



Origins Of Caste: An Interdisciplinary Synthesis Of Historical, Genetic, And Socio-Cultural Evidence

¹*Binoy Das, ²Gopal Garai

¹ Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Philosophy and Comparative Religion, Visva Bharati, Santiniketan, 731235. ² Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Philosophy and Comparative Religion, Visva Bharati, Santiniketan, 731235.

Abstract: This paper synthesizes historical, genetic, anthropological, and socio-cultural research to examine competing and complementary explanations for the origin and persistence of caste in South Asia. The historical literature has long debated whether caste primarily crystallized with the arrival of Indo-European-speaking groups or whether it emerged from earlier, indigenous forms of stratification organized around clan, totem, and occupation. As a result of recent genetic studies, simple "imposition" narratives have been complicated. This research has revealed that structures of social status, marital control, and occupational specialization existed before Indo-Aryan linguistic groups were fully consolidated. Furthermore, superimposition of endogamy on exogamy in later times reinforced hierarchy rather than creating it ex-nihilo (Ambedkar vol. 1). The evidence from mitochondrial DNA, Y-chromosome lineages, and medical genetics shows substantial maternal continuity across populations with sharper differentiation in paternal lines, consistent with gendered pathways of social incorporation and boundary making. Religious rationalizations around purity and pollution subsequently codified these social boundaries; colonial and postcolonial knowledge practices then reinterpreted, enumerated, or politicized them. Contemporary work in development studies and social psychology reveals the durable impact of caste on opportunity, skill acquisition, health, and subjective beliefs about agency. Throughout, we show that caste is best understood as a historically layered formation: early agrarian and tribal orders sedimented into ranked groups; religious and legal regimes sanctified those ranks; endogamy locked them in place; and modern institutions alternately challenge and reproduce them. We conclude by outlining a framework that reconciles genetic homogeneity with social heterogeneity and highlights how methodological pluralism, combining archives, ethnography, surveys, and genomics, yields a more adequate account of caste's past and present.

Keywords: Caste, Varna, Jati, Endogamy, Indo-Aryan Migration, Purity and Pollution, Genetic Ancestry, Colonial Enumeration, Skill Development, Psychosocial Outcomes.

1. Introduction: What "Caste Theory" Tries to Explain

"Caste theory" names a family of explanations about why hierarchical, endogamous, graded-unequal, occupation-linked social groups came to structure much of South Asian society and why they persist. At minimum, these explanations must address (a) the historical emergence of ranked groups (varna) and endogamous subgroups (jati); (b) the mechanisms that stabilized or fixed boundaries, including control over productive resources, ritual regimes of purity/pollution, and marriage norms; and (c) the ongoing reproduction or transformation of these hierarchies in colonial and postcolonial settings. While early accounts linked caste primarily to the arrival of Indo-European-speaking "Aryan" groups, more recent approaches integrate archaeological, linguistic, demographic, and genetic data to argue for a multi-phased formation, where indigenous stratifications pre-dated and were later reshaped by external influences. Genetic research neither

“proves” nor “disproves” caste; rather, it tracks demographic processes (migration, admixture, and endogamy) that any social theory must respect. The core argument we develop is that caste is a layered outcome of indigenous social differentiation, agrarian expansion, and later religious and political codifications, with endogamy acting as the central technology that translated social rank into durable biological signatures over time. (Ambedkar, 2020; Aggarwal & Phadke, 2015)

2. Historical Theories of Origin

Classic models hold that caste, in something like its recognizable form, consolidated alongside the spread of Indo-European (Indo-Aryan) languages into the subcontinent a few millennia ago. According to this view, migrants introduced new ritual orders and governance arrangements, eventually mapped onto a fourfold varna scheme, Brahmins, Kshatriyas, Vaishyas, and Shudras-while a fifth out-group (later described as Panchama or “untouchable” in some regional traditions) emerged at the margins of ritual life. The model does not imply that earlier societies lacked hierarchy; rather, it posits that Indo-Aryan institutions overlaid and reorganized pre-existing stratifications, giving them a more rigid, hereditary quality and a cosmological rationale (Majumder, 2001).

A complementary line of argument emphasizes the internal evolution of ranked groups from earlier clan, totemic, and occupational formations that accompanied agrarian transitions in the Indus-Sarasvati and Gangetic zones. As agriculture deepened, control over land and irrigation widened status differences; hereditary specialization (e.g., ritual roles, artisanal trades, agricultural labour) tied families to niches; and kin-endogamy stabilized those ties across generations. In this view, when Indo-Aryan languages and ritual forms spread, they did not create stratification from scratch; they rigidified, re-signified and standardized an already layered social terrain (Majumder, 2001). These historical narratives are not mutually exclusive. Indeed, the best contemporary accounts integrate them: indigenous stratification provided the scaffolding, and later religious and administrative regimes reinforced that scaffolding with scriptural, legal, and ritual authority. The key empirical question then becomes not “which cause?” but “in what sequence and combination did agrarian specialization, migration, and ritualization produce durable, endogamous ranks?”

3. Genetic and Anthropological Evidence

Genetic data cannot read off social categories; it can, however, identify demographic patterns that social theory must explain. Three recurrent findings are especially relevant:

- (A) Substantial maternal continuity: Across many South Asian populations, mitochondrial DNA (maternally inherited) shows relative continuity, consistent with long-standing residence and limited recent maternal influx (Thanseem et al., 2006; ArunKumar et al., 2012).
- (B) Sharper paternal differentiation: Y-chromosome data (paternally inherited) often reveal greater between-group differentiation, including signals consistent with episodic male-mediated migration and status-linked reproductive advantages (Thanseem et al., 2006; ArunKumar et al., 2012; Bamshad et al., 2001).
- (C) Endogamy and founder effects: Prolonged endogamy within thousands of jatis has produced clusters of rare variants and disease risks, a point now central to medical genetics in India (Aggarwal & Phadke, 2015).

These features do not “prove” a single origin story; they are compatible with a scenario in which indigenous populations were reorganized by incoming male lineages in particular locales and times, then locked into endogamous strata that produced the genetic micro-structure visible today (Majumder, 2001; Thanseem et al., 2006; ArunKumar et al., 2012; Bamshad et al., 2001; Aggarwal & Phadke, 2015). Analyses of mitochondrial variation suggest that the majority of maternal lineages among present-day Indians trace deep local ancestry, with only limited signals of recent female-mediated migration. This pattern aligns with ethnographic expectations under patrilineal, patrilineal regimes, brides move at marriage, but movement occurs within culturally circumscribed networks rather than across distant, unrelated groups. When hierarchies are present, these marriage circuits are even more tightly regulated, producing continuity in maternal lineages across neighboring communities (Majumder, 2001; Thanseem et al., 2006; ArunKumar et al., 2012).

In contrast, Y-chromosome markers often show stronger affinities between certain upper-status groups and West Eurasian lineages than between those same groups and proximate lower-status neighbours. This asymmetry has been interpreted as consistent with historic male-biased inputs that were selectively incorporated into higher strata, as well as with social processes that preferentially amplified the reproductive success of elite patrilineal. Such processes need not be uniform in time or space; they could be episodic and regionally specific, associated with shifts in political or ritual authority (Thanseem et al., 2006; ArunKumar

et al., 2012; Bamshad et al., 2001). Evidence from southern India indicates that population differentiation among male lineages correlates with agricultural expansions that predate the later consolidation of caste as a rigid legal-ritual order. This strengthens the case for indigenous stratification linked to economic specialization, with later ritual codifications layering on top of previously differentiated communities (ArunKumar et al., 2012).

Endogamy, the practice of marrying within bounded groups, turns social categories into partly heritable clusters. Over many generations, endogamy increases allele frequency differences among groups and raises the chance that rare, deleterious variants will become concentrated. Indian medical genetics has repeatedly documented such founder effects, which, while clinically challenging, also provide powerful evidence for the historical depth and tightness of endogamous boundaries across many jatis. The clinical lesson is straightforward: social history matters for present-day health risks and for the design of screening and counselling strategies. (Aggarwal & Phadke, 2015). Population genetics can be misread as “caste essentialism,” a move scholars warn against. Genetic clusters reflect demographic histories and marriage practices; they do not validate any hierarchy’s moral legitimacy, nor do they map cleanly onto ritual categories in every locale. Moreover, the same dataset can be mobilized for conflicting political projects, an interpretive volatility that calls for a reflexive, cross-disciplinary reading of the evidence (Majumder, 2001; Bamshad et al., 2001; Egorova, 2009).

4. Ritual, Religion, and the Rationalization of Hierarchy

If economic specialization and kinship rules generate social differences, religious ideas often stabilize them by moralizing boundaries. South Asian textual traditions provided vocabularies, purity/pollution, sacrifice, and merit/demerit that attached spiritual meaning to everyday practices: commensality, occupation, residence, and marriage. These associations did not simply mirror material relations; they reframed them as cosmically ordered obligations, violations of which entail ritual danger or moral taint. The net effect was to transmute contingent social divisions into seemingly necessary, sacred hierarchies (Spears & Thorat, 2018). Ritual systems can integrate a vast domain by articulating how different groups should relate (who cooks for whom, who officiates, who labours), producing a stable division of functions. But precisely because ritual rationalizations embed inequality in moral language, they can also justify exclusion, humiliation, and violence. Contemporary evidence shows how caste stigmas continue to shape sanitation behaviours, labour allocation, and access to public goods, underscoring the depth with which ritual purity ideas pervade ordinary life (Spears & Thorat, 2018; Jiloha, 2010).

The reverberations of caste hierarchy are not only economic; they are psychological and developmental. Exposure to stigmatizing beliefs affects mental health outcomes among the historically deprived and shapes how people, across the hierarchy, think about agency, merit, and success. In experimental and survey research, attitudes toward social structure correlate with beliefs about free will and achievement, suggesting that caste-encoded worldviews can modulate motivation and self-efficacy. The implication is sobering: even when formal barriers fall, informal beliefs may continue to reproduce unequal life chances. (Jiloha, 2010; Srinivasan, Dunham, Hicks, & Barner, 2015)

5. Colonial and Postcolonial Knowledge-Making

Colonial regimes did not invent caste, but their censuses, legal categories, and administrative practices reified and standardized fluid local orders into pan-regional taxonomies. Categories that had been situationally negotiated were frozen as official identities, and the grammar of “caste” became a lingua franca of governance. Postcolonial states inherited this classificatory apparatus and redeployed it for affirmative action, welfare, and political mobilization. The result is a paradox: the very tools used to combat caste inequality can also stabilize caste as the primary axis of social organization (Egorova, 2009). Modern genetic research enters this charged field. Public debates may read scientific findings as either vindicating or undermining particular historical narratives. Scholars counsel “de-geneticizing” caste, treating genetics as one strand of evidence that must be interpreted alongside ethnography, history, and political economy, rather than as a definitive arbiter of cultural origins or moral worth. This stance resists the misuse of biological data to police social boundaries or to naturalize hierarchy (Egorova, 2009).

6. Methodological Reflections

No single method can adjudicate the origins of caste. Archival research reveals how categories were named, contested, and institutionalized; ethnography shows how they are lived; surveys capture the distribution of beliefs and practices; and genetics reconstructs demographic history. Robust explanations triangulate across these lenses, resisting the temptation to reduce social hierarchies to either “culture” or “biology” (Thanseem et al., 2006; Bamshad et al., 2001; Spears & Thorat, 2018; Jiloha, 2010; Egorova, 2009). Genetic clusters are not “castes.” They are signatures of demography shaped by marriage rules and population size. Endogamy can produce clusterable genetic data even when social identity is fluid or contested; conversely, social identity can be rigid even when genetic boundaries are porous. Researchers and the public must guard against biological determinism and reflect on how scientific communication interacts with lay and political narratives about caste. Because caste is bound up with dignity, stigma, and rights, the ethics of research and dissemination are paramount. Scholars should avoid overstating conclusions, foreground uncertainty, and anticipate how findings may be mobilized in public discourse. Work on health disparities must be particularly careful to prevent reinforcing stereotypes while delivering tangible clinical benefits to communities shaped by endogamy (Jiloha, 2010; Egorova, 2009; Aggarwal & Phadke, 2015).

7. A Consolidated Model

Bringing together the strands reviewed above, a consolidated model of caste formation and persistence can be stated in six theses.

- (A) Pre-existing differentiation: Prior to the broad spread of Indo-Aryan ritual orders, many communities were already differentiated along clan and occupational lines as agriculture and land control expanded (Majumder, 2001; Arun Kumar et al., 2012).
- (B) Ritual codification and standardization: The diffusion of Indo-Aryan languages and ritual vocabularies re-signified local hierarchies, articulating them with a fourfold varna schema and embedding them in a cosmology of purity and pollution (Majumder, 2001; Spears & Thorat, 2018).
- (C) Selective incorporation of external patriline: Male-mediated migrations and the consolidation of elite lineages left differential signatures in the paternal gene pool, particularly among upper-status groups, without erasing the deep continuity of maternal lineages (Thanseem et al., 2006; Arun Kumar et al., 2012; Bamshad et al., 2001).
- (D) Endogamy as the locking mechanism: Once hierarchy was ritualized and legally enforced in many regions, endogamy converted social rank into long-term demographic structure, generating founder effects visible today (Bamshad et al., 2001; Aggarwal & Phadke, 2015).
- (E) Colonial reification and modern governance: Censuses and law standardized caste labels across regions; postcolonial policy both challenges and inadvertently reproduces caste salience (Egorova, 2009).
- (F) Contemporary consequences and pathways for change: Caste continues to shape sanitation, health, mental well-being, skills, and subjective agency. Effective reform requires coupling material redistribution and skill development with norm change and anti-discrimination enforcement (Spears & Thorat, 2018; Jiloha, 2010; Gooptu, 2018; Srinivasan et al., 2015).

8. Implications

The evidence supports moving beyond binary debates (external imposition vs. internal evolution) toward layered, regional histories that track how agrarian change, migration, ritual regimes, and political authority interacted. Fine-grained, sub-regional studies are critical; caste is not a single chronology but a family of overlapping sequences (Majumder, 2001; Thanseem et al., 2006; Bamshad et al., 2001).

Genetic counseling and screening in India should account for endogamy and founder effects without stigmatizing communities. Public health initiatives must integrate social history into program design, recognizing that the mechanisms producing genetic clusters are themselves products of hierarchy (Aggarwal & Phadke, 2015).

Skill-development schemes, sanitation campaigns, and educational interventions will be most effective when they tackle stigma and social beliefs alongside infrastructure and training. Monitoring outcomes by caste category can be ethically justified to identify disparities, provided data are used to dismantle, not entrench, hierarchy (Spears & Thorat, 2018; Jiloha, 2010; Gooptu, 2018; Srinivasan, Dunham, Hicks, & Barner, 2015).

Curricula and public communication should present caste as a historical and social formation, made by people and institutions, and therefore subject to change. Genetic findings should be taught as demographic tools, not

as moral verdicts or essentialist classifications (Bamshad et al., 2001; Egorova, 2009; Aggarwal & Phadke, 2015).

9. Conclusion

Caste is neither an eternal essence nor a colonial mirage. It is a historically layered system whose origins lie in the interplay of indigenous stratification, agrarian specialization, and ritual codification, further shaped by selective male-mediated migrations and, crucially, by endogamy. Genetics reveals traces of these processes, maternal continuity, paternal differentiation, and founder effects, without adjudicating their moral status. Ritual ideas about purity and pollution rationalized rank; colonial enumeration reified it; and modern policy alternately erodes and recycles it. Understanding caste's durability requires attention to both material structures and beliefs about who controls land, credit, and schooling; who performs stigmatized labour; and how people conceive of merit, pollution, and agency. The most promising path forward, suggested by evidence across disciplines, is twofold: institutionally widen access to skills, health, and justice while culturally contesting the worldviews that make hierarchy seem natural. Caste was made; it can be unmade, but only with a clear grasp of how it came to be.

References:

- [1] Aggarwal, S., & Phadke, S. R. (2015). Medical genetics and genomic medicine in India: Current status and opportunities ahead. *Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine*, 3(3), 160–171. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mgg3.150>
- [2] Ambedkar, B. R. (2020). Writings and speeches (V. Moon, Comp.; Vol. 1). Dr. Ambedkar Foundation, Ministry of Social Justice & Empowerment, Govt. of India. (Original work published 1979).
- [3] ArunKumar, G., Soria-Hernanz, D. F., Kavitha, V. J., Arun, V. S., Syama, A., Ashokan, K. S., Gandhirajan, K. T., Vijayakumar, K., Narayanan, M., Jayalakshmi, M., Ziegler, J., Royyuru, A. K., Parida, L., Wells, R. S., Renfrew, C., Schurr, T. G., Smith, C. T., Platt, D. E., & Pitchappan, R. (2012). Population differentiation of southern Indian male lineages correlates with agricultural expansions predating the caste system. *PLoS ONE*, 7(11), e50269. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050269>
- [4] Bamshad, M. J., Kivisild, T., Watkins, W. S., Dixon, M. E., Ricker, C., Rao, B. B., Naidu, J. M., Prasad, B. R., Reddy, P. G., Rasanayagam, A., Papiha, S., Villems, R., Redd, A. J., Hammer, M. F., Nguyen, S. V., Carroll, M. L., Batzer, M. A., & Jorde, L. B. (2001). Genetic evidence on the origins of Indian caste populations. *Genome Research*, 11(6), 994–1004. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.173301>
- [5] Egorova, Y. (2009). De/geneticizing caste: Population genetic research in South Asia. *Science as Culture*, 18(4), 417–434. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09505430902806975>
- [6] Gooptu, N. (2018). Special issue on skill development in India. *Journal of South Asian Development*, 13(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0973174118822391>
- [7] Jiloha, R. C. (2010). Deprivation, discrimination, human rights violation, and mental health of the deprived. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 52(Suppl 1), S112–S115. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.70972>
- [8] Majumder, P. P. (2001). Indian caste origins: Genomic insights and future outlook. *Genome Research*, 11(6), 931–932. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.192401>
- [9] Spears, D., & Thorat, A. (2018). The puzzle of open defecation in rural India: Evidence from a novel measure of caste attitudes in a nationally representative survey. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 66(3), 555–590. <https://doi.org/10.1086/698852>
- [10] Srinivasan, M., Dunham, Y., Hicks, C. M., & Barner, D. (2015). Do attitudes toward societal structure predict beliefs about free will and achievement? Evidence from the Indian caste system. *Developmental Science*, 18(3), 409–423. <https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12294>
- [11] Thanseem, I., Thangaraj, K., Chaubey, G., Singh, V., Bhaskar, L., Reddy, B. M., Reddy, A. G., & Singh, L. (2006). Genetic affinities among the lower castes and tribal groups of India: Inference from Y chromosome and mitochondrial DNA. *BMC Genetics*, 7, 42. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-7-42>