



# Comparative Of Classical And Modern Network Topologies

Prince<sup>1</sup>, Prof. Nitika<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MCA Student, Department of Computer Science, Global Group of Institutes

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Global Group of institutes

## Abstract

Network topologies form the foundational structure upon which communication networks operate. These topologies of such as bus, star, ring, and tree have historically shaped the development of early computer networks, while modern environments—such as data centers, IoT ecosystems, wireless ad hoc networks, and cloud infrastructures—have introduced new topologies including mesh, hybrid, fat-tree, Clos, and software-defined network (SDN)-based architectures. That paper provided comparative view classical and modern network topologies with respect to scalability, reliability, performance, fault tolerance, complexity, and suitability for contemporary applications. The review highlights the shift from rigid, hardware-based designs toward flexible, scalable, and software-driven architectures that meet the demands of modern distributed systems.

**Keywords:** network topologies, classical topologies, modern topologies, mesh, SDN, Iot network.

## 1. Introduction

Network topology refers are arrangement to nodes or links with a communication network. The classic topology significantly affects the performance of reliability, cost, and scalability of a network. Early computing environments employed simple structured layouts, whereas modern networks must support massive scalability, real-time communication, and high levels of redundancy.

With the rise of cloud computing, 5G networks, big data, and the Internet of Things (IoT), traditional topologies often fail to meet modern performance requirements. This review analyzes both classical and modern topologies to highlight their strengths, limitations, and relevance in today's technological landscape.

## **2. Classical Network Topologies**

### **2.1 Bus Topology**

- \* A single communication line connects all nodes.
- \* Common in early LANs.

#### **Advantages**

- \* Low cost
- \* Easy to install

#### **Limitations**

- \* Poor scalability
- \* High collision rate

### **2.2 Star Topology**

- \* Each node connects to a central hub or switch.

#### **Advantages**

- \* Easy fault detection
- \* High performance due to dedicated links

#### **Limitations**

- \* Central hub is single point of failure
- \* Higher cable cost

### **2.3 Ring Topology**

- \* Nodes are connected in a circular manner.

#### **Advantages**

- \* Predictable performance
- \* Good for moderate traffic loads

#### **Limitations**

- \* Single break can affect the entire network (unless dual-ring systems are used)

### **2.4 Tree Topology**

- \* Hierarchical structure combining multiple star networks.

#### **Advantages**

- \* Scalable in tiers
- \* Easy to manage structured layout

## **Limitations**

- \* Backbone dependency
- \* More complex cabling

## **3. Modern Network Topologies**

### **3.1 Mesh Topology**

- \* Devices are interconnected with multiple redundant paths.

## **Advantages**

- \* High reliability
- \* Fault tolerance
- \* Dynamic rerouting of traffic

## **Limitations**

- \* Complex installation
- \* High cost due to cabling or wireless routes

### **3.2 Hybrid Topology**

- \* Combination of one or more topologies

## **Advantages**

- \* Flexible
- \* Adaptable to organizational needs

## **Limitations**

- \* Complex management
- \* Higher installation cost

### **3.3 Fat-Tree Topology (Used in Data Centers)**

- \* A multi-layered hierarchy of switches to minimize bottlenecks.

## **Advantages**

- \* Highly scalable
- \* Balanced bandwidth across layers
- \* Ideal for cloud and HPC systems

## **Limitation**

- \* High initial cost
- \* Requires advanced and routing algorithms

### **3.4 Clos Topology**

- \* Multi-stage switching architecture used in modern data centers and routers

## **Advantages**

- \* Equal cost multipathing
- \* Low latency
- \* Efficient for high-throughput workloads

## **Limitations**

- \* Requires complex control planes

### **3.5 SDN Based Topology**

- \* Software defined network.
- \* Enables dynamic reconfiguration of a network paths.

## **Advantages**

- \* High flexibility
- \* Centralized control
- \* Programmable routing

## **Limitations**

- \* Requires new expertise
- \* Controller become the difficult component

### **3.6IoT and Wireless Ad Hoc Topologies**

#### **Includes:**

- \* Cluster topology
- \* Sensor mesh networks

## **Advantages**

- \* Self-organizing
- \* Suitable for large and distributed environments

## **Limitations**

- \* Limited power to bandwidth
- \* Dynamic topology management is challenging

## **4. Comparative Analysis**

### **4.1 Scalability**

- \* Classical: Limited scalability (especially bus, ring).
- \* Modern: Fat-tree, mesh, and Cost highly scalable for more of thousands of nodes.

### **4.2 Fault Tolerance**

- \* Classical: Central failures affect star/tree; bus/ring are vulnerable.
- \* Modern: Mesh and Clos provide multiple redundant paths, increasing reliability.

### 4.3 Performance

- \* Classical: Performance degrades with node count.
- \* Modern: Modern topologies support high throughput and parallel communication.

### 4.4 Complexity

- \* Classical: Simple architecture and easy to manage.
- \* Modern: More complex routing, cabling, and configuration.

### 4.5 Cost Considerations

- \* Classical: Lower installation cost.
- \* Modern: Higher initial investment but better long-term efficiency.

## 5. Applications

| Topology | Typical Applications

- | Bus | Early LANs, simple networks
- | Star | Home networks, offices
- | Ring | Token Ring systems, metro networks
- | Mesh | IoT, wireless networks, military communication
- | Fat-Tree | Data centers, cloud infrastructure
- | Clos | High-performance computing clusters
- | Hybrid | Enterprise-level networks

## 6. Discussion

The shift from classical to modern topologies reflects the need for scalability and high reliability. While classical topologies still provide foundational understanding and are used in smaller networks, modern topologies address the demands of distributed cloud computing, real-time applications, and large-scale deployments.

The evolution shows a clear transition from hardware-centric, static networks to dynamic, software-driven architectures. Techniques like SDN, virtualization, and mesh networks demonstrate that the future lies in intelligent and adaptive network structures.

## 7. Conclusion

This comparative review highlights the strengths and limitations of both classical and modern network topologies. Classical topologies remain useful for educational purposes and small-scale networks, but they cannot support the complex requirements of today's large-scale systems.

Modern topologies—particularly mesh, fat-tree, Clos, and SDN based designs provide enhanced more performance, reliability, and scalability. The networks continue to grow in size and complexity software defined and hybrid approaches will dominate for the future network design.

## 8. References (Sample Format)

You can replace these with your own citations depending on your academic guidelines.

1. Tanenbaum, A. S., & Wetherall, D. J. Computer Networks.
2. Stallings, W. Data and Computer Communications.
3. Kreutz, D. et al. Software Defined Networking. A Comprehensive Survey. Proceedings of the IEEE.

