IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Impact Of Artificial Intelligence And Machine Learning In The 21st Century — With Special Reference To Visually Challenged Learners

PADMANABHAM MUPPA

Associate Professor, Dept. of Public Administration

SRR & CVR Government Degree College (A)

Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Abstract

This systematic research article reviews recent literature (2018–2025) on Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) in education, focusing on opportunities, risks and the particular impacts for learners who are blind or visually impaired. I summarize evidence for AI-enabled personalization, assessment, content access and administrative efficiencies; examine assistive AI technologies (OCR, text-to-speech, computer vision, wearable devices); and document ethical, technical and accessibility challenges. I close with practice and policy recommendations to make AI in education inclusive, safe, and effective for visually challenged learners.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), OCR, computer vision, visually challenged.

1. Introduction

AI and ML are rapidly reshaping education: from adaptive tutoring systems and automated grading to generative tools (large language models) that support content creation and learners' writing. Simultaneously, AI-driven assistive technologies (AT) are expanding access for people with disabilities—especially those with visual impairments—by converting visual content into non-visual modalities (speech, haptics) and by enabling new forms of independence. This review adopts a systematic lens to synthesize recent empirical findings, highlight concrete examples, and identify open problems and recommendations for inclusive deployment. Key high-level trends include a surge in AIED research since 2022 and rapid commercialization of wearable and app-based assistive solutions.

2. Methods — approach to this systematic review

Scope: literature and reports 2018–2025 addressing (a) AI/ML in education broadly, (b) AI applied to assistive technologies for visual impairment, and (c) case studies/implementations in educational settings. Sources include peer-reviewed reviews, technical papers, organizational reports, and documented product/case reports.

Search strategy: Targeted queries across academic and public sources for terms like "AI in education systematic review", "assistive AI visually impaired", "text-to-speech AI education", and device case studies. Selection prioritized recent systematic reviews and high-impact implementations (OrCam, Envision, smart glasses, OCR/TTS systems), and accessible analyses of risks (automated accessibility failures, legal cases). Representative sources used in synthesis are cited throughout. (Note: this article is an evidence-synthesis, not a meta-analysis.

3. Synthesis of findings

3.1 Opportunities of AI & ML in education

3.1.1 Personalized and adaptive learning

AI systems can model learner knowledge and tailor content, pacing, and feedback to individual needs—improving engagement and mastery for diverse learners. Adaptive tutors and recommendation engines reduce the "one-size-fits-all" problem and can be configured to support alternative presentation modes needed by visually challenged students (e.g., audio-first content sequencing). Several systematic reviews document increasing evidence that personalization improves learning outcomes when pedagogy and teacher support align.

3.1.2 Content accessibility and conversion (OCR, TTS, NLP)

Optical Character Recognition (OCR) combined with advanced Text-to-Speech (TTS) and Natural Language Processing (NLP) enables real-time conversion of printed and digital text into spoken form and structured summaries—critical for learners who cannot access visual content. Modern pipelines using deep learning deliver far better accuracy on complex documents, including tables and math notation, than earlier rule-based systems. These are foundational technologies in many assistive products.

3.1.3 Real-time multimodal assistance (computer vision + audio)

Computer vision models can describe scenes, read signs, recognize faces, and identify objects—capabilities packaged into wearable devices and smartphone apps that help blind and low-vision students navigate classrooms, access lab materials, or identify visual elements in images and slides. Industry and academic prototypes (and some commercial products) now offer these features with increasing latency and accuracy improvements.

3.1.4 Administrative efficiencies and inclusive testing

AI can help institutions by automating transcription of lecture audio, generating accessible formats, and supporting exam accommodations (e.g., producing Braille/TTS versions). Speech-to-text services and automated captioning increase access for students with multiple disabilities and support inclusive pedagogy.

3.2 Specific impacts for visually challenged learners

3.2.1 Learning independence and participation

AI-driven tools (smart readers, wearable glasses, OCR apps) increase independence: students can access textbooks, navigate spaces, and participate more fully in remote and in-person instruction. Programs introducing Braille learning devices and AI-readers in schools have reported higher learner engagement and teacher-reported improvements in outcomes.

3.2.2 Enhanced inclusive STEM participation

Assistive AI enables access to STEM resources—equation reading, tactile/haptic rendering, sonification, and audio descriptions—thus lowering barriers to subjects traditionally dependent on visuals. Case reports show that when tools are coupled with accessible pedagogy and teacher training, visually impaired students make substantive gains in STEM learning.

3.2.3 New educational modalities and collaboration

Generative AI (LLMs) can convert content into audio dialogues, summarize long readings, and serve as on-demand tutors—useful for visually impaired learners who ask different kinds of questions and benefit from conversational interfaces. However, effectiveness depends on model reliability and careful prompt design.

4. Challenges and risks

4.1 Technical limitations and reliability

- Error rates and misinterpretation: Automated OCR, scene description, and captioning still produce errors—dangerous when learners rely solely on them (e.g., misreading medication labels or math symbols). High accuracy is crucial but not yet universal across languages and domains.
- Complex content (math, diagrams): Current AI struggles with certain visuals (complex diagrams, schematics, specialized notation) requiring specialized pipelines or human verification.

4.2 Accessibility design and false confidence in automation

Automated accessibility solutions that are not carefully designed can worsen access (mislabelled controls, incorrect alt text). Legal and UX cases have shown automated tools can both help and harm—human oversight remains essential. A prominent lawsuit and widespread expert criticism highlight that fully automated accessibility without human review frequently fails real-world needs.

4.3 Equity and cost barriers

Advanced assistive devices (smart glasses, OrCam) can be expensive; distribution and public procurement gaps risk widening disparities between well-resourced institutions/students and others. Local initiatives (e.g., low-cost Braille devices in public schools) show promise but need scale.

4.4 Privacy, data security, and surveillance concerns

Wearable cameras and cloud-based processing capture sensitive visual/audio data (classmates, tests, locations). Without strict governance, this raises privacy and consent issues—particularly in school contexts. Policy frameworks must address data minimization, informed consent, and secure storage.

4.5 Pedagogical and teacher readiness challenges

Teachers need training to integrate AI tools effectively and to adapt curricula for non-visual modalities. Resistance, lack of time, or unclear evidence of benefit slow adoption. Reviews emphasize teacher professional development as a top enabler.

5. Case studies & illustrative implementations

- Annie Braille devices in India (Prayagraj): A government rollout introduced interactive Braille learning devices across schools—reporting improved engagement and progress tracking, demonstrating how modest-cost devices can scale in public systems.
- Commercial wearables (e.g., Envision/Ally Solos): New smart glasses combine camera, on-device inference, and cloud AI to describe scenes and read text—promising for mobility and classroom use but expensive and dependent on robust connectivity.
- Research prototypes (AI-Wear, Smart Reader): Raspberry Pi and smartphone prototypes provide low-cost OCR→TTS pipelines for classroom reading tasks, showing how local innovation can address contextual needs.

6. Recommendations

6.1 For educational institutions

1. Adopt a human-in-the-loop approach: Combine AI automation with human review—especially for high-stakes content (exams, medical/lab instructions).
2. Procure and subsidize affordable assistive tech: Prioritize budget lines for proven AT and partner with NGOs/government schemes to scale deployments (e.g., Braille devices).
3. Teacher training: Invest in continuous professional development on AI tool use, accessibility best practices, and alternative assessment methods.

6.2 For developers and product teams

1. Design for accessibility from the start: Follow WCAG and include people with visual impairments in participatory design and user testing.
2. Prioritize explainability and uncertainty reporting: Assistive outputs should convey confidence levels and allow easy correction by the user.
3. Privacy by design: Minimize cloud transmission where possible; provide local processing modes and clear consent flows.

6.3 For policymakers and funders

1. Standards and certification: Create standards for assistive AI performance in educational contexts and certification processes that include real-user testing.
2. Funding equity: Subsidize devices and infrastructure for under-resourced schools; incentivize open-source assistive solutions.
3. Legal safeguards: Ensure student data protections and clear rules for classroom surveillance devices.

7. Limitations of this review

This article synthesizes available literature and recent reporting through 2025 but is not a quantitative meta-analysis. The rapid pace of AI product releases and model updates means new devices and results emerge continually; institutions should combine this evidence with up-to-date vendor evaluations and user trials.

8. Conclusion

AI and ML present transformative opportunities for education: personalization, scalable content accessibility, and new assistive modalities that can substantially improve learning for visually challenged students. However, technical limits, design failures, cost and equity gaps, privacy risks, and the need for human oversight are major constraints. To realize AI's promise for visually impaired learners, stakeholders must adopt human-centered design, rigorous evaluation, targeted funding, and strong policy safeguards.

References:

1. Garzón, J. et al., Systematic Review of Artificial Intelligence in Education (2025).
2. Wang, S., Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature ... (2024).
3. Chemnad, K., Digital accessibility in the era of artificial intelligence (2024). PMC.
4. Giansanti, D., Integrating AI and Assistive Technologies in Healthcare (2025) — includes examples of OrCam and other AI assistive devices.
5. ERIC/ResearchGate and conference reports on smart readers, AI-Wear prototypes and Braille device deployments (selected case documentation).
6. Wired (case discussing automated accessibility failures, legal implications).
7. Envision/Ally Solos product reporting (smart glasses for low-vision users).