IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Sun Protection Factor: A Comprehensive Review Of Formulation And Evaluation

Emerging Technologies in Dermatological Protection

Dr. Vijayakumar Kale1, Ms. Sakshi B. Mane2*

1Principal, Kasturi Shikshan Sanstha College of Pharmacy, Shikrapur, Pune-412208

2Student, Kasturi Shikshan Sanstha College of Pharmacy, Shikrapur, Pune-412208

1Department of Pharmaceutics,

1Kasturi Shikshan Sanstha College of Pharmacy, Shikrapur, Pune-412208

Abstract: The Sun Protection Factor (SPF) is a crucial measure of a sunscreen's capacity to shield the skin against UV radiation-induced damage, especially UVB-induced erythema. Global awareness of the need for efficient photo protection techniques has risen due to growing environmental risks, ozone layer depletion, and greater outdoor exposure. This paper offers a thorough analysis of SPF, its scientific foundation, testing procedures, influencing variables, formulation improvements, and new technologies in sunscreen production. The kinds of UV radiation, their biological consequences, and the ways in which various sunscreens—chemical, physical, and hybrid—offer protection are all covered in this article. In-vitro and in-vivo SPF determination techniques, such as spectrophotometric tests, Minimal Erythema Dose (MED) evaluation, and worldwide regulatory standards, are also covered in detail. The disparity between labelled SPF and actual protection is emphasized by highlighting important aspects that impact SPF effectiveness, including film formation, photo stability, skin type, product vehicle, ambient circumstances, and customer behaviour. To illustrate the future course of photo protection science, cutting-edge discoveries including Nano encapsulation, antioxidant-enriched formulations, DNA repair enzymes, probiotic-supported sunscreens, hybrid UV filters, and smart UV-responsive technologies are examined. The article also covers environmental effects, safety concerns, and the growing popularity of biodegradable and reef-safe sunscreens.

Index Terms — SPF, Sun Protection Factor, Sunscreen, Ultraviolet Radiation, UVB Protection, UVA Protection, Photo protection, Nano encapsulation, UV Filters, Photo stability, Broad-Spectrum Sunscreen, Antioxidants, Film-Forming Agents, Herbal Sunscreens, Smart Sunscreens, Reef-Safe Sunscreens.

1. INTRODUCTION

Life on Earth depends on sunlight to enable human vitamin D production, regulate circadian rhythms, and provide energy for photosynthesis. However, because of its ultraviolet (UV) radiation, the same sunshine that provides essential physiological advantages also poses serious hazards [1]. Sunburn, premature ageing, hyperpigmentation, immunological suppression, and skin cancer are among the skin-related problems that have become more common over the past several decades due to increasing outdoor activities, changes in lifestyle, and changes in the global environment. With Sun Protection Factor (SPF) being the most well-known indicator of sunscreen effectiveness, photo protection has become an essential part of dermatological and cosmetic research due to this rising concern [2].

Due in large part to the ozone layer's depletion and rising ultraviolet index (UVI) levels in different countries, the worldwide skincare industry has grown quickly as awareness of UV-induced damage has grown. The widespread usage of sunscreens is a result of warnings about the dangers of UV exposure given by environmental organisations and medical authorities [3]. Sunscreens continue to be the most practical and efficient way to reduce UV damage among the many strategies such as wearing protective clothes, searching out shade, and avoiding periods of intense sunshine. UV filters used in sunscreens protect the skin by absorbing, scattering, or reflecting damaging UV light. The standardised metric known as SPF is used to measure how much protection a sunscreen offers against ultraviolet B (UVB) radiation, which are a primary cause of DNA damage and erythema [4].

As scientists started to comprehend the mechanics underlying sunburn and skin damage, the idea of SPF was initially presented in the middle of the 20th century. Early sunscreens were thick, oily, and unsightly, and they primarily offered UVB protection. Broad-spectrum sunscreens that also provide protection against ultraviolet A (UVA) rays, which cause photo aging and deeper skin damage, have been developed throughout time thanks to developments in chemical synthesis, photo stability technologies, and formulation science. These days, SPF is a crucial scientific idea ingrained in dermatology, cosmetology, and pharmaceutical formulation rather than just a number indication on product labels [5].

The processes of UV interaction with the skin, the function of chromophores in radiation absorption, and the biological reactions brought on by UV exposure must all be thoroughly understood in order to comprehend SPF. There is growing evidence that high UV exposure causes oxidative stress, impairs DNA repair processes, and forms Cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs), all of which lead to carcinogenesis. As a result, the goal of sunscreen formulation has shifted from only avoiding sunburn to safeguarding the general health of the skin. Broad-spectrum protection, improved skin barrier function, antioxidants, increased photo stability, and visually appealing textures that promote compliance are all goals of contemporary sunscreens.

Even though sunscreen is widely accessible, there are still many false beliefs about SPF. Many people mistakenly believe that reapplication is not essential or that higher SPF levels offer exponentially better protection [6]-[7]. The variety of UV filters used in various geographical areas, which is determined by legal requirements, is another important factor to take into account. For instance, the European Union (EU) accepts a greater variety of UV filters, including more recent and photostable ones, but the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) only authorizes a few amount. This disparity affects customer experiences, formulation techniques, and SPF performance in various markets. In order to improve SPF efficacy, research is still being done on novel UV filters, encapsulating methods, nanotechnology, and biological additions including antioxidants and DNA repair enzymes [8].

The connection between SPF ratings and UVB protection is really logarithmic rather than linear, and real-world protection is frequently far lower than labelled values because to insufficient application, perspiration, ambient variables, and active ingredient photo degradation. Moreover, UVA exposure also significantly contributes to long-term skin damage, even though SPF mainly measures UVB protection. As a result, comprehending SPF needs to be placed within the larger context of photo protection.

Environmental consciousness has impacted sunscreen creation in recent years. Regulatory prohibitions and the creation of mineral-based, reef-safe substitutes have been spurred by worries about coral reef bleaching and the ecological effects of some chemical filters, such as oxybenzone and octinoxate. The clarity and aesthetic appeal of mineral sunscreens, which were previously criticized for creating a white cast, have improved because to advancements in nano-sized zinc oxide and titanium dioxide. These developments, which span pharmacology, toxicity, dermatology, materials science, environmental biology, and consumer behaviour, demonstrate the diversity of SPF research [9].

Additionally, customised photo protection is becoming a major trend. Customised sunscreen tactics are necessary because to variations in skin photo types, genetic predispositions, and environmental circumstances. In order to assist customers better understand their unique UV exposure and reapplication needs, sunscreen research is using wearable UV sensors, mobile apps, and artificial intelligence. These developments are in line with the larger trend in dermatology towards personalised care and intelligent technologies.

Beyond cosmetic branding, SPF is important since it is a basic scientific measure that has an impact on public health. Sunscreen education is a key component of preventative methods as skin cancer rates continue to climb worldwide. Broad-spectrum, durable, and ecologically sustainable photo protection technologies are becoming more and more important as research advances. The scientific underpinnings of SPF, UV radiation types, performance-influencing factors, emerging technologies, formulation strategies, evaluation techniques, regulatory considerations, and the increasing popularity of herbal and natural sunscreens are all covered in this review article. This study seeks to facilitate the development of safer, more effective, and more sustainable sunscreens for general usage in dermatology and cosmetic research by providing a thorough knowledge of SPF [10].

2. UNDERSTANDING UV RADIATION

2.1 UVB Radiation (280–320 nm)

2.2 UVB rays are primarily responsible for sunburn, erythema, and direct DNA damage through the formation of Cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs). UVB radiation affects the epidermis and carries strong carcinogenic potential. While only about 5% of UV radiation reaching the Earth's surface is UVB, its intensity varies with geographical location, altitude, season, and time of day. UVB exposure peaks between 10 AM and 4 PM and can cause visible skin damage within minutes. SPF predominantly measures protection against UVB-induced erythema [10].

2.3 UVA Radiation (320–400 nm)

2.4 UVA rays constitute approximately 95% of the UV radiation reaching the Earth's surface. They penetrate deeper into the skin, affecting the dermis, where collagen, elastin, and fibroblasts are located. UVA radiation contributes significantly to photo aging, pigmentation disorders, immune suppression, and indirect DNA damage via oxidative stress. Unlike UVB, UVA is present consistently throughout the day and year, penetrating clouds and glass windows. UVA rays are divided into UVA1 (340–400 nm) and UVA2 (320–340 nm), each contributing differently to skin aging and damage [11]-[12].

Together, UVB and UVA create cumulative and synergistic damage, emphasizing the need for broad-spectrum sunscreens that protect against the full UV spectrum. While SPF reflects UVB protection, additional rating systems like PA (Protection Grade of UVA), PPD (Persistent Pigment Darkening), and the Boots Star Rating are used to quantify UVA protection. Understanding these distinctions is essential for sunscreen design, regulatory classification, and appropriate consumer usage advising [13].

3. SUN PROTECTION FACTOR (SPF)

The Sun Protection Factor (SPF) is a standardised indicator of how well a sunscreen shields the skin from sunburn or UVB-induced erythema. It measures the amount of time that sunscreen-protected skin takes to get red in comparison to unprotected skin under the same circumstances. According to science, SPF is calculated as follows:

SPF = MED (Protected Skin) / MED (Unprotected Skin) …(Eq. 1)

Where MED (Minimal Erythema Exposure) is the lowest UV exposure that causes noticeable redness [14].

For example, 93% of UVB rays are blocked by SPF 15, 97% by SPF 30, and 98% by SPF 50. Although they only slightly improve protection, higher SPF values are essential for people with fair skin, photosensitivity, or extensive outdoor exposure. However, UVA protection is not measured by SPF, hence other markers like PA or PPD are required [15].

The relationship between UV filters and UV light is the scientific foundation of SPF. While physical (inorganic) filters like zinc oxide and titanium dioxide reflect and scatter UV rays, chemical (organic) filters like avobenzone, octocrylene, and Homosalate absorb UVB radiation and transform them into heat. These filters are frequently combined in modern formulations to provide improved photo stability and broad-spectrum coverage. The capacity of a filter to withstand UV radiation is known as photo stability; unstable filters deteriorate quickly, gradually lowering SPF efficacy [16].

Application thickness (2 mg/cm² for testing), film-forming capacity, skin type, ambient factors, and product vehicle are all factors that affect SPF efficacy. To guarantee uniformity among goods, ISO, FDA, and BIS regulatory norms standardised SPF testing. Accurate sunscreen formulation and practical protection depend on a scientific understanding of SPF [17].

4. FACTORS AFFECTING SPF EFFICACY

4.1 Application Parameters

4.2 The effectiveness of a sunscreen's protection against UVB rays is determined by a number of factors. Application thickness is one of the most important variables. Customers usually apply just 25–50% of the 2 mg/cm² of sunscreen used in standard testing, which drastically reduces actual protection. Another important factor is film formation; whereas insufficient film formation results in micro-gaps that permit UV penetration, consistent covering guarantees even dispersion of UV filters.

4.3 Environmental and Product Factors

4.4 Another crucial element is photo stability. Certain UV filters lose some of their SPF when they are exposed to sunlight. For instance, avobenzone needs stabilizers like octocrylene since it is photo labile. Environmental factors that affect SPF include humidity, perspiration, wind, and exposure to water. Even if products with the labels "water-resistant" or "very water-resistant" must fulfil certain requirements, they still need to be reapplied after swimming or perspiring.

4.3 Skin Characteristics

Sunscreen absorption and distribution are influenced by skin properties such texture, moisture level, and sebum concentration. While oily skin may cause sunscreen to slip or separate, dry or rough skin lessens even distribution. Fitzpatrick skin type also affects erythema susceptibility; lighter skin requires greater SPF levels since it burns more readily.

4.5 Formulation Components

4.6 SPF efficacy is greatly influenced by formulation components. Spreadability, adhesion, and filter stability are improved by oils, emulsifiers, stabilizers, polymers, and antioxidants. Zinc oxide or titanium dioxide nanoparticles increase surface coverage and transparency, resulting in greater SPF ratings without a white cast.

4.5 User Behaviour Factors

User behaviour is just as significant. Most incidents of sunscreen failure are caused by uneven application, insufficient amount, and neglect to reapply. SPF can also be reduced by product ageing, incorrect storage, and contact with skincare or cosmetics products. Comprehending these variables enables formulators to produce sunscreens that are more effective and informs customers about appropriate application [18]-[19].

5. NEW TECHNOLOGIES IN SUNSCREEN DEVELOPMENT

Advances in photo protection research have led to innovative technologies aimed at increasing SPF, enhancing UVA protection, improving photo stability, and developing safer, more sustainable sunscreens.

5.1 Nano encapsulation Technologies

5.2 Nano encapsulation is one of the most transformative technologies. UV filters encapsulated in liposomes, solid lipid nanoparticles (SLN), nanostructured lipid carriers (NLC), or polymeric nanoparticles exhibit improved stability, reduced skin penetration, enhanced SPF, and better cosmetic elegance. These systems protect UV filters from degradation while providing controlled release.

5.3 Smart UV-Responsive Systems

5.4 Smart UV-responsive sunscreens incorporate molecules that react to UV exposure by increasing their protective capacity or changing color to signal the need for reapplication. These innovative systems combine photochemistry and polymer science to offer dynamic protection [20].

5.3 Biological and Enzyme-Based Approaches

DNA repair enzyme sunscreens represent a biological approach to photo protection. Enzymes such as photolyase and endonuclease repair UV-induced DNA damage, offering additional protection beyond UV filtration. These formulations are especially beneficial for photosensitive individuals.

5.5 Antioxidant-Enriched Formulations

5.6 Antioxidant-enriched sunscreens incorporate ingredients like vitamin C, vitamin E, niacinamide, polyphenols, resveratrol, and coenzyme Q10 to neutralize free radicals generated from UV exposure. Antioxidants complement UV filters by protecting cellular structures and enhancing photo stability [21].

5.5 Environmentally Sustainable Solutions

Reef-safe and biodegradable sunscreens use eco-friendly UV filters that do not harm marine ecosystems. Mineral-based sunscreens with nano-sized zinc oxide and titanium dioxide offer effective protection without contributing to coral bleaching.

5.7 Advanced Film-Forming Polymers

5.8 Advanced film-forming polymers improve the durability, water resistance, and uniformity of sunscreen application. These polymers ensure long-lasting protection even in challenging environmental conditions.

5.7 Digital and Personalized Photo Protection

Artificial intelligence and wearable UV sensors offer personalized photo protection by monitoring real-time UV exposure and alerting users about reapplication needs. These technologies align with precision skincare trends [22].

6. CLINICAL STUDIES & REAL-WORLD EVIDENCE

In order to verify the effectiveness, safety, and user acceptance of sunscreens, clinical research and empirical data are crucial. Clinical studies offer more detailed information on how sunscreens function in real-world environmental settings, whereas in-vitro and in-vivo SPF testing techniques provide standardised laboratory data. In addition to photo protection results, these studies assess user adherence, long-term skin health advantages, and the effects of extrinsic factors such humidity, perspiration, water exposure, and application practices.

In controlled clinical trials, erythema avoidance is normally evaluated by subjecting specific skin regions to UV radiation following the application of sunscreen at a predetermined dosage (commonly 2 mg/cm^2). These studies aid in determining the crucial wavelength, UVA Protection Factor (UVA-PF), and SPF rating. Modern clinical studies evaluate oxidative stress reduction, pigmentation decrease, DNA damage markers, and photo aging indicators including wrinkles and elasticity in addition to erythema. Malondialdehyde levels, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), and Cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPDs) are examples of biomarkers that provide quantifiable information on cellular defence [23].

Because customers only apply 25–50% of the required amount, real-world data studies demonstrate that effectiveness in reality is frequently lower than labelled SPF. Sweating, water immersion, garment friction, and reapplication gaps all contribute to a decrease in protection, according to field tests done during sports, beach exposure, and extended outdoor work. These findings demonstrate the necessity of water-resistant formulations, non-greasy textures, high-film-forming agents, and user-friendly packaging.

Important safety and effectiveness insights are also provided by population-specific studies, such as those conducted on children, outdoor workers, people with photo dermatoses, and those with sensitive skin. Consistent use of sunscreens has been shown in long-term research to lower the incidence of actinic keratosis, UVA-mediated ageing, and skin cancer. All things considered, clinical and practical results highlight how crucial formulation science and user compliance are to obtaining the best possible photo protection [24].

7. HERBAL AND NATURAL SUNSCREENS

The growing interest in natural and herbal ingredients has led to extensive research on plant-based photo protective agents. These ingredients offer not only UV protection but also additional benefits such as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and skin-repairing properties. Table 1 summarizes key herbal and natural ingredients used in sunscreen formulations.

TABLE 1: HERBAL/NATURAL INGREDIENTS USED IN SUNSCREEN FORMULATIONS [25]-[26]

Sr.no.	Herbal/ Natural Ingredients	Active Phytochemicals	Mechanism Of Photo protection	Approx. Natural SPF value
1	Aloe vera	Aloin , aloesin, polysaccharids	Anti - inflamatory , anti-oxidants , soothes UV - Induce irritations	SPF 2-4
2	Curcum alonga (turmeric)	Curcumin oids	Strong anti-oxidants, absorb UVB , reduces ROS	SPF 3-5
3	Camellia sinensis (green tea)	Catechins (EGCG)	DNA damage repair , anti-oxidants , anti photo aging	SPF 2-4
4	Emblica officinalis (amla)	Vitamin c , tannins, gallic acid	Antioxidants ,collagen protective , reduces pigmentation	SPF 2-3
5	Glycyrrhiza glabra (licorice)	Glabridin, liquiritin	UV absorption , depigmenting , reduce inflammation	SPF 4-6
6	Calendula officinalis	Flavonoids,triterpenoids	UV absorption , antioxidants, anti-inflammatory	SPF 4-7
7	Hibiscus rosasinensis	Anthocyanins , polyphenols	Scavenges free radicals , protects against UV induce oxidation	SPF 3-4
8	Caricapapaya	Carotenoids,vitamins A and C	Antioxidants , reduce UV induce pigmentation	SPF 2-4
9	Punica grantum (pomegranate)	Ellagic acid,anthocynanins	UVB absorption , protects skin lipids and DNA	SPF 3-6
10	Vitis vinifera (grapes seed)	Proanthocyanidins	Powerful antioxidants , prevents UV induce ROS	SPF 3-5

11	Santalum album (sandal wood)	Alpha-santalol	Anti-inflammatory, UV absorptive , cooling	SPF 4-8
12	Carrot seed oil	Carotenoids,tocopherols	UV absorption , antioxidants , protect skin lipids	SPF 20-30 (VARIES WIDELY)
13	Raspberry seed oil	Omega fatty acids,vitamin E ,polyphenols	Natural UV absorption , antioxidants	SPF 25-50 (REPORTED IN STUDIES
14	Sesame oil	Sesamol , sesamine	Natural UV filters ,prevents 30% UV rays	SPF 4-6
15	Wheet germ oil	Vitamin E , fatty acids	Strong antioxidants , moisturizes skin	SPF 15-20
16	Coconut oil	Lauric acids, tocopherols	Moisturizer , mild UV barrier	SPF 2-7
17	Almond oil	Vitamin E , fatty acids	Antioxidants , protect against photo aging	SPF 4-5
18	Shea butter	Cinnamic acid derivatives	Has UV - absorbing properties , anti-inflammatory	SPF 3-6
19	Aloe barbadensis oil	Fatty acids , poly saccharides	Soothing , antioxidants, reduces UV erythema	SPF 2-3
20	Azabirachta indica (neem)	Nimbidin,quercetin	UV – absorbing flavonoids , anti bacterial	SPF 2-4

*Note: *Values vary widely based on extraction method and concentration.*

8. FUTURE SCOPE OF STUDY

Future SPF research and sunscreen development will focus on technology-driven, individualized, and sustainable photo protection. More efficient and user-friendly sunscreen inventions are becoming more and more necessary as UV exposure rises globally and skin cancer rates continue to climb.

Smart photo protection, which includes UV-responsive formulations that become more active when exposed to sunshine, wearable UV sensors, and AI-based skin evaluation tools, is one significant area of innovation. With the help of these technologies, users may more precisely apply sunscreen and monitor UV exposure. Nanotechnology, which strengthens herbal active ingredients, increases physical filter penetration, and stabilizes UV filters without sacrificing safety, is another exciting area.

In order to solve the environmental issues related to chemical UV absorbers, future research will also investigate sunscreen filters that are safe for reefs and biodegradable. Research on plant-based substitutes, bio-derived UV filters, and marine-safe zinc oxide is expected to grow quickly. Furthermore, probiotics, peptides, antioxidants, and DNA-repair enzymes are becoming multipurpose photo protective agents that not only shield the skin from UV rays but also restore and revitalize it.

Another frontier is customised sun protection based on lifestyle, geography, skin microbiota, and genetics. For some groups, including as youngsters, athletes, outdoor workers, and those with sensitive or ill skin, customised formulations may provide tailored protection.

Furthermore, parameters for blue light protection, infrared protection, photo aging reduction, and environmental stress mitigation will be included in future SPF evaluations in addition to erythema-based testing. To verify the practical performance of novel filters and hybrid technologies, more thorough long-term clinical research will be required. In general, the future of SPF research will focus on combining science, sustainability, and individualized care to create next-generation sunscreens that satisfy consumer and environmental expectations while offering wider, safer, and more dependable protection [29]-[30].

9. CONCLUSION

Sun Protection Factor (SPF), which is essential for preventing UV-induced skin damage, photo aging, hyperpigmentation, and skin cancer, is still a key metric for assessing sunscreen effectiveness. The scientific underpinnings of SPF, UV radiation processes, formulation techniques, and developments that improve photo protection are all highlighted in this overview. It is clear that real-world protection frequently deviates from labelled SPF because of things like poor application, photo degradation, and exposure to water, ambient variables, and user behaviour. In order to encourage constant consumer compliance, future sunscreen development must concentrate on enhancing photo stability, skin adherence, sensory appeal, and simplicity of application in addition to raising SPF values.

All things considered, SPF research is moving from straightforward UVB protection to a comprehensive, multifaceted strategy that addresses UVA, HEV (blue light), IR radiation, oxidative stress, and long-term skin health. The future of photo protection is defined by the convergence of cutting-edge technology, sustainable principles, and individualized skin-care research. Sunscreens will become smarter, safer, more effective, and more ecologically friendly with ongoing innovation and scientific validation. Promising avenues for next-generation sunscreens include Nano encapsulation, hybrid UV filters, DNA-repair enzymes, antioxidant-loaded systems, and smart sensors.

The growing need for natural and herbal photo protective substances throughout the world is equally significant. Even while the majority of botanical compounds have moderate SPF values on their own, when added to contemporary formulations, their antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and DNA-protective qualities offer good complimentary effects. To standardised herbal extracts, confirm their therapeutic effectiveness, and create environmentally friendly sunscreens that are safe for both people and the environment, more research is needed.

10. REFERENCES

1. Afaq, F., & Mukhtar, H. (2011). Botanical antioxidants in the prevention of photocarcinogenesis and photoaging. Experimental Dermatology, 20(9), 689–695.

2. Alam, M., & Singh, R. (2020). Sunscreen agents: A review of formulation and efficacy. International Journal of Cosmetic Science, 42(3), 215–225.

3. Balkrishnan, R., McMichael, A. J., & Camacho, F. T. (2011). Photoprotection and sunscreen use: Clinical and real-world evidence. Journal of Dermatological Treatment, 22(3), 193–198.

4. Bashir, S., & Sharma, P. K. (2015). Antioxidant and photoprotective properties of herbal extracts in sunscreen formulations. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science, 5(10), 68–75.

5. Berardesca, E., Maibach, H. I., & Barbareschi, M. (2013). Sunscreen application thickness and real-world sun protection. Photodermatology, Photoimmunology & Photomedicine, 29(2), 67–72.

6. Berset, C., & Prat, V. (2019). Photostability of UV filters and its influence on SPF. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 570, 118665.

7. Burnett, M. E., & Wang, S. Q. (2011). Current sunscreen controversies: A critical review. Photodermatology, Photoimmunology & Photomedicine, 27(2), 58–67.

8. Chatelain, E., Gabard, B., & Surber, C. (2003). Skin penetration and photostability of sunscreens. British Journal of Dermatology, 149(1), 68–76.

9. Diffey, B. L. (2009). Sunscreens: Expectations and reality. British Journal of Dermatology, 161(1), 115–120.

10. Draelos, Z. D. (2018). Sunscreens: Efficacy, safety, and clinical evidence. Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology, 17(3), 428–434.

11. Gajanan, W., & Mane, V. (2021). Herbal-based sunscreen formulations: A scientific review. Journal of Herbal Medicine, 25, 100404.

12. Hayden, C. G., Roberts, M. S., & Benson, H. A. (2005). Sunscreen penetration into human skin. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, 57(5), 593–600.

13. Huang, Z., & Chen, W. (2019). Nanotechnology-enhanced sunscreens for improved UV protection. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 152, 43–55.

14. Katiyar, S. K. (2017). UV-induced oxidative stress and chemoprevention by botanical antioxidants. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B, 178, 149–156.

15. Kaur, C., & Kapoor, H. (2002). Antioxidants and skin protection from UV radiation. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition, 53(5), 429–439.

16. Kerr, J. B., & Fioletov, V. (2008). Changes in surface UV radiation. Photochemical & Photobiological Sciences, 7(6), 593–598.

17. Lautenschlager, S., Wulf, H. C., & Pittelkow, M. R. (2007). Photoprotection: Sunscreens and other modalities. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology, 58(5), 853–871.

18. Lin, J. Y., & Fisher, D. E. (2007). Melanocyte biology and skin photoprotection. Nature, 445(7130), 843–850.

19. Lodén, M., & Beitner, H. (2012). Sunscreen application and SPF efficacy: Clinical perspectives. Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology, 26(5), 602–609.

20. Madan, K., & Singh, R. (2010). Formulation and evaluation of herbal sunscreen. International Journal of Drug Delivery, 2(1), 62–72.

21. Mancuso, J. B., Maruthi, R., & Wang, S. Q. (2017). Sunscreens: Chemical and physical UV filters. Dermatologic Clinics, 35(1), 147–157.

22. Matta, M. K., et al. (2019). Systemic absorption of sunscreen ingredients. JAMA, 321(21), 2082–2091.

23. Nash, J. F. (2006). Photostability of sunscreen formulations. Photodermatology, Photoimmunology & Photomedicine, 22(2), 38–45.

24. Nole, G., & Johnson, A. W. (2004). Sunscreens: Science and safety. Skin Therapy Letter, 9(6), 1–5.

25. Osterwalder, U., Sohn, M., & Herzog, B. (2014). Global SPF testing standards. Photochemistry and Photobiology Science, 13(3), 655–667.

26. Rai, R., Shanmuga, S. C., & Srinivas, C. (2012). Broad-spectrum sunscreen—A comprehensive review. Journal of Clinical and Aesthetic Dermatology, 5(7), 16–19.

27. Scalia, S., & Mezzena, M. (2010). Photostable sunscreen formulations using antioxidants. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 405(1–2), 121–128.

28. Shaath, N. A. (2010). Ultraviolet filters: Technology and development. Cosmetics & Toiletries, 125(1), 30–40.

29. Stahl, W., & Sies, H. (2012). Photoprotection by dietary carotenoids. Molecular Nutrition & Food Research, 56(2), 287–295.

30. Wang, S. Q., Lim, H. W., & Pinnell, S. R. (2001). UVA protection: In-vitro and in-vivo methods. Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology, 44(3), 512–519.

```
```