IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Clay Cookware in Traditional and Modern Food Systems: Materials, Thermal Behavior, Nutrient Transfer, Food Safety, Health Implications and Sustainability—A Critical Review

Mayuri Kundbhare, Riya Rahangdale, Aradhana Lilhare, Sandhya Sathwane, Samta Lanjewar

Gondia College of Pharmacy, Gondia, Maharashtra, India

Abstract
Clay (earthenware/terracotta) cookware has been used across cultures for centuries for cooking, storing water, fermenting foods and serving traditional dishes. Its porous aluminosilicate matrix, relatively low thermal conductivity, moisture-retaining behavior and gradual heat transfer give it distinctive culinary properties. This review examines the material composition, physicochemical and thermal characteristics, nutrient and mineral transfer, food-safety considerations, potential health implications, cultural significance, environmental advantages and socioeconomic relevance of clay cookware. Available evidence suggests that clay vessels can provide slow and relatively uniform cooking, moisture retention and desirable sensory characteristics. However, mineral migration depends strongly on clay composition, firing conditions, food acidity, temperature and repeated use. Poorly manufactured or glazed pottery may also present a risk of contaminant migration, particularly heavy metals. Claims concerning prevention or treatment of chronic diseases should therefore be interpreted cautiously because robust clinical evidence remains limited. Clay cookware offers a potentially sustainable alternative to some modern cookware, but standardization of raw materials, firing, glazing, contaminant testing and performance is needed before broad health claims can be made. Future research should focus on controlled comparative studies of nutrient retention, contaminant migration, sensory quality, energy use and long-term consumer safety.

Keywords: clay cookware; earthenware; terracotta; nutrient retention; mineral leaching; food safety; thermal properties; sustainability; traditional cookware

1. Introduction

Clay pots have a long history in food preparation and storage. The source project describes early pottery traditions in East Asia and the subsequent widespread use of clay vessels for cooking, storing grains and water, and food fermentation. In the Indian context, vessels such as matka, handi and kulhad have been associated with daily life and traditional food practices. The use of clay declined with the introduction and widespread adoption of metal, stainless-steel and non-stick cookware, but renewed interest has emerged alongside consumer interest in traditional practices, sustainability and natural materials.

Cookware is an important component of food preparation because the material of a vessel influences heat transfer, moisture movement, possible migration of chemical constituents and sensory properties of food. The source material emphasizes that cookware should be considered together with cooking conditions when evaluating food quality and safety. It also notes that trace-metal migration can occur from cookware under certain conditions, making material selection and manufacturing quality important considerations.

This review critically organizes the available discussion in the source project around the material science, cooking performance, nutritional considerations, food safety, health-related hypotheses, cultural uses and sustainability of clay cookware.

2. Historical and Cultural Perspective

The source project places the history of clay utensils in prehistoric pottery traditions and describes their development through ancient civilizations, including the Indus Valley Civilization. The potter's wheel, improved firing practices and decorative techniques contributed to increasingly specialized pottery. In ancient India, clay vessels were incorporated into household and religious practices, and traditional systems such as Ayurveda associated clay vessels with cooling and food-preservation qualities.

Clay cookware remains culturally important in many regions. Examples discussed in the source include Indian earthen cooking pots, Morocco's tagine, Japan's donabe, and cazuelas used in Spain and Latin America. These vessels are not only functional objects but also carry cultural, culinary and communal significance.

3. Classification and Composition of Clay Cookware

Type	Principal composition	Porosity	Typical use
Earthenware	Silica, alumina, iron oxide	High	Cooking and storage
Terracotta	Refined clay, iron oxide	Medium	Cooking and baking
Stoneware	Feldspar, quartz and clay	Low	Durable cookware
Porcelain	Kaolin and feldspar	Very low	Fine utensils
Black clay	Clay and carbon	Medium	Traditional cooking
Glazed clay	Clay with glaze coating	Low/non-porous	Storage and serving

The project identifies silica (SiO_2), alumina (Al_2O_3), water, iron oxide and trace minerals such as magnesium, calcium and potassium among the general constituents of clay. Traditional additives described include rice husk, sand, straw fibers, ash and cow dung, each historically used to influence cracking, shrinkage, strength, porosity or insulation. Composition is not uniform across clay sources, and therefore performance and safety cannot be assumed to be identical for all earthenware products.

4. Physicochemical and Thermal Properties

4.1 Porosity and moisture behavior

Porosity is a defining feature of many unglazed clay vessels. The pores can absorb limited amounts of water or oil and can influence steam and moisture movement during cooking. When a vessel is soaked before use, water retained in its walls can evaporate during heating. This contributes to the characteristic moisture-retaining behavior of clay cookware.

4.2 Thermal behavior

The source project describes clay as a relatively poor thermal conductor with gradual heating and good heat retention. Thick clay walls can distribute heat progressively and reduce the formation of intense local hot spots. These characteristics are compatible with slow cooking, simmering and moisture-rich preparations.

4.3 Evaporative cooling

Unglazed clay water vessels can cool their contents through evaporation from the porous outer surface. This traditional mechanism provides cooling without electrical refrigeration. The effectiveness depends on ambient temperature, humidity, airflow, vessel porosity and water availability.

5. Nutrient Retention and Mineral Transfer

Slow and moist cooking in clay may influence nutrient retention by reducing rapid moisture loss and allowing relatively gentle heat transfer. The source project particularly discusses possible preservation of water-soluble nutrients and sensory components. However, nutrient retention is dependent on the food, temperature, duration, water-to-food ratio and cooking method, so broad claims that clay cookware always preserves more nutrients than modern cookware require controlled comparative evidence.

Clay can also release small quantities of minerals into food. Calcium, magnesium, iron and phosphorus are mentioned in the source material. The extent of migration is influenced by food pH, temperature, firing temperature, porosity and repeated use. Acidic foods can increase the mobility of mineral constituents. Mineral migration should therefore be evaluated experimentally for specific clay formulations rather than generalized across all pottery.

6. Food Safety and Contaminant Migration

Food safety is a central consideration when traditional cookware is evaluated for modern use. The source project notes that natural clays may contain trace contaminants and that poorly fired or contaminated materials can introduce undesirable metals. Glazes can also be important sources of concern when they contain or release lead or other hazardous substances.

Accordingly, publication-level recommendations should emphasize the use of food-contact-safe raw materials, controlled firing, appropriate glaze formulations where applicable, and analytical testing for heavy-metal migration. The source project also notes that the risk of contaminant migration is affected by acidity, temperature and repeated use. These variables should be incorporated into future standardized testing.

7. Potential Antimicrobial and Preservation Effects

The source project discusses antimicrobial activity reported for certain natural clays, particularly in water-storage contexts, and describes possible mechanisms involving metal-ion release, adsorption or physical interaction with microbial cells and pH effects. Importantly, the project also recognizes that clay cookware should not be considered inherently disinfecting and that evidence for antimicrobial effects in practical cooking applications is limited. Therefore, claims of antimicrobial protection should remain restricted to specific clay materials and experimentally demonstrated conditions.

8. Potential Health Implications

The source project proposes several possible health-related advantages of clay cooking, including reduced exposure to synthetic coatings, preservation of nutrients, moisture retention and the possibility of minor mineral enrichment. It also discusses possible relationships with metabolic health, anemia, cardiovascular health and other chronic conditions.

These proposed relationships should not be interpreted as evidence that clay cookware prevents or treats disease. The source itself states that robust clinical evidence is scarce and that most available observations are small-scale or observational. Controlled human studies would be required to establish causal health effects. Thus, the most defensible health-related conclusion is that clay cookware may contribute to a cooking pattern characterized by gradual heating and traditional food preparation, while direct disease-prevention claims remain unconfirmed.

9. Comparison with Modern Cookware

Characteristic	Clay cookware	Metal cookware	Non-stick cookware
Heat transfer	Gradual; relatively low conductivity	Generally faster	Depends on substrate/coating
Moisture behavior	Porous in unglazed forms	Usually non-porous	Non-porous
Potential chemical migration	Depends on clay/glaze quality	Depends on alloy and food conditions	Depends on coating integrity and conditions
Sustainability	Natural and potentially biodegradable	Durable; material recycling varies	Composite/coating considerations
Handling	Fragile; thermal shock sensitive	Generally robust	Coating requires care

Clay should not be presented as universally superior to all modern cookware. Different cookware materials have different performance and safety profiles, and the suitability of a vessel depends on food type, cooking temperature, maintenance and manufacturing quality.

10. Cultural, Environmental and Socioeconomic Significance

Clay cookware has cultural value because it preserves traditional culinary practices and supports artisan communities. The source project highlights potential employment generation for rural potters, small-scale enterprises and local markets. A revival of demand could contribute to preservation of traditional knowledge and livelihoods.

From an environmental perspective, clay is derived from natural mineral resources and can be biodegradable in appropriate forms. Traditional production may require less industrial processing than some manufactured cookware. Nevertheless, sustainability should be evaluated across the complete life cycle, including clay extraction, transport, kiln fuel consumption, breakage rate and product longevity.

11. Limitations and Safety Considerations

Important limitations include fragility, sensitivity to sudden temperature changes, relatively slow heating, weight, porosity and the need for appropriate cleaning and drying. The source project also identifies inconsistent quality, limited availability, declining artisan skills and possible contamination from unsuitable clay as barriers to wider adoption.

Before first use, traditional practices may include soaking and complete drying. Cooking should generally begin at low or moderate heat and sudden temperature changes should be avoided. Food-contact safety is particularly important: consumers should use products intended and tested for food use and avoid damaged, unknown or poorly manufactured glazed pottery.

12. Research Gaps and Future Perspectives

The current evidence base supports several priorities for future research. First, standardized characterization of clay composition and firing conditions is needed. Second, controlled studies should quantify nutrient retention and mineral migration under realistic cooking conditions. Third, systematic testing for lead, arsenic and other potentially hazardous elements should be incorporated into food-contact safety assessment. Fourth, comparative studies should evaluate energy consumption, sensory properties, cooking time and moisture retention against commonly used cookware.

Clinical research should be designed cautiously. If health outcomes are investigated, randomized or well-controlled comparative studies are preferable to anecdotal observations. Such studies could determine whether changes in mineral intake, nutrient retention or cooking practices have clinically meaningful effects. Material-science approaches may also help develop stronger, safer and more standardized earthen cookware compatible with modern gas and induction cooking.

13. Conclusion

Clay cookware represents an important intersection of traditional knowledge, food science, material science, sustainability and cultural heritage. Its porous structure and thermal behavior can support gradual cooking, moisture retention and distinctive sensory properties. Mineral transfer is possible but variable, and food-contact safety depends strongly on the composition, firing and glazing quality of the vessel. Although several health benefits have been proposed, current evidence does not justify strong claims that clay cookware prevents or treats chronic diseases. Future work should focus on standardized material characterization, contaminant testing, nutrient-transfer studies, energy assessment and controlled health research. With appropriate quality standards and evidence-based communication, clay cookware may have a meaningful role in sustainable and culturally informed food systems.

References

1. Bawa Y, Sobti AK. Impact of cookware on nutritional security and sustainability: A scoping review. Int J Home Sci. 2025;11(1):526-529.

2. Bansal C, Zaina S, Parihar V. Review on health impact of hazardous and safest traditional cookware with Ayurvedic approach. Ayushdhara. 2020;7(1):2559-2566.

3. Xing Q, Xing X, Zhang Z, Hu X. A comparative study of the nutritional values, volatile compounds, and sensory qualities of pea pastes cooked in iron pot and clay pot. J Food Process Preserv. 2018;42(1):e13328.

4. Agussalim, Rahman SA, Thamrin IN, et al. The impact of traditional Makassar earthenware cooking practices on food flavor and family health: An experimental study. Clin Res Clin Case Rep. 2025;6(1):CRCCR095.

5. Onyeka U, Ibeawchi O. Loss of food nutrients orchestrated by cooking pots: a common trend in developing world. Proc Nutr Soc. 2020;79(OCE2):E318.

6. Quintaes KD, et al. Influence of cooking utensils on food quality and nutrient retention. Food Chem. 2002;76(4):451-456.

7. Sidhu JS, et al. Selection and use of cookware materials in healthy cooking. J Hum Ecol. 2007;22(3):201-206.

8. Kadam RM, Shete RV. Heat conductivity and retention in cookware materials. Int J Home Sci. 2017;3(2):145-149.

9. Anderson RA, et al. Metal contamination from cookware during food preparation. J Food Compos Anal. 1992;5(1):18-24.

10. Ebong GA, et al. Trace metal levels in food cooked with different utensils. Pak J Nutr. 2006;5(5):469-472.

11. Rasmussen RR. Metal migration from cookware into food products. Food Addit Contam. 1983;1(2):99-105.

12. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Questions and answers on lead-glazed traditional pottery. 2010.

13. Kulkarni P. Shelf-life evaluation of foods cooked in clay and aluminium utensils. Indian J Tradit Knowl. 2018;17(4):722-728.

14. Patel D, Kumar R, Sahu A. Aluminum leaching from cookware and its hematological effects. Indian J Environ Health. 2018;60(4):356-361.

15. Gramaticu M, et al. Ceramographic comparative analysis of painted pottery from Cucuteni. Ceram Int. 2011;37(6):123-145.