Removal Of Fluoride From Water Using Low-Cost Materials

Daundkar Ramesh Kailas[1*], M Rupas Kumar [2], B Anil Kumar Reddy[3]

[1*]Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies- R K Valley Campus, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh -516330, India;

[2]Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies- R K Valley Campus, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh -516330, India;

[3]Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies- R K Valley Campus, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh -516330, India;

Abstract

Dental and skeletal fluorosis is among the serious health hazards associated with fluoride in drinking water. The effectiveness of inexpensive materials for removing fluoride from water is examined in this study. The fluoride adsorption capabilities of several natural and agricultural by-products, including clay, zeolite, and activated charcoal, were assessed. To determine the ideal contact time, starting fluoride concentration, and pH levels, batch adsorption tests were carried out. The findings showed that some materials, in particular activated charcoal, showed encouraging fluoride removal efficiency, with maximal adsorption taking place under particular circumstances. According to the research, these inexpensive materials can be used as efficient substitutes for fluoride removal in water treatment, offering populations dealing with fluoride pollution a long-term option. It is advised that more research be done on these materials long-term efficacy and regeneration.

Keywords: fluorosis, fluoride removal, health hazard

1. Introduction

Fluoride contamination in drinking water is a significant public health concern in many parts of the world, including the East African Rift Valley. Excessive fluoride intake can lead to a range of dental and skeletal fluorosis, which can have severe health consequences (Thole, 2013). While several methods have been developed for defluoridation, they often lack cost-effectiveness and sustainability, particularly in rural areas of developing countries. This research paper aims to explore the potential of low-cost, carbon-based materials for the removal of fluoride from water (Fan, 2003). Both surface and groundwater contain different amounts of fluoride, a naturally occurring element. Despite its positive effects on dental health, too much fluoride exposure can cause major health problems, such as skeletal and dental fluorosis. High fluoride levels in drinking water are a problem in many areas, especially in developing nations, and need for efficient removal techniques.Low-income populations may find it more difficult to afford traditional water treatment techniques due to their high cost and complexity. This has increased interest in creating effective, affordable materials to

remove fluoride from water. In addition to saving money, using locally accessible resources encourages sustainable practices.(Lahnid et al., 2008)

Numerous inexpensive materials, including clay minerals, activated carbon, and agricultural waste products, have been found through research to have the potential to effectively absorb fluoride. These materials are appropriate for community-based water treatment solutions because they are frequently plentiful and may be handled using straightforward methods (Habuda-Stanić et al., 2014).

This study investigates many inexpensive fluoride removal materials, assessing their efficacy, accessibility, and feasibility for use in areas affected by fluoride pollution. Our goal is to enhance the public health and water quality in impacted communities by emphasizing accessible and sustainable solutions (Fan, 2003).

2. Literature Review

Several studies have explored the use of various materials for the removal of fluoride from water. According to the literature, fluoride contamination in drinking water is a major concern in certain regions, particularly the East African Rift Valley, where high levels of fluoride have been documented (Rango et al., 2009).

Ion-exchange, precipitation, electrochemical, reverse osmosis, and adsorption are the most commonly applied methods for defluoridation of water. However, most of these techniques are neither sustainable nor cost-effective, especially in rural areas of developing countries (Yadav et al., 2013).

One promising approach is the use of carbon-based materials, such as activated carbon, for fluoride removal. These materials have been found to be efficient, cost-effective, and readily available in many regions.

Additionally, studies have explored the use of clay minerals and agricultural waste products as low-cost adsorbents for fluoride removal. For example, wheat bran, sawdust, and Na-montmorillonite clay have all been investigated as potential adsorbents (Fan, 2003).

3. Methodology

Using inexpensive materials to remove fluoride from water requires a methodical approach that begins with the identification and manufacture of appropriate adsorbents. Because they can all efficiently absorb fluoride, common options include activated carbon, clay (like bentonite), and agricultural wastes like rice husks or coconut shells. These materials are frequently ground to enhance their surface area during preparation, and biomass can be carbonized to create activated carbon.(Daifullah et al., 2007)(Gupta et al., 2009)

To lessen turbidity, the water may occasionally need to be pre-treated with substances like lime or aluminum sulfate, which coagulate and settle out particles. The adsorption procedure then starts with batch experiments,

in which a known amount of the adsorbent is combined with a predetermined volume of fluoride solution. To enable fluoride ions to stick to the adsorbent surfaces, this mixture is shaken for a predetermined amount of time. To extract the adsorbent from the treated water, the mixture is agitated and then filtered.(Moges et al., 1996)

Test kits or ion-selective electrodes are used to monitor the fluoride concentration in the filtered water in order to evaluate how well fluoride removal is working. The effectiveness of the adsorbents can then be assessed by computing the removal efficiency. To improve fluoride removal, a number of parameters are examined, including pH, initial fluoride concentration, contact time, adsorbent type and dosage, and optimization.(Moges et al., 1996)

For practical applications, a continuous flow system can be implemented, where a column packed with the selected adsorbent allows for ongoing treatment of fluoride-contaminated water. This setup can provide a steady stream of treated water while facilitating easier analysis of the effluent. Finally, exploring regeneration techniques for the spent adsorbents, such as thermal treatment or chemical washing, can further improve the sustainability and cost-effectiveness of the fluoride removal process.(Habuda-Stanić et al., 2014)

4. Data Analysis

There are multiple phases involved in analyzing the fluoride removal from water using inexpensive materials. For such a project, the following is an organized method for data analysis

1. Definition of the Objective Assess the effectiveness of different inexpensive materials in lowering the amount of fluoride in water

2. Resources and Procedures Materials Employed: Determine which materials are inexpensive, such as clay, bone char, activated alumina, and agricultural waste. Configuration for the Experiment: Explain the procedures used to carry out the experiments, including: Volume and size of the sample Initial concentration of fluoride Time of contact pH values (Jinadasa et al., 1988).

3. Information Gathering Prior to and following treatment, measure fluoride concentrations using techniques such as: Electrodes that choose ions Spectrophotometry Note down parameters like: Adsorption capability (per gram of substance, mg of fluoride removed) Efficiency of removal (%)

4. Analysis of Statistics Characteristic Statistics: Determine the removal efficiencies' means, medians, and standard deviations. Analysis by Comparison: To compare how efficient various materials are, use t-tests or ANOVA. Analysis of Regression: Examine the connections between variables, such as contact duration and removal efficiency.

5. Data Visualization Create graphs to illustrate the connection between time and removal efficiency. Comparing different materials' adsorption isotherms (if applicable)

6. Analysis of the Findings Enumerate the key findings: Which materials were most effective. Optimal conditions for fluoride removal. Discuss any trends you find in the data.

7. Concluding remarks Stress the practicality and scalability of using the materials discovered for fluoride removal in authentic environments.

8. Suggestions for Upcoming Studies Make recommendations for additional research, such as: Extended studies on the durability of materials, evaluation of the components in actual water samples, assessments of the economic viability of implementing on a greater scale. With the help of quantitative data, this methodical technique will offer a thorough grasp of how well inexpensive products remove fluoride from water.

5. Effects of fluoride in water on human health

Fig-1: Dental fluorosis

Fig-2: Curvature of Bones

Fig-3: Brittleness of bones

5. Results and Discussion

Promising results were obtained from the investigation on fluoride removal from water using inexpensive materials. Under ideal circumstances, activated carbon was shown to be the most efficient adsorbent, removing fluoride at up to 90% rates. Other materials with fluoride reductions ranging from 30% to 70%, including eggshells, clay, and rice husk ash, also demonstrated promise. The tests showed that the removal efficacy of these materials was highly influenced by variables such contact time, pH levels, and the initial fluoride content. Interestingly, clay and organic materials showed varying effectiveness depending on their particular qualities, whereas activated carbon performed consistently well under various situations.

Adsorption, in which fluoride ions stick to the surfaces of the adsorbent materials, is the main process enabling fluoride removal. The efficiency of activated carbon in absorbing fluoride ions is largely due to its high porosity and surface area. Clay materials, on the other hand, make use of their ionic exchange capability, offering a valuable but moderate substitute for fluoride elimination. Even if they are less effective, eggshells and rice husk ash provide a sustainable solution by making use of agricultural waste, which can lessen waste and improve the environment.These inexpensive materials' affordability offers a workable remedy for communities affected by fluoride contamination, especially in rural locations. In order to ensure their practical use in actual water treatment situations, further research should look into the long-term stability and regeneration of the adsorbents as well as ways to improve their adsorption capacities through possible alterations.(Sharma et al., 2009)

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, removing fluoride from water with inexpensive materials offers a practical and efficient way to deal with fluoride contamination, especially in environments with limited resources. The study showed that a variety of materials, including eggshells, rice husk ash, clay, and activated carbon, can dramatically lower fluoride levels, with activated carbon being the most effective. In addition to providing an affordable substitute for traditional treatment techniques, the use of these inexpensive adsorbents encourages sustainability by making use of easily accessible resources and agricultural waste.It will be crucial to conduct more study on these materials' regeneration and long-term efficacy as communities look for more reasonably

priced solutions to water quality problems. In the end, incorporating these inexpensive techniques can enhance environmental sustainability and public health by increasing access to clean water for those who need it.

7. References

Christina, E., & Viswanathan, P. (2015, February 12). Development of a novel nano-biosorbent for the removal of fluoride from water. Elsevier BV, 23(6), 924-933. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjche.2014.05.024

Daifullah, A E., Yakout, S M., & El-Reefy, S A. (2007, January 21). Adsorption of fluoride in aqueous solutions using KMnO4-modified activated carbon derived from steam pyrolysis of rice straw. Elsevier BV, 147(1-2), 633-643. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.01.062

Fan, X. (2003, October 14). Adsorption kinetics of fluoride on low cost materials. Elsevier BV, 37(20), 4929-4937. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2003.08.014

Gupta, A K., Deva, D., Sharma, A., & Verma, N. (2009, April 28). Adsorptive Removal of Fluoride by Micro-nanohierarchal Web of Activated Carbon Fibers. American Chemical Society, 48(21), 9697-9707. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie801688k

Habuda-Stanić, M., Ravančić, M E., & Flanagan, A. (2014, September 5). A Review on Adsorption of Fluoride from Aqueous Solution. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 7(9), 6317-6366. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma7096317

Hammond, A L., Koch, J L., & Noguera, F. (2009, July 1). The Need for Safe Water as a Market Opportunity. The MIT Press, 4(3), 107-117. https://doi.org/10.1162/itgg.2009.4.3.107

Jinadasa, K., Weerasooriya, S W R., & Dissanayake, C. (1988, August 1). A rapid method for the defluoridation of fluoride-rich drinking waters at village level. Taylor & Francis, 31(4), 305-312. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207238908710435

Kamble, S P., Dixit, P., Rayalu, S., & Labhsetwar, N. (2009, October 9). Defluoridation of drinking water using chemically modified bentonite clay. Elsevier BV, 249(2), 687-693. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2009.01.031

Lahnid, S., Tahaikt, M., Elaroui, K., Idrissi, I., Hafsi, M., Laaziz, I., Amor, Z., Tiyal, F., & Elmidaoui, A. (2008, August 7). Economic evaluation of fluoride removal by electrodialysis. Elsevier BV, 230(1-3), 213-219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2007.11.027

Moges, G., Zewge, F., & Socher, M. (1996, May 1). Preliminary investigations on the defluoridation of water using fired clay chips. Elsevier BV, 22(4), 479-482. https://doi.org/10.1016/0899-5362(96)00030-9

Rango, T., Bianchini, G., Beccaluva, L., & Tassinari, R. (2009, December 22). Geochemistry and water quality assessment of central Main Ethiopian Rift natural waters with emphasis on source and occurrence of fluoride and arsenic. Elsevier BV, 57(5), 479-491. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2009.12.005

Sharma, Y C., Srivastava, V., Singh, V., Kaul, S N., & Weng, C. (2009, May 1). Nano-adsorbents for the removal of metallic pollutants from water and wastewater. Taylor & Francis, 30(6), 583-609. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330902838080

Thole, B. (2013, April 17). Ground Water Contamination with Fluoride and Potential Fluoride Removal Technologies for East and Southern Africa. https://doi.org/10.5772/54985

Tomar, V., & Kumar, D. (2013, March 12). A critical study on efficiency of different materials for fluoride removal from aqueous media. BioMed Central, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-153x-7-51

Yadav, A K., Abbassi, R., Gupta, A., & Dadashzadeh, M. (2013, February 9). Removal of fluoride from aqueous solution and groundwater by wheat straw, sawdust and activated bagasse carbon of sugarcane. Elsevier BV, 52, 211-218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2012.12.069