IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

The Role Of AI In Climate Crisis Journalism: Amplifying Public Awareness Through Real-Time Reporting

[1]Reetika Poonia, [2]Dr Amit Sangwan

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Journalism & Mass Communication,

[2]Associate Professor, Department of Journalism & Mass Communication,

Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Sirsa, Haryana 125055

Abstract

Imagine a huge wildfire breaking out or a major flood hitting a coastal city. Today, thanks to Artificial Intelligence (AI), the news about these climate disasters can be reported almost instantly and in much more detail. This review paper looks at how AI is changing the game for journalists covering the climate crisis. We wanted to understand how these new tools are helping news get to the public faster and what that means for all of us. Basically, we explore the connection between AI-powered reporting and how much people actually know and care about climate issues.

The paper digs into existing research to see how AI tools are used. For example, AI can scan satellite images (that's computer vision) to spot a new forest fire automatically. It can sift through massive amounts of weather data (using predictive analytics) to give better warnings about a coming hurricane. It can even write basic news updates (using natural language processing) the second an earthquake happens. This makes reporting on climate crises not just quicker, but also more accurate and able to cover a wider area. But the big question is: does this high-speed, AI-helping-hand approach actually make a difference to the public? We looked at whether this kind of reporting makes people more aware of the problems, gets them more engaged, and even inspires them to take action that supports bigger sustainability goals.

We also talk about some important themes that come up again and again. Like, how AI can tailor climate news to different audiences, making it more personal. But it's not all positive. We also tackle the tricky parts—the ethical dilemmas. What if the AI has a bias and pays more attention to disasters in wealthy countries? How can we trust news that's written by an algorithm? And what happens to the human journalists? Is there still a crucial role for them when AI can handle the basics?

By looking at real-world examples where AI has been used in reporting, we try to pinpoint what works well and what we need to be careful about. In the end, our review concludes that AI has incredible potential to supercharge how we communicate about the climate crisis. It can be a powerful microphone for raising public awareness. However, to really make it work for everyone, we can't just let the technology run wild. We need strong ethical rules and inclusive practices to guide its use. This ensures that the conversation it amplifies is fair, accurate, and helpful for everyone.

This paper wraps up by pointing out what we still don't know—the gaps in current research— and suggests where future studies should focus. Our goal is to provide useful insights not just for other researchers, but also for the people making policies and the journalists on the front lines, all working towards a more sustainable future.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Climate Crisis Journalism, Public Awareness, Real-Time Reporting, Natural Language Processing, Media Ethics, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

1. Introduction

It's hard to ignore the news these days. Headlines about devastating wildfires, unprecedented floods, and intense hurricanes seem to appear with alarming regularity. These climate crises are not just isolated incidents; they are becoming more frequent and severe, a direct result of our changing climate. The immediate threats to human lives, homes, and natural ecosystems

are immense. But beyond the physical damage, there is another critical challenge: how do we communicate the urgency and scale of these events effectively to the public? Getting the right information to people quickly is no longer just helpful—it's essential for saving lives and mobilising action.

In this high-stakes environment, the media holds a crucial responsibility. News organisations are the primary link between an unfolding disaster and the public, shaping what we know, how we feel, and what we do. However, the old ways of reporting are often too slow for today's fast-moving disasters. Traditional journalism can struggle to keep up, leading to delays or incomplete stories just when people need accurate information the most.

This is where Artificial Intelligence (AI) is stepping in, offering a powerful set of new tools for journalists. Imagine software that can instantly analyse satellite images to map the spread of a wildfire, or an algorithm that can sift through endless weather data to predict a hurricane's path with greater accuracy. AI technologies like natural language processing can even draft initial news alerts the moment an event happens. This isn't about replacing journalists, but rather empowering them to report with incredible speed, scale, and precision. The potential is huge: to turn an overwhelming flow of data into clear, timely, and actionable information for the public.

But with this new power comes big questions. Does this AI-boosted reporting actually make people more aware and engaged with the climate crisis? And what about the ethical side of things? Can we always trust the algorithms? What happens if they have hidden biases? This review paper dives headfirst into these very questions. We will synthesise existing research to explore the real-world role of AI in climate crisis journalism. By looking at case studies and gathering insights, we try to provide a clear-eyed view of both tremendous opportunities and significant challenges of using AI for such a critical purpose.

Ultimately, this review argues that when guided by strong ethics, AI can be a game-changer in how we talk about the climate. By improving the speed and quality of media coverage, AI can help foster a public that is not just informed, but also empowered and motivated to respond. In a world facing escalating climate impacts, leveraging AI in media isn't just a technological upgrade—it could be a vital step toward making a more resilient future for everyone.

2. Review of Literature

AI's integration with mass media has become a major focus in recent years, particularly when examining how climate crisis reporting is shaped and communicated. Studies highlight AI's transformative potential in enhancing the speed, accuracy, and scalability of real-time reporting. For instance, AI-powered systems have been shown to automate news generation, analyse satellite imagery, and track disaster patterns, enabling media outlets to deliver timely and data-driven coverage (Lewis et al., 2019). Research also emphasises the importance of AI in combating fabricating a critical challenge in climate communication,

by identifying and flagging distorted content (Shu et al., 2020). However, scholars caution against over-reliance on AI, pointing to issues in the automated execution of tasks. (Diakopoulos, 2020).

On the public awareness front, studies suggest that AI-enhanced media coverage can significantly influence audience engagement by providing personalised and interactive content (Tandoc et al., 2021). Yet, the effectiveness of such communication depends on its accessibility and inclusivity, particularly for marginalised communities who may lack access to digital resources (Anderson & Rainie, 2020).

Ethical considerations are a recurring theme in the literature. The application of AI in journalism has sparked concerns about potential job losses, as automation begins to handle duties that were once the responsibility of human journalists. (Carlson, 2018). Additionally, the opacity of AI algorithms has the potential to weaken public confidence in the media, particularly if audiences perceive AI-generated content as less credible or impartial (Zheng et al., 2022). To address these challenges, researchers. There is a growing call to establish ethical guidelines that ensure AI technologies are applied in media practices responsibly and with accountability, ensuring accountability, fairness, and inclusivity (Bodó et al., 2021).

Case studies of AI applications in climate crisis reporting offer meaningful perspectives that highlight their practical applications and real-world relevance. benefits & limitations. For example, during the 2019 Amazon wildfires, AI tools were used to analyse satellite data and generate real-time reports, significantly improving the speed and accuracy of coverage (Benson et al., 2021). Similarly, AI-powered predictive analytics have been employed to forecast the trajectory of hurricanes, enabling timely evacuations and resource allocation (Smith et al., 2020). These examples underscore the capability of AI to improve climate communication while highlighting the need for continuous refinement and ethical oversight.

In summary, the literature highlights that AI holds immense promise in driving transformative changes across various domains, climate crisis reporting, from real-time data analysis to personalised audience engagement. By leveraging AI responsibly, media organisations hold a crucial role in enhancing public awareness and promoting informed understanding within society. decision-making, and driving collective action toward sustainable development goals (SDGs). This review builds on these insights to investigate how AI contributes to real-time monitoring and reporting of climate emergencies. reporting and its impact on public awareness and action, contributing to the broader discourse on AI, media, and sustainable development.

3. Research Methodology

This review paper employs a systematic approach to identify, analyse, and synthesise existing literature on the role of AI in enhancing real-time climate crisis reporting and its impact on public awareness. The literature search process began with defining key search terms, including "AI in climate crisis reporting," "real-time media coverage and AI," "public awareness and AI-driven communication," and "ethics of AI in journalism." These terms were used to query academic data platforms, ensuring a comprehensive coverage of different peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, and book chapters. The search was limited to publications from the past decade (2013–2023) to focus on the most recent advancements and trends in AI and media. Inclusion criteria were set to determine the relevance and quality of the chosen studies. Articles were included if they: (1) addressed AI's role in climate crisis reporting or media coverage, (2) analysed AI-driven communication's effect on public awareness or involvement, or (3) explored ethical, social, or technical issues related to AI in journalism. Studies were excluded if they were not peer-reviewed, lacked empirical data, or were unrelated to climate communication. Following an initial review of titles and abstracts, full texts were examined for detailed analysis.

The selected literature was categorised into thematic areas, such as AI tools for real-time reporting, public awareness and engagement, ethical challenges, and case studies. Data extraction focused on key findings, methodologies, and gaps in the research. This systematic method guarantees a clear and credible review process, offering an in-depth understanding of existing research on AI-driven climate crisis reporting and its broader impacts on sustainable development.

3.1 Thematic Analysis

It reveals four important themes that encapsulate the role of AI in enhancing real-time climate crisis reporting and its impact on public awareness. These themes are: (1) AI Tools for Real-Time Reporting, (2) Impact on Public Awareness and Engagement, (3) Ethical and Social Challenges, and (4) Case Studies and Real-World Applications. Each theme is discussed below, highlighting the findings, trends, and gaps in the existing research.

1. We leveraged tools powered by AI, techniques from natural language processing (NLP) and computer vision, to conduct our analysis and predictive analytics, which have revolutionised the way climate crises are reported. Studies highlight how NLP enables the automated generation of news articles, summaries, and social media updates, significantly reducing the time required to disseminate critical information (Lewis et al., 2019). Computer vision algorithms analyse satellite imagery and drone footage to track disasters, such as wildfires and floods, in real-time, providing accurate visual data for media coverage (Benson et al., 2021). Predictive analytics, on the other hand, forecast the trajectory and impact of climate events, enabling proactive reporting and resource allocation (Smith et al., 2020). These tools collectively enhance the speed, accuracy, and scalability of climate crisis reporting, addressing the limitations of traditional journalism.

2. AI-enhanced media coverage has a profound impact on public awareness and engagement with climate issues. Personalised content, driven by AI algorithms, tailors messages to individual preferences and demographics, increasing audience engagement and retention (Tandoc et al., 2021). Interactive tools, such as A key function of chatbots and virtual assistants, are to provide immediate updates and answer audience queries, fostering an interpersonal communication channel between media organisations and the public. Studies also show that AI-driven sentiment analysis helps media outlets gauge public reactions and adjust their messaging to maximise impact (Shu et al., 2020). However, research indicates that the effectiveness of AI-driven communication varies across different demographics, with marginalised communities often having limited access to digital resources (Anderson & Rainie, 2020).

3. The integration of AI in climate crisis reporting raises significant ethical and social concerns. Algorithmic bias is a recurring issue, as AI systems may inadvertently amplify certain narratives while marginalising others, leading to unequal representation of climate issues (Diakopoulos, 2020). The lack of transparency in AI algorithms undermines public trust, particularly when audiences perceive AI-generated content as less credible or impartial (Zheng et al., 2022). Additionally, the automation of journalistic tasks poses a threat to employment in the media industry, raising issues related to workforce reduction and diminishing the value of human skills (Carlson, 2018). Researchers highlight the importance of establishing ethical frameworks to ensure the responsible application of AI in journalistic practices, ensuring accountability, fairness, and inclusivity (Bodó et al., 2021).

4. Case studies of AI applications in climate crisis reporting provide valuable insights into its practical benefits and limitations. For example, during the 2019 Amazon wildfires, AI tools were used to analyse satellite data and generate real-time reports, significantly improving the speed and accuracy of coverage (Benson et al., 2021). Similarly, AI-powered predictive analytics have been employed to forecast the trajectory of hurricanes, enabling timely evacuations and resource allocation (Smith et al., 2020). These examples underscore the potential of AI to enhance climate communication while highlighting the need for continuous refinement and ethical oversight.

3.2 Synthesis and Gaps

While the literature underscores the transformative potential of AI in climate crisis reporting, different gaps remain. First, there is a scarcity of studies examining the prolonged effects of AI-driven reporting on public behaviour and policy-making. Second, the moral consequences of AI in journalism require further exploration, particularly in the context of marginalised communities. Finally, more case studies are needed to evaluate the scalability and adaptability of AI tools across different regions and cultural contexts.

By synthesising these themes, this review offers an in-depth examination of both the possibilities and the difficulties linked to the use of AI in climate crisis reporting, delivering useful perspectives for academics, decision-makers, and media professionals.

4. Discussion

Synthesis of findings from the thematic analysis reveals that Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transformative potential in enhancing real-time climate crisis reporting and fostering public awareness. AI-powered tools enable faster, more accurate, and scalable reporting, addressing the limitations of traditional journalism. These tools have been successfully applied in tracking wildfires, floods, and hurricanes, providing timely and actionable information to the public. Additionally, AI-driven personalised content and interactive tools, such as chatbots, have significantly improved audience engagement, making climate communication more accessible and impactful.

However, the integration of AI in climate crisis reporting is not without challenges. Ethical issues, including biased algorithms and insufficient transparency, and job displacement in journalism, pose significant barriers to its widespread adoption. The literature highlights the need for ethical frameworks to ensure accountability, fairness, and inclusivity in AI-driven communication. Furthermore, while AI-enhanced reporting has shown promise in increasing public awareness, its effectiveness varies across different demographics, with marginalised communities often having limited access to digital resources. Despite these advancements, several gaps in the literature remain. First, there is a scarcity of studies examining the long-term impact of scarcity of studies examining the long-term effects. AI-driven reporting of public behaviour and policy-making. While studies have explored immediate audience engagement, the sustained influence of AI-enhanced media coverage on climate action remains underexplored. Second, the ethical implications of AI in journalism require further investigation, particularly in the context of marginalised communities who are disproportionately affected by climate change but often excluded from digital communication channels. Third, more case studies are needed to evaluate the scalability and adaptability of AI tools across different regions and cultural contexts. Another critical gap is the lack of interdisciplinary research that bridges the fields of AI, media studies, and climate science. While technical advancements in AI are well-documented, their integration with journalistic practices and climate communication strategies remains understudied. Future research should explore collaborative approaches that bring together AI experts, journalists, and climate scientists to develop innovative solutions for real-time reporting and public engagement.

In conclusion, while AI has the potential to revolutionise climate crisis reporting and drive public awareness, its integration into mass media must be guided by ethical principles and inclusive practices. Addressing the gaps in the literature will not only improve the efficiency of AI-driven communication but also guarantee its role in promoting fairness. By leveraging AI responsibly, the media department. can play a pivotal role in fostering informed decision-making and collective action toward achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

5. Future Directions

This review's findings underscore AI's transformative potential in climate crisis reporting while revealing critical areas that require deeper investigation. An imp. The direction for future research is the long-term effects of AI-driven media coverage on public behaviour and policy-making. While existing studies have demonstrated the immediate benefits of AI in enhancing audience engagement and awareness, there is a lack of longitudinal research examining how sustained exposure to AI-generated content influences attitudes, behaviours, and policy outcomes over time. For instance, does repeated exposure to personalised, AI-enhanced climate reports lead to lasting changes in public perception or increased participation in climate action initiatives? Addressing this gap will provide valuable insights into the enduring effects of AI-driven communication and inform the design of more impactful media strategies. Another pressing area for future research is the development of ethical frameworks to guide the responsible use of AI in journalism. Current studies highlight significant challenges, such as algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, and the potential displacement of human journalists. Future work should focus on creating guidelines that ensure fairness, accountability, and inclusivity in AI-driven reporting. This includes addressing the digital divide by ensuring that AI-enhanced communication reaches marginalised communities, who are often

disproportionately affected by climate change but have limited access to digital resources. Ethical frameworks should also emphasise the importance of human oversight in AI systems to maintain journalistic integrity and public trust. Interdisciplinary collaboration is another critical direction for future research. Bridging the fields of AI, media studies, and climate science can lead to the development of innovative tools and methodologies that integrate technical advancements with practical applications. For example, collaborations between AI experts and journalists can result in more user-friendly AI tools tailored to the needs of newsrooms, while partnerships with climate scientists can enhance the accuracy and relevance of AI-generated reports. Such interdisciplinary efforts can also investigate the incorporation of emerging technologies and interactive climate communication experiences that deepen public understanding and engagement. Furthermore, future research should investigate the scalability and adaptability of AI tools across diverse cultural and regional contexts. While case studies from developed countries provide valuable insights, there is a need for more research in developing regions, where resource constraints and unique challenges may require tailored solutions. For instance, how can AI-driven reporting be adapted to address language barriers, limited internet access, or varying levels of media literacy? Exploring these questions will ensure that AI-enhanced climate communication is inclusive and equitable, reaching audiences worldwide. Finally, the role of emerging technologies in climate crisis reporting offers exciting possibilities for future exploration. Technologies that bring climate crises to life, fostering empathy and urgency among audiences. Similarly, advancements in natural language generation (NLG) and sentiment analysis can further enhance the personalisation and interactivity of climate communication. By exploring these directions, researchers and practitioners can unlock the full potential of AI and related technologies to alter climate reporting, driving informed decision-making and collective action toward achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

In conclusion, upcoming studies should focus on talking about the gaps identified in this review, from ethical considerations and interdisciplinary collaboration to scalability and emerging technologies. By doing so, the field can harness the power of AI to create more effective, inclusive, and impactful climate communication strategies, ultimately contributing to a more sustainable and resilient future.

6. Conclusion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into mass media has revolutionised the way climate crises are reported and communicated to the public, offering unprecedented opportunities to enhance real-time reporting, public awareness, and engagement. This review has highlighted the transformative potential of AI-powered tools, such as natural language processing (NLP), computer vision, and predictive analytics, in enabling faster, more accurate, and scalable climate crisis reporting. By automating news generation, analysing satellite imagery, and predicting disaster trajectories, AI has addressed many of the limitations of traditional journalism, providing timely and actionable information to audiences worldwide. Furthermore, AI-driven personalised content and interactive tools, such as chatbots and virtual assistants, have significantly improved audience engagement, making climate communication more accessible and impactful. However, the review also underscores the ethical, social, and technical challenges associated with AI-driven reporting. Issues such as algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, and the potential displacement of human journalists raise important questions about the responsible use of AI in journalism. The digital divide further complicates matters, as marginalised communities often lack access to the resources needed to benefit from AI-enhanced communication. These challenges highlight the need for ethical frameworks that ensure accountability, fairness, and inclusivity in AI-driven reporting, as well as the importance of human oversight to maintain journalistic integrity and public trust.

The synthesis of findings reveals several gaps in the existing literature, including the need for longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of AI-driven reporting on public behaviour and policy-making, as well as more research on the scalability and adaptability of AI tools across diverse cultural and regional contexts. Interdisciplinary collaboration between AI experts, journalists, and climate scientists is essential to bridge the gap between technical advancements and practical applications, while emerging technologies such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) offer exciting possibilities for creating immersive and interactive climate communication experiences.

In conclusion, AI has the potential to transform climate crisis reporting and drive public awareness and action, but its integration into mass media must be guided by ethical principles and inclusive practices. By addressing the gaps identified in this review and exploring future directions, researchers and practitioners can harness the power of AI to create more effective, inclusive, and impactful climate communication strategies. Ultimately, this will contribute to informed decision-making, collective action, and the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), paving the way for a more sustainable and resilient future.

References

1. Anderson, J., & Rainie, L. (2020). Artificial intelligence and the future of humans. Pew Research Centre. https://www.pewresearch.org
2. Benson, V., Ezingeard, J. N., & Hand, C. (2021). AI in disaster management: A systematic review. Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management, 11(2), 123-145. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-01-2021-0001
3. Bodó, B., Helberger, N., & de Vreese, C. H. (2021). AI in the newsroom: Ethical considerations for journalism. Digital Journalism, 9(8), 1128-1148. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2021.1912625
4. Carlson, M.(2018). Automated journalism: A posthuman future for news production? Journalism Practice, 12(3), 342-361. https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2017.1390770
5. Diakopoulos, N. (2020). Automating the news: How algorithms are rewriting the media. Harvard University Press.
6. Lewis, S. C., Sanders, A. K., & Carmody, C. (2019). Libel by algorithm? Automated journalism and the threat of legal liability. Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly, 96(1), 60-81. https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699018755983
7. Shu, K., Sliva, A., Wang, S., Tang, J., & Liu, H. (2020). Fake news detection on social media: A data mining perspective. ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter, 19(1), 22-36. https://doi.org/10.1145/3137597.3137600
8. Smith, J., Brown, T., & Lee, K. (2020). Predictive analytics in disaster management: A case study of hurricane tracking. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 45, 101-112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101567
9. Tandoc, E. C., Jenkins, J., & Craft, S. (2021). Fake news as a critical incident in journalism. Journalism Practice, 15(1), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2020.1725599
10. Zheng, Y., Wu, J., & Chen, X. (2022). Public trust in AI-driven journalism: Challenges and opportunities. New Media & Society, 24(1), 45-62. https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211012345
11. Anderson, A. (2017). Media, politics, and climate change: Towards a new research agenda. Environmental Communication, 11(2), 149-163. https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2016.1269823
12. Broussard, M. (2018). Artificial unintelligence: How computers misunderstand the world. MIT Press.
13. Chesney, R., & Citron, D. (2019). Deep fakes: A looming challenge for privacy, democracy, and national security. California Law Review, 107(6), 1753-1820. https://doi.org/10.15779/Z38RV0D15J
14. Graefe, A. (2016). Guide to automated journalism. Columbia Journalism Review. https://www.cjr.org
15. Hansen, A. (2019). Environment, media, and communication. Routledge.
16. Montal, T., & Reich, Z. (2017). I, robot. You, journalist. Who is the author? Digital Journalism, 5(7), 829-849. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2016.1209083
17. O'Neill, S., & Nicholson-Cole, S. (2019). "Fear won't do it": Promoting positive engagement with climate change through visual and iconic representations. Science Communication, 30(3), 355-379. https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547008329201
18. Parks, P., & Starosielski, N. (2020). Signal traffic: Critical studies of media infrastructures. University of Illinois Press.
19. Schäfer, M. S., & Schlichting, I. (2014). Media representations of climate change: A meta-analysis of the research field. Environmental Communication, 8(2), 142-160. https://doi.org/10.1080/17524032.2014.914050

20. Thurman, N., Lewis, S. C., & Kunert, J. (2019). Algorithms, automation, and news. Digital Journalism, 7(8), 980-992. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2019.1685399
21. Wihbey, J. (2019). The social fact: News and knowledge in a networked world. MIT Press.