# "Local Government in India: present perspectives"

# Dr. Mangesh Kumar

Department of Political Science Kuvempu University Shankaraghatta - Shivammoga

#### **Abstract**

India introduced a constitutionally 'encouraged' local governance system at grassroots level in 1950 called Panchayati Raj (PRI). PRIs are now over two decades old forming the third tier of government and carrying huge responsibility as the bridge connecting citizens to governance and delivery mechanisms. With over 65% of India living in its villages, the performance of PRIs is crucial for poverty alleviation, enhancing livelihoods and more importantly attaining distributive justice. This chapter examines local governance in India primarily from three platforms – history, representation and delivery, to see whether its performance matches its promise. Obstacles to the development of PRIs and role of political will to sustain it are deliberated and some suggestions made. In essence, it suggests that democratic decentralization in the model of PRIs can only succeed when institutions function unaffected by party considerations and political citizenship is facilitated through voice and awareness programs.

Key words; Panchayath, Democracy, gram sabha, MGNRGA, Houseing, SB and sanction etc...

## **Introduction:**

It's been 25 years since decentralised democratic governance was introduced in India by the 73rd and 74th Constitution Amendments, which came into force on April 24 and June 1, 1993, respectively. Moving the 73rd Amendment Bill on December 1, 1992, the Minister of State in the Rural Development Ministry underscored the "duty on the Centre as well as the States to establish and nourish the village panchayats so as to make them effective-self-governing institutions." Even after 25 years, local government expenditure as a percentage of total public sector expenditure

comprising Union, State and local governments is only around 7% as compared to 24% in Europe, 27% in North America and 55% in Denmark.

Local expenditure as a percentage of GDP is only 2 per cent compared with the OECD (14 per cent), China (11 per cent), and Brazil (7 per cent). The own source revenue of local governments as a share of total public sector own source revenue is only a little over 2% and if disaggregated, the Panchayat share is a negligible 0.3% (several States like Rajasthan, Punjab and Haryana have abolished property taxes and others do not collect taxes). This speaks of the fiscal weakness of village panchayats.

#### Structural reforms by passing 73rd and 74th amendments:

The structural reforms that followed heralded an inclusive, responsive, participatory democracy which was tasked to deliver economic development and social justice at the grass-roots level. These reforms did not mean de-concentration or delegation. They were not even variants of fiscal federalism, which is much-theorised by Western public finance pundits and generally endorsed by their Indian counterparts.

The creation of lakhs of "self-governing" village panchayats and gram sabhas, with over three million elected representatives mandated to manage local development, was a unique democratic experiment in the contemporary world.

Parts IX and IXA of the Constitution, introduced following the two Constitution Amendments, initiated a process with standardized features such as elections every five years; reservations for historically marginalized communities and women; the creation of participatory institutions; the establishment of State Finance Commissions (SFCs), a counterpart of the Finance Commission at the sub-national level; the creation of District Planning Committees (DPCs); and so on.

#### **Present Situation:**

Given the unprecedented growth of the economy over the last 25 years, its limited success in ensuring primary health care, access to drinking water supply, street lighting, education, food security, and so on is an enigma. The media and mainstream economists who get nervous when there is even a small slippage in the quarterly economic growth rate have been silent on this social failure in local democracy. Indeed, the village panchayats have not succeeded in enhancing the well-being, capabilities and freedom of citizens.



Source: Gram sbaha photos from bannihatty GP, Byadgi Tq. Karnataka

A systemic failure: What went wrong? Skipping the several success stories, which are exceptions, what happened to the third tier may be hypothesised as a systemic failure. While the economic reforms were championed by the political class and received support from the bureaucracy, there was no perceptible hand-holding and support by the States to foster decentralised governance.

From the beginning, whether it was postponing elections or the failure to constitute SFCs and DPCs, it became evident that States can violate the various provisions of Parts IX and IXA with impunity. These are the provisions which envisage the delivery of social justice and economic development at the local level. It appears that the judiciary has been indifferent to the two momentous amendments and their potential.

There was no institutional decentralisation except in Kerala. The roles and responsibilities of local governments remain ill-defined despite activity mapping in several States. States control funds, functions and functionaries, making autonomous governance almost impossible. Most States continue to create parallel bodies (often fiefdoms of ministers and senior bureaucrats) that make inroads into the functional domain of local governments.

For example, Haryana has created a Rural Development Agency, presided over by the Chief Minister, to enter into the functional domain of panchayats. Legislative approval of these parallel

bodies legitimizes the process of weakening decentralized democracy. In States like Gujarat, the DPC has not been constituted. A potential instrument to reduce growing regional imbalances is left to rot.

#### Panchayati raj institutions suffer from structural lacunae:

No secretarial support – No dedicated carder of people or service is working for Panchayati raj. This make administrative and documentation work very difficult.

Low technical knowledge – has restricted the aggregation of planning from village to block to district to state to centre. Hence bottom up approach of planning is very limited.

iii. Adhoc meetings – lack of clear setting of agenda in gram sabha, gram samiti meetings; there is lot of adhocism; no proper structureProxy for reserved seats: Landlord and relatives many a times give proxy for SC/ST's and women.

# Continuity and change

Looking back, there was a clear lack of continuity, and change for the better. Following the Constitution Amendments, Article 280, establishing the Finance Commission, was amended to add 280 (3) (bb) and (c), designed to empower the third tier.

Even so, there is no credible fiscal data base and budget system among local governments now. That accountability arrangements remain very weak even after 25 years shows a lack of will. The 13th Finance Commission made significant steps to carry forward decentralised governance by linking the grants to local governments to the divisible pool via Article 275 besides taking various measures to incentivize the process of decentralization. Despite the reservation of seats for Adivasis, Dalits and women, these categories remain on the periphery, often as victims of atrocities and caste oppression rather than as active agents of social change. This means that involving women's agencies and the marginalized to lead social transformation at the grass-roots level remains an uphill task.

Following steps should be taken to translate democratic idea of Panchayat into action

Adequate training: to develop expertise in the members so that they contribute more in planning process and in implementation of policies and programmes. Social empowerment: must precede political empowerment. Only then problem of proxy members could be solved.

iii. Devolution of powers: Decentralization of governance can be achieved with devolution of power to lower levels. More financial resource generation powers should be give to these institutions.

Qualifications criteria: Certain minimum qualification standards, as set recently by Rajasthan and Haryan, for fighting Panchayat elections will help in improving its effectiveness in governance mechanism. Recently some states like Rajasthan and Haryana introduces such provisions, which was held to be constitutional by the Supreme Court. But it should be done only when state has already ensured universal education.

### Way Forward

Local democracy in India is in deep disarray. The immediate need of the hour is to take remedial action in the interest of democracy, social inclusion and cooperative federalism. Thus, it calls for a more stricter regulatory framework by the State Election Commission in checking out the role of money and muscle power; in defining the code of conduct to be followed by the political parties such that democracy truly reaches its grassroots as was envisioned by our constitution makers; voters need to be made aware of carefully exercising their voting rights-this would bring in proper decentralization and inclusive development following a bottom-up approach. Sustainable decentralization comes from the demands of the people and advocacy should focus on a decentralization agenda. Indeed, the framework needs to be evolved to accommodate the demand for decentralization.

Only education and knowledge, and pro-active interventions by emancipatory socio-political movements, can help achieve this. The media can also play a significant agenda-building role in bringing this about. In the NREGA programme, 40% of the money allocated is for the material component, whereas the remaining 60% is for salaries. These funds are available with the states and can be used to build panchayat bhavans.

All these provisions in the constitution as well as the government policies are right steps towards the Dr. B. R. Ambedker's and many more leaders dream of achieving not only political democracy but also social democracy in which giving voice to the voiceless society. we need to take these steps to make our Panchayati Raj Institutions, effective centres of local governance.

#### **Conclusion:**

- 1. "The Local Government System in India" (PDF). Commonwealth Local Government Forum.
- 2. The Constitution (Seventy-fourth Amendment) Act, 1992
- 3. The Constitution (Seventy-third Amendment) Act, 1992
- 4. Singh, Vijandra (2003). "Chapter 5: Panchayati Raj and Gandhi". Panchayati Raj and Village Development: Volume 3, Perspectives on Panchayati Raj Administration. Studies in public administration. New Delhi: Sarup & Sons. pp. 84–90. ISBN 978-81-7625-392-5.
- Government of India, Report of the Team for the Study of Community Projects and National Extension Service, (Chairperson: Balvantray Mehta), Committee on Plan Projects, National Development Council, (New Delhi, November 1957), Vol. I,
- Anirban Kashyap: Panchaytiraj, Views of founding fathers and recommendation of different committees, New Delhi, Lancer Books, 1989 P 109
- Anirban Kashyap: Panchaytiraj, Views of founding fathers and recommendation of different committees, New Delhi, Lancer Books, 1989 P 112
- 8. Pratiyogita Darpan. Pratiyogita Darpan. Retrieved 2015-04-10.
- World Bank: Overview of ruraldecentralisation in indi Volume III World Bank, 2000 P
- Mahoj Rai et al. :The state of Panchayats A participatory perspective, New Delhi,
  Smscriti, 2001 P 9
- 11. The Constitution (Seventy Third Amendment) Act, 1992, The Gazette of India, Ministry of Law, Justice and Company Affairs, New Delhi, 1993.
- 12. T M Thomas Issac with Richard Franke: Local democracy and development Peoples Campaign for decentralized planning in Kerala, New Delhi, Leftword Books, 2000 P 19
- 13. Fahim, Mayraj (24 May 2009). "Local government in India still carries characteristics of its colonial heritage". City Mayors Foundation.
- 14. http://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR1805348.pdf
- 15. www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR1805245.pdf
- 16. www.ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT1813010.pdf
- 17. www.ijcrt.org/viewfull.php?&p id=IJCRT1813018
- 18. http://www.ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT1892499.pdf
- 19. http://www.ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT1892501.pdf

- 20. <a href="http://www.ijirmps.org/research-paper.php?id=151">http://www.ijirmps.org/research-paper.php?id=151</a>
- 21. http://www.jetir.org/view?paper=JETIR1806116
- 22. http://www.jetir.org/view?paper=JETIR1806117
- 23. http://www.jetir.org/view?paper=JETIR1806118
- 24. http://www.jetir.org/view?paper=JETIR1806478
- 25. http://www.jetir.org/view?paper=JETIR1806479
- 26. http://www.jetir.org/view?paper=JETIR1702029
- 27. http://www.jetir.org/view?paper=JETIR1702030
- 28. http://www.ijcrt.org/viewfull.php?&p\_id=IJCRT1813224
- 29. http://www.ijcrt.org/viewfull.php?&p\_id=IJCRT1813225
- 30. http://www.ijcrt.org/viewfull.php?&p\_id=IJCRT1813226
- 31. http://www.ijcrt.org/viewfull.php?&p\_id=IJCRT1813316
- 32. http://www.ijcrt.org/viewfull.php?&p\_id=IJCRT1813309
- 33. http://www.ijcrt.org/viewfull.php?&p\_id=IJCRT1033028
- 34. http://www.ijcrt.org/viewfull.php?&p\_id=IJCRT1033029
- 35. http://www.ijrar.org/viewfull.php?&p\_id=IJRAR1903066
- 36. http://www.ijrar.org/viewfull.php?&p\_id=IJRAR1903067

