IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Protecting Minors' Digital Privacy In The Age Of Ai Toys: Addressing The Legal Vacuum

[1]Saood Iqbal Khan and [2]Dr. Simran Singh
[1]Resarch Schoolar, [2]Assosiate Professor
[1&2] School of Law,
[1&2]SAGE University, Bhopal, India

Abstract: Artificial intelligence is increasingly becoming an integral part of children's everyday play through smart toys that can interact, learn, and adapt to their users. These innovations offer unprecedented educational and recreational opportunities, fostering creativity, problem-solving, and personalized learning experiences. At the same time, AI toys collect a range of sensitive data, including children's voices, behavioural patterns, and personal information, which, if mismanaged, can expose minors to privacy risks and potential exploitation. Despite the rapid adoption of such technologies, current legal and regulatory frameworks are fragmented and often lag behind technological advancements, leaving critical gaps in the protection of children's digital rights.

This study investigates the legal vacuum surrounding AI-enabled toys and examines the ethical and child-rights considerations that arise in this context. By critically analysing existing privacy laws and their limitations, the research proposes a conceptual framework for the responsible design, deployment, and oversight of AI play devices. The study underscores the unique vulnerabilities of children in digital environments, highlighting the need for regulatory interventions that balance innovation with protection. Ultimately, this paper aims to provide a theoretical foundation to guide policymakers, designers, and stakeholders in establishing privacy safeguards, ethical standards, and child-centric governance models for AI technologies targeting minors.

Index Terms - Artificial Intelligence, Smart Toys, Children's Privacy, Data Protection, AI Ethics, Child Rights, Digital Safety.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Emergence of AI Toys and Digital Privacy Challenges

In recent years, artificial intelligence (AI) has begun to play a noticeable role in children's toys, fundamentally altering the way young users interact with their playthings. These toys are no longer simply objects for amusement they can listen, respond, and even adapt to a child's behaviour over time. Some smart toys, for instance, can recognize a child's voice, remember past interactions, or suggest activities tailored to the user's preferences. Such features can enhance learning, stimulate creativity, and develop problem-solving skills. At the same time, however, they introduce serious questions about privacy and safety. Many AI-enabled toys collect sensitive information, including personal identifiers, voice recordings, and behavioral patterns. If such data is not handled carefully, it can be exposed or misused, placing children who are often unaware of privacy risks at a considerable disadvantage.

In landmark Supreme Court judgment affirmed the right to privacy as a fundamental right under Articles 14, 19, and 21 of the Indian Constitution. The Court emphasized that any infringement of this right must meet the tests of legality, necessity, and proportionality. This case is foundational in discussions about data privacy, especially concerning vulnerable groups like children.

Despite the rapid spread of these technologies, the legal landscape has struggled to keep up. Most existing privacy laws were designed with adults in mind, leaving children's unique vulnerabilities largely unaddressed. Frameworks tend to be fragmented, reactive, or unevenly enforced, which creates gaps in protection. This raises difficult questions: Who is responsible if a toy's data is mismanaged? How can meaningful consent be obtained when the user is a minor? What ethical obligations do toy manufacturers have toward young users? Such questions underline a broader legal and regulatory vacuum, where technology has advanced faster than laws and policies can accommodate.

1.2 Ethical, Legal, and Governance Implications

The challenges associated with AI toys extend beyond law into ethics and governance. Children's interactions with AI devices are rarely neutral; toys can influence behavior, shape preferences, and even subtly guide decision-making. These effects often occur without the child or even the parent fully understanding the underlying data collection and processing. From an ethical perspective, this raises concerns about manipulation, autonomy, and the commercialization of personal information. In other words, the issue is not just about whether data is collected, but how it is used, and what the consequences might be for young users.

Addressing these challenges requires a holistic perspective that brings together legal, ethical, and child-rights considerations. By examining how AI toys operate and the types of data they collect, it is possible to conceptualize frameworks for responsible design and governance. Such frameworks need to strike a careful balance: encouraging innovation while protecting children from harm. In practice, this means ensuring that privacy safeguards, oversight mechanisms, and ethical standards are built into the design of AI toys from the outset.

Ultimately, AI toys should be seen not merely as entertainment devices, but as socio-technical systems that intersect with law, ethics, and child development. Understanding their impact requires moving beyond descriptions of technology to a deeper theoretical engagement with its legal and moral implications. This chapter, therefore, lays the foundation for exploring how AI toys challenge existing norms of privacy, ethics, and governance, and sets the stage for the detailed analysis that follows in later chapters.

CHAPTER 2: CONCEPTUALIZING AI TOYS AND LEGAL-ETHICAL CHALLENGES FOR MINORS

2.1 AI Toys as Socio-Technical Systems

When we talk about AI toys today, it's hard to think of them as mere playthings. Many of them do more than react they seem to respond, to remember, and to adapt. For instance, a small robotic toy may recall how a child solved a puzzle yesterday and suggest a slightly harder challenge today. Smart dolls might give hints or ask questions that encourage problem-solving. It's almost as if these toys participate in the child's learning process. But of course, they are machines, and their "participation" is guided entirely by algorithms and data. What strikes me is how much the environment shapes these interactions. Parental involvement, peer behavior, or even cultural expectations can influence how children use these toys. At the same time, the way these toys are designed including what data they gather reflects commercial priorities, technical constraints, and the rules imposed by regulators. In other words, AI toys are not just devices; they are situated within broader social and ethical contexts, and understanding them requires looking at all of these layers together.

2.2 Data Privacy and Children

Privacy, when it comes to AI toys, is complicated. These devices often collect far more information than anyone realizes at first. They might record voices, watch how children move, track preferences, and sometimes even gather tiny biometric details. A child could happily chat with a doll or interact with a game, completely unaware that what they say or do is being stored. Parents, too, often don't know the full picture. They may think they understand, but in reality, they have only a partial idea of what data is being collected, how long it's kept, or who can access it.

This creates a clear imbalance. Children give information every day, freely, yet they have almost no control over it. And traditional ideas of consent don't fit here. A child cannot give truly informed consent. Parents can step in, but even they might not fully grasp the situation. Privacy, in this sense, is about more than just keeping data safe it's about protecting a child's independence, dignity, and sense of security.

There's also a psychological side to this. If a toy remembers everything a child says, could it change how the child behaves? Maybe they start acting differently because, even subconsciously, they know the toy "remembers" them. This isn't just hypothetical it's about how constant monitoring, however subtle, can influence decision-making, creativity, or even social interactions. Over time, small nudges from AI could shape habits and behavior without anyone noticing and the problem isn't only individual. When data from many children is combined, algorithms start "learning" patterns. This can reinforce stereotypes or create biases. A voice-recognition system, for instance, might favor certain accents, leaving some children frustrated or unheard. Learning suggestions or reward systems might unintentionally benefit some kids over others. The result is inequality, even if the technology was designed with good intentions.

Ultimately, protecting privacy in AI toys isn't just about firewalls or encryption. It's about understanding children's experiences, designing responsibly, and thinking ahead. It's about creating tools that respect autonomy, nurture development, and reduce subtle pressures or biases that children may not even realize are shaping them. Privacy isn't a single checkpoint it's a continuous responsibility, one that involves parents, designers, and policymakers alike.

2.3 Ethical Considerations

The ethical side of AI toys is equally tricky. They can influence a child's behavior in subtle ways. For instance, a toy that rewards certain choices might encourage specific habits, even if the designers did not intend it. Over time, these small nudges can add up, shaping preferences or social behavior. The DPDP Act defines individuals under 18 as "children" and mandates that their personal data can only be processed with verifiable parental consent. It prohibits processing that is likely to harm a child's well-being, including behavioral monitoring and targeted advertising. However, the Act's implementation is pending, and concerns remain about its effectiveness in safeguarding children's data.

From an ethical perspective, one has to consider multiple angles. There's the duty to respect the child's autonomy, the potential benefits versus harms of interactions, and the responsibility of designers to act fairly. Sometimes, these responsibilities conflict. For example, a company might want to make a toy more engaging by tailoring responses, but doing so may risk subtly manipulating a child's behavior. It raises a simple but important question: where do we draw the line between fun, learning, and manipulation?

2.4 Legal and Governance Challenges

Legally, AI toys expose gaps we probably didn't think about a few years ago. Most privacy and child protection laws were written with adults in mind. They don't always capture the unique ways children interact with AI devices. Enforcement is often reactive laws tend to address problems after they happen. For example, if a toy shares behavioral data with a cloud server and that data is misused, it's unclear who bears responsibility: the manufacturer, the service provider, or perhaps even the parent?

One approach could be to integrate principles like the "best interest of the child" into both design and regulation. But that's easier said than done. Effective governance has to combine law, ethics, parental involvement, and technical safeguards. Otherwise, children remain exposed to risks that are difficult to foresee. Transparency is key too. Children and their guardians should know exactly what data is collected and why it's not enough to bury this in fine print. Looking at these issues together, I find it helpful to think of AI toys at the intersection of three areas: technology, child development, and governance. Technology determines what the toy can do and what data it collects. Child development shows us where vulnerabilities lie and what protections are needed. Governance both ethical and legal sets the boundaries for responsible use.

AI toys are not just devices; they are socio-technical systems with real responsibilities embedded in their design. It also helps to identify gaps: in privacy protections, in ethical guidelines, and in legal oversight. From here, we can start imagining interventions like better parental controls, built-in privacy protections, or even design principles that prioritize children's autonomy. Later chapters will explore these gaps in more detail, looking at the challenges and possibilities that AI toys present for children in today's digital world.

CHAPTER 3: ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION – LEGAL AND ETHICAL CHALLENGES OF AI TOYS

3.1 Privacy Risks and Children's Data

It is striking how much data even a simple AI toy can collect. From voice recordings to behavior patterns, and sometimes even biometric signals, these devices are capable of observing far more than a casual glance would suggest. A child talking to a voice-activated toy might not realize that each response, hesitation, or question is being stored. Even if the manufacturer claims the data is anonymized, subtle patterns over time can reveal a lot about a child's personality, interests, or daily routines.

Parents often assume these toys are harmless or purely educational. The bright colors, interactive games, and playful narratives can easily mask the fact that a child's interactions are being recorded, processed, and sometimes even shared. One might wonder whether children subtly alter their behavior when they sense they are being "watched" by these devices, consciously or unconsciously. This tension between technological benefits and privacy risks is at the heart of the problem. Children are vulnerable, and yet the toys are designed to learn from them. Section 66E criminalizes the violation of privacy by capturing, publishing, or transmitting images of a private area of any person without consent. While not specific to AI toys, this provision underscores the importance of consent and privacy in digital interactions, relevant to the collection of children's data.

3.2 Ethical Challenges in Design and Use

Ethically, AI toys are more complicated than they seem. They do not merely entertain they shape. A robot that rewards certain behaviors, like solving puzzles or speaking politely, might seem helpful, but over weeks or months, these small reinforcements could guide a child's preferences or habits in ways that are not fully transparent. Designers face a subtle but important dilemma: how to balance engagement and learning without stepping into manipulation. There is also the issue of bias. Some toys, especially those that use voice recognition, respond better to certain accents or speech patterns. This can unintentionally disadvantage children from particular linguistic or cultural backgrounds, creating unequal learning experiences. Moreover, the line between encouragement and manipulation can be very thin.

When does guidance become a subtle form of coercion? These are questions that designers, parents, and regulators must grapple with simultaneously.

3.3 Legal Gaps and Governance Issues

Current legal frameworks are not fully equipped to address these challenges. Most privacy laws assume that users can provide informed consent, which is clearly problematic for children. Even parental consent is not a perfect solution; parents may not fully understand the flow of data through cloud servers or third-party applications. This creates a grey area of accountability.

The Delhi High Court held that an author has the right to object to any distortion, mutilation, or other modification of their work that would prejudice their honor or reputation. Although not directly related to AI toys, this case underscores the importance of protecting individuals' rights against unauthorized use or modification of their likeness or data, which is pertinent in the context of AI-powered toys collecting and using children's data.

Liability is especially unclear when AI toys interact with external platforms. If data is misused or a breach occurs, who is responsible? Manufacturers, software developers, parents? And the problem is compounded internationally laws differ from country to country. A toy that meets privacy standards in one jurisdiction could be violating another's regulations entirely. Some scholars suggest applying the "best interest of the child" principle as a legal benchmark, but implementation is far from straightforward.

3.4 Real-World Reflections

Several real cases illustrate these concerns vividly. There have been internet-connected dolls that recorded children's voices without parents fully understanding the extent of the recording, raising alarms about surveillance and consent. Educational robots that monitor learning behavior offer personalized guidance but store data that could be exposed or misused. Interactive learning apps linked to AI toys track every move a child makes, sometimes sharing insights with advertisers. Each of these examples underscores a central dilemma: while AI toys can enhance learning and play, they also create vulnerabilities that traditional privacy and child protection frameworks struggle to address.

One could even argue that the design of these toys implicitly assumes that children will be observed and data will be collected. Yet, society has not fully agreed on how to balance innovation with protection. It seems that we are constantly catching up, developing rules after new technologies are already in use.

3.5 Policy and Practical Considerations

From this analysis, several implications emerge. Data collection should be minimal, restricted to what is necessary for learning or play. Toys need intuitive parental controls, allowing guardians to manage or delete collected information. Designers should actively consider ethical questions: fairness, inclusivity, transparency, and the long-term impact on child development. Legal frameworks, too, must evolve incorporating international child-rights principles, ethical standards, and technological realities.

It is not enough to focus on one aspect in isolation. Technology, child development, and governance must all be considered together. Protecting children in AI-driven play requires collaboration among designers, parents, regulators, and educators. Each has a role to play in ensuring that AI toys support growth and creativity while minimizing risks to privacy and autonomy.

AI toys are undeniably innovative, offering new avenues for learning and engagement. Yet, they bring complex challenges. Privacy vulnerabilities, ethical dilemmas, and gaps in legal oversight intersect in ways that are not easily resolved. Children cannot consent in traditional ways, and existing regulations are often inadequate. By examining these issues through a reflective, socio-technical lens, it becomes clear that comprehensive strategies are needed strategies that blend ethical design, legal oversight, and practical safeguards.

CHAPTER 4: BUILDING A PROTECTIVE FRAMEWORK FOR CHILDREN IN AI TOYS

4.1 Thinking About Technology, Kids, and Ethics

When I reflect on AI toys, it's clear they are not just playthings they are little computers that watch, learn, and respond. And children? They are curious, trusting, and completely unaware of the data being collected. It seems almost natural that designers focus on engagement, interactivity, and educational value. But then the question arises: at what point does interactivity become intrusive? A toy that remembers a child's favorite games or the way they answered a question yesterday might seem helpful, but it's also quietly observing patterns, storing them, maybe even transmitting them elsewhere. So, any framework to protect kids must consider these three dimensions together. Technology gives capability. Children's development sets vulnerability. Ethics asks, "Are we shaping behavior responsibly?" Without thinking in all three directions at once, we risk solutions that only scratch the surface.

4.2 Privacy Should Be Built In, Not Added Later

One idea that keeps coming back is "privacy by design." Instead of tacking on protections at the end, the toy's architecture itself should minimize data collection. Maybe the toy processes learning patterns on the device rather than sending them to the cloud. Perhaps parents can view, manage, or erase records with a simple button.

It's not just technical. It's ethical. Children deserve toys that play with them, not toys that use them as data sources. Even small steps, like giving parents clarity about what is recorded and why, can make a huge difference. It feels like such an obvious point, yet so many products still overlook it.

4.3 Ethical Considerations in Real Life

Thinking about ethics in AI toys isn't straightforward. The ways these toys influence children are subtle, often invisible at first glance. A toy might reward certain behaviors repeatedly, and children being naturally curious and impressionable start to repeat them. Over time, these patterns could shape habits, preferences, or even elements of personality. The effect isn't immediate and is hard to fully anticipate. Something as simple as a game or interactive story can quietly guide behavior, and we might not notice until much later.

Even when designers genuinely intend to help children, the possibility of subtle manipulation exists. Consider voice recognition: it may understand some accents better than others. Cultural references built into a toy could unintentionally favor certain backgrounds. Learning suggestions, rewards, or adaptive feedback might encourage some children more than others. It's not that designers are malicious; the system itself can create unbalanced experiences without anyone realizing it. This is why transparency and fairness are so critical. Children and their parents need more than slogans or claims about what a toy does. They should have a sense of how it works, how it "decides" what to reward, and what kind of data it collects. Transparency isn't just a checkbox; it's about understanding and trust. And it's not enough to test these toys in a lab. Kids live in messy, unpredictable environments homes, playgrounds, classrooms. How they interact with toys in the real world can be very different from a controlled scenario. Designers have to imagine that complexity.

Ultimately, the goal isn't to eliminate influence after all, every educational or play tool shapes behavior to some extent. The question is whether the influence is fair, clear, and aligned with a child's best interests. Designers, parents, and policymakers need to think ahead, considering how toys might subtly shape learning, habits, or social behavior. By combining clarity, fairness, and real-world thinking, we can create AI toys that are engaging and beneficial, without unintentionally guiding children in ways they or their caregivers didn't choose.

4.4 Law, Responsibility, and the Grey Zones

The legal side feels messy. Children cannot give true consent, and parental consent is often partial. If data leaks, who is accountable? And if a toy works internationally, the laws differ everywhere. Perhaps we need layered rules: broad principles at the international level, enforceable national laws, and industry standards as an extra layer. But even then, enforcement is difficult, and gaps remain. It seems that laws are always trying to catch up with technology, never quite leading it. Such as in a case court Struck down Section 66A of the IT Act, emphasizing freedom of speech and the importance of proportionality in restricting online activity. Relevant for digital content regulation and children's online protection. Even with laws and tech safeguards, parents and teachers are on the front lines. Simple engagement like checking what a toy is doing, discussing it with the child, or setting boundaries is surprisingly effective. And children themselves can learn, at least a little, about what it means to interact with AI safely. Age-appropriate guidance and digital literacy can empower them, even if they are young.

4.5 A Layered, Human-Centered Approach

Putting it all together, the framework feels like layers. The first layer is ethical, privacy-conscious design. The second layer is legal and regulatory safeguards. The third layer is parental and educational engagement. Each layer supports the others. Technology alone cannot protect children; neither can law alone. We need all three working together, like a safety net. Right to privacy vis-à-vis media exposure was reinforced, establishing legal precedent for balancing privacy and public interest. Applicable in contexts of children's exposure via AI platforms, and this framework should be adaptable. Toys evolve fast. What works today may be obsolete tomorrow. Reflection, iteration, and continuous adjustment are essential. Otherwise, protections will always lag behind innovation. Children benefit from AI toys but only if these protections are genuinely in place. Otherwise, the toys risk becoming instruments of observation rather than learning.

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Through this research, it becomes clear that AI toys are more than just playthings; they are interactive systems capable of observing, learning, and influencing children in subtle and sometimes unseen ways. While these technologies can enhance learning, engagement, and creativity, they also introduce significant concerns related to privacy, autonomy, and developmental impact. Children, inherently trusting and explorative, often interact with these devices without understanding the extent to which their actions and data are being recorded, analyzed, or shared. Even parental oversight has limitations, highlighting the critical ethical and practical challenges inherent in this space.

This dual nature of AI toys simultaneously beneficial and potentially intrusive emphasizes the ethical tension between fostering innovation and protecting the most vulnerable users. Designers focus on engagement and adaptability, but these very features can subtly shape behavior, reinforce biases, or impact developmental trajectories. Recognizing this tension is essential to creating frameworks that genuinely protect children rather than merely regulate superficial aspects of AI interaction.

5.1 Key Findings

The following are the key findings of the paper -

1. **Inadequate legal protections:** Current data protection laws are primarily adult-centric. Children cannot fully consent to the collection and processing of their data, and parental consent, though necessary, is not sufficient to fully safeguard minors.

2. **Ethical complexity:** AI toys inherently influence behavior through reward systems, interactive learning, and personalized feedback. While these mechanisms enhance engagement, they may inadvertently reinforce social biases or shape long-term preferences without full transparency.

3. **Technological vulnerabilities:** Features that enable learning and adaptation such as voice recognition and cloud-based analytics also create potential privacy risks, including unauthorized data sharing and behavioral profiling. Technology alone cannot mitigate these risks.

4. **Importance of parental and educational engagement:** Human oversight is indispensable. Parents and educators provide the context, guidance, and monitoring necessary to ensure that AI toys remain tools for learning rather than instruments of inadvertent influence or surveillance.

Together, these insights point to the necessity of a holistic, multi-layered protective approach, integrating legal, ethical, technological, and human factors.

5.2 Implications for Policy and Practice

The implications are significant. Policymakers must develop legislation specifically addressing children's interactions with AI-driven toys, incorporating principles like "privacy by design" and the "best interest of the child." Industry designers have an ethical responsibility to consider how design choices affect development, fairness, and inclusivity. Transparency tools, parental controls, and clear communication about data practices are crucial.

Equally, digital literacy education is essential. Children should understand how AI collects and uses data, helping them navigate interactions safely. By fostering awareness, children become active participants in their digital experiences, rather than passive subjects of observation.

AI toys reflect broader challenges in technology and child rights. They offer opportunities for engagement and learning, but their risks cannot be overlooked. Protecting children requires understanding the complex interplay between technology, ethics, law, and human behavior. Safeguarding is continuous and adaptive, demanding vigilance, reflection, and iterative improvement.

In conclusion, AI toys present both promise and peril. A multi-layered framework combining privacy-conscious design, ethical guidelines, legal protections, and active parental and educational oversight offer the best path forward. Innovation can and should continue, but always with children's rights, dignity, and well-being at the forefront. Protecting children in the age of AI is a shared, ongoing responsibility, requiring collaboration across disciplines to ensure that curiosity, creativity, and learning flourish without compromising safety or autonomy.

References

[1] Komis, V., Karachristos, C., Mourta, D., Sgoura, K., Misirli, A., & Jaillet, A. (2021). Smart toys in early childhood and primary education: A systematic review of technological and educational affordances. Applied Sciences, 11(18), 8653

[2] Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) vs. Union of India, Writ Petition (Civil) No. 494 of 2012; (2017) 10 SCC 1; AIR 2017 SC 4161.

[3] McStay, A., & Rosner, G. (2021). Emotional artificial intelligence in children's toys and devices: Ethics, governance and practical remedies. Big Data & Society, 8(1), 1-16.

[4] Fosch-Villaronga, E., van der Hof, S., Lutz, C., & Tamò-Larrieux, A. (2021). Toy story or children story? Putting children and their rights at the forefront of the artificial intelligence revolution. AI & Society, 38, 133-152.

[5] Komis, V., Karachristos, C., Mourta, D., Sgoura, K., Misirli, A., & Jaillet, A. (2021). Smart toys in early childhood and primary education: A systematic review of technological and educational affordances. Applied Sciences, 11(18), 8653.

[6] Wang, X., Yin, N., & Zhang, Z. (2021). Smart design of intelligent companion toys for preschool children. AI EDAM, 35(2), 151-164

[7] Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, Act No. 22 of 2023.

[8] Mascheroni, G., & Holloway, D. (Eds.). (2019). The Internet of Toys: Connectedness and Character-Based Play in Early Education (Studies in Childhood and Youth, Vol. 23)

[9] Fosch-Villaronga, E., van der Hof, S., Lutz, C., & Tamò-Larrieux, A. (2021). Toy story or children story? Putting children and their rights at the forefront of the artificial intelligence revolution. AI & Society, 38, 133-152.

[10] Information Technology Act, 2000, Act No. 21 of 2000.McStay, A., & Rosner, G. (2021). Emotional artificial intelligence in children's toys and devices: Ethics, governance and practical remedies. Big Data & Society, 8(1)

[11] Fosch-Villaronga, E., van der Hof, S., Lutz, C., & Tamò-Larrieux, A. (2021). Toy story or children story? Putting children and their rights at the forefront of the artificial intelligence revolution. AI & Society, 38, 133-152.

[12] Manu Bhandari v. Kala Vikas Motion Pictures Ltd., AIR 1987 Delhi 13

[13] Liu, Y., et al. (2023). The effectiveness of educational robots in improving learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. Sustainability, 15(5), 4637.

[14] McStay, A., & Rosner, G. (2021). Emotional artificial intelligence in children's toys and devices: Ethics, governance and practical remedies. Big Data & Society, 8(1)

[15] Tiwari, S. (2025). Designing ethical AI characters for children's early learning experiences. AI, Brain & Child, 1, 11.

[16] Manches, A. (2021). Smart toys and children's understanding of personal data. Computers in Human Behavior Reports, 3, 100071.

[17] Shreya Singhal vs. Union of India, Writ Petition (Criminal) No. 167 of 2012; AIR 2015 SC 1523

[18] Ho, M. T. (2024). Emotional AI in education and toys: Investigating moral risk perceptions. Journal of Moral Education, 53(2), 189-206.

[19] R. Rajagopal vs. State of Tamil Nadu, AIR 1995 SC 264