Education And Exclusion: Transgender Realities In India

APARNA SONKAR

Research Scholar

Department of English and Mel

University of Lucknow

Abstract

The distinction between biological sex and socially constructed gender has become central to contemporary debates on identity, rights, and social justice. While Indian society historically acknowledged non-binary identities within religious and cultural frameworks, colonial interventions and modern institutional structures marginalized transgender communities, particularly in education and employment sectors. This paper critically examines the historical evolution, legal recognition, educational status, literacy data, employment participation, and lived experiences of transgender persons in India. Drawing upon Census 2011 data, Supreme Court judgments, national policies, field studies, and qualitative testimonies, the study reveals the structural exclusion embedded within family systems, schooling processes, curriculum design, and labor markets. Despite progressive legal measures such as the NALSA judgment (2014), the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act (2019), and provisions under the National Education Policy 2020, implementation gaps continue to obstruct meaningful inclusion. By analyzing statistical trends alongside lived narratives of violence, dropout, stigma, and resilience, this paper argues that educational transformation—anchored in structural reform, curriculum inclusion, teacher sensitization, and socio-cultural change—is indispensable for mainstreaming transgender persons into equitable citizenship.

Keywords: Transgender Education, Gender Identity, NALSA Judgment, Literacy Rate, Census 2011, Social Exclusion, Inclusive Schooling, National Education Policy 2020, Gender Diversity, Human Rights

Introduction: Sex, Gender, and the Question of Identity

The terms "sex" and "gender" are frequently used interchangeably in everyday discourse, yet they represent fundamentally distinct conceptual categories. "Sex" refers to biological attributes including chromosomes, hormonal profiles, reproductive anatomy, and physiological characteristics. In contrast, "gender" denotes socially constructed roles, behaviors, expressions, and identities that societies assign to individuals (Canadian Institutes of Health Research). While biological sex is often categorized as male or female, gender operates within a broader spectrum shaped by culture, history, and power structures.

The term "transgender" functions as an umbrella category encompassing individuals whose gender identity or expression does not align with the sex assigned at birth (American Psychological Association). Within this umbrella exist diverse identities including trans men, trans women, genderqueer persons, nonbinary individuals, hijras, and other culturally specific identities. Gender identity, as defined by Ivantchenko et al., refers to a deeply felt internal experience of gender that may or may not correspond with biological sex, while sexual orientation pertains to emotional, romantic, or physical attraction (Ivantchenko et al.). The conflation of these distinct categories often contributes to misunderstanding and marginalization.

In the Indian context, transgender persons have historically occupied complex cultural positions. Yet, despite historical acknowledgment, contemporary socio-institutional systems—especially education—remain deeply structured around binary gender assumptions. This binary framework produces exclusionary spaces that deny recognition, dignity, and access to transgender individuals. Education, which Ozturk identifies as foundational to social and economic progress, becomes inaccessible to many transgender persons due to systemic discrimination (Ozturk).

Transgender presence in India predates colonial modernity. Ancient texts refer to "tritiyaprakriti" and "napumsaka," indicating acknowledgment of non-binary identities (Michelraj). Hindu epics and Puranic literature include figures such as Mohini, the female manifestation of Vishnu, whose narrative destabilizes rigid gender binaries. The Mahabharata introduces Shikhandi and the legend of Aravan, whose annual commemoration in Koovagam symbolizes ritual recognition of gender diversity.

In the Ramayana, transgender individuals who remained loyal to Rama during his exile are granted blessings, reflecting historical spiritual recognition. However, social status was never uniform. While mythological presence suggested symbolic inclusion, caste patriarchy structured lived realities.

During the Mughal period, transgender persons, particularly eunuchs, held positions of influence as royal advisors, administrators, and guardians of harems. Historical accounts indicate their participation in court administration and financial management. However, colonial intervention radically altered this status. The British Criminal Tribes Act of 1871 categorized "eunuchs" as criminal by birth, marking a turning point in systematic stigmatization (Chakrapani). Colonial morality criminalized non-heteronormative identities and institutionalized surveillance, thereby delegitimizing gender diversity.

The legacy of colonial criminalization continues to influence contemporary stigma, as transgender persons remain socially marginalized despite constitutional guarantees of equality.

A landmark transformation occurred with the Supreme Court's decision in National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India (2014), which recognized transgender persons as the "third gender" and affirmed their fundamental rights under Articles 14, 15, 16, 19, and 21 of the Constitution (NALSA v. Union of India). The Court upheld the right to self-identification without mandatory medical intervention.

Subsequently, the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019 was enacted to prohibit discrimination in education, employment, healthcare, and housing. However, scholars and activists criticized provisions requiring certification through district screening committees, arguing that it contradicted the principle of self-identification (Agha).

The National Education Policy 2020 further recognized transgender persons as part of the Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Groups (SDGs) and proposed a Gender Inclusion Fund to ensure equitable access (Ganguli). The policy recommends gender-neutral infrastructure, use of correct pronouns, and sensitization programs. Yet policy existence does not guarantee implementation.

According to the Census of India 2011, 4,87,803 individuals identified as belonging to the "third gender." Of these, 54,854 were children aged 0–6 years. Scheduled Caste transgender persons numbered 78,811, while Scheduled Tribe transgender persons totaled 33,293 (Census of India 2011).

The literacy rate among transgender persons was reported at 56.07%, significantly lower than the national average of 74.04%. State-level disparities reveal interesting patterns. Mizoram (87.14%) and Kerala (84.61%) recorded the highest literacy rates among transgender persons. Goa (73.90%), Tripura (71.19%), Nagaland (70.75%), Maharashtra (67.50%), Manipur (67.50%), Sikkim (65.18%), Delhi (62.99%), Gujarat (62.82%), Uttarakhand (62.65%), Haryana (62.11%), Himachal Pradesh (62.10%), and Puducherry (60.59%) also reported comparatively higher rates. Union Territories such as Daman and Diu (75.51%), Andaman and Nicobar Islands (73.68%), and Chandigarh (72.22%) performed relatively better. However, Uttar Pradesh, which accounted for nearly 28% of the total transgender population, reported literacy of only 55.8%, indicating regional inequalities. These disparities suggest that socio-cultural acceptance, educational infrastructure, and state-level policy implementation significantly influence outcomes.

Despite legal protections, transgender students encounter hostility within educational institutions. A National Human Rights Commission study revealed that 52% of transgender persons experienced harassment by classmates and 15% by teachers (Sharma and Pandey). The absence of gender-neutral toilets, lack of hostel accommodation, curriculum invisibility, and peer violence contribute to high dropout rates.

Qualitative testimonies reveal patterns of familial rejection, physical abuse, and forced withdrawal from schooling. Many transgender children are expelled from homes during adolescence, leading to economic precarity and discontinuation of education. A 22-year-old transgender respondent described being physically assaulted by classmates and subsequently leaving school at age twelve.

The NHRC-sponsored study in Delhi and Uttar Pradesh found that 29.11% of respondents in Delhi and 33.11% in UP had never attended school, while only 5.77% were graduates. Nearly 47% had not completed secondary education. These figures demonstrate that formal literacy statistics may mask severe educational discontinuities.

Census 2011 data indicates that 34% of transgender persons participated in economic activity, compared to 53.3% of males nationally. Of these, 20% worked as cultivators, 32.9% as agricultural laborers, 5.8% in household industries, and 41.3% in "other work" categories (Census 2011). However, sustaining employment remains difficult due to workplace harassment.

Despite structural barriers, individuals such as Satyashri Sharmila (lawyer), K. Prithika Yashini (sub-inspector), Manabi Bandopadhyay (college principal), and Joyita Mondal (Lok Adalat judge)

represent emerging visibility. These narratives illustrate the transformative potential of education when access is ensured.

Inclusive education requires systemic transformation: curriculum revision to include transgender histories, teacher sensitization training, anti-discrimination cells, mental health support, scholarship schemes, vocational training, and comprehensive census documentation. Teachers play a pivotal role, as classroom attitudes shape peer perceptions.

Educational institutions must shift from token inclusion to structural accommodation. Only through integrated reform—legal, pedagogical, infrastructural, and cultural—can transgender persons access the constitutional promise of equality.

Understanding transgender educational exclusion requires a theoretical grounding in gender theory, minority stress theory, and social exclusion frameworks. Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity challenges the notion that gender is biologically predetermined, arguing instead that gender is constituted through repeated social performances regulated by cultural norms (Butler). Within rigid heteronormative societies, deviations from gender binaries are often penalized through institutional sanctions. Educational institutions, as microcosms of society, reproduce dominant gender norms through uniforms, segregated facilities, language practices, and disciplinary codes.

Social exclusion theory further explains how marginalized groups are systematically denied access to resources, participation, and decision-making processes. In the Indian context, transgender persons experience layered marginalization shaped by caste, class, religion, and region. Intersectionality, a concept articulated by Kimberlé Crenshaw, is particularly relevant in examining transgender individuals belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes, who experience compounded discrimination. Census 2011 data reveals that 78,811 transgender persons belong to Scheduled Castes and 33,293 to Scheduled Tribes, underscoring the intersection of caste and gender marginalization (Census of India 2011).

Minority stress theory posits that individuals belonging to stigmatized groups experience chronic stress arising from discrimination, internalized stigma, and anticipated rejection. Educational environments that lack inclusive policies intensify psychological distress among transgender students. Empirical research by Clements-Nolle et al. indicates elevated levels of depression and suicide attempts among transgender individuals due to systemic victimization (Clements-Nolle et al.). Thus, educational exclusion cannot be understood merely as access failure; it must be analyzed as structural violence embedded within institutional frameworks.

The Constitution of India embodies transformative constitutionalism aimed at dismantling historical oppression. Articles 14 and 15 prohibit discrimination on grounds of sex, while Article 21 guarantees the right to life and dignity. In National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India (2014), the Supreme Court explicitly expanded the interpretation of "sex" under Article 15 to include gender identity. The Court emphasized constitutional morality over societal morality, affirming that fundamental rights cannot be denied on the basis of majoritarian prejudice (NALSA v. Union of India).

However, the implementation of constitutional guarantees often encounters administrative inertia and societal resistance. While legal recognition establishes formal equality, substantive equality demands redistributive measures and institutional restructuring. The Supreme Court recommended treating transgender persons as socially and educationally backward classes eligible for reservations. Yet uniform reservation policies across states remain inconsistent, and educational institutions frequently lack clarity regarding admission procedures for transgender applicants.

The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009, further mandates inclusive elementary education. By categorizing transgender children within disadvantaged groups, the Act theoretically enables 25 percent reservation in private unaided schools. Nevertheless, the absence of gender-sensitive grievance redressal systems often discourage transgender families from pursuing these provisions.

The National Education Policy 2020 articulates an inclusive vision that recognizes transgender persons within Socio-Economically Disadvantaged Groups (SEDGs). It proposes the establishment of a Gender Inclusion Fund to support equitable participation. The policy advocates safe school environments, barrier-free access, and sensitization programs. However, the policy language remains broad and aspirational.

NEP 2020 does not provide detailed operational guidelines regarding gender-neutral restrooms, inclusive hostel accommodations, data collection protocols, or curriculum transformation to include transgender histories and contributions. The absence of mandatory compliance mechanisms weakens enforceability. Without budgetary allocation clarity and accountability frameworks, the Gender Inclusion Fund risks remaining symbolic.

Moreover, teacher education curricula rarely include modules on gender diversity. Given that teachers function as primary agents of socialization, their unpreparedness perpetuates classroom discrimination. Effective implementation requires integrating gender studies into Bachelor of Education (B.Ed.) and teacher training programs nationwide.

Census 2011 literacy data reveals both progress and persistent inequality. While the overall literacy rate among transgender persons stands at 56.07 percent, this figure remains substantially below the national average of 74.04 percent. Regional disparities highlight socio-cultural differences. States such as Mizoram (87.14 percent) and Kerala (84.61 percent) exhibit higher literacy rates, possibly reflecting stronger social development indicators and public education infrastructure. Goa (73.90 percent), Tripura (71.19 percent), Nagaland (70.75 percent), and Union Territories like Daman and Diu (75.51 percent), Andaman and Nicobar Islands (73.68 percent), and Chandigarh (72.22 percent) also show relatively stronger outcomes.

In contrast, populous states with larger transgender populations report lower literacy rates, indicating that demographic concentration does not translate into policy prioritization. Uttar Pradesh alone accounts for approximately 28 percent of the total transgender population yet records literacy below the national transgender average. These disparities suggest that inclusive educational outcomes correlate with broader human development indicators rather than mere legal recognition.

Importantly, literacy rates do not measure educational continuity or higher education participation. Available evidence indicates that only a small fraction of transgender persons complete secondary education, and an even smaller percentage access university-level education. The invisibility of transgender students in higher education statistics reflects both dropout patterns and inadequate data collection mechanisms.

Qualitative narratives reveal that educational exclusion begins early in childhood. Gender non-conforming behavior often invites ridicule from peers and reprimand from teachers. The absence of gender-neutral facilities, such as restrooms and changing rooms, creates daily humiliation. Uniform policies strictly enforcing binary dress codes further alienate transgender students.

A study supported by the National Human Rights Commission found that more than half of transgender respondents experienced harassment in educational institutions (Sharma and Pandey). Many reported physical assault, verbal abuse, and forced withdrawal from school. Parental rejection compounds institutional discrimination, leading to homelessness and economic vulnerability.

These patterns align with international research. Grant et al. document widespread school harassment among transgender individuals in the United States, with lasting impacts on educational attainment (Grant et al.). The convergence of Indian and global data underscores the universality of structural discrimination while highlighting context-specific cultural manifestations.

Dropout often pushes transgender youth into informal economies, including begging and sex work. Census data indicates that only 34 percent of transgender persons participate in formal economic activity, significantly below male workforce participation rates (Census of India 2011). Educational deprivation thus directly correlates with economic marginalization.

Despite systemic barriers, individual success stories demonstrate transformative potential when educational access is secured. Figures such as Satyashri Sharmila, India's first transgender lawyer; K. Prithika Yashini, the first transgender sub-inspector in Tamil Nadu; Manabi Bandopadhyay, the first transgender college principal; and Joyita Mondal, a transgender Lok Adalat judge, symbolize expanding possibilities. These cases illustrate that structural inclusion can generate leadership and representation across sectors.

However, symbolic breakthroughs must not obscure widespread exclusion. Institutional recruitment policies often lack clarity regarding transgender inclusion. Workplace harassment, absence of anti-discrimination enforcement, and documentation challenges continue to impede sustainable employment.

Addressing transgender educational exclusion requires multi-layered reform. Structural transformation must include curriculum revision to integrate transgender histories and rights discourse. Teacher training must incorporate gender sensitization modules grounded in constitutional values. Anti-bullying policies should be legally enforceable with independent monitoring bodies.

Scholarships, hostel accommodations, and skill-development programs must be specifically designed for transgender students. Higher education institutions should establish transgender resource centers providing counseling, legal aid, and peer support networks. Data collection mechanisms must ensure confidentiality while enabling evidence-based policymaking.

Most importantly, reform must shift from charity-based inclusion to rights-based empowerment. The language of welfare often frames transgender persons as beneficiaries rather than rights-bearing citizens. Constitutional morality demands recognition of transgender individuals as equal participants in democratic life.

The struggle for transgender educational inclusion reflects broader tensions between legal reform and socio-cultural transformation. While judicial activism has advanced recognition, societal attitudes evolve gradually. Educational institutions, as spaces of knowledge production and socialization, possess transformative potential. By embedding gender diversity within curriculum, pedagogy, and institutional culture, schools and universities can challenge entrenched prejudices.

True inclusion requires moving beyond token acknowledgment toward systemic restructuring rooted in dignity, equality, and justice. Only through sustained policy commitment, community engagement, and

educational innovation can India fulfill the constitutional promise articulated in NALSA and subsequent legislation.

While national-level legal recognition has provided a constitutional foundation for transgender rights, state governments in India have demonstrated uneven responses to implementation. Certain states have taken proactive measures to integrate transgender persons into welfare and education systems. Tamil Nadu, for instance, was among the first states to establish a Transgender Welfare Board in 2008, issuing identity cards and initiating welfare schemes. Kerala introduced a State Transgender Policy in 2015 emphasizing education, healthcare, and employment integration. These initiatives suggest that political will and administrative commitment significantly shape outcomes.

However, even in progressive states, educational inclusion remains partial. Welfare schemes often focus on livelihood training or pension support rather than sustained educational access. While literacy rates in states such as Kerala (84.61 percent) and Mizoram (87.14 percent) indicate relatively favorable outcomes, structural inclusion within mainstream classrooms remains under-researched. The disparity between statistical literacy and qualitative educational experience reveals the need for longitudinal data on retention, graduation rates, and higher education enrollment.

In northern states such as Uttar Pradesh, which accounts for nearly 28 percent of the total transgender population recorded in Census 2011, institutional support mechanisms remain limited. The literacy rate of transgender persons in the state (approximately 55.8 percent) underscores systemic gaps. Similar disparities appear in large states where transgender populations are numerically significant but policy visibility remains low. Regional differences thus demonstrate that constitutional recognition alone cannot ensure uniform implementation across India's federal structure.

Educational exclusion is not merely a structural or policy issue; it is profoundly psychological. Minority stress theory explains how continuous exposure to stigma and discrimination generates chronic psychological strain. Transgender students often internalize social rejection, leading to anxiety, depression, and self-harm tendencies. Research conducted by Clements-Nolle et al. identifies disproportionately high suicide attempt rates among transgender individuals compared to the general population. Educational bullying, family rejection, and economic vulnerability collectively intensify mental health risks (Clements-Nolle et al.).

Within Indian schools, gender policing operates through ridicule, misgendering, forced conformity to binary uniforms, and punitive discipline. The absence of trained counselors exacerbates distress. Educational institutions rarely maintain confidential support systems for transgender students, leaving many without recourse during crises. The National Human Rights Commission's findings that over half of transgender respondents experienced harassment in educational settings reflect not isolated incidents but systemic hostility.

The psychological consequences of such exclusion directly impact academic performance and retention. Chronic stress impairs concentration, reduces motivation, and increases absenteeism. Dropout, therefore, cannot be understood solely as economic necessity; it is frequently a survival strategy. When educational spaces become unsafe, withdrawal becomes self-protection. Thus, mental health support must be central to any inclusive education strategy.

Educational inclusion requires not only access but epistemic recognition. The invisibility of transgender histories, contributions, and identities within textbooks reinforces marginalization. When

curricula reproduce exclusively binary gender narratives, transgender students are denied representational legitimacy. This epistemic erasure perpetuates stigma among peers and teachers alike.

Incorporating transgender perspectives into social science, literature, and civic education curricula can cultivate empathy and constitutional values. Such curricular transformation must be grounded in evidence-based gender studies rather than tokenistic representation. Teacher education programs must integrate gender diversity training within pedagogical frameworks, equipping educators to create respectful classroom environments.

The National Education Policy 2020 emphasizes inclusivity but stops short of mandating curriculum revision to explicitly include transgender narratives. Without formal integration into syllabi, inclusion remains dependent on individual institutional initiative. Structural reform requires national curricular bodies to institutionalize gender diversity modules across educational levels.

Beyond classroom culture, administrative procedures often obstruct transgender students. Admission forms frequently restrict gender options to "male" and "female," compelling transgender applicants to misidentify or forgo enrollment. Although judicial recognition affirms self-identification, bureaucratic systems are slow to adapt.

Infrastructure further reflects binary assumptions. Separate restrooms and hostels based solely on male-female divisions marginalize transgender students. The absence of gender-neutral facilities forces many into unsafe situations, exposing them to harassment. Inclusive infrastructure is not merely symbolic; it ensures daily dignity.

Additionally, grievance redressal mechanisms in schools and universities often lack sensitivity toward gender identity issues. Internal complaints committees primarily address sexual harassment under binary frameworks, rarely addressing transphobic bullying. Institutional accountability mechanisms must expand to explicitly include gender identity discrimination.

The link between education and employment is critical. Census 2011 data reveals that only 34 percent of transgender persons were recorded as economically active, compared to 53.3 percent among males nationally. Within this workforce, significant proportions are engaged in agriculture or informal labor (Census of India 2011). The persistence of begging and ceremonial performances as primary livelihoods reflects limited formal employment access.

Educational discontinuity perpetuates this cycle. Without secondary and higher education credentials, transgender individuals face restricted career options. Although isolated success stories demonstrate progress, systemic employment discrimination remains prevalent. Employers frequently exhibit reluctance to hire transgender candidates despite legal protections under the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019.

Thus, educational inclusion must be integrated with skill development, scholarship programs, and corporate sensitization initiatives. Higher education institutions should collaborate with industry partners to create inclusive recruitment pathways.

The recognition of transgender persons as the "third gender" in National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India marked a transformative moment in Indian constitutional history. The Court emphasized that constitutional morality must prevail over societal prejudice. However, transformative constitutionalism demands continuous institutional reform rather than symbolic acknowledgment.

True equality requires shifting from formal recognition to substantive redistribution. Reservation policies recommended by the Supreme Court must be operationalized uniformly. The Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009, should be strengthened through monitoring frameworks ensuring transgender children are not excluded at the elementary level. The Gender Inclusion Fund under the National Education Policy 2020 must receive transparent allocation and implementation guidelines.

Civil society organizations, educational institutions, policymakers, and community leaders must collaborate to dismantle stigma. Educational reform should operate alongside public awareness campaigns challenging transphobia within families and communities.

Conclusion

India stands at a critical juncture in its journey toward inclusive democracy. Legal milestones have affirmed the dignity and rights of transgender persons, yet lived realities continue to reflect exclusion. Education functions as the primary site where citizenship is cultivated and social hierarchies are either reproduced or dismantled. When transgender children are denied safe educational environments, the promise of constitutional equality remains unfulfilled.

Statistical evidence from Census 2011 demonstrates persistent literacy gaps despite regional variations. Qualitative research reveals systemic harassment, dropout, and mental health crises. Policy frameworks such as NEP 2020 articulate inclusive aspirations but lack detailed implementation mechanisms. Bridging this gap requires structural reform encompassing curriculum transformation, teacher sensitization, infrastructure redesign, scholarship support, mental health services, and affirmative action.

Inclusion must move beyond welfare discourse toward recognition of transgender persons as equal participants in knowledge production, economic development, and democratic governance. Only through sustained institutional commitment can India transform symbolic recognition into lived equality. Education, when reimagined as a space of dignity and diversity, possesses the power to reshape social consciousness and secure justice for transgender citizens.

References

➤ Agha, Eram. "Central Universities Report Zero Transgender Students." *The Wire*, 14 Jan. 2019.https://web.archive.org/https://thewire.in/education/central-universities-zero-transgender-students.

➤ Butler, Judith. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge, 1990.https://selforganizedseminar.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/07/butler-gender_trouble.pdf.

➤ Canadian Institutes of Health Research. "What Is Gender? What Is Sex?" *CIHR*, 2020.https://cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/48642.html.

➤ "Census 2011: 4.9 Lakh Identify as Third Gender." *The Times of India*, 30 May 2014.https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/census-2011-4-9-lakh-people-identify-as-third-gender/articleshow/35741613.cms.

➤ Census of India. *Primary Census Abstract: Census 2011*. Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner, Government of India, 2011. https://censusindia.gov.in/census.website/.

➢ Chakrapani, Venkatesan. *Hijras/Transgender Women in India: HIV, Human Rights and Social Exclusion*. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), 2010.https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/migration/in/hijras_transgender_in_india_hiv_human_rights_and_social_exclusion.pdf

➢ Clements-Nolle, Kristen, Rani Marx, and Mitchell Katz. "Attempted Suicide among Transgender Persons: The Influence of Gender-Based Discrimination and Victimization." *Journal of Homosexuality*, vol. 51, no. 3, 2006.https://transreads.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/2021-07-16_60f1828bd1c15_J082v51n03_04.pdf.

➢ Crenshaw, Kimberlé. "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color." *Stanford Law Review*, vol. 43, no. 6, 1991, pp. 1241–1299.

➢ Devi, R., and Uma. "Impact of Education on Transgender Lives." *Journal of Gender Studies*, 2019.

➢ Ganguli, S. "Transgender Inclusion under NEP 2020." *Centre for Development Policy and Practice*, 2023.https://www.cdpp.co.in/articles/transgender-community-and-higher-education-in-india.

➢ Government of India. *National Education Policy 2020*. Ministry of Education, 2020.https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf.

➢ Grant, Jaime M., et al. *Injustice at Every Turn: A Report of the National Transgender Discrimination Survey*. National Center for Transgender Equality, 2011.https://transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/resources/NTDS_Report.pdf.

➢ Hines, Sally. *Gender Diversity and Inclusion*. Routledge, 2018.

➢ Ivantchenko, G., et al. "Gender Identity and Sexual Orientation." *Encyclopedia of Gender and Society*, edited by Jodi O'Brien, SAGE Publications, 2010. https://sk.sagepub.com/reference/gender.

➢ Kamath, Krishna, and Vaidya. "Educational Journey of Transgender Students in India."

➢ Michelraj, M. "Concept of Third Gender in Ancient India." *International Journal of Scientific Research*, vol. 4, no. 4, 2015.

➢ Mittal, A., and R. Goel. "Gender Equity and Inclusion of Transgender Students."

➢ *National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India*. Supreme Court of India, 2014. https://indiankanoon.org/doc/193543132/.

➢ Ozturk, Ilhan. "The Role of Education in Economic Development: A Theoretical Perspective." *Journal of Rural Development and Administration*, vol. 33, no. 1, 2001.

➢ Sharma, R., and A. Pandey. "Educational Status of Transgender Community." *International Journal of Social Sciences Studies*, 2019.

➢ *Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019*. Government of India. https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/13091/1/a2019-40.pdf.

➢ Vanita, Ruth, and Saleem Kidwai, editors. *Same-Sex Love in India: Readings from Literature and History*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2000.

➢ Whittle, Stephen. *Transgender Rights*. Routledge, 2002.