Revisit Intentions In Heritage Tourism Of Kerala: A Theoretical Exploration Of Experience, Attachment And Destination Loyalty

1. Shaju Mathew, Associate Professor of Commerce, Govt Arts and Science College, Vypin (Research Scholar, P.G & Researcgh Department of Commerce, Maharaja's College, Ernakulam)
2. Prof.(Dr) Anitha M.N, Professor, P.G & Research Department of Commerce, Maharaja's College, Ernakulam

Abstract

Heritage tourism has emerged as a critical segment within cultural tourism, emphasizing the preservation, interpretation, and consumption of tangible and intangible heritage assets. Kerala, endowed with rich historical architecture, cultural traditions, and living heritage practices, has increasingly positioned heritage tourism as a strategic tourism product. Despite growing visitation, sustaining repeat visitation remains a central challenge for heritage destinations. Revisit intention is widely regarded as a key indicator of destination loyalty and long-term tourism sustainability. This theoretical article explores revisit intentions in the context of heritage tourism in Kerala by synthesizing existing literature on heritage experience, destination image, place attachment, perceived authenticity, and satisfaction. Anchored in experiential tourism theory and destination loyalty frameworks, the study conceptualizes revisit intention as an outcome of cognitive, affective, and relational processes. Through a structured narrative review, the paper identifies critical antecedents influencing tourists' intention to revisit heritage destinations and proposes a conceptual framework tailored to the Kerala context. The article contributes to heritage tourism literature by providing a theoretically grounded understanding of revisit behavior and offering directions for future empirical research and policy-oriented heritage tourism development.

Keywords: Heritage tourism, Revisit intention, Destination loyalty, Place attachment, Kerala

1. Introduction

The concept of heritage tourism has taken centre stage across the globe where tourists are opting to experience culturally significant and authentic tourism (Ivanovic, 2011). In contrast to mass tourism, heritage tourism is concentrated on historical sites, monuments, traditions and living cultures and this enables the tourists to connect with the past and explain it using the present experiences (Timothy & Boyd, 2003). Revisit intention, which refers to the desire by a tourist to revisit a destination, is an important indicator of competitiveness of destinations, visitor satisfaction, and sustainable tourism growth.

Kerala, a destination known traditionally to be a location of nature-based and wellness tourism has been diversifying slowly to offer heritage tourism destinations like Fort Kochi, Muziris Heritage Project sites, Padmanabhapuram Palace, and traditional art forms and festivals. These heritage sites are the traces of the stratified history of Kerala under the influence of the indigenous culture and the colonial experiences. Nevertheless, the destinations of heritage tourism present specific challenges associated with the preservation of authenticity, experience quality, and the experience of the site that affect the intentions to visit it again.

Theoretically, revisit intention is not an outcome behavior but a multidimensional concept influenced by the perceptions, feeling, and attachments that the tourists create in the course of visits (Isa et al., 2020). Previous researches highlight presence of satisfaction, destination image, perceived value and emotional attachment in influencing revisit behavior (Chen & Tsai, 2007). Perceived authenticity, cultural learning and symbolic meaning are further mediating variables in the context of heritage tourism.

The revisit intention in Kerala heritage tourism context has not been adequately theorized although its relevance is apparent. This article fills this gap by offering a conceptual and theoretical analysis of revisit intentions, as well as shedding some light into the way heritage experience is converted into destination loyalty.

2. Review of Literature

2.1 Heritage Tourism and Visitor Experience

To define heritage tourism, the tourists interact with cultural, historical, and symbolic aspects of a destination (Timothy, 2011). There is more to heritage settings visitor experience than visual consumption; interpretation, storytelling, and the emotional connection. Research has shown that meaningful experiences of heritage lead to cognitive appreciation and emotional reaction and this is what is needed to lead to repeat visitation (Poria et al., 2004).

According to the theory of experiential tourism, tourists want to engage in active and transformative experiences and not passive sightseeing (Pine & Gilmore, 2013). Experience In heritage settings, the quality of the interpretations utilized, the management of the site and the cultural interactions of the site can affect the depth of the experience.

2.2 Revisit Intention and Destination Loyalty

Revisit intention is a popular proxy of destination loyalty and sustainable tourism in the long-term. In the destination loyalty theory, revisit intention is the result of cumulative satisfaction and positive destination image (Yoon & Uysal, 2005). Loyal tourists donate to the minimization of marketing expenditure, good word of mouth and predictable visitor traffic.

Visitors tend to be affected by their emotional attachment to the destination when it comes to revisit intention in heritage tourism due to the lack of reliance on functional qualities of the destination. Symbolic meanings, emotional reactions, and nostalgia are essential in creating loyalty (Prayag & Ryan, 2012).

2.3 Place Attachment and Authenticity

Place attachment refers to the emotional and functional bonds individuals develop with specific places (Kyle et al., 2005). Heritage sites, due to their historical and cultural significance, offer fertile ground for attachment formation. Authenticity, both objective and perceived, is a key determinant of attachment and satisfaction in heritage tourism (Wang et al., 2015).

In the Kerala context, authenticity is embedded in architectural styles, rituals, and everyday cultural practices, making it a critical variable influencing revisit intention.

3. Research Methodology

The theoretical and conceptual research design that is used in this study is based on the systematic narrative review of the existing literature. The peer-reviewed journals, scholarly books, and institutional reports were the sources of secondary data that dealt with the topic of heritage tourism, revisit intention, destination loyalty, and the experiences of consuming experience. The thematic analysis of the reviewed literature allowed establishing the major constructs, theoretical connections, and gaps in the research. On the basis of such synthesis, there was a coherent conceptual comprehension of revisit intention as a factor in the context of heritage tourism in Kerala. No primary data sources were involved because the main aim of the research was the theoretical construction and the explanation of the concept and not the verification.

4. Analysis and Discussions

4.1 Theoretical Positioning of Revisit Intention in Heritage Tourism of Kerala

The review reveals that the concept of revisit intention in heritage tourism is hypothetically placed at the intersection of the experiential tourism theory, destination loyalty models, and place attachment models (Al-Azab et al., 2023). In this multidimensional positioning, revisit behavior in heritage situations cannot be discussed as the result of utilitarian and hedonic analyses but rather, a complex interaction of cognitive, affective, and relational processes. Heritage tourism experiences in the case of Kerala are strongly engrained within cultural accounts, historic cityscapes, spiritual zones and living customs, all of which have a unique impact on the perceptions and moods of tourists. These heritage aspects promote reflective experiences, symbolic interpretation and emotional involvement, which are quite distinct to Leisure and new experiences of tourism (Jelinčić & Senkić, 2019).

Experiential tourism theory can be used to critically understand the role of immersive heritage experiences in making tourism experiences memorable (Bec et al., 2019). The heritage sites in Kerala assist with the creation of the depth and the emotions through interpretive storytelling, architectural appearances, and cultural performances. These experiences do not only contribute to satisfaction but also emotional attachment since tourists come up with personal meanings of the destination. Such emotional attachments serve as psychological centrifuge, which is not limited to the visit itself, but enhances the chances of revisit intentions. In contrast to the context of mass tourism, where novelty-seeking is frequently the purpose of the repeat behavior, the revisit intentions of heritage tourism are shaped by meaning-making, nostalgia, and aspiration to learn more about a particular subject (Zhang, 2021).

The theory of destination loyalty also describes how the perception of value and destinations image that are positive strengthens the intention to revisit in the long run (Gursoy et al., 2014). Authenticity, cultural integrity, and quality of interpretation play an important role in image formation in heritage tourism. These qualities are judged positively, and affective commitment and loyalty, which makes revisit intention a cumulative phenomenon which depends on experiential satisfaction and emotional attachment. That way,

the concept of revisit intention in the context of heritage tourism in Kerala can be presented as a hypothetically based construct, which is influenced by the quality of experiencing, emotional involvement, and cultural perceptions.

4.2 Socio-Cultural and Psychological Dimensions of Revisit Intention

Social-cultural and psychological aspects of revisit intention are the major considerations in heritage tourism because the behavioral intentions of visitors are strongly associated with their feelings and cultural attachment to the heritage sites (Li et al., 2025). The sensitivity of heritage tourism revisit intention to the levels of how tourists view the destinations as being culturally enriching, emotionally involving, and symbolic is significant. As tourists feel that heritage sites are places of learning, reflection and cultural connection, they will tend to foster long lasting psychological attachment that will encourage them to visit the sites again.

Host-guest relations are a crucial socio-cultural process that influences revisit intentions (Ghosh et al., 2025). Engaging themselves with the local community, artisans, performers, and heritage interpreters will help experience the cultural exchange in a meaningful way and sense of belonging. Cognitive knowledge and emotional engagement through interpretive narratives that provide a context to historical events, architecture and cultural practices make tourists connect better with the destination of their visit. Psychological immersion and emotional resonance are further enhanced by participatory experiences (witnessing rituals, engaging in traditional art forms or attending heritage festivals).

Heritage tourism in Kerala is defined by the living heritage where cultural manifestations are not protected in the firm monuments, but are rather practiced as part of social life (Gopalakrishnan, 2023). The traditional forms of art, religious festivals, culinary and community rituals allow the tourists to connect with the heritage as a dynamic and changing process. These interactions encourage cultural appreciation, respect, and emotional attachment, which strengthen place attachment. Place attachment, in its turn, acts as a mediating construct which transforms the socio-cultural engagement into the revisit intention. Therefore, revisit behaviour in the heritage tourism environment in Kerala not only indicates the satisfaction but also emotional sustenance and cultural identification, which occur as a result of repeated or desired future experiences.

4.3 Destination Management and Sustainability Implications

In heritage tourism, destination management is a key element in maintaining the intention to revisit as the quality of the experience offered, perception of authenticity, and satisfaction are directly linked to the managerial practices (Park et al., 2019). The various conservation plans, interpretive services, tourist flow management and heritage presentation standards altogether influence the overall assessment by the tourists against heritage destinations. Uncontrolled sites would risk a decrease in experiential value and undermine emotional bonding, which will undermine the revisit intentions.

Proper heritage management makes sure that all cultural and historical resources are not lost, but are viable, significant, and interesting to the repeat visitors (McKercher & Du Cros, 2002). Good interpretation helps people better understand and be involved in the interpretation process and conservation practices ensure the physical and symbolic integrity of heritage assets. Crowd control and zoning of sites are visitor management strategies that are used to ensure that there is a quality experience without overutilization and degradation. Particular focus should be on authenticity preservation because a perceived commodification or disappearance of cultural integrity may adversely trigger tourists loyalty and trust.

There are also significant sustainability implications of revisit intention. Repeat visitors help to stabilize and predict trends of visitation, which will not necessitate the constant enlargement of the market and intensive promotional campaigns (Prasanthi, 2024). This sustainability promotes sustainability in the economy as well as reduces social and environmental pressures that are related with high-volume tourism. This may be applied in Kerala where the revisit intention strategies could be integrated into the heritage tourism planning to improve destination resilience through the development of long-term visitor relations as opposed to short-term consumption.

Heritage tourism in Kerala can help to enhance destination loyalty by enhancing destination management practices in accordance with experiential quality and cultural sustainability, which will facilitate the development of sustainable tourism (Venugopalan, 2021). Therefore, the revisit intention is not only a behavioral outcome but also a strategic measure of a successful management of heritage and competitiveness of a destination in the long run.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

The article is a theoretical article that highlights revisit intention as one of the key behavioural outputs and strategic success factors in heritage tourism destinations in Kerala. The intention to revisit is never a decision that can be made once and once only but an offshoot of an intricate and interrelated combination of factors such as quality of experience, affective attachment, perceived authenticity, and general destination image. Immersive experience, plausible historicalization, and interactions that are meaningful in a particular culture contribute to the creation of a more emotional connection and psychological attachment between the visitor and heritage tourism. These emotional ties boost the destination loyalty to a large extent that leads to repeat visits and word of mouth recommendations.

As a managerial and policy aspect, the heritage tourism sites in Kerala should incorporate the revisit intention measures in the tourism planning and performance measurement systems. Additional interpretation services, such as storytelling, guided experiences, and digital interpretation, can be a great way of boosting visitor learning and emotion. Community involvement in the promotion of heritage tourism activities will promote authenticity, enhanced ownership by the locals as well as effective interactions between the host and the guest. On top of that, integrating cultural authenticity by careful conservation methods is also important to the preservation of the symbolic value and integrity of heritage sites. The proposed conceptual relationships should be empirically confirmed through quantitative and mixed methods of research in the future. The research can be further taken to note the impact of demographic factors, cultural background, and motivational factors on revisit intentions in the various heritage destinations in Kerala; therefore, potentially contributing to evidence-based heritage tourism development and policy making.

References

1. Al-Azab, M. R., & Abulebda, M. A. (2023). Cultural heritage authenticity: Effects on place attachment and revisit intention through the mediating role of tourist experience. Journal of Association of Arab Universities for Tourism and Hospitality, 24(1), 328-352.
2. Bec, A., Moyle, B., Timms, K., Schaffer, V., Skavronskaya, L., & Little, C. (2019). Management of immersive heritage tourism experiences: A conceptual model. Tourism Management, 72, 117-120.
3. Chen, C. F., & Tsai, D. (2007). How destination image and evaluative factors affect behavioral intentions?. Tourism management, 28(4), 1115-1122.

4. Ghosh, P., Jha, S. S., & Bowal, S. (2025). Heritage value dimensions and tourist intention towards sustainable heritage conservation: A mediating role of destination attachment. Geo Journal of Tourism and Geosites, 58(1), 296-305.

5. Gopalakrishnan, R. (2023). LIVING HERITAGE APPROACH FOR THE SUSTAINABILITY OF AGRAHARAMS IN PALAKKAD, KERALA, INDIA. Khulna University Studies, 277-290.

6. Gursoy, D., S. Chen, J., & G. Chi, C. (2014). Theoretical examination of destination loyalty formation. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 26(5), 809-827.

7. Isa, S. M., Ariyanto, H. H., & Kiumarsi, S. (2020). The effect of place attachment on visitors' revisit intentions: evidence from Batam. Tourism Geographies.

8. Ivanovic, M. (2011). Exploring the authenticity of the tourist experience in culture heritage tourism in South Africa (Doctoral dissertation, North-West University).

9. Jelinčić, D. A., & Senkić, M. (2019). The value of experience in culture and tourism: The power of emotions. In A research agenda for creative tourism (pp. 41-54). Edward Elgar Publishing.

10. Kyle, G., Graefe, A., & Manning, R. (2005). Testing the dimensionality of place attachment in recreational settings. Environment and behavior, 37(2), 153-177.

11. Li, H., Bibi, S., Kanwel, S., Khan, A., & Hussain, B. (2025). Understanding of cultural heritage tourists' emotional experiences: How place attachment and satisfaction determine behavioral intentions. Acta Psychologica, 259, 105429.

12. McKercher, B., & Du Cros, H. (2002). Cultural tourism: The partnership between tourism and cultural heritage management. Routledge.

13. Park, E., Choi, B. K., & Lee, T. J. (2019). The role and dimensions of authenticity in heritage tourism. Tourism Management, 74, 99-109.

14. Pine, B. J., & Gilmore, J. H. (2013). The experience economy: past, present and future. In Handbook on the experience economy (pp. 21-44). Edward Elgar Publishing.

15. Poria, Y., Butler, R., & Airey, D. (2004). Links between tourists, heritage, and reasons for visiting heritage sites. Journal of Travel Research, 43(1), 19-28.

16. Prasanthi, M. (2024). TOURISM MARKETING STRATEGIES: ATTRACTING AND RETAINING VISITORS. PARADIGM SHIFT: MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH FOR A CHANGING WORLD, VOLUME-1, 210.

17. Prayag, G., & Ryan, C. (2012). Antecedents of tourists' loyalty to Mauritius: The role and influence of destination image, place attachment, personal involvement, and satisfaction. Journal of travel research, 51(3), 342-356.

18. Timothy, D. J. (2011). Cultural heritage and tourism: An introduction (Vol. 4). Channel view publications.

19. Timothy, D. J., & Boyd, S. W. (2003). Heritage tourism.

20. Venugopalan, T. (2021). Sustainable Destination Management In India: Perception Of Stakeholders On Sustainability Of Kerala Tourism. Archives of Business Research, 9(4), 218-237.

21. Wang, Y., Huang, S., & Kim, A. K. (2015). Toward a framework integrating authenticity and integrity in heritage tourism. Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 23(10), 1468-1481.

22. Yoon, Y., & Uysal, M. (2005). An examination of the effects of motivation and satisfaction on destination loyalty: a structural model. Tourism management, 26(1), 45-56.

23. Zhang, Y. (2021). Social identity and depersonalization in dark tourist experience.