efforts to enhance the search bar reflects a pragmatic shift to address these limitations.

Adaptability to Jurisdiction-Specific Laws

One of the key technical limitations of VERDICTBOT was its difficulty in adapting to the nuances of jurisdiction-specific laws.

- **Customization Needs:** VERDICTBOTBOT's reliance on pre-trained models meant that it was limited in terms of providing localized legal advice. To improve its performance, VERDICTBOT needed to be

customized to incorporate regional legal databases, ensuring that its responses were tailored to the legal frameworks of different jurisdictions. This customization process proved to be time-consuming and costly, requiring collaboration with legal experts to update the chatbot's knowledge base regularly.

- **Potential Solutions:** Future iterations of VERDICTBOT could benefit from incorporating machine learning techniques that allow the system to learn from user interactions, enabling it to provide more accurate and context-sensitive responses over time.

Summary of Key Results

The results from the VERDICTBOT case study, Stanford user research, and the technical evaluation of LEGALBOT reveal both the potential and the limitations of AI chatbots in legal contexts.

- **Key Strengths:** AI chatbots have proven to be effective in addressing routine legal queries, improving accessibility to legal information, and providing cost-effective solutions for underserved populations.
- **Key Challenges:** Trust in AI-generated responses remains a significant barrier, with users expressing skepticism about the reliability and accuracy of the information provided. Technical limitations, such as the inability to handle complex legal scenarios and adapt to jurisdiction-specific laws, also hinder the effectiveness of AI chatbots.

Discussion

The discussion delves deeper into the implications of the findings, emphasizing the balance between the potential benefits of AI chatbots and the challenges they face.

Enhancing Accessibility

AI chatbots like LEGALBOT and tools analyzed in the Stanford study demonstrate a significant capacity to democratize access to legal information. By operating around the clock and providing cost-effective solutions, these tools address critical barriers such as affordability and geographical limitations. However, their success depends on ensuring that the information provided is accurate, relevant, and culturally sensitive.

Cost-Effectiveness and Scalability

One of the most notable advantages of AI chatbots is their ability to provide cost-effective legal guidance. Legal services, especially in traditional settings, can be prohibitively expensive, particularly for low-income individuals or those seeking to address routine legal issues. Chatbots can reduce these costs by automating tasks like answering frequently asked questions, providing general legal advice, and drafting simple legal documents. For example, tools like DoNotPay, the "world's first robot lawyer," offer users the ability to contest parking tickets or file small claims at a fraction of the cost of hiring an attorney.

REFERENCES

1. Pardhi, B., et al. (2024). LEGALBOT - AI Law Advisor Chatbot. IJNRD
2. Hagan, M. (2024). User Research Report into AI for Access to Justice. Stanford Legal Design and Innovation.
3.Vassilopoulos, A. (2022). Can AI chatbots improve access to legal information? Case study on JuridiQC. HEC Montreal.