



# Understanding Special Teachers' Attitudes toward Inclusive Education: A Conceptual Study

Ganesh Das

Research Scholar, Department of Education, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

**Abstract:** Inclusive education is a global priority aimed at ensuring equitable learning for all students, including those with disabilities. Special education teachers are central to implementing inclusive practices, yet their attitudes toward inclusion remain underexplored. This conceptual study synthesizes existing literature to examine the factors influencing special educators' perspectives on inclusion. Drawing from theoretical frameworks and empirical findings, it identifies key variables such as professional preparation, institutional support, personal beliefs, and classroom experience. These elements are analyzed to understand how they shape teachers' readiness to adopt inclusive approaches. The study emphasizes the critical role of teacher attitudes in the success of inclusive education and highlights implications for teacher education, policy development, and future research. By centering the experiences of special educators, this work contributes to a deeper understanding of the human dimensions of inclusion and advocates for more responsive, evidence-based strategies to support effective and sustainable inclusive education practices.

**Index Terms -** Inclusive education, teacher attitudes, special education, conceptual framework, educational policy, professional development

## I. INTRODUCTION

Inclusive education has gained global momentum as a framework for ensuring equitable access to learning for all students, including those with disabilities. The concept of inclusive education gained international prominence with the adoption of the Salamanca Statement (UNESCO, 1994), which called for schools to accommodate all learners, irrespective of their abilities, backgrounds, or circumstances. Unlike earlier models of integration which required students with disabilities to adjust to existing educational structures inclusion emphasizes the transformation of educational systems to meet the diverse needs of all students.

Inclusive education is grounded in principles of equity, participation, and social justice. Moran (2007) defines it as "embracing educational values of equity, diversity, and social justice," highlighting its ethical and philosophical foundations. According to UNESCO (2003), inclusive education requires that schools "accommodate all children regardless of their physical, intellectual, social, emotional, linguistic, or other conditions," thereby promoting access and participation for all. Segal (2005) noted that inclusive education has become a widely adopted concept in international discourse, though its implementation varies across contexts.

At its core, inclusive education seeks to create learning environments where all students can access, engage with, and benefit from meaningful educational experiences. It calls for systemic change, collaborative practices, and a commitment to removing barriers that hinder full participation.

In India and other developing contexts, policy shifts such as the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act (2016) and the National Education Policy (2020) have reinforced inclusive ideals. India's inclusive education journey reflects a significant shift from segregated, charity-based models to a rights-based, equity-driven framework. Historically, children with disabilities were educated in specialized institutions, often isolated from mainstream schooling. However, global mandates such as the Salamanca Statement (UNESCO, 1994) and the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD, 2006) catalyzed a paradigm shift in national education policy.

### I. Early Foundations

National Policy on Education (1986): This policy laid the groundwork for integrating children with disabilities into regular schools, emphasizing equal educational opportunities (Kumar, 2021).

Project Integrated Education for the Disabled (PIED, 1987): A pioneering initiative that piloted inclusive practices in select districts, promoting teacher training and community involvement (Raza et al., 2025).

### II. Expansion and Systemic Integration

Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA, 2001): As part of the "Education for All" campaign, SSA mainstreamed inclusive education by allocating resources for infrastructure, aids, and teacher support (UNESCO, 2020).

Action Plan for Inclusive Education of Children and Youth with Disabilities (2005): This plan provided a strategic roadmap for implementing inclusive practices across states, focusing on accessibility and individualized support (Gohain, 2024).

### III. Legislative Milestones

India's legal framework has progressively supported inclusive education: Rehabilitation Council of India Act (1992): Regulated training standards for special educators.

Persons with Disabilities (PWD) Act (1995): Mandated non-discrimination and equal access to education.

National Trust Act (1999): Focused on the welfare of persons with developmental disabilities.

Rights of Persons with Disabilities (RPWD) Act (2016): Strengthened inclusive education mandates, requiring reasonable accommodations and individualized education plans (Khanam & Ahmad, 2025).

### IV. Contemporary Developments

Despite policy advancements, approximately 30 million children with special needs still face barriers to quality education (Chief Commissioner of Persons with Disabilities, 2007). Challenges include inadequate teacher training, attitudinal biases and limited infrastructure.

### V. NEP 2020: A Renewed Vision

The New Education Policy (NEP) 2020 marks a pivotal moment in India's inclusive education narrative. It emphasizes:

Education for Teachers and Professional Development; Enhanced Teachers Skills, Classroom Management and Subject Matter

#### Expertise

Universal Design for Learning (UDL): Promoting flexible, accessible learning environments.

Continuous Professional Development: Equipping educators with inclusive pedagogical skills.

Equitable Resource Allocation: Ensuring access to assistive technologies and support services.

Positive Attitude Formation: Encouraging empathy and awareness among educators and peers (Rani, 2025).

India's inclusive education evolution underscores a growing commitment to equity and social justice. However, bridging the gap between policy and practice remains a critical challenge one that hinges on empowering educators and fostering systemic change. However, translating policy into practice remains a challenge, particularly in classrooms where special education teachers play a pivotal role.

Special educators are uniquely positioned at the intersection of specialized instruction and inclusive reform. Their attitudes toward inclusion shaped by training, experience, institutional support, and personal beliefs can either facilitate or hinder the implementation of inclusive practices. Despite their central role, limited research has examined how special educators conceptualize inclusion and what factors influence their acceptance or resistance.

This conceptual study aims to synthesize existing literature to explore the attitudes of special education teachers toward inclusive education. It emphasizes the identification critical psychological, educational and environmental elements that influence these attitudes and examines their alignment with inclusive policy objectives. The study also seeks to highlight implications for teacher preparation, professional development, and systemic support.

By grounding the discussion in theory and prior research, this paper contributes to the broader understanding of inclusive education and offers insights that may inform future empirical studies and educational policy. The following sections present a review of relevant literature, outline the conceptual framework, and discuss implications for practice and research.

## II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Inclusive education has gained prominence globally through frameworks such as the Salamanca Statement (UNESCO, 1994) and the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (2006), both of which advocate for the full participation of students with disabilities in mainstream educational settings. In India, the Right to Education Act (2009) and the National Education Policy (2020) have reinforced inclusive mandates, yet implementation remains uneven and context-dependent.

Recent research has expanded the scope to include contextual variables influencing inclusive attitudes. Stewart (2025), in a U.S. charter school, found that teachers exhibited high self-efficacy in motivating disengaged students regardless of disability status, suggesting that inclusive confidence may transcend diagnostic categories. In Israel, Sigal and Sukenik (2024) reported that mainstream teachers working with students with ADHD demonstrated lower levels of knowledge and confidence compared to special educators, emphasizing the need for targeted professional development.

In the Indian context, Akshatha et al. (2024) found generally favorable attitudes among teachers toward the inclusion of students with hearing impairments. However, attitudes varied based on teaching experience and qualifications, highlighting the importance of differentiated training. Cross-cultural comparisons further illuminate the role of institutional and cultural factors. Coroline et al. (2024) reported that Italian special education teacher students held more optimistic views on inclusion than their Swiss counterparts, despite similar levels of self-efficacy.

Alkatib et al. (2023) studied on special educators in the United Arab Emirates, observed broadly positive attitudes, particularly among male and expatriate teachers. Interestingly, demographic variables such as age, education, and experience showed no significant correlation with attitudes, suggesting that other contextual influences may be more salient. In Georgia, Chanturia (2023) emphasized inclusion as a human right, with special educators advocating for co-learning models despite systemic challenges. Their attitudes were notably more positive than those of general educators, reinforcing the role of professional identity.

In Greece, Mouchritsa et al. (2022) found that teacher attitudes were shaped by age, experience, and the nature of special education training received. Participants expressed strong support for inclusion and low resistance. Rofiah (2022), using the Multidimensional Attitudes Toward Inclusive Education Scale (MATIES) in Indonesia, provided a robust psychometric framework for assessing cognitive, affective, and behavioral dimensions of teacher attitudes.

Wood (2017) highlighted the ambivalence of secondary special educators in the U.S., who supported inclusion in principle but resisted dismantling self-contained classrooms. This tension pointed to a lack of clarity around inclusive roles and the need for shared professional understanding. Similarly, Alharti and Evans (2017) reported that special educators in Saudi Arabia held favorable views toward inclusion of students with learning disabilities but expressed concerns about inadequate training and limited collaboration.

Empirical studies consistently show that special educators tend to hold more favorable attitudes toward inclusion than general educators. Avramidis and Norwich (2002) and Sharma et al. (2008) attribute these attitudes to factors such as professional training, experience with diverse learners, and institutional support. However, persistent barriers such as inadequate resources, large class sizes, and limited professional development continue to challenge inclusive implementation (Singal, 2006; Das et al., 2013).

Collectively, these studies underscore that while special educators often express positive attitudes toward inclusion, their beliefs are shaped by a constellation of factors including training, cultural context, institutional support, leadership, and role clarity. The literature highlights the need for systemic investment in teacher preparation, differentiated professional development, and supportive school cultures to sustain inclusive practices. This conceptual study seeks to further explore how these variables interact to influence special educators' attitudes toward inclusive education.

With a rising focus on integrating students with disabilities into general education settings, inclusive education has transformed from a rights-based movement into a pedagogical necessity. Teachers' attitudes and beliefs, especially those of special education teachers who are frequently entrusted with assisting both students and general educators in inclusive classrooms, are crucial to the success of inclusive practices in addition to structural reforms.

#### Attitudes Toward Inclusive Education

Teacher attitudes are a major factor in determining inclusive success, according to research. Positivity is linked to a higher readiness to modify education, work together with others, and speak out for the needs of students. On the other hand, unfavorable or conflicting sentiments may result in opposition, tokenistic application, or a dependence on distinct support models. Research has indicated that special educators may have more positive opinions about inclusion if they believe they have access to sufficient resources, administrative assistance, and professional freedom.

#### Influencing Factors

Several factors influence special educators' attitudes toward inclusion:

**Professional Training:** Teachers with formal training in inclusive pedagogy and disability studies tend to express more confidence and openness toward inclusion.

**Experience:** Direct experience working with diverse learners in inclusive settings can foster empathy and skill development, though it may also expose challenges that shape attitudes negatively.

**Institutional Support:** Access to co-teaching models, classroom aides, and collaborative planning time enhances teachers' perceptions of feasibility and effectiveness.

**Personal Beliefs:** Teachers' philosophical orientations such as beliefs about equity, diversity, and the purpose of education play a foundational role in shaping their attitudes.

#### Conceptual Models

Theoretical frameworks such as Ajzen's Theory of Planned Behavior and Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory have been used to understand how attitudes translate into practice. These models suggest that attitudes are shaped by perceived behavioral control, subjective norms, and self-efficacy factors that are particularly relevant in the context of inclusive education.

#### Gaps in the Literature

While numerous studies have explored general educators' attitudes toward inclusion, fewer have focused specifically on special education teachers. Moreover, existing research often emphasizes quantitative measures, leaving a gap in conceptual understanding of how attitudes are formed, sustained, and influenced by systemic factors.

#### Conceptual Framework

Understanding special education teachers' attitudes toward inclusive education requires a multidimensional approach that considers both individual and systemic influences. This study draws on established psychological and educational theories to construct a framework for analyzing how these attitudes are formed and sustained.

#### Theoretical Foundations

Two key theories inform this framework:

**Ajzen's (1991) Theory of Planned Behavior:** This theory asserts that attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control affect an individual's intention to behave. A teacher's attitude toward inclusion, perceived expectations from administrators and peers, and perceived control over their ability to implement inclusive practices all influence how they engage with inclusion in the context of inclusive education.

**Bandura (1986) developed the Social Cognitive Theory,** which highlights the importance of self-efficacy and observational learning in behavior. Positive attitudes toward inclusion are more likely to be adopted by special educators who experience effective inclusive practices and who believe they can effectively manage inclusive classrooms.

### Crucial Component

Based on these theories and the literature review, the conceptual framework includes the following components:

**Professional Competence:** Teachers' perceived preparedness and skill level in inclusive pedagogy.

**Institutional Support:** Availability of resources, administrative backing, and collaborative structures.

**Personal Beliefs and Values:** Philosophical orientation toward equity, diversity, and disability.

**Experience with Inclusion:** Direct exposure to inclusive settings and outcomes.

**Perceived Challenges:** Concerns about workload, classroom management, and student outcomes.

These components interact dynamically, influencing how special educators interpret and respond to inclusive requirements. The framework serves as a lens for analyzing existing literature and identifying areas for future empirical investigation.

### 3.1 METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a conceptual research design aimed at synthesizing existing theoretical and empirical literature to explore the attitudes of special education teachers toward inclusive education. Rather than collecting primary data, the study relies on a systematic review and analysis of scholarly sources to construct a framework that identifies main factors influencing teacher attitudes and their implications for inclusive practice.

### 3.2. RESEARCH DESIGN

The conceptual nature of this study allows for the integration of diverse perspectives, theories, and findings from prior research. This approach is particularly suited to examining complex, multidimensional constructs such as special teacher attitudes, which are shaped by psychological, institutional, and experiential factors.

### 3.3. DATA SOURCES

Peer-reviewed journal articles, policy documents, and theoretical models were selected from databases including ERIC, Scopus, and Google Scholar. The literature reviewed spans the fields of special education, inclusive pedagogy, educational psychology, and teacher development. Selection criteria included relevance to special education teachers, focus on inclusion, and conceptual or empirical contributions to understanding educator attitudes.

### 3.4. ANALYTICAL APPROACH

The analysis involved thematic synthesis, identifying recurring constructs and patterns across studies. Key themes were organized around five conceptual domains: professional competence, institutional support, personal beliefs, experience with inclusion, and perceived challenges. These domains were then mapped onto established theoretical models namely, the Theory of Planned Behavior and Social Cognitive Theory to develop a coherent conceptual framework.

#### *Trustworthiness and Rigor*

To ensure rigor, the study employed a transparent and systematic approach to literature selection and thematic coding. Multiple sources were triangulated to validate emerging themes, and theoretical alignment was used to enhance conceptual clarity. While the study does not include empirical data, its strength lies in the depth of synthesis and its potential to inform future research and practice.

### 4.1. FINDINGS

This conceptual study identified five core factors that influence special education teachers' attitudes toward inclusive education. These findings emerged from a thematic synthesis of existing literature and were organized within a theoretical framework informed by the Theory of Planned Behavior and Social Cognitive Theory.

#### *Professional Competence*

Teachers who perceive themselves as well-prepared to implement inclusive practices tend to hold more positive attitudes toward inclusion. Competence is shaped by formal training, ongoing professional development, and access to inclusive pedagogical tools.

#### *Institutional Support*

Supportive school environments characterized by administrative backing, collaborative planning structures, and adequate resources contribute to more favorable attitudes. Lack of support often correlates with skepticism or resistance toward inclusion.

#### *Personal Beliefs and Values*

Educators' philosophical orientations toward equity, diversity, and disability significantly shape their attitudes. Teachers who view inclusion as a moral and educational imperative are more likely to embrace inclusive practices.

#### *Experience with Inclusion*

Direct experience working in inclusive settings fosters empathy, confidence, and adaptability. However, negative experiences such as poorly resourced classrooms or lack of peer collaboration can reinforce doubts or resistance.

#### *Perceived Challenges*

Concerns about classroom management, increased workload, and the academic progress of students with disabilities often influence attitudes. These perceived barriers can undermine teachers' confidence and willingness to engage in inclusion.

Together, these findings suggest that attitudes toward inclusive education are not static but are shaped by a constellation of personal, professional, and contextual factors. Understanding these influences is essential for designing interventions that support inclusive teaching.

### 4.2. DISCUSSION

The findings of this conceptual study underscore the complexity of special education teachers' attitudes toward inclusive education. These attitudes are shaped by a constellation of interrelated factors professional competence, institutional support, personal beliefs, experience with inclusion, and perceived challenges. Understanding how these factors interact provides valuable insight into the conditions that foster or hinder inclusive practice.

### 4.3. Interpreting the Findings

The synthesis reveals that professional competence and institutional support are foundational to positive attitudes. Teachers who feel adequately trained and supported are more likely to embrace inclusive education. Conversely, when these supports are lacking, even educators with strong personal beliefs may struggle to implement inclusive strategies effectively.

Personal beliefs and values emerged as powerful internal drivers. Teachers who view inclusion as a moral and educational imperative tend to persist despite challenges. However, these beliefs must be reinforced by practical experience and systemic support to translate into sustained action.

Experience with inclusion plays a dual role: it can enhance confidence and empathy, but negative or unsupported experiences may reinforce scepticism or resistance. This highlights the importance of structured, well-resourced opportunities for teachers to engage with inclusive settings.

Perceived challenges such as increased workload, classroom management concerns, and doubts about student progress remain significant barriers. Addressing these concerns through policy, training, and collaboration is essential to shifting attitudes and improving practice.

### 4.4. Implications for Practice and Policy

These findings suggest that efforts to promote inclusive education must go beyond policy mandates. Teacher preparation programs should integrate inclusive pedagogy with opportunities for reflection and field experience. School leaders must prioritize institutional support through staffing, resources, and collaborative planning. Policymakers should consider the lived realities of special educators when designing and implementing inclusion initiatives.

#### Contributions to Conceptual Understanding

By organizing the findings within established theoretical models, this study contributes to a deeper conceptual understanding of how attitudes are formed and sustained. The integration of the Theory of Planned Behavior and Social Cognitive Theory offers a framework for future empirical research and intervention design.

## 5. CONCLUSION

This conceptual study examined the attitudes of special education teachers toward inclusive education by synthesizing existing literature and theoretical models. The findings highlight five key factors; professional competence, institutional support, personal beliefs, experience with inclusion, and perceived challenges that collectively shape educators' perceptions and engagement with inclusive practices.

By framing these factors within the Theory of Planned Behavior and Social Cognitive Theory, the study offers a deeper understanding of how attitudes are formed and sustained. It emphasizes that teacher attitudes are not fixed traits but dynamic responses to personal, professional, and systemic conditions.

The insights presented here have important implications for teacher education, school leadership, and policy development. Preparing educators to navigate inclusive classrooms requires more than technical training it demands a supportive environment, reflective practice, and a shared commitment to equity. Future research should build on this conceptual foundation through empirical studies that explore how targeted interventions can shift attitudes and improve inclusive outcomes.

Ultimately, fostering positive attitudes among special education teachers is essential to realizing the promise of inclusive education. By understanding and addressing the factors that influence these attitudes, stakeholders can create more responsive, inclusive, and effective learning environments for all students.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmed, L. (2012). Attitude of teachers, administrators and policy makers towards inclusive education.[Doctoral dissertation, PhD thesis], University of The Punjab,Retrieved from <http://pr.hec.gov.pk/> thesis
- [2] AHSAN, M. T., DEPPELER, J. M. and SHARMA, U. (2013) Predicting pre-service teachers' preparedness for inclusive education: Bangladeshi pre-service teachers' attitudes and perceived teaching-efficacy for inclusive education. *CambridgeJournal of Education*, 43, 517–535.
- [3] Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- [4] Akshatha, S., Bhat, M., & Ravi, R.(2024).Attitude and perception of teachers towards inclusion of children with hearing impairment in mainstream schools in Karnataka, India. *Deafness & Education International*, 26(4), 339-358.
- [5] Aldabas, R. (2020). Special education teachers' perceptions of their preparedness to teach students with severe disabilities in inclusive classrooms: A Saudi Arabian perspective. *SAGE Open*, 10(3), <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020950657>
- [6] Alharti, N., & Evans, D.(2017). Special education teachers' attitudes towards teaching students with learning disabilities in middle schools in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Modern Education Studies*, 1(1), 1-15.
- [7] Alkhatib, R. N., Gharaibeh, M., Dukmak, S. J., &Ijha, M. A.(2024). Special education Teachers' attitudes to teaching students with disabilities in the regular school system.*Journal of Research in Special Educational in the United Arab Emirates*. *J Needs*, 24(2), 405-416.

- [8] AVRAMIDIS, E., BAYLISS, P. and BURDEN, R. (2000) A survey into mainstream teachers' attitudes towards the inclusion of children with special educational needs in the ordinary school in one local education authority. *Educational Psychology*, 20, 2, 191–211.
- [9] Avramidis, E., & Norwich, B. (2002). Teachers' attitudes towards integration/inclusion: a review of the literature. *European journal of special needs education*, 17(2), 129-147.
- [10] Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice-Hall.
- [11] Beattie, J. R., Anderson, R. J., & Antonak, R. F. (1997). Modifying attitudes of prospective educators toward students with disabilities and their integration into regular classrooms. *The Journal of Psychology*, 131 (3), 245–259. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223989709603512>.
- [12] Berry, R. A. (2010). Pre-service and early career teachers' Attitudes toward inclusion, instructional accommodations, and fairness: Three profiles. *The Teacher Educator*, 45(2), 75–95. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08878731003623677>
- [13] Chanturia, R. (2023). Inclusion of Students with Disabilities: Comparative Perspectives of Special and Regular Teachers in Georgia. *International Journal of Special Education*, 38(2), 124-137.
- [14] Chief Commissioner of Persons with Disabilities. (2007). *Annual Report*; Government of India.
- [15] Coroline, S. L., Bianchi, M., & Keller, T. (2024). A look across the borders: Swiss vs. Italian future special education teachers' perspectives on inclusive education. *International Review of Education*, 70(1), 23–39.
- [16] Das, A. K., Kuyini, A. B., & Desai, I. P. (2013). Inclusive Education in India: Are the Teachers Prepared? *International journal of special education*, 28(1), 27-36.
- [17] De Boer, A., Pijl, S. J., & Minnaert, A. (2011). Regular primary schoolteachers' attitudes towards inclusive education: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 15(3), 331–353. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603110903030089>
- [18] Ferreira, M., Martinsone, B., & Talić, S. (2020). Promoting sustainable social emotional learning at school through relationship centered learning environment, teaching methods and formative assessment. *Journal of Teacher Education for Sustainability*, 22(1), 21–36. <https://doi.org/10.2478/jtes-2020-0003>
- [19] Florian, L., & Black-Hawkins, K. (2011). Exploring inclusive pedagogy. *British Educational Research Journal*, 37(5), 813–828. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01411926.2010.501096>
- [20] Sharma, U., Forlin, C., & Loreman, T. (2008). Impact of training on pre-service teachers' attitudes and concerns about inclusive education and sentiments about persons with disabilities. *Disability & Society*, 23(7), 773–785. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687590802469271>
- [21] Forlin, C., Sharma, U., & Loreman, T. (2007). An international comparison of pre-service teacher attitudes towards inclusive education. *Disability Studies Quarterly*, 27(4), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.18061/dsq.v27i4.53>
- [22] Gibb, K., Tunbridge, D., Chua, A. & Frederickson, N. (2007). Pathways to inclusion: Moving from special school to mainstream. *Educational Psychology in Practice*, 23 (2), 109–127. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02667360701320770>
- [23] Gohain, R. (2024). Inclusive Education in India-Today and Tomorrow. *International Journal of Research in Education*, 13(3), 12–18.
- [24] Kavale, K. A. & Forness, S. R. (2000). 'History, rhetoric, and reality: analysis of the inclusion debate.' *Remedial and Special Education*, 21, pp. 279–96
- [25] Khanam, S., & Ahmad, J. (2025). Transformation of Indian Education through Inclusive Practices under NEP 2020. *Shodhpatra Journal*, 2(4), 45–52.
- [26] Kumar, M. (2021). Inclusive Education and National Education Policy 2020: A Review. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, 9(9), 318–325.
- [27] Kurniawati, F., Minnaert, A., Mangunsong, F., & Ahmed, W. (2012). Empirical study on primary school teachers' attitudes towards inclusive education in Jakarta, Indonesia. *Procedia-Social & Behavioral Sciences*, 69, 1430–1436. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.12.082>
- [28] Majoko, T. (2019). Teacher key competencies for inclusive education: Tapping pragmatic realities of Zimbabwean special needs education teachers. *SAGE Open*, 9(1), 2158244018823455. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244018823455>

- [29] McFarlane, K. & Wolfson, L. M. (2013). 'Teacher' Attitudes and behavior toward the inclusion of children with social, emotional and behavioral difficulties in mainstream schools: an application of the theory of planned behavior.' *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 29, pp. 46–52.
- [30] Mouchritsa, M., Romero, A., Garay, U., & Kazanopoulos, S. (2022). Teachers' attitudes towards inclusive education at Greek secondary education schools. *Education Sciences*, 12(6), 404.
- [31] Nutter, M. E. (2011). *Teaching Students with Disabilities: perceptions of preparedness among preservice general education teachers*. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation. Northcentral University.
- [32] Rani, A. (2025). Inclusive Education in NEP 2020: Creating Equal Opportunities for All. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Technology*, 169292.
- [33] Raza, M., Bano, M., & Begum, S. (2025). From Segregation to Inclusion: Assessing India's Journey Towards Inclusive Education. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Management*, 1(6), 1–10.
- [34] Rofiah, K. (2022). Between special and regular settings: The teachers attitude towards inclusion. *Inclusive Education*, 1(1), 48-60.
- [35] Ross-Hill, R. (2009) 'Teacher attitude towards inclusion practices and special needs students.' *Journal of Research in Special Needs Education*, 9 (3), pp. 188–98.
- [36] Sahli Lozano, C., Wüthrich, S., Setz, F., Romano, A., & Petruccioli, R. (2024). A look across the borders: Swiss vs. Italian future special education Teachers' perspectives on inclusive education. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*.
- [37] Sharma, U., & Chow, E. W. (2008). The attitudes of Hong Kong primary school principals toward integrated education. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 9(3), 380-391.
- [38] Singal, N. (2015). Education of children with disabilities in India: A background paper. UNICEF India. <https://www.unicef.org/india/reports/education-children-disabilities-india>
- [39] Singal, N. (2006). Inclusive education in India: International concept, national interpretation. *International journal of disability, development and education*, 53(3), 351-369.
- [40] Sigal, E., & Sukenik, N. (2024). What do you know about ADHD?: A comparison between mainstream and special education teachers. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, v-49 n.12 p-19-41
- [41] Stewart, J. B. (2025). Teachers' Attitudes and Self-Efficacy Toward Inclusive Education Based on Teacher Education Program and Years of Teaching Experience (Doctoral dissertation, Regent University). Webpage: <http://www.proquest.com/en-US/products/dissertations/individuals.shtml>
- [42] Subban, P., & Sharma, U. (2005). Understanding educator attitudes toward the implementation of inclusive education. *Disability Studies Quarterly*, 25(2), 1–19. Retrieved from EBSCOhost | 17284225 <https://doi.org/10.18061/dsq.v25i2.545>
- [43] UNESCO. (2020). Inclusive Education in India. Global Education Monitoring Report Background Paper.
- [44] UNESCO. (2020). Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education-All means all. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373718>
- [45] Wood, J. (2017). Secondary special educators' attitudes and sense of self-efficacy toward inclusive education. University of California, San Diego.