IJCRT.ORG   **ISSN : 2320-2882**

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

National Education Policy 2020 And Skill India 2.0: Implications For Cultural Skill Revitalization And Industry 4.0 Workforce In Northeast India

Bhaskar Ranjan Das[1] and Sudipta Das[2]

[1] Research Scholar

[2] Assistant Professor

Department Of Liberal Arts

Tripura University (A Central University)

Suryamaninagar, Agartala, Tripura

Abstract

The convergence of India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and Skill India 2.0 presents unprecedented opportunities for workforce development and cultural preservation in Northeast India. This research examines how these policy frameworks can address Industry 4.0 demands while revitalizing indigenous cultural skills. Through analysis of policy documents and ethno- mathematical approaches, this study explores integrating traditional craftsmanship with modern technological competencies. Findings suggest Northeast India's cultural heritage can be strate- gically positioned as both an economic asset and pedagogical resource in STEM education. The study proposes a framework harmonizing traditional skill development with Industry 4.0 requirements, emphasizing ethnomodelling and culturally responsive pedagogy.

Keywords: National Education Policy 2020, Skill India 2.0, Industry 4.0, Northeast India, cul- tural skill revitalization, ethnomathematics

1   Introduction

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 represents a transformative shift in India's educa- tional landscape, emphasizing holistic development, multidisciplinary learning, and vocational education integration from foundational stages (Ministry of Education, 2020). Concurrently, Skill India 2.0 has emerged to enhance employability and entrepreneurial capabilities in the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Northeast India, with its unique cultural mosaic comprising diverse indigenous communities, traditional knowledge systems, and distinctive craft traditions, presents both opportunities and challenges in implementing these frameworks. The region's rich heritage of traditional skills—from handloom weaving and bamboo crafts- manship to indigenous agricultural practices—has sustained communities for generations. How- ever, these cultural skills face threats from globalization and technological displacement, even as Industry 4.0 demands increasingly sophisticated technical competencies. This paradox ne- cessitates innovative approaches that simultaneously preserve cultural heritage while preparing
the workforce for future economic demands.

Recent scholarship in ethnomathematics suggests that indigenous knowledge systems can serve as powerful pedagogical tools. Bardhan, Orey, and Rosa (2025) demonstrated that indige- nous counting systems enhance numerical cognition, revealing that culturally grounded peda- gogical methods can improve both understanding and engagement. Bardhan, Rosa, and Orey (2025) showed the comparative effectiveness of ethnomodelling approaches in mathematics education, particularly relevant for Northeast India where indigenous mathematical practices remain embedded in community life.

2   Theoretical Framework

2.1   NEP 2020 and Cultural Integration

NEP 2020 marks a significant departure by emphasizing flexibility, multidisciplinarity, and vocational integration across education levels (Ministry of Education, 2020). Key provisions include vocational education from Grade 6, integration of traditional Indian knowledge systems, and promotion of multilingual education—all particularly relevant for Northeast India's diverse landscape.
Bardhan (2024) explored integrating geometric concepts through culturally relevant frame- works in the STEM curriculum, demonstrating how traditional knowledge can be systemati- cally incorporated into modern educational structures. This pedagogical approach aligns with NEP 2020's vision of rooting education in Indian cultural contexts while maintaining global competitiveness.

2.2   Ethnomathematics and Culturally Responsive Pedagogy

Ethnomathematics recognizes that mathematical knowledge is embedded in cultural practices and can bridge traditional and formal education (D'Ambrosio, 2001). Bardhan, Rosa, and Orey (2025) demonstrated that ethnomodelling approaches—explicitly connecting cultural practices with mathematical concepts—can be more effective than conventional teaching methods in certain contexts.
Pustry and Bardhan (2025) explored using generative multimodal explanations to improve accessibility to abstract mathematical concepts, highlighting how technology can make STEM subjects more comprehensible while maintaining cultural relevance. This approach is particu- larly promising for Northeast India's multilingual, multicultural classrooms.

2.3 Uncertainty in Educational Transitions

Major policy reforms inevitably generate uncertainty among stakeholders. Bardhan, Das, et al. (2025) employed neutrosophic theory to quantify uncertainty in academic transitions, provid- ing frameworks for understanding anxiety among students, educators, and communities facing significant changes. This analytical approach can inform implementation strategies for NEP 2020 and Skill India 2.0 in Northeast India.

Das et al. (2025) utilized neutrosophic methods to analyze interactive learning environ- ments' impact on mathematical proficiency, demonstrating advanced analytical frameworks' value in educational research. These methodologies can help policymakers navigate the com- plex, uncertain terrain of educational reform in culturally diverse contexts.

Northeast India Context

Northeast India comprises eight states inhabited by over 200 distinct tribal and ethnic commu- nities, each with unique languages, cultural practices, and knowledge systems. This extraordi- nary diversity represents both a cultural treasure and an educational challenge, as standardized curricula often fail to resonate with local contexts.

The region's traditional skills are intimately connected to local ecology and community so- cial structures. Handloom weaving, for instance, is not merely a craft but a complex knowledge system encompassing natural dye preparation, fiber processing, design mathematics, and qual- ity control. Similarly, bamboo craftsmanship involves sophisticated understanding of material properties, structural engineering, and aesthetic principles.

Despite rich cultural heritage, Northeast India faces significant developmental challenges including limited industrial infrastructure, geographical isolation, and educational disparities. Youth unemployment rates remain high, and outmigration of educated youth is persistent. How- ever, digital connectivity and e-commerce platforms have created new opportunities for tradi- tional skills to reach global markets.

3 Proposed Framework

3.1 Integration Model

We propose a three-tiered integration model combining traditional skills with Industry 4.0 com- petencies:

Foundation Tier (Grades 6-8). Introduction to regional traditional skills integrated with foundational STEM concepts. For example, bamboo crafts taught alongside material science, while textile design introduces geometry and computational thinking. This aligns with NEP 2020's provision for vocational education from Grade 6 and leverages ethnomodelling ap- proaches validated by Bardhan, Rosa, and Orey (2025).

Intermediate Tier (Grades 9-12). Advanced traditional skills training coupled with In- dustry 4.0 enabling technologies. Students learn both master-level craftsmanship and relevant technologies such as CAD/CAM, digital marketing, and quality control systems. Bardhan (2024) demonstrated how traditional knowledge can be systematically integrated into advanced curricula.

Advanced Tier (Higher Education). Specialization in cultural enterprise development, design innovation, or technology integration. This includes entrepreneurship training, intellec- tual property management, and advanced digital skills. The neutrosophic analytical frameworks employed by Bardhan, Das, et al. (2025) can help students navigate entrepreneurial uncertainty.

3.2 Pedagogical Strategies

Drawing on insights from Bardhan, Orey, and Rosa (2025), we propose developing cultur- ally contextualized STEM textbooks featuring examples from Northeast India's traditional practices, training teachers in ethnomathematical approaches, creating assessment frameworks valuing both technical competency and cultural knowledge, and establishing maker spaces where traditional tools coexist with digital fabrication equipment.

Bardhan, Chauhan, and Sahni (2025) demonstrated advanced analytical frameworks for material selection that could be applied to traditional craft materials, enhancing quality while preserving authenticity. This illustrates how Industry 4.0 technologies can enhance rather than replace traditional processes.

3.3 Market Linkages and Recognition

Implementation requires developing partnerships between traditional artisan cooperatives and technology companies, establishing design innovation centers combining traditional aesthetics with modern manufacturing, and creating certification systems recognizing both traditional mastery and digital competencies.

The Recognition of Prior Learning framework can certify master artisans' expertise, en- abling them to serve as vocational trainers, create equivalency mechanisms between traditional apprenticeships and formal qualifications, and develop competency standards incorporating cultural authenticity criteria.

4 Discussion

The convergence of NEP 2020 and Skill India 2.0 creates a unique opportunity to address what has historically been framed as a choice between cultural preservation and economic modernization. Our analysis suggests this dichotomy is false: traditional skills and Industry
4.0 competencies can be mutually reinforcing.

Bardhan, Orey, and Rosa (2025) demonstrated that indigenous counting systems enhance numerical cognition, challenging assumptions about standardized education's superiority. Sim- ilarly, Bardhan, Rosa, and Orey (2025) showed that ethnomodelling approaches can be more effective than conventional methods, suggesting culturally grounded pedagogy optimizes learn- ing outcomes.

For Northeast India, this research has profound implications. The region's traditional knowledge systems can serve as foundations for distinctive competitive advantages in the global economy. Authenticity, sustainability, and cultural richness are increasingly valued in global markets, positioning Northeast India's cultural products advantageously.

Moreover, pedagogical integration of traditional knowledge addresses student engagement challenges in STEM education. Pustry and Bardhan (2025) showed how contextualized expla- nations improve accessibility to abstract concepts. Traditional practices provide precisely such contexts, making STEM learning more engaging for students in Northeast India.

However, significant challenges remain. Infrastructure deficits, teacher capacity limitations, and market access constraints require sustained policy attention. The analytical frameworks employed by Bardhan, Chauhan, and Sahni (2025) and Das et al. (2025)—using neutrosophic methods to handle uncertainty— may prove valuable for policymakers navigating these imple- mentation challenges.

5   Conclusion

The National Education Policy 2020 and Skill India 2.0, when thoughtfully implemented in Northeast India's context, can simultaneously preserve cultural heritage, enhance STEM education, prepare a globally competitive workforce, and generate sustainable economic opportu- nities. This research demonstrates these goals are not only compatible but synergistic.

Traditional knowledge systems provide rich pedagogical contexts for STEM learning, as shown by recent scholarship in ethnomathematics and culturally responsive pedagogy. Cultural skills, when enhanced with Industry 4.0 technologies, can access premium global markets while maintaining authenticity. Indigenous communities can transition from passive recipients of standardized education to active contributors of legitimate, valuable knowledge systems.

The framework proposed here—integrating tiered skill development, curriculum contex- tualization, technology partnerships, and robust recognition mechanisms—provides an imple- mentation roadmap. However, success requires sustained political will, adequate resources, community engagement, and adaptive management responsive to local contexts.

Northeast India stands at a crossroads. One path leads toward continued marginalization, with traditional knowledge eroding as youth pursue decontextualized education often leading to underemployment. The alternative path leads toward a future where cultural distinctiveness becomes economic advantage, where traditional knowledge informs innovation, and where indigenous communities shape rather than merely adapt to the Fourth Industrial Revolution.

The choice depends largely on how effectively national policies are contextualized for re- gional realities, how creatively traditional and modern knowledge systems are integrated, and how successfully educational institutions bridge heritage and innovation. This research sug- gests that with appropriate frameworks, pedagogical approaches, and policy support, Northeast India can achieve this synthesis— demonstrating that cultural preservation and technological advancement need not be opposing forces but can be powerful partners in human development

References

1.   Bardhan, R. (2024). Pedagogical framework on the integration of Platonic solids in the 2020 STEM curriculum. *Towards Excellence*, *16*(3), 227–236.

2.   Bardhan, R., Chauhan, D., & Sahni, M. (2025). A neutrosophic TOPSIS framework for multi-criteria selection of functionally graded materials. *Procedia Structural Integrity*, *72*, 507–519.

3.   Bardhan, R., Das, S., Mallik, R., Uchat, P., & Pramanik, S. (2025). Quantifying uncertainty in pre-PhD anxiety with a neutrosophic perspective on research aspirants. In *New trends in neutrosophic theory and applications* (pp. 133–143, Vol. 4). Neutrosophic Science International Association Publishing House.

4.   Bardhan, R., Orey, D. C., & Rosa, M. (2025). Indigenous counting systems of India and their impact on numerical cognition. *PARADIGMA*, *46*(2), 1–23.

5.   Bardhan, R., Rosa, M., & Orey, D. C. (2025). Comparative effectiveness of ethnomodelling and conventional approaches in mathematics education. In *Polysemic interactions of ethnomathematics as program* (pp. 129–152). LF Editorial.

6.   D'Ambrosio, U. (2001). *Ethnomathematics: Link between traditions and modernity*. Sense Publishers.

7.   Das, S., Mallik, R., Shil, B., Bardhan, R., & Das, A. K. (2025). The impact of manage-ment of interactive learning environments on mathematical proficiency: A neutrosophic overview. *Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática*, *43*, 1–8.

8.   Ministry of Education. (2020). *National education policy 2020* (tech. rep.). Government of India. New Delhi.

9. Pustry, R. N., & Bardhan, R. (2025). Improving accessibility to abstract mathematical con- cepts using generative multimodal explanations. In *Mathematics education in the era of education 4.0* (pp. 187–199, Vol. 1). KBD Publication.