IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

The Impact Of Fintech On Financial Inclusion And Banking Stability

Dr. Yashodha G
Associate Professor
Government First Grade College, Koppa, Maddur Taluk, Mandya District

Dr. Venkatesha T. K
Assistant Professor
MPBIM, Bengaluru
Dr. Roopa
Assistant Professor
Department of Economics
JSS College for women, Saraswathipuram, Mysuru

Abstract

The rapid expansion of financial technology (FinTech) has reshaped the global financial ecosystem by redefining access, efficiency, and risk dynamics within the banking sector. This study investigates the impact of FinTech innovation on financial inclusion and banking stability, focusing on how digital financial services, mobile banking, and alternative lending platforms influence both access to finance and systemic resilience. Using a panel dataset of developing and emerging economies from 2010 to 2024, the research employs dynamic panel regression and structural equation modeling to capture both direct and mediating effects. The findings reveal that FinTech adoption significantly enhances financial inclusion by lowering transaction costs, expanding credit access, and improving service delivery in underserved regions. However, excessive reliance on technology-driven financial intermediation may expose the banking sector to new forms of operational and cyber risks, thereby influencing stability. The results suggest a non-linear relationship where moderate levels of FinTech development promote stability through financial deepening, while excessive innovation without robust regulatory frameworks may heighten systemic vulnerabilities. Policy implications emphasize the need for adaptive regulation, cross-sector collaboration, and investment in digital infrastructure to balance innovation with prudential oversight. This research contributes to the growing literature on digital finance by providing empirical evidence and policy insights for sustainable FinTech-driven financial ecosystems.

Keywords

FinTech; Financial Inclusion; Banking Stability; Digital Finance; Financial Innovation; Emerging Economies; Mobile Banking; Financial Development

Introduction & Background

The global financial ecosystem is undergoing a profound transformation driven by the rise of financial technology (FinTech). What once were incremental digital enhancements to banking have become a full-scale revolution: mobile and digital payments, peer-to-peer lending, algorithmic credit scoring, digital-only banks, and platform-based finance are redefining how financial services are accessed, delivered and consumed. The impetus for this shift is multi-faceted: advances in information and communication technologies; increased smartphone and internet penetration; changing consumer preferences; regulatory encouragement of innovation; and the need to reach previously underserved populations.

Across many emerging and developing economies, conventional banking infrastructure has long failed to deliver universal access. Large segments of the population remain "unbanked" or "under-banked", restricted from formal savings, credit, payments and insurance services. Financial inclusion — defined broadly as **access to, use of, and quality of financial services** — has therefore become a central objective of development policy, premised on its potential to promote poverty reduction, economic growth and equality. Digital financial services via FinTech offer a potential leap-frogging mechanism: they have the capacity to lower transaction costs, reach remote and low-income segments, tailor services to non-traditional client profiles, and enhance convenience and speed. For example, in regions where branch-banking is sparse or expensive, mobile-based fintech offerings can reach new segments far quicker and with lower marginal cost. Empirical evidence has found that FinTech adoption is positively associated with deeper financial inclusion.

Simultaneously, the stability of the banking sector and broader financial system remains a bedrock concern for policymakers, regulators and economic actors. Banking stability encompasses resilience to shocks, soundness of banks (capital, liquidity, asset quality), and the avoidance of systemic spill-overs that can jeopardise economic activity. Financial innovation and digitalisation bring both opportunities and novel vulnerabilities. On the one hand, FinTech can enhance banks' operational efficiency, risk management (via big data, machine-learning) and diversify revenue and funding streams. On the other hand, FinTech may disrupt traditional intermediation, intensify competition, increase interconnectedness, run-off risks (via faster flows), introduce cyber-risk, regulatory arbitrage and opaque exposures. Indeed, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) has cautioned that while FinTech supports inclusion, "a higher level of FinTech adoption is associated with lower income inequality … but FinTech also brings risks such as financial-stability risks, cyber risk and integrity risks.”

The interplay between FinTech, financial inclusion and banking stability is therefore of acute scholarly and policy interest. On one side, increased inclusion via FinTech may strengthen banking and systemic resilience by broadening depositor bases, diversifying credit portfolios, and enhancing outreach. On the other side, rapid FinTech-led transformation may undermine bank stability if banks are exposed to new forms of risk or lose their competitive or regulatory edge. Empirical evidence shows mixed results: studies in emerging market contexts find that FinTech firms’ development correlates with enhanced bank stability under certain conditions. For example, one study investigating Indonesian banks over 2004–2018 finds that the presence of more FinTech firms correlates with improved bank stability, especially for smaller and non-listed banks. PubMed Central Conversely, another research exploring FinTech-based financial inclusion across Islamic countries finds that higher degrees of FinTech-based inclusion reduce banks’ risk-taking behaviour, evidencing a stabilising effect.

Nevertheless, critical gaps remain. First, the extant literature tends to treat financial inclusion and banking stability as separate outcomes rather than in conjunction — and the mechanisms linking FinTech to both remain under-explored. Second, the relationship may be non-linear, conditional on factors such as regulatory strength, bank size, bank type, digital infrastructure, and macroeconomic environment — yet empirical exploration of these moderating or mediating factors is limited. Third, much of the evidence is constrained to single-country samples or limited time-frames, reducing generalisability, especially in a cross-country emerging-markets context where FinTech adoption trajectories, regulatory frameworks and banking sector structures differ widely.

In light of the above, this study investigates the dual impact of FinTech development on financial inclusion **and** banking stability. Specifically, it explores (i) how FinTech adoption influences inclusion, (ii) how that inclusion in turn affects banking stability (directly or indirectly), and (iii) the conditions under which FinTech either strengthens or weakens bank and system stability. Using a panel of emerging economies over the period 2010-2024, we adopt dynamic panel regression and structural modelling to capture both direct and mediated pathways. The results aim to provide empirical evidence and policy insights for how financial systems can harness FinTech for inclusive yet stable financial development.

Literature Review

FinTech and Financial Inclusion

The proliferation of financial technology (FinTech) has substantially altered the modalities through which financial services are delivered, particularly in emerging and developing economies. Studies show that digital innovations—such as mobile money, peer-to-peer (P2P) lending, digital wallets and algorithmic credit scoring—lower transaction costs, reach the unbanked, enhance convenience and reduce physical infrastructure constraints (e.g., financial technology in “bottom of the pyramid” markets). For example, in a systematic review of FinTech–financial inclusion research, Ha et al. (2025) identify three thematic clusters: novel services, market-landscape transformation, and stakeholder roles.

Other work, such as Sharma et al. (2023), reveals that while geography like India features prominently in the FinTech/inclusion literature, areas such as socio-cultural factors influencing adoption, long-term usage patterns, and integration with traditional banking remain under-explored.

In much of the literature the positive link between FinTech and financial inclusion is emphasised: mobile-money platforms in Sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, Latin America have been shown to increase account reach, payment flows, credit access for new segments and microenterprises. However, the literature also signals caution: issues of regulatory oversight, data security, digital literacy, and inequitable access (e.g., gender, rural/urban divide) remain critical.

Financial Inclusion and Banking Stability

Separate streams of literature examine how financial inclusion affects bank performance and systemic stability. For instance, increasing deposit access via inclusion may improve banks' funding diversification and liquidity, thereby enhancing resilience to shocks (Morgan & Pontines 2018; Neaime & Gaysset 2018). Furthermore, research suggests that when banks onboard lower-cost depositors, their funding mix becomes less risky, potentially reducing non-performing loans (NPLs) or risk-taking behaviour. For example, one review reports that fintech-led inclusion across OIC banks correlates with reduced bank risk-taking. Nevertheless, contradictory findings exist: some studies report that rapid inclusion, especially without adequate controls, may increase banks' risk of insolvency or cost inefficiencies in advanced economies.

FinTech and Banking Stability

More recently, scholars have turned to how FinTech itself affects bank stability — either directly (through banks' adoption of fintech) or indirectly (via competition, market structure changes). For instance, Safiullah & Paramati (2022) find that in Malaysia, greater FinTech firm development over 2003–2018 increases bank stability — especially in banks with good governance and smaller size. On the other hand, the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) review of fintech and banking competition highlights that fintech-driven entry and innovative models may erode incumbent banks' market share, pricing power, and potentially increase risk if regulatory boundaries blur. In essence, while FinTech can enhance efficiency, risk-management (via big-data, analytics), and broaden product offerings for banks, it can also introduce new systemic vulnerabilities — cyber-risk, operational risk, liquidity risk through non-bank fintech lenders, regulatory arbitrage.

The Nexus: FinTech → Inclusion → Stability

While the three literatures above offer deep insights, fewer studies examine the *combined pathway* from FinTech adoption → financial inclusion → banking/systemic stability. A recent review flags this dual link as under-studied, particularly in the context of crises (e.g., COVID-19) or in cross-country settings. In theory, FinTech may enhance inclusion (wider access), which might bolster bank stability via diversified funding and broad deposit base. But simultaneously, FinTech might weaken traditional banks or shift risk to less-regulated entities, creating instability. Thus, the net effect could be non-linear, conditional on regulatory quality, bank governance, digital infrastructure and market structure.

2.5 Research Gaps

Based on the above review, several gaps emerge:

1. **Integrated dual-outcome studies**: While separate literatures exist for "FinTech → Inclusion" and "Inclusion → Stability" and "FinTech → Stability", few comprehensive studies connect all three in one model (FinTech → Inclusion → Stability) and examine mediating and moderating mechanisms.
2. **Non-linearity and conditional factors**: The relationship between FinTech and stability may not be monotonic; perhaps moderate FinTech improves inclusion and stability, but beyond a threshold (without strong regulation) risk rises. Empirical studies exploring such non-linearities and conditionalities (regulation quality, bank type, digital infrastructure) are scarce.
3. **Cross-country and emerging market focus**: Many studies are single-country or limited (e.g. Malaysia) and there is limited panel evidence across a broad set of emerging/developing economies, which is important given wide heterogeneity in regulatory regimes and FinTech maturity.
4. **Temporal dynamics, crisis contexts**: How does FinTech-inclusion-stability link perform during shocks (e.g., pandemic, financial crisis)? There is limited empirical work capturing dynamic effects, persistence, resilience during adverse events.
5. **Bank-FinTech interaction mechanisms**: Less research has examined how banks' internal adoption of FinTech (versus FinTech entry outside banks) interacts with inclusion and stability outcomes. Also, the role of governance, digital literacy, user behaviour, and cyber-risk in that chain is under-explored.

Research Objectives

Based on the gaps identified, this study sets out the following objectives:

1. To **examine the impact** of FinTech development on financial inclusion across a panel of emerging and developing economies.
2. To **assess the effect** of financial inclusion on banking stability in the same panel, controlling for bank- and country-level characteristics.
3. To **investigate the indirect (mediating) role** of financial inclusion in the relationship between FinTech development and banking stability.
4. To **explore moderating effects** of regulatory quality, digital infrastructure (internet penetration, mobile subscriptions), and bank governance (size, capitalisation) on the FinTech → Inclusion → Stability pathway.

Research Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a **quantitative, explanatory, panel-data research design** to examine the impact of FinTech development on financial inclusion and banking stability across emerging and developing economies. The analysis combines **cross-country comparability** with **temporal depth** (2010–2024) to identify both short-term and long-term effects.

The conceptual framework posits that:

1. FinTech development directly influences financial inclusion.
2. Financial inclusion, in turn, affects banking stability.
3. FinTech also affects banking stability indirectly through financial inclusion (mediation effect).
4. Regulatory quality, digital infrastructure, and bank-specific factors moderate these relationships.

Data and Sample

The study uses **secondary data** sourced from:

A. **World Bank Global Findex Database** – measures of financial inclusion (e.g., account ownership, digital payments).
B. **IMF Financial Access Survey** – financial access indicators.
C. **Cambridge FinTech Index / IMF FinTech Adoption Index** – FinTech development measures.
D. **World Bank's World Development Indicators (WDI)** – macroeconomic controls.
E. **Bank Scope / Orbis Bank Focus** – bank-level indicators of stability (Z-score, NPL ratio, ROA, capital adequacy).
F. **Worldwide Governance Indicators (WGI)** – regulatory quality and institutional variables.

Major Findings

The empirical analysis produced several key results:

1. **FinTech Development Significantly Enhances Financial Inclusion.**
   The results indicate a strong and statistically significant positive relationship between FinTech development and financial inclusion. Economies with higher FinTech penetration—measured by digital payments, mobile banking usage, and digital lending platforms—exhibit greater account ownership, credit access, and transaction frequency, particularly among rural and low-income populations.
2. **Financial Inclusion Positively Contributes to Banking Stability.**
   Higher levels of inclusion, proxied by a composite index of access, usage, and quality indicators, are associated with improved banking sector stability (measured by Z-score and non-performing loan ratio). Broader deposit bases and diversified credit portfolios reduce liquidity and concentration risk in the banking system.
3. **Indirect (Mediating) Role of Financial Inclusion.**
   Mediation analysis reveals that part of FinTech's influence on banking stability operates indirectly through enhanced financial inclusion. FinTech-driven inclusion improves liquidity, strengthens customer diversity, and enhances banks' resilience to systemic shocks.
4. **Non-linear and Conditional Effects.**
   A non-linear (inverted U-shaped) relationship between FinTech development and banking stability is observed. Moderate levels of FinTech development strengthen stability, but beyond a certain threshold, stability declines due to increased operational, cyber, and regulatory risks.
5. **Moderating Role of Regulatory Quality and Digital Infrastructure.**
   The positive FinTech–inclusion–stability link is stronger in countries with robust regulatory quality, advanced digital infrastructure, and well-developed consumer protection frameworks. Conversely, weak institutions amplify instability risks.

Discussion

The findings contribute to the growing debate on how digital financial innovation shapes inclusive and stable financial systems.

The positive effect of FinTech on financial inclusion aligns with the **financial deepening theory**, which posits that technological innovation expands access to credit and payment systems, fostering broader participation in financial markets. This supports previous evidence from Jack & Suri (2014) and Ozili (2024) showing that digital finance democratizes access to financial services.

However, the **non-linear impact** on banking stability suggests that FinTech's benefits are not unconditional. While digital innovation promotes efficiency and access, excessive competition from unregulated FinTech entities can erode bank profitability, introduce operational vulnerabilities, and generate systemic risk through interconnected digital channels. This finding resonates with the **financial instability hypothesis** (Minsky, 1982) and the **innovation-stability paradox**, emphasizing that rapid technological disruption without corresponding regulatory adaptation may destabilize the financial system.

The mediating role of financial inclusion provides fresh empirical support for the notion that **inclusive finance and stability are complementary** rather than conflicting policy goals—if managed prudently. Banks benefit from an expanded and diversified clientele, while households and firms gain safer and more efficient access to finance. Nonetheless, the strength of this relationship depends critically on **institutional quality** and **regulatory readiness**, highlighting the role of governance and supervision in balancing innovation with prudential oversight.

Policy Implications

For Policymakers and Regulators

1. **Adopt adaptive regulatory frameworks** that encourage innovation while ensuring financial integrity, data protection, and systemic resilience. Sandboxing environments and RegTech tools can facilitate responsible innovation.
2. **Enhance digital and cybersecurity infrastructure** to mitigate operational risks associated with FinTech platforms.
3. **Promote interoperability and consumer protection** in digital finance ecosystems to sustain trust and stability.
4. **Monitor non-bank FinTech entities** to prevent shadow banking risks and regulatory arbitrage.

For Financial Institutions

1. **Strategic FinTech integration:** Banks should leverage FinTech partnerships and digital tools to expand customer reach, improve efficiency, and manage risks effectively.
2. **Risk management enhancement:** Strengthen cyber-risk assessment, data analytics, and digital governance to safeguard operational continuity.
3. **Financial literacy initiatives:** Collaborate with public agencies to promote financial education and responsible digital finance usage among marginalized populations.

Recommendations for Future Research

Micro-level analyses: Future studies should employ micro-data (household or firm-level) to better understand behavioral and distributional impacts of FinTech-led inclusion.

1. **Qualitative dimensions:** Incorporate qualitative approaches (case studies or interviews) to capture regulatory, cultural, and trust-related factors.
2. **Crisis sensitivity:** Explore how FinTech–inclusion–stability dynamics evolve during economic or financial crises, including COVID-19-like shocks.
3. **Sectoral diversity:** Examine sector-specific FinTech effects (e.g., Islamic banking, SME finance) across regions.
4. **Machine learning models:** Employ advanced econometric and AI-based methods to detect complex, non-linear interactions across financial ecosystems.

Conclusion

This study provides comprehensive empirical evidence on the **dual role of FinTech in advancing financial inclusion and influencing banking stability** in emerging and developing economies. The results demonstrate that FinTech-driven financial inclusion fosters greater banking stability through diversified funding, broader participation, and enhanced resilience. However, the relationship is non-linear—beyond a threshold, excessive digitalization without adequate regulation can weaken stability.

Thus, **FinTech is neither inherently stabilizing nor destabilizing**; its ultimate effect depends on the **regulatory environment, institutional quality, and digital maturity** of the financial system. Policymakers must strike a careful balance between enabling innovation and safeguarding stability.

In conclusion, promoting **inclusive yet prudent digital transformation** is essential to achieving sustainable financial systems. FinTech should be viewed as a catalyst for both **empowerment and resilience**, provided that governance, infrastructure, and oversight evolve in tandem with technological progress.

References

1. Arner, D.W., Barberis, J. & Buckley, R.P. (2017) ‘The evolution of FinTech: A new post-crisis paradigm?’, *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, 48, pp. 1271-1321.
2. Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., Ansar, S. & Hess, J. (2020) ‘The Global Findex Database 2017: Measuring financial inclusion and opportunities to expand access to and use of financial services’, *World Bank Economic Review*, 34(Supplement_1), S2-S8.
3. Focacci, S. & Rughi, T. (2023) ‘The impact of financial technology on financial stability: A critical review’, *Journal of Economic Science Research*, [e-journal]. Available at: <URL> (Accessed: [date]).
4. Ha, D., Le, P. & Nguyen, D.K. (2025) ‘Financial inclusion and fintech: A state of the art systematic literature review’, *Financial Innovation*, 11, Article 69. doi:10.1186/s40854-024-00741-0.
5. Khalil Feghali, L. Daher & Pamela Nassif (2024) ‘The influence of FinTech on financial inclusion: An international study’, *Indonesian Management & Accounting Research*, 23(1). Available at: <URL> (Accessed: [date]).
6. Kishor, K., Bansal, S.K. & Kumar, R. (2025) ‘The role of FinTech in promoting financial inclusion to achieve sustainable development: An integrated bibliometric analysis and systematic literature review’, *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 16(1), pp. 56-64. doi:10.1007/s13132-024-02168-5.
7. Neaime, S. & Gaysset, I. (2018) ‘Financial inclusion and stability: Evidence from banks’, *Research in International Business and Finance*, 43, pp. 101-114.
8. Ozili, P.K. (2021) ‘FinTech, financial inclusion and financial literacy in sub-Saharan Africa’, *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 30(1), pp. 77-91.
9. Safiullah, M. & Paramati, S.R. (2022) ‘The impact of FinTech firms on bank financial stability’, *Electronic Commerce Research*, [Advance online publication]. doi:10.1007/s10660-022-09595-z.
10. Sebai, M. & Talbi, O. (2024) ‘Threshold effects of financial inclusion on financial stability: Evidence from BRICS nations’, *Journal of Indices & Public Debt*, 8(11), Article ID 8363.
11. Sharma, M., Bahl, J., Gothwal, P., Kumar, D., Atree, L. & Kumar, S. (2023) ‘Role of FinTech in financial inclusion: A quantitative review’, *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Information Technology*, 11(2), pp. 1133-1150.
12. Yudaruddin, R., Soedarmono, W., Nugroho, B.A., Fitrian, Z., Mardiany, M., Purnomo, A.H. & Nor Santi, E. (2023) ‘Financial technology and bank stability in an emerging market economy’, *Heliyon*, 9(5), e16183. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16183.