IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Conversational AI And The Future Of Service Marketing: Opportunities, Challenges, And Future Directions In Marketing Practice

[1]Richa Chowdhary, [2]Dr. Aditi Jain

[1]Ph.D Research Scholar, [2]Assistant Professor-Selection Grade
Department of Business Studies
IIS University, Jaipur, India

Abstract: Chatbots and voice assistants have slowly become more than a mere experiment and are now considered a part of service marketing. They enable the brands to be available wherever they need and collect a wealth of information on behaviour which can be used to personalise the interactions. Nevertheless, a lot of organisations are still apprehensive since the consequences on customer experience cannot always be foreseen. This review is based on the results of thirty-four studies and industry publications (2018-2025) to gain knowledge about the strong and weak aspects of human-AI service encounters. Based on the Technology Acceptance Model and the concepts of Relationship Marketing, the study points out evident benefits: conversational systems, when designed in a sensible way, have the potential of boosting the level of engagement and boosting the degree of satisfaction, in addition to improving the rates of click-throughs. Nevertheless, the same literature constantly singles out such risks as trust, privacy, authenticity, fairness, and the resilience of digital operations. To manage such challenges, firms are advised to go transparent in their data practices in active consent, create hybrid service strategies where sensitive issues are addressed by human employees, and carry out regular audits to observe bias and communication standards. Moving forward, it is noted that more extensive, multi-platform studies are necessary that would be able to capture the impact of these interactions on the loyalty and the brand value in the long term. An even-handed strategy between innovation and accountability will eventually enable firms to provide efficiency in digital service provision without fully neglecting the ethical responsibility.

Index Terms - Conversational AI, AI Chatbots, Consumer Engagement, Chatbot Trust, Personalised Customer Experience.

I. INTRODUCTION

Conversational AI, including chatbots, voice assistants, and other agents that use conversation, has progressed from being a new idea to an important part of everyday marketing. These systems give quick, ongoing answers that meet customers' growing need for speed and ease by evaluating natural language and using information from past interactions (Misischia et al., 2022). Recent research demonstrates that chatbots either exceed or equal human agents in essential service metrics, such as response time and information accuracy, while simultaneously generating substantial behavioural data for micro-targeted marketing but their value goes beyond just being efficient. Chatbots that use an empathetic or sociable communication style can make users happier and more engaged (Cai, Gao & Yan, 2024).

The primary marketing question has shifted from whether organisations ought to adopt conversational AI to how human–AI interactions alter the service experience. In the past, service engagements relied on frontline staff to build relationships, solve problems, and keep customers' trust in the brand. Chatbots now make many encounters easier by combining the efficiency of machines with the conversational skills of actual humans. When design meets customer expectations, the benefits include round-the-clock support, quick customisation, and lower costs for recovering from service failures (Agnihotri et al., 2024). In contrast, poorly set up bots can make people angry, make them feel disconnected, or make them worry about their privacy, which can make them less loyal faster than human mistakes (Ranieri et al., 2024). Trust is the most important thing that affects all the other things. People are more likely to adopt, exchange data, and buy AI agents again when they trust them. When confidence is shaken, people think there is a higher risk (Choudhury et al., 2023; Gu et al., 2024). Little errors are important as when a chatbot doesn't answer a common question correctly, users question both its skill and the objectives. Marketers need to change how much flexibility is provided to conversational agents and make sure that discussions that are uncomfortable or emotionally charged are easily passed on to human staff (Cai et al., 2025).

This review has three primary objectives. At first, it outlines the technological and behavioural milestones that have led to the rise of conversational AI-driven marketing, moving from simple rule-based scripts to modern large-language-model assistants. Second, the review synthesises principal benefits for service marketers: enhanced customer engagement, consistent messaging across touchpoints, and finer segmentation enabled by real-time behavioural data. Third, it discusses enduring challenges, weakening of consumer confidence, lack of capacity to be empathetic, privacy issues, and changing ethical and legal issues and suggests how the research can aid managers in the deployment of AI in a responsible and sustainable manner. The paper provides a succinct evaluation of the ways in which through the integrated approach human-machine relationships are redefining the service-marketing theory and practice. Lastly, it suggests quantifiable performance measures to aid evidence-based decision-making regarding the adoption of conversational technologies when and how. These metrics enable moderate innovation which focuses on the user welfare outcomes.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The use of conversational agents, especially chatbots and voice assistants, has expanded and the research has focused on the role they play in influencing satisfaction, trust, and loyalty. Empirical research evidence invariably indicates that the perception of the users is impacted by both relational design components (empathy, tone, conversational engagement) and by instrumental attributes (efficiency, reliability, quality of interface). With having systems behave more like social beings, it tends to make them more enjoyable and interactive. Nonetheless, the seeming human touch can also lead to high expectations that the technology cannot always fulfill which result in user disillusionment when the systems fail to perform (Juquelier, 2025). Functional attributes are equally significant: perceptions of usefulness, task effectiveness, and usability form the basis of confidence in the system, and it means that trust is determined by an equilibrium of the task-oriented competence and relationship-oriented indicators (Li et al., 2023). Intimately allied is the social presence construct as chatbots which use emotive cues or socially appropriately subtle behaviours, such as emoticons or adaptive turn-taking, can mimic conversational richness and, therefore, generate more immersive experiences, which explains the importance of non-verbal and paralinguistic cues in mediated interactions (Meng et al., 2023). The establishment of trust seems to be dependent on a complex of circumstances: perceived anthropomorphism, responsiveness, perceived approachability, and personal predispositions to ambivalence or fear of artificial intelligence (Gu et al., 2024). Anthropomorphic design is more persuasive in certain situations and arouses suspicions or feelings of inauthenticity in others, suggesting that situational factors do mediate the role of human-likeness in supporting or subverting marketing objectives.

The behavioural studies also demonstrate that perceived humanness and engagement have a direct influence on adoption intentions, and enjoyment increases the relationship (Ding et al., 2024). In organisational terms, the strategies of deployment have a significant impact on user results: a system designed to answer queries in a short time, provide personalized content, and maintain a consistent conversation is more likely to increase the purchase intention and propensity to reuse (Akdemir et al., 2024). Personalisation, credible issue resolution, deeper involvement are common features that are repeatedly linked to the sustainable brand connections, which highlights their applicability to relationship marketing and customer commitment over the long-term (Xia et al., 2025). The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) remains an effective explanatory

prism: perceived usefulness, ease of use, and trust are the key drivers of interactive uptake, and the inclusion of affective constructs like enjoyment and anthropomorphism helps in the prediction where both emotional and utilitarian motifs co-exist (Ding et al., 2024). Similarly, Relationship Marketing views on chatbots as the means of developing the personalised interaction that would maintain engagement and trust, in that case, positive interactions would lead to a higher level of satisfaction and loyalty, and the opposite would result in lower levels of satisfaction and loyalty (Xia et al., 2025). This assertion conforms to service-dominant logic in that conversational agents are described as co-creative platforms that facilitate value-creation between firms and consumers as opposed to cost-saving tools.

These advances notwithstanding, there are significant gaps. Available literature tends to isolate single features (e.g., empathy or responsiveness), but fails to consider their interactive effect on the overall user experience. Studies on e-commerce and general services have been unevenly distributed, as the industry-specific areas, including travel, hospitality, and healthcare, have rarely been studied. There is limited longitudinal data on enduring loyalty, brand equity and lifetime value and limited cross cultural comparisons although the cultural heterogeneity in adoption behaviour and trust is evident. Last but not the least is that ethical aspects such as privacy of data, transparency, and algorithmic bias have become the key issues to be considered due to their marketing and societal consequences that require longer academic discussions.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study is descriptive and relies exclusively on secondary data sources. Research materials were sourced from reputable academic journals, industry reports, and scholarly articles, mostly accessible through databases such as Google Scholar and various open-access platforms. To ensure comprehensiveness, material produced throughout the seven-year span from 2018 to 2025 was incorporated. The search strategy employed key phrases including “AI chatbots in marketing,” “conversational AI,” “consumer satisfaction with chatbots,” “trust and relationship marketing with AI,” and “opportunities and challenges of chatbots.” A preliminary selection of studies was examined, and after relevance assessments, 48 academic articles, research papers, and journal reviews were selected for comprehensive study. Thirty-four relevant publications were analysed to identify the key opportunities and challenges associated with human–AI chatbot interactions in service marketing. The selected papers provide the foundation for synthesising trends in the contemporary literature, highlighting theoretical perspectives, and identifying potential research directions.

IV. DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

Opportunities of Human–AI Chatbot Encounters in Marketing

Conversational AI and chatbots have consistently been shown to improve consumer engagement and satisfaction in a variety of industries, according to recent literature. Rohit et al. (2024) showed that conversational agents, like text-based chatbots, are much better than static interfaces at getting people to pay attention and understand information, as well as making an emotional connection with experience items. Their research demonstrated that over 70% of consumers interacting with AI-enabled agents had increased emotional resonance compared to those using traditional digital interfaces. Meng et al. (2025) further highlighted that social presence cues, such as emojis and human-like responses, directly enhance engagement by making the relationship more genuine and relational. The integration of nonverbal elements improved consumer perceptions of empathy and increased engagement metrics by up to 18% in experimental trials. Dinh et al. (2023) found that two kinds of motives i.e. pleasure (enjoyment, fun) and usefulness (efficiency, help in getting tasks done) that strongly influence whether customers will keep turning to chatbots, and that ongoing use then raises overall satisfaction. Recent industry figures point in the same direction. Sutantri (2025) reported that firms introducing AI-based chatbots into customer service saw customer-satisfaction scores boost by roughly 25–30 percent compared with the period before adoption. Interaction measures also improved: customers spent more time in dialogue with the brand, suggesting that chatbots extend contact beyond standard opening hours. In short, when a chatbot is well planned, it not only resolves issues quickly but also deepens the customer–brand relationship, driving higher satisfaction and stronger loyalty. Personalisation now lies at the centre of AI-assisted marketing. Acatrinei et al. (2025) demonstrated that conversational AI has the ability to execute a very targeted campaign by modifying messages, dynamically, to the selection, habits, and even emotion cues of an individual. Social and mobile research indicates that personalised techniques are more effective in advertising: personalised campaigns generated a 22 point greater rate of click through as compared to non-personalised adverts, and this indicates the necessity of treating customers as individuals.

This trend is reinforced by social-media research which Teepapal (2025) discovered, in general, that algorithms tailored to individual users drastically boost engagement, and experimental studies indicate that when consumers are fed personalised chatbot messages they were found to be 17 times more likely to make a purchase compared to consumers fed generic messages. Xia et al. (2025) argued that the flexibility and problem-solving capability of a chatbot can form the core of the development of trust, satisfaction, and long-term loyalty because customized engagement enables companies to convert a one-off interaction with a customer into a long-term engagement. Meng et al. (2025) also held that customisation entails not just the content of the messages, but also tone, style and paralinguistic communication. The subtle details such as emojis, register variations, time variations, or customised salutations could indicate a caring aspect, heighten the relevancy and enhance emotional bonding. Combined, these results suggest that advanced personalisation enhances conversion and brand relevance as well as the relationship relations based on problem-solving efficiency and social awareness and hence facilitate long-term customer relationships. Ding et al. (2024) have shown that perceived humanness and engagement are imperative to personalised experiences and have a direct proportional relationship with adoption intentions, and reported enjoyment was a mediating variable in the strength of the relationship. Marketing has a very big effect as companies that make personalised chatbots do better in the short term, with more engagement and sales conversions, and they also stand out from their competition in crowded markets over time.

Chatbots help with omnichannel integration, which is another way they can help. Clement (2025) stated that chatbots are the "glue" that holds together omnichannel customer experience projects by giving people consistent, real-time help across websites, apps, and social media. In their research, organisations employing integrated chatbot systems experienced a 19% increase in customer journey satisfaction attributable to less friction among touchpoints. Patil (2025) said that AI is changing omnichannel marketing by making sure that customers have the same experience whether they are online or offline. For example, if someone starts a discussion with a chatbot on social media, they may easily keep talking to the same bot on the brand's website or at kiosks in stores. With the application of conversational agents in all the contact points, customers can conveniently pass through one site of a company to the mobile app, social networking sites and in-store kiosks without necessarily repeating the information and adjusting their tone of voice. An omnichannel experience is coherent, and it also helps consumers and firms in making interactions simplified and it makes it easy to be confident that personal preferences will be continued across touchpoints. To customers it helps in minimisation of friction and aiding continuity effect and to firms it offers consolidated account of the behaviour which can guide marketing strategy and product development. This strategic worth is highlighted by industry commentary with MarTech360 recording that 65 percent of customers are now looking to receive the same quality of service on all platforms, and incorporating the use of chatbots into an omnichannel structure had been linked to retention gains of about 20-25 percent, which is indicative that sustained and coordinated service enhances loyalty and brand equity. In addition to continuity of service immediately, conversational agents provide a flow of near-real-time behavioural information.

Acatrinei et al. (2025) noted that chatbots are capable of capturing delicate changes in tones, mood and browse history, which older surveys or previous CRM systems often overlook. Likewise, chat transcripts surface recurrent friction points, concrete episodes where users struggle, that managers can use as evidence to refine product design and service protocols (Huseynov et al., 2023). When firms subject chatbot logs to combined algorithmic and human review, they often detect latent needs or emergent trends before these appear in sales data, enabling predictive rather than purely reactive marketing (Lin, 2024). By linking historical patterns to live indicators, organisations can time offers and interventions so they feel relevant rather than intrusive.

The operational case for chatbots is equally compelling. Automation of routine enquiries reduces service costs substantially as Sutantri (2025) estimated savings of 20–30% while automated platforms scale to thousands of concurrent conversations without proportional staffing increases (Sidlauskiene et al., 2023). Huseynov et al. (2023) further estimated that substituting chatbots for human agents on simple tasks (reservations, FAQ responses, complaint triage) yields per-interaction savings in the range of $0.50–$1.00.

Yet these efficiencies and insights are not self-executing. Conversational agents are a double-edged proposition as they can elevate user experience and generate actionable intelligence while simultaneously introducing governance, design and ethical risks. Managers must therefore align chatbot design, performance monitoring, and data governance with broader strategic objectives ensuring that cost savings, richer insights and improved loyalty reinforce rather than undermine one another. As Clement (2025) argued that true efficiency gains hinge not only on reducing costs but on integrating technological capability with sound oversight, responsible data practice and clear operational goals. By answering simple questions, chatbots free up employees to have more important and difficult interactions. This makes customers happier and employees more productive at the same time. When people and AI work together, services are more reliable, especially

when demand is strong. Companies can utilise the money and time they save on expenditures to invest in novel products, marketing campaigns, or improved customer experiences from a strategic point of view and this makes the cycle of growth happen.

Challenges of Human–AI Chatbot Encounters in Marketing

The fast use of conversational AI in consumer interactions has revealed a number of weaknesses that could adversely afftect brand value instead of helping it. An empirical study by Li et al. (2023) identifies five interconnected domains, trust, authenticity, service reliability, demographic alignment, and governance, that marketers must address for chatbots to provide a sustainable competitive advantage rather than a reputational risk. A lot of studies has shown that people are not comfortable giving automated assistants personal information. Li et al. (2023) also discovered that privacy risk was the most significant detrimental element influencing chatbot trust in the absence of privacy signs as the intention to persist in using the bot decreased by 38 percent compared to a control condition featuring clear data protection pledges. Gu et al. (2024) show that "AI anxiety" which is concerns about data security and covert monitoring gradually weakens trust in a firm even after earlier good service. In their survey, 57 percent of customers lowered their trust rating once they learned that chat histories were stored for training. A related experiment by Chung et al. (2023) measured brain activity with electroencephalography and signals of mistrust spiked when a bot, rather than a human, asked for personal details. With this study two lessons emerge i.e. trust in chatbots is more fragile than trust in people, and firms must provide clear security signals at every step to keep adoption high.

Further, adding "artificial empathy" brings further risks. Juquelier (2025) ran a field test with an airline's chatbot. Warm, empathic wording raised immediate satisfaction by 21 percent but also raised future expectations as users were 33 percent more likely to label a later neutral reply "misleading." Study by Ding et al. (2024) also confirmed this pattern. When a bot sounded human, adoption intentions rose, yet minor wording errors were judged harshly and dismissed as "fake friendliness." Collectively, these studies alert managers that human-like language enhances initial impressions yet amplifies the impact of subsequent failures. Hence, careful conversational design is essential to mitigate risk. Meng et al. (2025) showed that emojis can bolster social presence, but overuse provoked reactance among more than 30% of participants who judged the agent "unprofessional." The evidence suggests authenticity rests on consistent behaviour while conflating warmth with performative theatrics risks harm. Moreover, chatbot failures are qualitatively distinct from human mistakes, often stemming from algorithmic blind spots or biased training data, mechanisms that remain opaque to end users and can weaken customer confidence. Cai et al. (2025) showed that when a bot misunderstood an intent phrase, brand trust dropped by 44%, but when a human agent made the identical mistake, it dropped by 20%. Agnihotri et al. (2024) extend this comprehension to recovery contexts: pleasure only surpassed pre-failure levels when bots provided a definitive apology and a compensatory signal (e.g., voucher). Gong et al. (2025) quantify the financial implications among 12 stores and in 13 percent of cases managed by chatbots escalated to social media complaints, pushing enterprises to pay three times more on remedial public relations measures compared to regular human-managed issues. Ranieri et al. (2024) warn that negative interactions that happen over and over can cause a "dark halo effect," which makes consumers unhappy with other AI interfaces as well, which lowers their overall share of wallet.

The notion that digital natives primarily prefer automated services is facing growing scrutiny. Tatavarthy et al. (2025) performed a multi-country survey that found that 72% of premium-tier clients in luxury retail actively seek human assistance, believing that bots are not good enough for high-involvement transactions that require sophisticated judgement. Different cultures have different ways of building trust. For example, Ltifi (2023) found that collectivist civilisations place twice the importance on signs of relational warmth than individualist cultures. Cultural and demographic traits affect how customers react to chatbot problems. In cultures characterised by high uncertainty avoidance, even minor mistakes elicit substantial criticism. Age is another independent variable. Gu et al. (2024) assert that individuals aged 55 and beyond demonstrate markedly elevated levels of "AI unease," with biometric trust scores around 0.6 standard deviations lower than those of Generation Z. These differences show that a single, uniform design strategy is not a good idea. If it is ignored then the brand lose important customer groups, which would lower their lifetime value. Authorities have had to step up their oversight since there is more and more proof of bias, a lack of openness, and a lack of accountability. Roman (2025) finds that when people notice hidden ways of persuading them, support for tighter rules goes up by 35%. An analysis of scholarly literature reveals that fewer than 15 percent of studies expressly address the storage or usage of conversational data, a shortcoming that contradicts impending legislation such as the EU AI Act. Ethical violations can lead to large consequences. Ng and Zhang (2025) say that companies were fined millions of dollars for employing chatbots to acquire data without telling

people first. Bias in training data increases risk as Ranieri et al. (2024) discovered that a banking bot suggested loan-support solutions 12 percent less often to women with the same credit histories as males, revealing discriminatory behaviours that might damage reputations and lead to legal consequences.

CONCLUSION

The conversational AI has become the core of the contemporary marketing, in part due to its ability to strengthen the brand image besides facilitating the business development. This is because chatbots can keep the interest of customers constantly active, providing customised responses, which can serve as a great incentive to increase the level of click-through behaviour and purchase intentions. The same constant availability also links the digital and physical touchpoints and provides the customer with a seamless experience through websites, apps, and even the in-store experience. On the side of the firm, each exchange is one that provides behavioural understanding, information that contributes to more accurate market segmentation, faster product development, and better promotional methods. These benefits in most instances are realized at a reduced cost in terms of research and services, thus resulting in increased customer lifetime value and an easier operational management. These benefits are however comes with significant challenges. Trust can be ruined quickly and loyalty lost when privacy practices are unclear or security incidences take place. Any efforts to humanise or overly warm chatbots may sound insincere, and glitches spread fast on the social networks, which may negatively affect the perception of the entire AI ecosystem of a brand. The patterns of adoption are also different because certain groups of people may respond adversely to a bot-first strategy such as the older generation of consumers or people who want more conventional services. There is also the tightening of the privacy laws and bias monitoring requirements that add compliance obligations. In order to deal with these tensions three strategic actions are suggested. To begin with, obvious transparency systems like visible consent reminders and human support access are easy to identify and help in reassuring the user. Second, the chatbots must be able to manage simple tasks and leave the complex or emotional issues to the skilled employees to engage in empathy without losing efficiency in a balanced service model. Third, ethical data practices have to be incorporated into the daily workflow, with monitoring tools that indicate biased or culturally inappropriate responses and allow performing a necessary change in a short time frame. In the case of the combination of these aspects, conversational AI is not as focused on cost-cutting as on building lasting relationships. In the future, scientists have recommended that multi-channel, longitudinal research needs to be carried out to determine how these interactions affect the brand value in the long term as well as comparison across cultures and age groups to improve their personalisation endeavours. Finally, shared governance, which is a mix of marketing intuition, ethical beliefs, and regulatory calibration, constitutes the most promising avenue to seize value without harming the consumers.

REFERENCES

1. Acatrinei, C., Apostol, I. G., Barbu, L. N., Popa, C., & Orzan, M.-C. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Digital Marketing: Enhancing Consumer Engagement and Supporting Sustainable Behavior Through Social and Mobile Networks. *Sustainability*, *17*(14), 6638. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17146638
2. Agnihotri, A., & Bhattacharya, S. (2023). Chatbots' effectiveness in service recovery. *International Journal of Information Management*, *76*, 102679–102679. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102679
3. Akdemir, D. M., & Bulut, Z. A. (2024). Business and Customer-Based Chatbot Activities: The Role of Customer Satisfaction in Online Purchase Intention and Intention to Reuse Chatbots. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, *19*(4), 2961–2979. https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer19040142

4. Al-Oraini, B. S. (2025). Chatbot dynamics: trust, social presence and customer satisfaction in AI-driven services. *Journal of Innovative Digital Transformation*. https://doi.org/10.1108/jidt-08-2024-0022
5. Cai, N., Heo, J., & Yan, J. (2025). Understanding consumer reactions to chatbot service failures: Evidence from a Wizard-of-Oz experiment. *Acta Psychologica*, *253*, 104707. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.104707
6. Choudhury, A., & Shamszare, H. (2023). Investigating the Impact of User Trust on the Adoption and Use of ChatGPT: Survey Analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *25*(1), e47184. https://doi.org/10.2196/47184
7. Clement, M. (2025, April). *Integrating AI Chatbots with Omnichannel Customer Experience Strategies*. ResearchGate; unknown. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391700744_Integrating_AI_Chatbots_with_Omnichannel_Customer_Experience_Strategies
8. Ding, Y., & Najaf, M. (2024). Interactivity, humanness, and trust: a psychological approach to AI chatbot adoption in e-commerce. *BMC Psychology*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-024-02083-z
9. Dinh, C.-M., & Park, S. (2023). How to increase consumer intention to use Chatbots? An empirical analysis of hedonic and utilitarian motivations on social presence and the moderating effects of fear across generations. *Electronic Commerce Research*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10660-022-09662-5
10. Fu, J., Mouakket, S., & Sun, Y. (2023). The Role of Chatbots' human-like Characteristics in Online Shopping. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, *61*, 101304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2023.101304
11. Gong, M., Li , A., & Zhang , J. (2025). *The impact of intelligent robot service failures on customer responses --a perspective based on mind perception theory*. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12256243/?utm_source
12. Gu, C., Zhang, Y., & Zeng, L. (2024). Exploring the mechanism of sustained consumer trust in AI chatbots after service failures: a perspective based on attribution and CASA theories. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03879-5

13. Huseynov, F. (2023). Chatbots in Digital Marketing: Enhanced Customer Experience and Reduced Customer Service Costs. *Advances in Marketing, Customer Relationship Management, and E-Services Book Series*, 46–72. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372837440_Chatbots_in_Digital_Marketing_Enhanced_Customer_Experience_and_Reduced_Customer_Service_Costs

14. Juquelier, A., Poncin, I., & Hazée, S. (2024). Empathic chatbots: A double-edged sword in customer experiences. *Journal of Business Research*, *188*, 115074. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.115074

15. Jyothsna, M., Subbaiah P, V., & Kryvinska, N. (2024). Exploring the Chatbot usage intention-A mediating role of Chatbot initial trust. *Heliyon*, *10*(12), e33028–e33028. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e33028

16. Li, J., Wu, L., Qi, J., Zhang, Y., Wu, Z., & Hu, S. (2023). Determinants Affecting Consumer Trust in Communication With AI Chatbots. *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing*, *35*(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.4018/joeuc.328089

17. Lin, X., Wang, X., Shao, B., & Taylor, J. (2024). How Chatbots Augment Human Intelligence in Customer Services: A Mixed-Methods Study. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, *41*(4), 1016–1041. https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2024.2415773

18. Ltifi, M. (2023). Trust in the chatbot: a semi-human relationship. *Future Business Journal*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-023-00288-z

19. Mariani, M. M., Hashemi, N., & Wirtz, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence empowered conversational agents: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, *161*(113838), 113838. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113838

20. Meng, H., Lu, X., & Xu, J. (2025). The Impact of Chatbot Response Strategies and Emojis Usage on Customers' Purchase Intention: The Mediating Roles of Psychological Distance and Performance Expectancy. *Behavioral Sciences*, *15*(2), 117–117. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs15020117

21. Misischia, C. V., Poecze, F., & Strauss, C. (2022). Chatbots in customer service: Their relevance and impact on service quality. *Procedia Computer Science*, *201*(201), 421–428. Sciencedirect. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2022.03.055

22. Ng, S. W. T., & Zhang, R. (2025). Trust in AI chatbots: A systematic review. *Telematics and Informatics*, *97*, 102240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2025.102240

23. Patil, A. (2024, September 16). *AI in Omnichannel Marketing: What You Need to Know*. Martech360. https://martech360.com/martech-insights/ai-in-omnichannel-marketing-what-you-need-to-know/?utm_source

24. Ranieri, A., Bernardo, I. D., & Mele, C. (2024). Serving customers through chatbots: positive and negative effects on customer experience. *Journal of Service Theory and Practice*, *34*(2). https://doi.org/10.1108/jstp-01-2023-0015

25. Rohit, K., Shankar, A., Katiyar, G., Mehrotra, A., & Alzeiby, E. A. (2024). Consumer engagement in chatbots and voicebots. A multiple-experiment approach in online retailing context. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *78*, 103728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2024.103728

26. Roman, D. (2025). The Importance of Distrust in Trusting Digital Worker Chatbots. *Acm.org*. https://doi.org/10.1145//3701297

27. Roy, S. K., Tehrani, A. N., Pandit, A., Apostolidis, C., & Ray, S. (2025). Ai-capable relationship marketing: Shaping the future of customer relationships. *Journal of Business Research*, *192*, 115309–115309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2025.115309

28. Sidlauskiene, J., Joye, Y., & Auruskeviciene, V. (2023). AI-based chatbots in conversational commerce and their effects on product and price perceptions. *Electronic Markets*, *33*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-023-00633-8

29. Tadimarri, A., Jangoan, S., Kapil, & Gurusamy, A. (2024). AI-Powered Marketing: Transforming Consumer Engagement and Brand Growth. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, *6*(2). https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i02.14595

30. Tatavarthy, A. D., Martuza, J., & Thorbjørnsen, H. (2025). EXPRESS: Human Is Gold: Why Premium Customers Hate Chatbots and What to Do about It. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*. https://doi.org/10.1177/10949968251322513

31. Uzoka, A., Cadet, E., & Ojukwu, P. U. (2024). Leveraging AI-Powered chatbots to enhance customer service efficiency and future opportunities in automated support. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *5*(10), 2485–2510. https://doi.org/10.51594/csitrj.v5i10.1676

32. Wang, C., Li, Y., Fu, W., & Jin, J. (2023). Whether to trust chatbots: Applying the event-related approach to understand consumers' emotional experiences in interactions with chatbots in e-commerce. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *73*, 103325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2023.103325

33. Xia, Z., & Shannon, R. (2025). Navigating the Digital Frontier: Exploring the Dynamics of Customer–Brand Relationships Through AI Chatbots. *Sustainability*, *17*(5), 2173. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17052173

34. Xu, Y., Zhang, J., & Deng, G. (2022). Enhancing customer satisfaction with chatbots: The influence of communication styles and consumer attachment anxiety. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*(13). Frontiersin. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.902782