



AN EXPLORATORY STUDY ON PEASANTS' CHANGING PATTERN OF LAND OWNERSHIP FROM PRE-COLONIAL TO COLONIAL TIMES IN INDIA

Dr. P. Mahadeeswaraiah, Department of Economics, Rayalaseema University, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh,
Mobile: 9491592661, Email: mahadeeswaraiah22@gmail.com

Abstract

The transformation of agrarian relations in India from the pre-colonial to the colonial period represents a critical phase in the socio-economic history of the subcontinent. This study examines the changing patterns of land ownership and the condition of the peasantry, with particular reference to Andhra region during the 18th century. In the pre-colonial period, agrarian relations were shaped by customary rights, local administrative practices, and the patronage extended by rulers to cultivators. However, political instability, the decline of centralized empires, and the rise of regional powers led to increased exploitation of peasants through heavy taxation and forced labour systems. With the advent of colonial rule, new land revenue systems such as Zamindari and Ryotwari fundamentally altered traditional agrarian structures. These systems intensified economic distress by increasing revenue demands, encouraging commercialization of agriculture, and strengthening intermediaries. The study highlights how peasants were gradually dispossessed of their lands due to indebtedness, usurious moneylending, and administrative coercion. It also examines the role of natural calamities, labour exploitation, and social hierarchies in deepening agrarian crisis. By analyzing archival records, contemporary accounts, and historical studies, this paper argues that the transition from pre-colonial to colonial agrarian systems resulted in the marginalization of peasants and the emergence of widespread rural poverty, which had long-term consequences for India's economic and social development.

Keywords: *Peasantry, Land Ownership, Agrarian Change, Colonial Economy, Zamindari System, Ryotwari System, Forced Labour, Andhra History, Rural Poverty, Agrarian Crisis*

1. Introduction

The agrarian structure of India has undergone profound transformations over centuries, shaped by political authority, economic systems, and social hierarchies. The transition from pre-colonial to colonial rule, particularly during the 18th and early 19th centuries, marked a decisive turning point in the history of land ownership and peasant life. This period witnessed the gradual erosion of traditional agrarian arrangements and the emergence of new systems that fundamentally altered the relationship between the state, landholders, and cultivators.

In pre-colonial India, land was not merely an economic resource but also a social and political institution. Peasants cultivated land under customary rights, often paying a share of produce to rulers or local authorities. The system, though not free from exploitation, maintained a certain degree of balance between the

interests of rulers and cultivators. In regions like Andhra, rulers such as the Vijayanagara kings encouraged agricultural expansion by offering tax concessions and incentives to peasants.

However, the decline of centralized empires, particularly the Mughal Empire, and the rise of regional powers in the 18th century led to increased instability. Frequent wars, raids, and political fragmentation disrupted agricultural production and exposed peasants to exploitation by local chiefs, revenue farmers, and military authorities. Heavy taxation, forced labour practices, and lack of administrative protection further aggravated the condition of the peasantry.

The advent of colonial rule introduced new land revenue systems that prioritized revenue extraction over agrarian welfare. The Zamindari and Ryotwari systems, implemented by the British East India Company, restructured land ownership patterns and intensified economic pressures on peasants. These systems not only increased taxation but also facilitated the rise of intermediaries and moneylenders who played a crucial role in dispossessing peasants of their land.

This paper examines these transformations with a focus on Andhra region, highlighting the changing patterns of land ownership and the socio-economic conditions of peasants. It seeks to understand how historical processes contributed to the marginalization of the peasantry and the emergence of rural distress.

2. Objectives of the Study

The study is guided by the following objectives:

1. To examine the agrarian structure of pre-colonial India with reference to Andhra region.
2. To analyze the impact of political instability on peasant life during the 18th century.
3. To study the nature and functioning of colonial land revenue systems.
4. To evaluate the role of moneylenders and intermediaries in land dispossession.
5. To assess the impact of forced labour and caste hierarchy on agricultural labour.
6. To examine the causes of peasant indebtedness and poverty.
7. To analyze the changing patterns of land ownership from pre-colonial to colonial periods.
8. To understand the long-term consequences of agrarian transformation on rural society.

3. Review of Literature

The study of agrarian relations in India has attracted significant scholarly attention. Early colonial administrators focused primarily on land revenue systems, emphasizing their efficiency and contribution to state income. However, later scholars critically examined these systems and highlighted their exploitative nature.

William Moreland's work provides valuable insights into Mughal agrarian systems and their economic foundations. J. F. Richards examined administrative practices in regions like Golkonda, shedding light on revenue extraction and governance.

P. Sundarayya and other scholars of peasant movements have emphasized the role of agrarian distress in shaping resistance. A. R. Desai's sociological approach situates agrarian change within the broader framework of Indian nationalism and class relations.

Regional studies, particularly those focusing on Andhra, highlight the role of local conditions in shaping agrarian structures. Works by Pattabhirama Reddy and others provide detailed accounts of agrarian relations and labour systems in medieval and early modern Andhra.

Contemporary accounts by European travellers such as Abbe Dubois and Francois Bernier offer vivid descriptions of peasant life, highlighting poverty, exploitation, and social inequality.

Despite extensive scholarship, there remains a need to integrate regional experiences with broader national trends. This study attempts to bridge that gap by focusing on Andhra within the larger context of Indian agrarian transformation.

4. Methodology

The study adopts a historical-analytical approach. It relies on both primary and secondary sources to reconstruct agrarian conditions.

1. Primary sources include district records, government reports, epigraphic records, and contemporary accounts. These sources provide direct evidence of land revenue practices, labour conditions, and administrative policies.
2. Secondary sources include scholarly books, journal articles, and historical studies that offer interpretative frameworks.
3. The study uses qualitative analysis to examine themes such as land ownership, taxation, labour exploitation, and social hierarchy. Comparative analysis is employed to understand differences between pre-colonial and colonial systems.

5. Results and Discussion

- ❖ **Pre-Colonial Agrarian Structure:** In pre-colonial Andhra, agriculture formed the backbone of the economy. Rulers encouraged cultivation by offering incentives such as tax exemptions. However, the system was not free from exploitation. Forced labour practices such as *Racha vetti*, *Grama vetti*, and *Kula vetti* were prevalent. Peasants often faced harassment from revenue farmers and local officials. Migration was sometimes used as a strategy to escape oppression.
- ❖ **Impact of Political Instability:** The 18th century was marked by political turmoil, including Maratha raids and conflicts among local chiefs. These disturbances disrupted agriculture and led to widespread insecurity. Peasants were often victims of violence, forced taxation, and displacement. Agricultural production declined due to lack of stability and investment.
- ❖ **Colonial Land Revenue Systems:** The introduction of Zamindari and Ryotwari systems transformed agrarian relations. These systems prioritized revenue collection over peasant welfare. High revenue demands forced peasants into debt. Many lost their land to moneylenders and became agricultural labourers.
- ❖ **Indebtedness and Moneylending:** Moneylenders played a significant role in rural economy. High interest rates trapped peasants in cycles of debt. Land and cattle were often seized as collateral.
- ❖ **Labour Exploitation:** Agricultural labourers, particularly from lower castes, faced harsh working conditions and low wages. Women and children were employed for minimal compensation. Forced labour practices continued under colonial rule, particularly in military logistics and public works.
- ❖ **Natural Calamities:** Frequent famines and droughts worsened agrarian distress. Lack of infrastructure for storage and transportation increased vulnerability.

6. Conclusion

The transition from pre-colonial to colonial agrarian systems marked a significant shift in land ownership and peasant life. While pre-colonial systems had elements of exploitation, they were relatively flexible and localized. Colonial policies, on the other hand, introduced rigid systems focused on revenue extraction.

This transformation led to widespread dispossession, indebtedness, and poverty among peasants. The decline of traditional agrarian structures and the rise of exploitative intermediaries fundamentally altered rural society.

The study highlights the need to understand agrarian history as a complex process shaped by political, economic, and social factors. The legacy of these transformations continues to influence rural India today.

7. References

1. Venkateswar Rao, G. "CLIO: Dr. M. Pattabirama Reddy Commemoration Volume." Mallampalli Somasekhara Sarma Centre for Historical Studies, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh.
2. Grant, James. *Political Survey of the Northern Circars*. Appendix 13 to the Fifth Report. Madras, 1882. Quoted in S. Sreenivasa Raghava Iyengar, *Memorandum on the Progress of the Madras Presidency during the Last Forty Years (1850–1890)*. Madras, 1893, pp. 23–24.
3. *Aamukthamalyada*, 4:256; Madras Epigraphic Records, No. 307 of 1921; *South Indian Inscriptions*, Vol. IV, No. 810.
4. Pattabhirama Reddy, M. *Some Aspects of Agrarian Relations in Medieval Andhra*. Kavali, 1976.
5. Madras Epigraphic Records, No. 246 of 1916, quoted in Pattabhirama Reddy, M., *Some Aspects of Agrarian Relations in Medieval Andhra*.
6. Moreland, William H. *From Akbar to Aurangzeb: A Study in Indian Economic History*. London, 1920.
7. Richards, J. F. *Mughal Administration in Golconda*. Oxford, 1975.
8. Grant, James. *Political Survey of the Northern Circars*, p. 637.
9. *Regulation XXXIV of 1802*, para 1; *Report of the Deccan Ryots Commission*, Appendix 2, quoted in Pattabhirama Reddy, M., *Some Aspects of Agrarian Relations in Medieval Andhra*, pp. 180–181.
10. Dubois, Abbe J. A. *Hindu Manners, Customs and Ceremonies*. London, 1905.
11. Ramana Rao, A. V. *The Economic Development of Andhra Pradesh (1766–1957)*. Bombay, 1958.
12. Iyengar, S. Sreenivasa Raghava. *Memorandum on the Progress of the Madras Presidency during the Last Forty Years (1850–1890)*. Madras, 1893.
13. Masulipatnam District Records, Vol. 2944B, pp. 600–610. From Lord Hobart (Governor, Fort St. George) to Edward Saunders (President, Board of Revenue), dated 23 September 1795.
14. Masulipatnam District Records, Vol. 2970, pp. 197–204. From John Wrangham (Collector, IV Division, Masulipatnam) to Edward Saunders (President, Board of Revenue), dated 18 October 1795.
15. Godavari District Records, Vol. 841, p. 368; pp. 385–396. Correspondence to Edward Saunders, President, Board of Revenue, dated 1789–1795.
16. Godavari District Records, Vol. 842, pp. 17–25. From Benjamin Branfill (Collector, Rajahmundry Division) to Edward Saunders, dated 23 October 1795.
17. Vishakhapatnam District Records, Vol. 3707C, p. 571.
18. Vishakhapatnam District Records, Vol. 374, pp. 39–40. From Chaplin (Acting Collector, Vijayanagaram) to the District Magistrate of Vishakhapatnam, dated 18 February 1804.
19. Richards, J. F. *The Mughal Empire*. Cambridge, 1993.
20. Iyengar, S. Sreenivasa Raghava. *Memorandum on the Progress of the Madras Presidency*, p.