Ai - Driven Optimization Of On - Demand Services

[1]Karan Raj, [2]Vignesh Koushik

[1]Student [2]Student

[1]Department of CS & IT, Jain (deemed-to-be University), Bangalore

[1]Department of CS & IT, Jain (deemed-to-be University), Bangalore, India

Abstract: The increasing demand for on-demand home services has exposed inefficiencies in traditional service-matching platforms, including unbalanced service provider distribution and suboptimal response times. This paper proposes an AI-driven service-matching platform that utilizes Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (DBSCAN) to dynamically allocate service providers based on real-time geospatial demand and provider availability. Unlike traditional systems, our approach identifies high-density service regions and low-service areas, ensuring efficient allocation and reduced wait times.

The platform incorporates predictive analytics and dynamic pricing models to optimize resource allocation and enhance customer experience. A trust-based ranking algorithm further ensures that service provider selection is based on historical performance, customer ratings, and reliability metrics. Experimental simulations using real-world datasets demonstrate that our method improves service fulfilment speed by 30% and balances provider workload distribution, outperforming existing heuristic-based approaches. The proposed framework is highly scalable and adaptable to future enhancements, including IoT-integrated smart home automation and blockchain-based service verification.

Index Terms - **DBSCAN Clustering, AI-Driven Service Matching, Gig Economy Optimization, Geospatial Clustering, Predictive Analytics.**

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid growth of the on-demand service economy has transformed how consumers access essential services such as plumbing, electrical work, and home maintenance. Traditional service-matching platforms rely on static provider listings and heuristic-based allocation, often leading to inefficiencies such as unbalanced provider distribution, prolonged wait times, and limited availability in underserved areas. These challenges not only affect customer satisfaction but also result in suboptimal workload distribution for service providers.

To address these inefficiencies, we propose an AI-driven service-matching platform that leverages Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (DBSCAN) for dynamic service provider allocation. By clustering service providers based on real-time demand, geospatial distribution, and availability, our system enhances the efficiency of service delivery while minimizing response times. Unlike traditional approaches, which often rely on fixed-radius search or manual selection, DBSCAN enables the platform to identify high-density service zones and underserved areas dynamically. This ensures a balanced workload distribution among service providers and prevents resource congestion in overpopulated regions.

Furthermore, the platform integrates predictive analytics and dynamic pricing mechanisms, adjusting service costs based on supply-demand variations. This incentivizes service providers to operate in low-availability areas, ensuring fair access to services across different locations. Additionally, a trust-based ranking algorithm enhances provider selection, leveraging user ratings, reliability scores, and historical performance data to recommend high-quality professionals.

Our proposed framework is designed to be scalable, adaptable, and future-ready, with potential integrations into IoT-based smart home automation and blockchain-enabled service verification. Through extensive simulations using real-world service request datasets, our approach demonstrates superior performance in response time reduction, provider workload balance, and overall customer satisfaction compared to existing service-matching platforms. This research aims to establish a foundation for next-generation AI-powered gig economy solutions, optimizing resource allocation and enhancing the overall service experience.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The evolution of on-demand service platforms has been driven by advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI), geospatial clustering, and predictive analytics. Traditional service-matching platforms rely on manual selection, static listings, and distance-based matching, leading to inefficiencies. Recent studies have explored AI-driven dynamic allocation to improve service efficiency and response times.

1. Service-Matching Algorithms in On-Demand Platforms

Several studies highlight the importance of efficient service allocation. Traditional service-matching methods include:

- Distance-based Matching – Platforms like TaskRabbit and UrbanClap allocate service providers based on geographical proximity. However, this method fails in areas with low provider availability.
- Heuristic-Based Allocation – Some platforms use rule-based allocation, which lacks adaptability to real-time demand changes.
- Machine Learning-Based Matching – Advanced systems apply reinforcement learning and clustering algorithms for optimal service allocation.

2. DBSCAN and its Application in Service Matching

Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise (DBSCAN) has been widely used in geospatial data analysis. It identifies high-density clusters while filtering out noise (low-demand areas).

- Advantages of DBSCAN:
  - Automatically detects high-demand regions without predefined parameters.
  - Effectively handles noise and outliers, making it suitable for dynamic environments.
  - Works well for non-uniform data distribution, unlike K-Means, which requires predefined cluster numbers.
- DBSCAN in Real-World Applications:
  - Used in urban planning to identify population hotspots.
  - Applied in ride-hailing services to optimize taxi allocation.
  - Implemented in retail analytics to study customer density and shopping behavior.

However, its use in on-demand service-matching platforms remains underexplored, presenting an opportunity for AI-driven dynamic service allocation.

3. Predictive Analytics in Demand Forecasting

Predictive analytics plays a key role in platforms like Uber and Lyft, where AI models forecast ride demand.

- Time Series Forecasting – Analyzing historical service requests to predict future demand.
- Seasonal Trends Analysis – Identifying peak demand periods (e.g., higher home maintenance requests in winter).
- Customer Behavior Modeling – Learning user patterns to anticipate future service needs.

Studies have shown that AI-driven demand forecasting can improve service availability by up to 40%, reducing wait times and increasing customer satisfaction.

4. Dynamic Pricing Mechanisms in the Gig Economy

Dynamic pricing is widely used in transportation and hotel booking industries to balance supply-demand.

- Surge Pricing in Ride-Sharing – Companies like Uber increase prices during high-demand periods to attract more drivers.
- Flexible Pricing in E-commerce – Amazon adjusts prices dynamically based on product demand and stock availability.
- Fairness in Dynamic Pricing – Studies suggest that price capping mechanisms should be implemented to prevent excessive charges.

By implementing a balanced dynamic pricing model, service-matching platforms can optimize provider allocation while maintaining fair pricing for customers.

5. Trust-Based Ranking for Service Providers

Studies indicate that user ratings alone are not sufficient for evaluating service providers. A trust-based ranking algorithm considers multiple factors, such as:

- Historical performance – Completion rate and punctuality.
- Customer feedback – Authenticity of reviews and repeat bookings.
- Reliability metrics – Response time, professionalism, and overall customer satisfaction.

Integrating trust-based ranking improves service quality, user retention, and platform credibility.

I.   RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Our proposed AI-driven service-matching platform integrates DBSCAN clustering, predictive analytics, dynamic pricing, and trust-based ranking to optimize service allocation.

1. Data Collection & Preprocessing

The platform collects real-time and historical data, including:

- User service requests (timestamps, location, service type).
- Service provider availability (geographical distribution, work hours).
- Customer ratings & reviews (feedback, past interactions).
- External factors (weather conditions, seasonal trends).

Data preprocessing includes:

- Noise removal – Eliminating invalid or irrelevant data.
- Feature engineering – Extracting useful features like request frequency, provider response time, and user preferences.
- Normalization – Ensuring data consistency across different formats.

2. DBSCAN Clustering for Service Allocation

DBSCAN is used to dynamically group service requests into clusters.
How DBSCAN Works in This Context:

1. Identifies service request hotspots – High-density areas where multiple users request similar services.
2. Detects underserved areas – Regions with fewer providers, allowing proactive provider allocation.
3. Prevents congestion – Avoids overloading service providers in high-demand zones.

DBSCAN is preferred over other clustering algorithms because:

- It does not require a predefined number of clusters.
- It can handle outliers, ensuring rare service requests are managed separately.
- It adapts dynamically to changing demand patterns.

3. Predictive Analytics for Demand Forecasting

A machine learning model (e.g., LSTM, Random Forest) is used to forecast service demand based on:

- Historical booking patterns (past demand variations).
- Time-based trends (peak hours, seasonal demand shifts).
- Geospatial insights (high-demand vs. low-demand regions).

By anticipating demand, the system can:

- Allocate service providers in advance to expected high-demand areas.
- Adjust pricing dynamically to balance supply and demand.
- Reduce service request delays by ensuring availability.

4. Dynamic Pricing Model

The pricing system adjusts service costs based on:

- Supply-demand ratio – Higher pricing in high-demand areas, lower pricing in low-demand zones.
- Provider incentives – Encouraging professionals to operate in underserved regions.
- Customer affordability constraints – Ensuring fair pricing caps to prevent excessive costs.

This ensures optimal service distribution while maintaining pricing fairness.

5. Trust-Based Ranking Algorithm

To enhance service quality, providers are ranked using a multi-factor ranking system:

- User reviews & ratings – Based on past customer feedback.
- Completion rate – Percentage of successfully completed services.
- Punctuality – Average response time and service completion speed.
- Professionalism score – Based on user complaints and compliments.

This ensures customers receive high-quality services, and service providers are rewarded for good performance.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Results

Service Allocation Efficiency
To test how well our platform matched service requests to providers, we compared our DBSCAN-based service matching with a traditional distance-based model.

Matching Algorithm	Allocation Accuracy (%)	Response Time (sec)
Traditional Model	72.3%	58 sec
DBSCAN Model	89.7%	35 sec

Key Finding:

Our DBSCAN clustering model improved matching accuracy by 17% compared to the traditional model.

Response time was reduced by 40%, meaning customers received quicker service.

Demand Forecasting Performance
To optimize provider availability, we tested various machine learning models to predict service demand.

Model Used	Prediction Accuracy (%)
Linear Regression	68.4%
Random Forest	80.2%
LSTM Neural Network	91.5%

Key Finding:

The LSTM-based demand forecasting model was the most accurate, predicting service demand with 91.5% accuracy.

This allows for better workforce planning by increasing provider availability during peak demand hours.

Dynamic Pricing Efficiency

To assess the impact of AI-driven dynamic pricing, we compared it to a fixed pricing model.

Pricing Model	Provider Availability (%)	Customer Complaints
Fixed Pricing	73%	122
Dynamic Pricing	88%	47

Key Finding:

Dynamic pricing encouraged service providers to accept more requests, increasing availability by 15%.

Customer complaints about service delays dropped by 61%, showing that services were delivered more efficiently.

Customer Satisfaction Analysis

Finally, we evaluated user satisfaction ratings before and after implementing AI-driven service allocation.

Model Used	Avg. Customer Satisfaction (1–5)
Traditional Model	3.8
DBSCAN + LSTM Model	4.6

Key Finding:

Customer satisfaction increased by 21% due to improved service matching and faster response times.

DISCUSSION

Our research demonstrates that an AI-driven service-matching platform can significantly enhance efficiency, accuracy, and customer satisfaction in on-demand services. The experimental results highlight several key findings:

1. Improvement in Service Allocation

The DBSCAN clustering model outperformed traditional methods, increasing allocation accuracy by 17% and reducing response time by 40%. This shows that AI can match customers with service providers more efficiently, ensuring faster and more reliable service.

2. Enhanced Demand Forecasting

Using an LSTM neural network, our system predicted service demand with 91.5% accuracy, significantly better than traditional regression models. This improvement helps businesses allocate resources more effectively, reducing service delays and improving overall operational efficiency.

3. Impact of Dynamic Pricing

AI-driven dynamic pricing strategies encouraged service providers to accept more requests, increasing availability by 15% and reducing customer complaints by 61%. This proves that real-time price adjustments can create a fair balance between customer affordability and provider incentives.

4. Customer Satisfaction and Trust-Based Ranking

Our trust-based ranking system, which prioritizes reliable and well-rated service providers, increased customer satisfaction scores from 3.8 to 4.6 (on a 5-point scale). This highlights the importance of user trust and transparency in service marketplaces.

5. Practical Implications for Service Platforms

These findings confirm that AI-driven models can make service marketplaces smarter, more efficient, and user-friendly. Businesses can leverage such models to:

- Reduce operational inefficiencies by automating service assignments.
- Optimize workforce planning by forecasting demand accurately.
- Ensure fair pricing through AI-driven pricing strategies.
- Enhance customer trust by prioritizing reliable providers.

Despite these advantages, challenges remain. Future improvements should focus on real-time traffic analysis, API integrations for demand forecasting, and security enhancements using blockchain technology to further optimize the platform.

REFERENCES

[1] Veve, Cyril & Chiabaut, Nicolas. (2021). Demand-Driven Optimization Method for Microtransit Services. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board. 2676. 036119812110491. 10.1177/03611981211049143.

[2] Bonner, Taylor & Miller-Hooks, Elise. (2023). Achieving equitable outcomes through optimal design in the development of microtransit zones. Journal of Transport Geography. 112. 103696. 10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2023.103696.

[3] Yeldan, Gizem & Yılmaz, Gökçe & Kayatürk, Gökhan. (2024). AI-Driven Optimization of Order Procurement and Inventory Management in Supply Chains. The European Journal of Research and Development. 4. 46-56. 10.56038/ejrnd.v4i3.605.

[4] Adamashvili, Nino & Zhizhilashvili, Nino & Tricase, Caterina. (2024). The Integration of the Internet of Things, Artificial Intelligence, and Blockchain Technology for Advancing the Wine Supply Chain. Computers. 13. 72. 10.3390/computers13030072.

[5] Chiabaut, Nicolas & Veve, Cyril. (2019). Identifying Twin Travelers Using Ridesourcing Trip Data. Transport Findings. 10.32866/9223.

[6] Mourad, Abood & Puchinger, Jakob & Chu, Chengbin. (2019). A survey of models and algorithms for optimizing shared mobility. Transportation Research Part B: Methodological. 123. 10.1016/j.trb.2019.02.003.

[7] Lopez, Clélia & Leclercq, Ludovic & Krishnakumari, Panchamy & Chiabaut, Nicolas & Lint, J.W.C.. (2017). Revealing the day-to-day regularity of urban congestion patterns with 3D speed maps. Scientific Reports. 7. 14029. 10.1038/s41598-017-14237-8.

[8] Malik, M Rashid & Chakraborty, Ashim. (2021). Gig Economy and Artificial Intelligence. The Empirical Economics Letters. 20. 23-29.

[9] Vallas, Steven & Schor, Juliet. (2020). What Do Platforms Do? Understanding the Gig Economy. Annual Review of Sociology. 46. 10.1146/annurev-soc-121919-054857.