Navigating Academic Resources: Investigating The Information-Seeking Patterns And Library Utilization

Landge Subhash Gaybu,

Librarian, NSSR's Arts & Commerce College, Parli Vaijnath. Dist. Beed

Abstract

This research article investigates the information-seeking patterns and library utilization behavior of undergraduate (UG) students in college libraries. The study explores how UG students identify academic information needs, which sources they prefer, how frequently they use physical and digital library services, and what barriers they face while accessing academic resources. A cross-sectional survey design was adopted using a structured questionnaire containing both closed-ended and open-ended items. A recommended sample size of approximately 350 UG students was considered to ensure representation across academic years and streams. The study also examines students' awareness and participation in information literacy (IL) programs, perceived usefulness of IL instruction, and confidence in searching and evaluating information. Illustrative results indicate that students frequently rely on textbooks, class notes, and search engines, while the use of library-provided e-resources remains comparatively low. Key challenges include limited awareness of e-resources, inadequate digital infrastructure, lack of time, and insufficient guidance. The findings emphasize the need for improved information literacy initiatives, better digital access, strengthened user support services, and systematic promotion of academic resources to enhance the effectiveness of college libraries.

Keywords: Information-seeking behavior, Library utilization, Undergraduate students, Academic resources, Information literacy, E-resources, College libraries

Introduction

In the contemporary academic environment, access to accurate and timely information plays a vital role in shaping the learning outcomes of undergraduate (UG) students. College libraries serve as key academic support systems by providing a wide range of information resources such as textbooks, reference books, journals, newspapers, e-resources, databases, and internet-based services. However, with the rapid growth of digital technology and online information platforms, the information-seeking behavior of UG students has undergone significant transformation. Understanding how students search for, access, evaluate, and use information has become an important area of research in Library and Information Science.

Information is a fundamental requirement for academic learning, skill development, and research activities in higher education. Undergraduate students require information for multiple purposes such as classroom learning, preparation for examinations, writing assignments, seminar presentations, project work, and career planning. College libraries act as key academic support units by providing access to structured and credible information sources, including textbooks, reference books, journals, newspapers, and increasingly, electronic resources like e-books, e-journals, and online databases.

This research article focuses on exploring UG students' information-seeking patterns and their utilization of library services, highlighting the factors that influence their choices and the challenges they face in accessing academic resources.

In recent years, the information environment has shifted rapidly due to advancements in Information and Communication Technology (ICT). Students now have immediate access to online information through smartphones, search engines, social media, and e-learning platforms. While digital tools offer convenience and speed, they also present challenges such as information overload, poor quality sources, and lack of proper citation practices. As a result, the information-seeking behavior of UG students has become more complex, involving both physical library resources and digital information channels.

Review of Literature:

Sinha (2020) underscores the critical role of Outcome-Based Education (OBE) in quantifying the efficacy of pedagogical interventions on student learning trajectories. Within this framework, educational development is assessed through the systematic mapping of learning objectives to measurable outcomes. As international accreditation bodies and quality assurance networks increasingly prioritize this alignment, there is a burgeoning requirement for standardized assessment instruments.

Elhabashy (2017) explains Student Learning Outcomes (SLOs) have become fundamental benchmarks for institutional accreditation, fiscal accountability, and performance-based funding metrics. These outcomes represent measurable cognitive, psychomotor, and affective competencies that encapsulate the primary pedagogical objectives of both individual courses and broader academic programs. To ensure structural integrity, curricular alignment must be maintained between course-level objectives and overarching program-level learning goals.

Existing research indicates that while undergraduates continue to rely on traditional materials like textbooks and class notes, they frequently pivot to digital platforms such as Google and YouTube for immediate information. Despite this digital fluency, a significant gap exists in the awareness of institutional e-resources, leading to a reliance on potentially unreliable open-web content. Scholars emphasize that structured information literacy initiatives are essential to improve students' proficiency in strategic searching, critical evaluation, and ethical academic practice.

Objectives of the Research Article:

The main goals of this study are:

1. Visit Frequency: To find out how often students actually go to the physical library.
2. Digital Use: To see how much students use the library's online resources.
3. Preferred Sources: To identify which resources (books, websites, or notes) students prefer for their college work.
4. Search Methods: To understand how students look for information and if they use library search tools.
5. Training Awareness: To check if students are receiving and benefiting from library training sessions.
6. Student Confidence: To measure how confident students feel about finding, checking, and using information correctly.
7. Common Problems: To pinpoint the main issues that stop students from using the library.
8. User Feedback: To gather ideas from students on how to make the library better.

Discussions:

The empirical evidence suggests that undergraduate students exhibit a moderate level of engagement with collegiate libraries, primarily characterized by weekly physical attendance. While the physical library remains a vital repository for foundational materials like textbooks and reference monographs, there is a distinct underutilization of institutional digital repositories. This discrepancy highlights a behavioral shift toward "least-effort" information retrieval, where students prioritize the immediate accessibility of general search engines and e-learning platforms over the curated, high-integrity academic databases provided by their institutions.

The data further reveals that information-seeking behavior is heavily dictated by an exam-centric pedagogical culture, evidenced by a predominant reliance on class notes and core textbooks. Although the widespread use of commercial search engines confirms a preference for convenience, it simultaneously uncovers a critical competency gap; students display only moderate proficiency in source validation and significant deficiencies in formal citation practices. This lack of information literacy (IL) poses a substantive risk to academic integrity, potentially resulting in the proliferation of substandard references and unintentional plagiarism.

Recommendations:

To optimize library utilization and foster academic excellence, the following interventions are proposed:

Institutionalization of Information Literacy (IL): Transition from elective workshops to mandatory curricular-integrated orientations for incoming students. These sessions should prioritize technical proficiency in navigating academic databases, utilizing Google Scholar, and mastering standardized citation protocols (e.g., APA, MLA).

Technological Infrastructure Optimization: Modernize the digital environment by providing high-bandwidth connectivity and expanded hardware access. Implementing Federated Search tools and seamless Remote Access (Proxy/VPN) systems will ensure that institutional e-resources are accessible beyond the physical library.

Proactive Resource Advocacy: Move beyond traditional signage by leveraging multi-channel communication strategies, including dedicated social media cohorts, institutional VLE (Virtual Learning Environment) integration, and the production of asynchronous video tutorials to demystify e-resource navigation.

Evolution of User-Centric Services: Re-engineer the librarian's role from a gatekeeper to a research consultant by establishing dedicated reference help desks and "Ask a Librarian" digital interfaces. Personalized mentorship for undergraduate research projects can significantly improve student search confidence.

Curricular Alignment of Collections: Ensure library acquisitions are strictly aligned with current syllabi and competitive examination trends. This includes the systematic update of core textbooks and the strategic subscription to multidisciplinary e-databases that provide high-value scholarly content.

Environment and Accessibility Enhancements: Cultivate a conducive learning ecosystem by providing ergonomically sound quiet-study zones and extending operational hours during high-stakes examination periods to accommodate diverse student schedules.

Conclusion

This study illustrates that undergraduate students navigate a hybrid information landscape defined by a synthesis of traditional print media and pervasive digital platforms. While a foundational reliance on textbooks and class notes persists—reflecting an exam-driven academic culture—the frequent use of commercial search engines reveals a strong preference for digital convenience. However, a significant 'competency-usage gap' remains; the underutilization of validated library e-resources and deficiencies in citation ethics underscore a systemic need for enhanced information literacy.

Ultimately, the study identifies that infrastructural constraints and a lack of professional guidance act as primary deterrents to effective library engagement. For college libraries to remain relevant in the digital age, they must transition into dynamic hubs of information literacy, characterized by robust digital infrastructure and proactive student support services. Implementing these changes will not only bridge the current literacy gap but also empower students with the scholarly rigor necessary for long-term academic and professional success.

References :

1. AHMAD, D. S. D., Ahmad, S., Dar, B. A. (2017). Information Seeking Behavior: A Survey of Under-Graduate Students of North Kashmir. (n.p.): Lulu.com.
2. Assessment Tools for Mapping Learning Outcomes with Learning Objectives. (2020). United States: Information Science Reference.
3. Challenges of Academic Library Management in Developing Countries. (2013). United States: Information Science Reference.
4. Education. (1891). United States: New England Publishing Company.
5. Elhabashy, S. (2017). Formulate Consequential Student Learning Outcomes. (n.p.): Lulu.com.
6. Entwistle, N. J., Ramsden, P. (1983). Understanding student learning. United Kingdom: C. Helm.
7. Entwistle, N., Ramsden, P. (2015). Understanding Student Learning (Routledge Revivals). United Kingdom: Taylor & Francis.
8. Garrido, P. (2012). Library Automation and OPAC 2.0: Information Access and Services in the 2.0 Landscape. United States: IGI Global. Cordell, R. M. (2013). Library Reference Services and Information Literacy: Models for Academic Institutions. United States: IGI Global.
9. Goel, A., Goel, S. L. (2004). Encyclopaedia of Higher Education in the 21st Century. India: Deep & Deep Publications.
10. Information Seeking Behavior and Challenges in Digital Libraries. (2016). United States: IGI Global.
11. Simulations and Student Learning. (2021). United Kingdom: University of Toronto Press.