IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

The Structural And Economic Impact Of India's GST Exemption On Individual Life And Health Insurance (GST 2.0 Reform)

1st Author
Smt Soni Singh Agnihotri
Research Scholar
Govt J.Y. Chhattisgarh College Raipur, India

2nd Author
Dr Shweta Tiwari
Vice Principal,
M.A.I.C College
Raipur, India

3rd Author
Dr Tapesh Chandra Gupta
Principal,
Govt J. Y. Chhattisgarh College,
Raipur, India

Abstract-The 2025 Goods and Services Tax (GST) 2.0 reform in India, which reduced the rate on individual life and health insurance premiums from 18% to 0% effective September 22, 2025, was a landmark strategic intervention aimed at reducing the cost barrier and accelerating the goal of "Insurance for All by 2047". This paper critically analyses the resulting trade-off between demand side i.emaximizing consumer affordability and the structural cost shock imposed on the supply side of the industry.

On the demand side, the policy immediately benefited policyholders with up to an 18% reduction in premium outflow, enhancing the affordability of essential protection and improving the value proposition of long-term savings products, such as Unit-Linked Insurance Plans (ULIPs). However, by classifying premium income as wholly exempt, the reform created a significant structural burden for insurers: the complete withdrawal of Input Tax Credit (ITC) on operational expenses, including agent commissions, rent, and technology. This loss translates into an estimated 3–8% increase in insurer operational costs.

In response to this cost pressure, insurers will have to engage in price recalibration (risking base premium inflation) and significantly adjust distribution compensation, shifting the 18% GST burden onto intermediaries, resulting in commission cuts of 15–18%. This latter consequence creates a severe policy conflict, threatening the viability of the agent network—the critical infrastructure needed for mass penetration, particularly the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India's (IRDAI) women-centric BimaVahak model for rural inclusion.

While the short-term fiscal cost to the government is an estimated $1.2–1.4 billion in annual revenue loss, the long-term success of the reform hinges on whether the resultant growth in policy volumes and associated economic activity can offset this sacrifice. The paper concludes that securing the intended social benefits requires proactive regulatory monitoring by the IRDAI to prevent the erosion of consumer savings through hidden base premium increases, and targeted fiscal support, such as fractional ITC allowances on critical distribution costs, to stabilize the financial inclusion infrastructure.

Keywords: GST 2.0, Life and Health Insurance, Input Tax Credit (ITC), Insurance for All by 2047, Premium Affordability, BimaVahak, IRDAI, Financial Inclusion, Tax Reform, Supply-side Shock.

I. Introduction: Policy Mandate and Analytical Framework

1.1. Contextual Background: The Indian Insurance Landscape and Vision 2047

The Indian insurance sector has demonstrated significant growth, with the domestic market expanding at a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) of 17% over the past two decades.[1] However, despite this expansion, the nation continues to grapple with low insurance penetration rates relative to global peers.[2] In alignment with the government’s ambitious long-term objective of achieving "Insurance for All by 2047" (coinciding with India's centenary of independence), policy attention has focused sharply on removing structural barriers to consumer adoption.[3]A significant pre-reform barrier was the standard 18% Goods and Services Tax (GST) applied to health & life insurance premiums.[3] This tax component made essential protection and long-term savings products comparatively more expensive for consumers, particularly when measured against other financial saving schemes and mutual funds.[2] This inflationary effect contributed directly to the persistent protection gap, discouraging adequate coverage among middle-class families, young earners, and senior citizens.[3]

1.2. Problem Statement: Analysing the Dual Outcomes of the GST 2.0 Reform

The 56th Goods and Services Tax (GST) Council meeting, held on September 3, 2025, resulted in a landmark policy decision: the full waiver of GST on premiums for individual life and health insurance products, reducing the rate from 18% to 0%, effective September 22, 2025.[3] This measure was designed to align insurance with its role as a vital social security instrument.[3]This paper critically assesses the structural and economic implications of this reform. The central research question examines the fundamental trade-off created by the policy: the maximization of consumer affordability on the demand side, set against the structural cost shock experienced by the industry supply side, primarily due to the complete withdrawal of Input Tax Credit (ITC). The analysis utilizes a quantitative and qualitative stakeholder framework—examining the impact on the Insured, Insurance Companies, Intermediaries, Regulator (IRDAI), and Government—to evaluate short-term disruptions against the long-term strategic benefits aimed at fulfilling the Vision 2047 mandate.

II. Policy Shift and Tax Mechanics: Defining the GST Exemption Scope

2.1. Legislative Framework and Implementation Details

The GST exemption, a recommendation approved by the 56th GST Council, came into effect on September 22, 2025.[3] This regulatory shift mandates that all individual life and health insurance policies are now fully exempt from the previous 18% GST slab.[9]The policy benefits extend immediately to new buyers purchasing a policy on or after the effective date, who pay the lower, GST-free premium from the start.[3] For existing policyholders, the financial benefit applies at the time of their next renewal or instalment payment made after September 22, 2025, making subsequent premiums cheaper.[3] Importantly, the exemption is comprehensive, applying not only to the base premiums of individual plans (including Term plans, Endowments, ULIPs, and senior citizen health covers) but also to riders and add-on covers attached to those individual policies, thereby enhancing the affordability of comprehensive protection.[10]

2.2. Critical Nuance: Exclusion of Group and General Insurance Segments

A notable feature of the reform is its narrowly defined scope. The exemption applies explicitly to individual life and health insurance policies and their reinsurance.[13] This leaves several key insurance segments untouched by the waiver. Group credit life policies and Group term policies, along with other general insurance services such as motor insurance, continue to attract the standard 18% GST.[13] The decision to exempt individual policies while retaining the GST on group policies and general insurance implies a distinct regulatory strategy rooted in targeted intervention. Since group policies are typically purchased by corporate entities or employers, the premium cost often falls within the scope of business expense deductions. The government's decision to maintain the tax on these commercial products, while removing it entirely from personal protection products, suggests a deliberate prioritization of maximizing household financial stability. This strategy channels the fiscal benefit directly to the "missing middle" and vulnerable consumer demographics (young earners, middle class, senior citizens) who bear the tax burden directly, rather than offering cost relief to commercial enterprises.[3]

2.3. Operational Transition and Customer Treatment

The implementation of the new tax regime is governed strictly by the date of payment. For policies where the premium due date fell prior to September 22, 2025, but the payment was received on or after the effective date, the premium is exempt from GST.[13] This principle applies to all instalment premium payments.[13] Furthermore, to promote policy persistency, premiums paid to revive individual life policies that lapsed before September 22, 2025, are also exempt, provided the reinstatement occurs on or after the effective date.[13] This ensures administrative simplicity and clear financial benefits for policyholders across various policy life cycle stages.

III. The Economics of Affordability: Impact on Policyholders (The Demand Side)

3.1. Quantification of Direct Premium Savings and Affordability

The GST waiver immediately benefits policyholders by reducing the overall cost barrier to obtaining essential coverage.[3] Individual policyholders experience an instant reduction of up to 18% in their total premium outflow.[3] For instance, a person paying an annual health insurance base premium of ₹50,000, who previously paid ₹9,000 in GST, now saves the entire ₹9,000.[3] Similarly, a term plan with a ₹10,000 annual base premium, which previously cost ₹11,800 with tax, now costs a flat ₹10,000.[15]The reduced premium cost not only increases affordability but also translates into increased individual savings.[3] This enhanced purchasing power allows families to redirect the money saved on insurance premiums into other goods, services, or investments, providing a marginal but positive contribution to consumer spending and economic stimulus.[3]

Table 1: Comparison of premium outlay before and after GST Exemption

Premium Component	**Pre-Reform (18% Gst)**	**Post-Reform (0% Gst)**	**Policy Impact**
Base annual premium (example)	₹50,000	₹50,000	unchanged
GST liability (18%)	₹9,000	₹0	direct customer saving
Total outflow to customer	₹59,000	₹50,000	15.25% reduction in cost
share directed to tax	15.25%	0.00%	enhanced value proportion

3.2. Enhanced Value Proposition and Reduction of the Protection Gap

With lower effective costs, consumers are presented with greater coverage options. Policyholders can use the budget savings to increase their sum insured or purchase additional riders, securing more comprehensive protection without increasing their financial strain.[3] This is crucial for bridging India’s protection gap.The reform also provides better value for savings-linked products. For Unit-Linked Insurance Plans (ULIPs) and endowment policies, where a portion of the premium is invested, the absence of GST means a greater share of the policyholder’s money is directed toward the investment component.[12] This structural change improves the potential long-term Internal Rate of Return (IRR) of these plans.[17] Furthermore, the reduction in renewal premiums is expected to reduce policy lapse rates, thereby improving policy persistency, which stabilizes cash flows for insurers.[12] Early market indications suggest that the GST waiver helped lift sales momentum in September 2025, contributing to a 14.8% year-on-year increase in new business premiums for the life insurance industry.[19]

3.3. Policy Alignment: Accelerating Financial Inclusion

The rationalization of GST enhances trust and transparency by aligning insurance with its essential role as a social security instrument.[3] The move makes insurance products cheaper and more viable for the end consumer, encouraging greater insurance penetration in line with the government's goals.[3]The Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) Chairman emphasized the policy’s significance, noting that the decision places the insurance sector "on par with food items" in policy priority, signalling a long-term commitment to affordability and essential financial protection.[21] This policy shift is expected to accelerate financial inclusion by lowering the cost barrier, particularly in underserved and rural areas, bringing more people into the formal financial safety net.[3]

IV. Supply-Side Dynamics: Insurer Profitability and Operational Challenges

4.1. The Structural Shock of Input Tax Credit (ITC) Withdrawal

While the exemption provides clear relief for consumers, it imposes a significant structural cost burden on insurance providers. The core issue lies in the Input Tax Credit (ITC) mechanism.[3] Under the GST framework, when an insurer’s output supply (the premium income) is classified as wholly exempt, the insurer loses the ability to claim ITC on the GST paid for input services and procurements used to generate that exempt supply, as stipulated under Section 17(2) of the CGST Act.[23]This withdrawal of ITC means that GST paid on major operational expenses—such as agent commissions, office rent, software licenses, technology upgrades, marketing, and advertising—becomes an unrecoverable cost.[3] Consequently, every rupee of GST paid on these necessary inputs transforms into a direct operating expense for the insurer, fundamentally increasing their cost structure and lowering net profits.[23] For instance, on every $100 paid as commission, the insurer must now bear $18 as non-creditable GST.[23] A partial structural relief remains, as ITC is still allowed for reinsurance-related services.[24]

4.2. Impact on Profit Margins and Expense of Management (EoM)

The loss of ITC creates immediate and substantial margin pressure for insurers.[3] Industry analysts estimate that the loss of the ability to claim tax credits on inputs could increase insurers' overall expenses by 3–8%.[26] In the short term, this transition is projected to worsen the combined ratios—the measure of claims and expenses against premiums—by 3–6%, pending the realization of long-term gains from increased policy volumes.[27]This cost increase is particularly challenging given the regulatory constraints imposed by the IRDAI’s cap on Expenses of Management (EoM) ratios.[26] The EoM cap limits the flexibility of insurers to simply absorb all the additional non-creditable costs, forcing them to find offsetting mechanisms within a highly competitive market.[26]

4.3. Price Recalibration and the Risk of Benefit Erosion

In response to the competing pressures of maximizing consumer benefits and maintaining profitability under the EoM constraints, insurers face a challenging price recalibration dilemma.[26] The market expectation is that the entire 18% GST reduction should be passed on to the customer.[26] However, to recover the 3-8% increase in operational costs resulting from lost ITC, insurers may adjust their base premium pricing models.[3]The policy’s visible benefit—the 0% GST line on the invoice—is legally sound. However, this explicit tax relief may be fiscally neutralized for the insurer through an invisible mechanism: an increase in the base premium itself.[29] Because the cost is now internal to the insurer's product design, they retain the flexibility to slightly increase the base price to recover the lost tax credit.[22] A market survey indicated that some customers reported paying higher premiums than the previous year, suggesting that price adjustments may have partially offset the intended savings for policyholders.[29] This scenario presents a regulatory challenge for the IRDAI, which is tasked with ensuring that the true financial benefits of the waiver are genuinely transferred to vulnerable populations.[3] Vigilant oversight of renewal and new policy base pricing is necessary to prevent the gradual erosion of consumer savings.

V. Disruption in Distribution: The Intermediary Dilemma

5.1. Shifting the ITC Burden onto Intermediaries

The most visible consequence of the structural cost shock has been the immediate and widespread adjustment of agent and broker commission structures.[28] Since commissions constitute a major component of an insurer's operational expense and are a significant portion of the lost ITC, insurance companies have sought to mitigate the financial impact by modifying payouts.[23]Insurers have implemented a strategy of making commission payments "inclusive of GST".[23] This change effectively shifts the 18% GST burden onto the distributor.[23] Consequently, the net commission income for agents and brokers is reduced by approximately 15-18%.[31] For example, a commission payment of ₹1,000 is reduced to ₹847.45 after accounting for the 18% GST component.[28] Private insurers like Tata AIG and Aditya Birla Health Insurance officially announced these revised commission structures, effective October 1, 2025, although some public sector counterparts have reportedly pledged to absorb the entire ITC impact.[28]

5.2. Consequences for Market Reach and Financial Inclusion

This substantial reduction in net commission earnings creates considerable strain on small and independent agents and brokers who form the backbone of the distribution model in India.[3] The viability of these smaller operators is particularly vulnerable when their commission-based income is reduced.[3]A decline in the financial attractiveness of selling insurance risks weakening the crucial physical network of agents and advisors.[3] This weakening could severely reduce the reach of insurance products in less accessible areas, such as rural markets and Tier 2/3 cities.[3] Such an outcome directly undermines the core goal of mass penetration and financial inclusion promoted by the GST reform itself.

5.3. Policy Conflict: Threat to the BimaVahak Model

The reduction in intermediary earnings creates a severe potential policy conflict concerning the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India's (IRDAI) key distribution initiative, the BimaVahak model.[32] The BimaVahak initiative mandates the establishment of a women-centric, dedicated rural distribution channel to ensure insurance presence in every Gram Panchayat by the end of 2024.[32] The success of "Insurance for All by 2047" relies heavily on the implementation of this high-cost, high-volume model in rural markets.[4]

The agents under the BimaVahak framework rely solely on insurer-paid commissions for their services.[32] The immediate 15-18% reduction in these earnings, resulting from the insurer's loss of ITC on commissions, makes the BimaVahak role less financially viable and attractive.[28] The consequence is a structural incoherence where a major fiscal reform intended to boost penetration by lowering consumer costs inadvertently compromises the very infrastructure—the agent network—required to achieve that enhanced penetration, risking the stall of rural financial inclusion efforts.[3]

Table 2: Structural Impact of ITC Withdrawal on Insurer Cost Components

Input Service Category	Tax Treatment Before Exemption	Tax Treatment After Exemption	Financial Consequence For Insurer
premiums collected (output)	taxable, GST collected	exempt, no GST collected	loss of output tax base
agent commissions	GST paid (creditable itc)	GST paid (non-creditable cost)	direct increase in operating expense (18% cost burden) [23]
technology/ rent/ admin	GST paid (creditable itc)	GST paid (non-creditable cost)	pressure on eom ratios (3-8% rise in costs) [26]
reinsurance services	GST paid (creditable itc)	GST paid (creditable itc)	maintains capital efficiency (partial relief) [24]

VI. Macroeconomic and Fiscal Analysis

6.1. Short-Term Fiscal Cost: Modelling Government Revenue Loss

The immediate and primary effect on the government is a decline in direct tax collections.[3] Prior to the reform, the government collected thousands of crores annually in GST from insurance policies.[3] By yielding this tax stream, the government incurred an immediate and significant dip in the tax base.
Quantifiable projections indicate that the government may lose approximately $1.2–1.4 billion annually in GST revenue from this portfolio of insurance premiums.[27] Earlier studies had estimated that exempting health insurance alone would lead to an annual revenue loss of around ₹3,500 crore.[3] This revenue shortfall poses a challenge, particularly for state governments, which share a portion of GST revenue and no longer benefit from the central government compensation mechanism that existed during the initial rollout of GST.[3]

6.2. Long-Term Economic and Social Dividends

Despite the short-term fiscal cost, the GST reduction is a strategic trade-off designed to deliver substantial long-term social and economic dividends.[3] With more affordable health coverage, citizens experience reduced Out-of-Pocket Expenses (OOPE) for medical emergencies, which enhances household financial stability and security.[3]
On the economic front, increased inflows into life insurance policies—driven by lower costs and improved long-term returns on savings plans—translate into greater capital formation.[12] Life insurers are key investors in infrastructure bonds, meaning increased policy inflows provide greater funding for national infrastructure projects, contributing to overall economic growth.[12] Broad market adoption also strengthens the country's financial safety net, reducing overall macroeconomic vulnerability to financial shocks.[12]

6.3. Strategic Trade-Off and the Neutrality Threshold

The government's strategic decision consciously prioritized profound social and economic goals—such as strengthening public health outcomes and long-term financial security—over immediate tax revenue collection.[3] The underlying economic validation for this policy lies in the expectation that the increased sales volume and sector growth will eventually compensate for the direct revenue loss.

To achieve fiscal neutrality without increasing the tax burden elsewhere, the tax-exempt premium base of the insurance sector must expand substantially. The volume of new business premiums (NBP) must generate sufficient secondary economic activity—through job creation, higher insurer profits (taxed through corporate mechanisms), and capital deployment—to offset the initial $1.2–1.4 billion annual revenue loss.[3] This requires a massive, sustained surge in insurance penetration, far beyond modest increases.[34] While early data showing a 14.8% Year-on-Year rise in NBP in September 2025 is an encouraging indicator of positive sales momentum [19], the long-term fiscal success of the reform hinges entirely on maintaining and accelerating this double-digit growth trajectory over the medium term.

6.4. Regulatory Mandate and Strategic Guidance (IRDAI Role)

The IRDAI carries the critical dual mandate of promoting growth while protecting policyholders and ensuring market stability.[3] The regulator must actively oversee the industry's response to the new cost structures, particularly monitoring potential base premium adjustments and changes to commission payouts.[3]
To counter the challenge of higher operational costs resulting from ITC loss, the regulator is driving the industry toward greater efficiency and innovation.[3] This includes initiatives to improve claims processing, streamline operations, and promote new digital distribution channels like the "Bima Sugam" digital marketplace, which is intended to simplify policy purchase and comparison and enhance insurance penetration.[1]

Table 3: Stakeholder Analysis of Short-term vs. Long-term Impact

Stakeholder	Immediate Impact (Short-Term)	Potential Long-Term Challenge	Primary Policy Goal Supported
policyholders (insured)	18% reduction in premium cost and immediate savings.	risk of base premium inflation by insurers (price recalibration).	affordability and financial security
insurers (supply side)	loss of itc, leading to 3-8% increased operational costs.	successfully offsetting cost via increased sales volume and efficiency gains.	market growth and economies of scale
intermediaries (distribution)	15-18% reduction in net commission earnings.	erosion of distribution network, jeopardizing rural outreach (bimavahak).	rural penetration and distribution viability
government (fiscal)	Significant immediate tax revenue shortfall (~$1.2–1.4 billion).	achieving "insurance for all by 2047" and long-term reduction in social burden.	social welfare and fiscal gain

VII. Conclusion and Policy Recommendations

7.1. Synthesis of Dual Outcomes and Key Trade-Offs

The GST exemption on individual life and health insurance premiums, implemented in September 2025, represents a watershed moment for the Indian insurance sector. The reform will successfully achieve its primary objective of enhancing consumer affordability, reinforcing the message that "insurance is not a luxury but a mainstream necessity for protection and savings".[3] Policyholders now enjoy simpler, more transparent pricing and substantially lower costs, leading to an improved value proposition for protection and long-term savings products.[12]
However, the analysis demonstrates that this demand-side victory introduced a severe structural shock

on the supply side through the complete withdrawal of Input Tax Credit (ITC). This cost burden, estimated to increase insurers' operational expenses by 3-8%, creates a central conflict. Insurers, constrained by regulatory Expense of Management caps, are attempting to recover these costs through two main mechanisms: (1) base premium recalibration, which risks eroding the consumer benefit over time; and (2) disproportionate reduction of distribution commissions (15-18% cuts), which threatens the viability of the agent network, particularly in the high-cost, low-volume rural markets targeted by the BimaVahak initiative. The crucial paradox is that the policy intended for financial inclusion risks undermining the human distribution infrastructure required for mass penetration.

7.2. Policy Recommendations for Sustaining Consumer Benefits and Stabilizing the Industry

Based on the economic and structural analysis of the reform, the following policy interventions are recommended to stabilize the industry, ensure the intended benefits reach citizens, and safeguard the path toward "Insurance for All by 2047":

1. Targeted Fiscal Relief on Critical Inputs: The government should evaluate the possibility of providing targeted fiscal relief for insurers on specific high-cost, high-volume inputs. Instead of reinstating tax on premiums, a fractional ITC allowance (e.g., 5% to 10%) specifically for technology and IT systems, as well as commissions paid to agents under regulated inclusion models like BimaVahak, could stabilize insurer cost structures without impacting consumer premiums. This measure would directly address the policy incoherence surrounding the rural distribution mandate.

2. Proactive Regulatory Monitoring of Base Premiums: The IRDAI must strengthen its regulatory oversight beyond merely verifying the 0% GST liability. The regulator should institute a mechanism requiring insurers to submit detailed cost structure data (pre- and post-ITC loss) and justification for any increases in base premium rates, particularly for renewals, to prevent the hidden neutralization of consumer savings through base premium inflation.

3. Incentive Alignment for Rural Distribution: To mitigate the damage caused by reduced commissions, the IRDAI should introduce specific, temporary regulatory dispensations for insurers supporting the BimaVahak model. This could involve adjusting the calculation of Expenses of Management to exclude or discount commissions paid to BimaVahaks in rural areas, thereby incentivizing insurers to absorb the ITC cost in this critical channel and ensuring the continued viability of the financial inclusion strategy.

4. Data-Driven Validation of Fiscal Strategy: Continuous, high-frequency, and granular analysis of sales volume (NBP growth) and market penetration statistics is paramount. This data is essential for monitoring whether the volume effect—the resultant growth of the insurance sector—is sufficient and sustained enough to generate the secondary economic benefits required to offset the \$1.2–1.4 billion annual direct tax revenue sacrifice, thereby validating the strategic policy trade-off within a clear medium-term fiscal horizon.

Bibliography

1. Financial Services Division, Government of India. Exemption on GST. [13]
2. Goods & Service Tax (GST) Council. Recommendations of the 56th Meeting of the GST Council held on September 3, 2025. [9]
3. Uploaded Document. IMPACT OF GST REDUCTION IN LIFE & HEALTH INSURANCE PRODUCTS. [3]
4. ETHealthworld. GST Council Proposes Full Exemption on Health and Term Life Insurance Premiums. [7]
5. Inkasure. No GST on Health Insurance Premiums from Sep 22, 2025. [8]
6. SBI Life Insurance. Zero GST on Life & Health Insurance Premiums. [10]
7. DMI Finance. GST Updates 2025: Key Highlights of 56th GST Council Meeting. [14]

8. PIB. Cheaper essentials, stronger markets and new opportunities for Delhi's businesses. [16]
9. Aditya Birla Capital. The Immediate Impact of the 2025 GST Reforms. [12]
10. Swastika. Insurance Premiums Without GST: What it Means for Customers, Insurers, and Investors. [22]
11. NJ Jain. Insurers Face ITC Block, Agents Bear 18% GST Burden. [23]
12. Incentivise Solutions. GST Cut on Insurance Premiums: What's Changing, What It Means. [27]
13. Business Standard YouTube Channel. GST rate cut on health insurance has elevated insurance to the same priority level as food: IRDAI Chairman. [21]
14. HDFC ERGO. Impact of New GST on Health Insurance Premiums. [25]
15. Angel One. Insurance Premiums GST-Free from Sept 22, 2025; Costs May Still Rise. [24]
16. A2Z Tax Corp. Despite GST 2.0 Cuts, Many Policyholders Say They Face Higher Insurance Premiums. [29]
17. Insurance Manager. Insurance Agents, Brokers May Get Lower Commission After GST Cuts. [26]
18. Business Today. Indian Life Insurance Sector Growth, GST Exemption Benefits. [18]
19. Insillion. Achieving Insurance for All by 2047: A Vision for Inclusive Growth. [4]
20. RMA India. Achieving Insurance for All in India by 2047. [5]
21. Insurance Asia. India's Life Insurers Post 14.8% Rise in New Premiums in September. [19]
22. IBEF. India Insurance Sector Analysis and Trends. [1]
23. Economic Times. GST reduction: New life insurance business premium touches Rs 34,007 cr in October. [20]
24. Business Standard. GST cut boosts insurance affordability but penetration challenge remains. [34]
25. Times of India. GST Exemption Hurting Insurance Brokers, Private Insurance Companies Reduce Commissions. [31]
26. Economic Times. Full GST benefit to these insurance customers as the insurers pass ITC burden to distribution partners. [28]
27. Tax Guru. BimaVahak: New Women-Centric Insurance Distribution Approach. [32]
28. The Actuary India. IRDAI soft launches BimaVahak's portal. [33]
29. Whalesbook. Insurance Policyholders Not Seeing GST Cut Benefits, Survey Finds. [37]
30. Times of India. GST revamp: Health policy prices may not drop as much as 18%. [38]
31. Efiletax. Why is a Full GST Exemption Controversial?[39]
32. Tribune India. Exemption of GST on Individual Life, Health Insurance: Impact on New vs Existing Policies. [11]
33. Bima Bazaar. Impact of GST 2.0 on the Life Insurance Industry. [40]
34. Salasarservices. How GST 2.0 Reforms Are Rewriting Life & Health Insurance in India. [41]
35. Aditya Birla Capital. What Was the GST on Life Insurance Earlier?[2]
36. ET Edge Insights. Health & Life Insurance Without GST: What’s Changing for Policyholders in 2025?[30]
37. The Insurance Times Oct’25: GST 2.0 Reforms-What they mean for the Life Insurance Industry and the consumers.