# **IJCRT.ORG**

ISSN: 2320-2882



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

# **Assessing And Comparing Artificial Intelligence Tools For Effective Flood Management In India**

#### Rachna Malik (Research Scholar)

Siddharth University Kapilvastu Siddharth Nagar Uttar Pradesh

# **Dr. Ravikant** Shukla (Assistant Professor)

Siddharth University Kapilvastu Siddharth Nagar Uttar Pradesh

#### Abstract

This research paper investigates the role of Artificial intelligence in enhancing flood management systems in India, focusing on early warning systems and post flood management. The purpose is to evaluate AI tools in improving flood prediction accuracy, lead times and damage assessment efficiency, addressing challenges and opportunities in their deployment. Employing a mixed methods approach grounded in secondary data analysis from governmental reports, institutional studies and the study compares AI tools. Findings reveal that AI tools significantly outperform conventional techniques, achieving upto 93% accuracy in early warning systems and boosting lead times by up to 18 hours. Post flood, AI enabled damage assessment attains up to 95% accuracy. Practical implications highlights AI's potential to transform disaster preparedness and response in India by facilitating timely alerts and efficient resource allocation. The paper underscores the need for improved explainability, localised adaptation and integration framework for widespread AI adoption in flood management.

**Keywords** – Artificial intelligence, Flood management, Challenge, Opportunity, Efficiency

**Introduction -** Floods as multifaceted natural disasters, resulting not only in the loss of human lives and resources but also in extensive damage to economic systems and infrastructure demanding innovative and adaptive management approaches. Floods are among the most frequent and devasting natural disasters, causing significant loss of life, economic damage, and disruption to communities (Liu,2024). The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) defines flood management is an integrated, holistic, risk based approach aimed at minimising human and economic losses from floods while maximizing their social, economics and ecological benefits. This approach integrates land and water resource development within river basins and emphasizes equitable stakeholders participation and adaptive strategies to reduce flood risks and manage floodplains sustainably (UNESCO, 2012). Traditional Flood management

systems, which rely heavily on manual data collection and historical records, often fail to predict and mitigate flood risks effectively (kumar &Singh, 2021). Traditional approaches to flood management are hindered by challenges like inaccurate prediction, delays in forecasting and inefficient allocation of resources which can be overcome through the use of Artificial Intelligence. Artificial intelligence has revolutionized this field by leveraging vast datasets and advanced algorithms to enhance flood prediction, early warning systems and resource optimization (Liu,2024; Sankaran,2024)

This study aims to articulate specific objectives:

- 1. To investigate the types of Artificial intelligence tools most effective in flood risk prediction
- 2. To evaluate Artificial intelligence 's role in Early warning systems and post flood management
- 3. To identify challenges and opportunities in AI adopting for flood mitigation

# **Hypothesis**

Null hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) Artificial intelligence doesn't significantly improve the effectiveness of flood management systems.

Alternative Hypothesis(H<sub>1</sub>)—Artificial intelligence significantly improves the effectiveness of flood management systems

The Significance of this study lies in its potential to assist policymakers, urban planners and disaster management authorities in enhancing flood risk management strategies by providing a detailed review of its challenge and opportunities. By investigating AI applications in flood management, this study also serves as a reference point for future research, encouraging the development of innovative and technology driven approaches to mitigate the flood risk.

#### Literature review -

- 1- Artificial intelligence for flood risk management: A Comprehensive state of the art review and future directions Liu, Z.(2024), emphasizing how AI driven predictive models have enhanced flood forecasting accuracy and early warning systems. The study highlights successful implementation of AI techniques in various geographic regions, demonstrating improvement in real flood monitoring and decision making processes. Liu's work also underscores the growing role of Artificial intelligence in integrating data from diverse sources likes satellite imagery, hydrological sensor and climatic models to provide holistic flood risk assessments.
- 2- Leveraging Artificial intelligence in disaster management: Emerging Opportunities and Challenges Wibowo, A. (2025)— The author discusses practical case studies where AI supported systems facilitated rapid hazard detection and optimised emergency responses, ultimately reducing economic losses and saving lives. It highlights the importance of aligning AI technologies with stakeholders needs and existing infrastructure to maximize their effectiveness in flood scenarios. This research provides valuable insights into bridging technological innovation and real world disasters management practices.

#### Gaps in literature –

- 1- Lack of comprehensive studies addressing socio technical aspects such as ethical considerations, data privacy and stakeholders engagement in AI based flood management systems
- **2-** Most AI based flood prediction studies focus on specific regions, limiting the applicability of models
- **3-** Limited real time validation and evaluation of Artificial intelligence models in early warning systems.

#### Research Methodology

Research design – This study adopts a qualitative – quantitative mixed methods design based on secondary data.

Qualitative analysis will explore role of Artificial intelligence in early flood warning systems and post flood management and it's challenge and opportunities.

Quantitative analysis – will evaluate the performance of various Artificial intelligence tools.

**Population** – The study focused on flood prone regions with comprehensive secondary datasets and case studies documenting in early warning systems and post flood intervention. Included data sources comprise government reports, peer reviewed journal articles

**Sampling** – Purposive sampling of secondary data sources will select studies reporting on AI tools applied for flood early warning systems and post flood management. Case studies highlighting the implementation challenge and opportunities.

**Data collection** - Secondary data will be collected from repositories such as governmental hydrological databases, scientific publications and institutional flood risk assessments. Data on AI tools accuracy, lead time improvements and response enhancements will be extracted along with the qualitative insights on challenge in AI adoption.

#### Variables and Measures -

Quantitative variables – Types of Artificial intelligence which used for prediction accuracy, speed and effectiveness of post flood response.

Qualitative variables – challenges (data quality, privacy, prediction) and opportunities (automation, resources optimization and real time analytics)

## Data Analysis techniques -

Quantitative data will be analysed through comparative measures and descriptive statistics to evaluate Artificial intelligence effectiveness in early warning systems and post flood management.

Qualitative data will be analysed through thematic analysis which identify challenges and opportunities

# Result and discussion -

This section presents the analysis of secondary data collected from various reputable sources.

# Comparison of Artificial intelligence tools used in Early warning systems and Post flood management

| AI tools                           | Application<br>Area                         | Accuracy<br>/Effectiveness<br>(%)                      | Lead Time   | Key features/<br>Role of<br>Artificial<br>Intelligence                               | Source                   |
|------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|--|--------------------------|
| Vassar AI                          | Early warning systems                       | 91   | 15-18 hours | Real time flood prediction using satellite and sensor data                           | Vassar labs(<br>2025)    |
| Google AI                          | Flood forecast<br>and risk<br>mapping       | 89   | 16-18 hours | Machine learning with global data integration  | Google AI blog (2024)    |
| IMD AI systems                     | Early warning Dissemination                 | 86   | 12-15 hours | Integration with weather stations and hydrological data                              | IMD<br>Reports<br>(2024) |
| Brahmaputra River Monitoring AI    | Flood Detection and Blockage identification | 88   | NA          | AI enabled CCTV and image analysis for river blockage                                | University               |
| RAHAT App(<br>Assam /NE<br>states) | ***   | N/A ( Effectiveness – rapid response and connectivity) | NA          | AI powered app for real time reporting, logistics and victim assistance after floods | Sify (2025)              |

| Farmonaut   | Post flood      | 85-95%   | NA           | Rapid            | Farmonaut   |
|-------------|-----------------|--|--------------|------------------|-------------|
| Remote      | agriculture     |  |              | satellite based  | (2025)      |
| Sensing AI  | Damage          |  |              | assessment       |             |
| _           | assessment      |  |              | for flood        |             |
|             |                 |  |              | impacted areas   |             |
|             |                 |  |              | , used by state  |             |
|             |                 |  |              | government for   |             |
|             |                 |  |              | payout and       |             |
|             |                 |  |              | relief decisions |             |
|             |                 |  |              |                  |             |
|             |                 |  |              |                  |             |
|             |                 |  |              |                  |             |
| IIT Roorkee | Post flood risk | 94%  | 14-16 hours  | Explainable      | India today |
| XAI Flood   | mapping ( kosi  |  |              | AI for           | (2025)      |
| Maps        | basin/bihar)    |  |              | transparency     |             |
|             |                 |  |              | and rapid        |             |
|             | - 100 miles     | 100  |              | generation of    |             |
|             | 15 Th           | West, Str.   | 2000         | susceptibility   |             |
| _4          | 187.55          | The state of the s | All receives | and recovery     |             |
| 200         |                 | The state of the s | . //         | maps             |             |

Sources: (Vassar labs ,2025; Google AI,2024;IMD,2024;Bath University,2024;Sify,2025; Farmonaut,2025;India today 2025)

# **Opportunities and Challenges -**

Secondary data analysis reveals the following opportunities and Challenges Opportunities –

- 1-Rapid and accurate damage assessment
- 2-Stakeholder engagement via Mobile and dashboard

3-Efficient Resource Allocation

## Challenges -

- 1- Data Quality and Accessibility
- 2- Integration with existing systems
- 3- Lack of local customisation

#### **Hypothesis testing** –

Secondary data collected from government reports and various AI based flood platforms (Vassar labs ,Google AI,IMD, Farmonaut,IIt Roorkee XAI and RAHAT apps) support the Alternative Hypothesis. As a result,the null hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) stating that "AI has no significant impact on flood management efficiency" was rejected , while the Alternative Hypothesis ((H<sub>1</sub>) was accepted.

| Hypothesis      | Statement   | Tested                                   | Results  |  |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
|                 |   | <b>Parameters</b>                        |  |  |
| Null hypothesis | Artificial intelligence doesn't significantly improve the effectiveness of flood management systems | Accuracy,Lead time , assessment accuracy | Rejected. Data shows AI tools achieve 88-93% accuracy, 75-80% increase lead time by 15-18 hours and improve post flood damage assessment accuracy to 8595% |  |
| Alternate       | Artificial  | Accuracy, lead time,                     | Supported this .   |  |
| Hypothesis      | intelligence significantly improve the effectiveness of flood management systems                    | assessment accuracy                      | Statistical tests confirm AI tools significantly enhance prediction and response over traditional methods  |  |

#### Conclusion -

This study confirms that Artificial intelligence tools significantly enhance flood management in India by improving the accuracy and lead time early warning systems and increasing the efficiency of post flood management systems.

Secondary data analysis shows that AI based systems outperform traditional methods achieving prediction accuracies between 88-93%, lead times to 15-18 hours and delivering post flood damage assessment accuracies as 88-85%. These improvements facilitate timely evacuation, efficient resource allocation and faster recovery, significantly reducing flood related losses.

#### **Future research Directions –**

Future research should focus on developing hybrid AI models that combines statistical, machine learning and physical hydrological approaches to improve robustness.

Further studies are needed to address the heterogeneity of data quality across India 's diverse geographic regions by developing adaptive and localised AI solutions. The potential of Artificial intelligence driven mobile applications and citizen science for real time flood reporting and response coordination represents a fertile area for future investigation (India Today, 2025; Sify,2025)

#### References-

- 1. Liu,Z.(2024) Artificial intelligence for flood risk management: aa Comprehensive state of the art review and future directions, Environmental modelling and software
- 2. UNESCO.(2012), Flood risk management: A strategic approach International center for water hazard and Risk Management
- 3. Kumar, R.,& Singh, A(2021). Application of machine learning in hydrological disaster management: A review, Journal of water and climate Change ,12(4),1023-1035
- 4. Sankaran, K. (2024). Using machine learning for climate change adaptation and disaster relief
- 5. Wibowo, A. (2025) Leveraging Artificial intelligence in disaster management: Emerging Opportunities and Challenges. International Journal of disaster risk reduction, 57,102547.
- 6. Vassar labs.(2025,July16). Flood management with AI and advanced water resource solutions( aqua flood white paper)
- 7. Google AI.(2024, March 19) How Google uses AI to improve global flood forecasting.
- 8. India Meteorological department (IMD).(2025,July22). Early warning systems for natural disasters
- 9. Bath University.(2024) AI trained CCTV in rivers can spot blockages and reduce floods
- 10. Farmonaut. (2025, June 17). AI powered satellites revolutionize flood Detection
- 11. Sify.(2025, February 26) How AI can transform disaster management.
- 12. India Today.(2025, July 10) Bihar's kosi curse: How AI can predict flood risks, mitigate losses