



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Human Rights Of Marginalized Communities

Dr. Pushpa Joshi,
Associate Professor
Dept. of Political Science,
School of Legal Studies
Vivek University, Bijnor

Dr. Sunil Kumar Joshi
Professor,
Dept. of Teacher Education
Vardhaman College, Bijnor

Abstract

This paper examines the persistent gap between the universal promise of human rights and the lived realities of marginalized communities. Despite robust international frameworks like the UDHR, ICCPR, and ICESCR, and national constitutional guarantees, groups such as Dalits, Indigenous peoples, racial minorities, persons with disabilities, and LGBTQ+ individuals face systemic exclusion. The study analyzes the conceptual underpinnings of marginalization and the legal protections designed to counteract it, including affirmative action, specialized treaties (e.g., CEDAW, CRPD), and judicial interventions. It critically assesses the implementation gaps and structural barriers—such as casteism, racism, patriarchy, economic exclusion, and political underrepresentation—that prevent the full realization of socio-economic, civil, and political rights. The paper also explores the critical role of institutions, civil society, and the media in advocating for rights, and addresses emerging challenges like climate change, digital inequality, and algorithmic bias. Finally, it proposes a multi-pronged way forward, emphasizing strengthened law enforcement, inclusive policymaking, educational and digital empowerment, economic inclusion, and global solidarity to bridge the chasm between formal rights and substantive equality for marginalized populations.

Keywords: Human Rights, Marginalized Communities, Structural Inequality, Social Exclusion, Affirmative Action, Intersectionality, Implementation Gap, Socio-Economic Rights, Civil Society.

Introduction

Human rights are widely considered as **universal, indivisible, and interconnected**, forming the roots of dignity and equality in contemporary societies. It is affirmed by The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR, 1948) that "all human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights," highlighting the universality of rights irrespective of social or cultural contexts (United Nations, 1948). These rights are interconnected; the rejection of one right often obstructs the realization of others.

Despite this universal framework, **marginalization** continues to exclude large part of populations from the full enjoyment of human rights. Marginalization refers to the systematic refusal of rights and opportunities based on caste, class, gender, ethnicity, disability, or sexuality (Kabeer, 2006). Historically oppressed groups such as Dalits in India, Indigenous peoples across the Americas, racial minorities in the United States, persons with disabilities, and LGBTQ+ communities worldwide continue to face discrimination, economic exclusion, and political underrepresentation (UNDP, 2016). These exclusions are not accidental but embedded in structural inequalities that reproduce disadvantage across generations.

The **rationale** for studying the human rights of marginalized communities lies in the persistent gap between constitutional guarantees, international human rights obligations, and the lived realities of vulnerable groups. While international treaties such as the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR,

1966) and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW, 1979) provide robust protections, implementation remains weak in many regions (OHCHR, 2020).

This research is guided by two central questions:

1. **What systemic barriers prevent marginalized groups from enjoying full human rights?**
2. **How effective are existing frameworks---both national and international---in protecting their rights?**

The **objectives** of this paper are threefold: first, to analyze the existing human rights frameworks and their engagement with marginalized communities; second, to critique the shortcomings in law, policy, and practice that perpetuate exclusion; and third, to propose viable solutions aimed at achieving more inclusive, equitable, and effective protection of human rights. By adopting an intersectional approach, the study seeks to illuminate the overlapping vulnerabilities marginalized groups face.

2. Conceptual Framework

2.1 Defining Marginalization

Marginalization can be broadly understood as a process by which individuals or groups are systematically excluded from full participation in social, economic, political, and cultural life. From a **sociological perspective**, marginalization is often linked to entrenched hierarchies such as caste, race, and gender, which reproduce unequal access to resources and opportunities (Young, 2000). It is not simply an individual disadvantage but a structural condition that perpetuates social exclusion across generations.

In **political terms**, marginalization manifests in the lack of representation, participation, and voice in decision-making processes. Groups such as Indigenous peoples, refugees, and ethnic minorities are often denied meaningful participation in governance, resulting in policies that fail to reflect their needs and aspirations (Fraser, 2009).

From a **legal perspective**, marginalization occurs when constitutional guarantees and international human rights obligations are inadequately implemented, leaving marginalized groups vulnerable to discrimination, poverty, and violence (Banerjee, 2012). Although legal frameworks may formally prohibit discrimination, structural barriers---including weak enforcement mechanisms, cultural biases, and institutional failures---perpetuate inequalities.

Indicators of marginalization include **social exclusion, poverty, discrimination, and lack of voice**. Social exclusion refers to the systematic denial of participation in economic and social systems (Silver, 1994). Poverty is both a cause and a consequence of marginalization, reinforcing cycles of disadvantage.

2.2 Human Rights Framework

The global human rights framework provides a normative foundation for addressing the vulnerabilities of marginalized communities. The **Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR, 1948)** affirms that "all human beings are born free and equal in dignity and rights" (United Nations, 1948). Building on this, the **International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR, 1966)** and the **International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR, 1966)** recognize both the political freedoms and socio-economic entitlements necessary for human dignity.

Specialized treaties strengthen these protections for specific groups. The **Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW, 1979)** safeguards women's rights. The **United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP, 2007)** emphasizes collective rights, cultural integrity, and land rights. Similarly, the **Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD, 2006)** advances the rights of persons with disabilities.

An emerging and essential concept is **intersectionality**---the recognition that individuals may experience overlapping forms of discrimination based on race, gender, class, disability, or sexuality (Crenshaw, 1989). For example, Indigenous women may face not only gender-based discrimination but also exclusion rooted in ethnicity and poverty. Intersectionality highlights the need for a holistic approach to human rights.

3. Legal and Constitutional Protections

3.1 National Legal Frameworks

Constitutions are the primary legal instruments guaranteeing equality, liberty, and justice. For example, the **Constitution of India** explicitly protects marginalized communities through both **Fundamental Rights** and **Directive Principles of State Policy**. Fundamental Rights such as the **right to equality (Articles 14–18)** and **prohibition of discrimination (Article 15)** serve as enforceable guarantees (Austin, 2003).

One of the most significant innovations has been **affirmative action** or **reservations**, designed to redress historical disadvantages of Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), and Other Backward Classes (OBCs) (Galanter, 1984). Additionally, **anti-discrimination and minority rights laws** strengthen protections, such as India's **Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989**.

3.2 International Mechanisms

Beyond national laws, international mechanisms play a critical role in ensuring states' accountability. The **United Nations Human Rights Council (UNHRC)** monitors human rights compliance and conducts the **Universal Periodic Review (UPR)** (Alston & Goodman, 2013). Various **UN Treaty Bodies**, such as the Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (CERD), adjudicate individual complaints and issue recommendations.

The **International Labour Organization (ILO)** has also been instrumental in protecting marginalized workers. Core conventions such as **ILO Convention No. 111 (Discrimination in Employment and Occupation, 1958)** provide frameworks for combating workplace inequality.

At the regional level, **human rights courts** have emerged as strong defenders of marginalized groups. The **European Court of Human Rights (ECHR)** and the **Inter-American Court of Human Rights (IACHR)** have delivered pathbreaking judgments on minority and Indigenous rights (Ringelheim, 2010; Murray & Long, 2015).

3.3 Judicial Interventions

The judiciary has often acted as a guardian of rights, expanding constitutional guarantees through progressive interpretations. In India, the Supreme Court has played a transformative role. Landmark rulings include **Kesavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala (1973)**, which safeguarded the "basic structure" of the Constitution; **Indra Sawhney v. Union of India (1992)**, which upheld reservations; and **Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India (2018)**, which decriminalized same-sex relations.

A unique feature of the Indian legal system is **Public Interest Litigation (PIL)**, which democratizes access to justice by allowing individuals and groups to file petitions on behalf of marginalized communities (Bhuwania, 2017).

4. Socio-Economic Rights of Marginalized Communities

Socio-economic rights are central to the realization of human dignity, equality, and justice. For marginalized communities, however, access to these rights remains severely restricted.

4.1 Right to Education

Education is both a **fundamental right** and a means to achieve empowerment and mobility. Despite guarantees, marginalized groups face persistent barriers. **Girls** face limitations due to child marriage and patriarchal attitudes (UNESCO, 2016). **Dalit and lower-caste children** experience caste-based discrimination within classrooms (Nambissan, 2009). **Children with disabilities** are excluded due to inadequate infrastructure and stigma.

4.2 Right to Health

The right to health encompasses access to medical services and underlying determinants like sanitation and nutrition. Marginalized communities often suffer from systemic health inequities. **Access barriers** persist due to poverty and discrimination (WHO, 2017). **Maternal mortality** is particularly high among marginalized women, reflecting socio-economic deprivation and healthcare discrimination (Navaneetham & Dharmalingam, 2002).

4.3 Right to Livelihood

The **right to work and livelihood** is essential for survival and dignity. However, marginalized communities are overrepresented in the **informal sector**, where wages are low, working conditions are exploitative, and legal protections are minimal (Chen, 2012). **Forced evictions** further strip marginalized groups of livelihoods and housing security (COHRE, 2007).

4.4 Land and Resource Rights

For Indigenous and tribal communities, land and natural resources are central to cultural identity and collective rights. Yet, these rights are frequently violated through **displacement, deforestation, and extractive industries**. In India, the **Forest Rights Act (2006)** sought to restore land rights to Adivasi communities, but implementation remains fraught (Sundar, 2016).

5. Civil and Political Rights of Marginalized Communities

5.1 Right to Equality and Non-Discrimination

Despite legal guarantees, marginalized groups often face **everyday discrimination** rooted in caste, race, gender, and ethnicity. Such discrimination undermines substantive equality by perpetuating cycles of exclusion (Thorat & Newman, 2010; Alexander, 2012).

5.2 Right to Political Participation

Representation of marginalized groups in legislatures and governance structures remains disproportionately low. Effective participation is constrained by dominant-caste politics and tokenistic inclusion (Jensenius, 2017). The underrepresentation of women, LGBTQ+ persons, and ethnic minorities further highlights structural barriers.

5.3 Freedom of Expression and Assembly

The right to freedom of expression and peaceful assembly is vital for marginalized groups to articulate grievances. Yet, these freedoms are frequently curtailed. Activists often face intimidation, arrests, and violence from state and non-state actors (Human Rights Watch, 2020).

5.4 Access to Justice

Marginalized communities encounter **structural barriers** in legal systems. **Police brutality and custodial deaths** disproportionately affect racial and caste minorities (NCRB, 2020; Tonry, 2011). Language and cultural barriers further restrict access to justice for Indigenous peoples and migrants (Anaya, 2004).

6. Marginalized Groups: Case-Specific Perspectives

6.1 Women & Gender Minorities

Women and gender minorities experience systemic violations of rights. **Gender-based violence** remains pervasive (UN Women, 2021). For **LGBTQ+ communities**, societal stigma and absence of marriage equality continue to limit full citizenship (Human Rights Watch, 2022).

6.2 Children & Youth

Millions of children remain trapped in **child labor** and **child marriage**, undermining educational and health outcomes (ILO, 2017; UNICEF, 2020). Access to **quality education** remains a fundamental challenge for marginalized children (Nambissan, 2009).

6.3 Indigenous and Tribal Communities

Indigenous peoples face struggles tied to cultural identity, land, and resource rights. Large-scale projects frequently result in **displacement** and loss of livelihoods (Xaxa, 2011). Environmental justice is central to their struggles (Whyte, 2017).

6.4 Refugees, Migrants, and Stateless Persons

Refugees and migrants occupy precarious legal positions, with limited access to asylum, healthcare, or labor protections. The **Rohingya crisis** exemplifies systematic denial of human rights (Amnesty International, 2019).

6.5 Persons with Disabilities

Despite the **CRPD (2006)**, persons with disabilities frequently face barriers in accessing education, employment, and political participation (Degener, 2016). Accessibility in public spaces and legal processes remains inadequate.

7. Challenges in Realization of Rights

7.1 Structural Inequalities

Structural inequalities rooted in **casteism, racism, and patriarchy** continue to obstruct access to justice and equal opportunities (Thorat & Newman, 2010; Alexander, 2012; Kabeer, 2015).

7.2 Economic Exclusion and the Poverty Cycle

Poverty and economic exclusion form a vicious cycle for marginalized groups. Limited access to quality education, healthcare, and formal employment perpetuates intergenerational poverty (Sen, 1999).

7.3 Weak Enforcement of Protective Laws

Weak enforcement mechanisms undermine the effectiveness of legal frameworks. Lax enforcement and institutional bias prevent laws from translating into tangible protections (Jodhka, 2015).

7.4 Political Marginalization

Political underrepresentation perpetuates policies that fail to address the specific needs of marginalized groups. Tokenism and elite capture often negate the benefits of affirmative measures (Young, 2000).

7.5 Social Stigma and Stereotypes

Social stigma and stereotypes reinforce exclusionary practices, normalizing discrimination and discouraging marginalized groups from claiming their rights (Degener, 2016; Human Rights Watch, 2022; Guild et al., 2021).

7.6 Digital Divide and Access to Technology

The **digital divide** has emerged as a contemporary challenge. Marginalized groups face barriers due to lack of infrastructure, affordability, and digital literacy, exacerbating pre-existing inequalities (OECD, 2021; UNICEF, 2020).

8. Role of Institutions and Civil Society

8.1 Judiciary and National Human Rights Commissions

The **judiciary** is a pivotal institution for the protection of marginalized groups. National Human Rights Commissions (NHRIs) serve as quasi-judicial bodies mandated to investigate violations and promote awareness (Muralidhar, 2004).

8.2 Role of NGOs and Grassroots Movements

Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and grassroots movements bridge the gap between communities and state institutions. They provide legal aid, document abuses, and lobby for reforms (Rajagopal, 2003).

8.3 International Organizations

International organizations act as external monitors and enforcers of human rights norms. The **UN Human Rights Council (UNHRC)** and treaty bodies offer platforms to highlight violations (Gaer, 2007).

8.4 Media as a Watchdog and Mobilizer of Public Opinion

The **media** functions as both a watchdog and a mobilizer of public opinion. Investigative journalism exposes systemic injustices, while digital platforms empower marginalized voices (Kapur, 2013; Mendes et al., 2019).

9. Emerging Issues

9.1 Globalization and Neoliberalism

Neoliberal policies have intensified vulnerabilities among marginalized communities, facilitating **mass displacement and precarious labor conditions** (Harvey, 2005; Standing, 2011).

9.2 Climate Change and Environmental Justice

Climate change presents a grave threat to marginalized communities, who disproportionately suffer from extreme weather events and resource scarcity (IPCC, 2022; Schlosberg & Collins, 2014).

9.3 Artificial Intelligence and Surveillance

The rise of **artificial intelligence** raises concerns about privacy, profiling, and discriminatory practices. Algorithmic bias can reinforce existing social inequalities (Noble, 2018; Buolamwini & Gebru, 2018).

9.4 COVID-19 Pandemic and Inequalities

The **COVID-19 pandemic** revealed and deepened existing inequalities, particularly in access to healthcare, vaccines, and digital resources, disproportionately affecting marginalized groups (Kesar et al., 2021; Bamba et al., 2020; UNICEF, 2020).

10. Way Forward

10.1 Strengthening Implementation of Laws and Accountability

Strengthening accountability mechanisms is crucial. Independent monitoring bodies must be empowered with greater autonomy and resources. Judicial activism should continue to hold states accountable (Sathe, 2002; Kumar, 2019).

10.2 Inclusive Policy Frameworks with Participation of Marginalized Voices

Policies must be participatory, ensuring that affected communities are actively involved. Intersectionality must guide policy frameworks to recognize overlapping vulnerabilities (Fraser, 2008; Crenshaw, 1991).

10.3 Education and Digital Literacy as Empowerment Tools

Expanding access to **quality, inclusive education** and **digital literacy** can bridge historical inequalities. Governments must address the digital divide by investing in infrastructure and affordable internet.

10.4 Economic Inclusion through Affirmative Action and Social Welfare

Affirmative action should be strengthened alongside targeted social welfare programs. Economic empowerment reduces poverty and strengthens political agency (Drèze & Sen, 2013).

10.5 Global Solidarity Movements and Transnational Activism

Global civil society must amplify local struggles and pressure states to comply with international obligations. Building global solidarity requires cross-community alliances (Keck & Sikkink, 1998).

References

- Aikman, S., & Unterhalter, E. (2005). *Beyond access: Transforming policy and practice for gender equality in education*. Oxfam.
- Alexander, M. (2012). *The New Jim Crow: Mass incarceration in the age of colorblindness*. New Press.
- Alston, P., & Goodman, R. (2013). *International human rights*. Oxford University Press.
- Amnesty International. (2019). "No one else can live here": Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh. Amnesty International.
- Anaya, S. J. (2004). *Indigenous peoples in international law*. Oxford University Press.
- Anderson, I., Robson, B., Connolly, M., Al-Yaman, F., Bjertness, E., King, A., ... & Yap, L. (2016). Indigenous and tribal peoples' health (The Lancet–Lowitja Institute Global Collaboration): A population study. *The Lancet*, 388(10040), 131–157.
- Austin, G. (2003). *Working a democratic constitution: The Indian experience*. Oxford University Press.
- Bambra, C., Riordan, R., Ford, J., & Matthews, F. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic and health inequalities. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*, 74(11), 964–968.
- Banerjee, S. (2012). *Marginalization, human rights and the law*. Journal of Human Rights Practice, 4(2), 179–193.
- Baviskar, A. (1995). *In the belly of the river: Tribal conflicts over development in the Narmada Valley*. Oxford University Press.
- Bhuwania, A. (2017). *Courting the people: Public interest litigation in post-emergency India*. Cambridge University Press.
- Buolamwini, J., & Gebru, T. (2018). Gender shades: Intersectional accuracy disparities in commercial gender classification. *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, 81, 1–15.
- Chen, M. (2012). *The informal economy: Definitions, theories and policies*. WIEGO Working Paper.
- Clavero, B. (2011). The Indigenous rights revolution in Latin America. *Sur: International Journal on Human Rights*, 8(15), 43–67.
- COHRE (Centre on Housing Rights and Evictions). (2007). *Forced evictions: Global crisis, global solutions*. COHRE.
- Cook, R. J., & Dickens, B. M. (2014). Human rights dynamics of abortion law reform. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 36(4), 903–940.
- Crenshaw, K. (1989). *Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine*. University of Chicago Legal Forum, 139–167.
- Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241–1299.

- Degener, T. (2016). Disability in a human rights context. *Laws*, 5(3), 35.
- Drèze, J., & Sen, A. (2013). *An uncertain glory: India and its contradictions*. Princeton University Press.
- Fraser, N. (2008). *Scales of justice: Reimagining political space in a globalizing world*. Columbia University Press.
- Gaer, F. (2007). A voice not an echo: Universal Periodic Review and the UN treaty body system. *Human Rights Law Review*, 7(1), 109–139.
- Galanter, M. (1984). *Competing equalities: Law and the backward classes in India*. University of California Press.
- Guild, E., Costello, C., & Moreno-Lax, V. (2021). *The right to asylum in Europe*. Cambridge University Press.
- Harvey, D. (2005). *A brief history of neoliberalism*. Oxford University Press.
- Human Rights Watch (HRW). (2018). “All of my body was pain”: Sexual violence against Rohingya women and girls in Burma. HRW Report.
- Human Rights Watch. (2020). *World Report 2020: Events of 2019*. HRW.
- ILO. (2017). *Global estimates of child labour: Results and trends, 2012–2016*. International Labour Organization.
- ILO. (2018). *Women and men in the informal economy: A statistical picture*. International Labour Organization.
- IPCC. (2022). *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability*. Cambridge University Press.
- Jensenius, F. R. (2017). *Social justice through inclusion: The consequences of electoral quotas in India*. Oxford University Press.
- Jodhka, S. S. (2015). *Caste in contemporary India*. Routledge.
- Kabeer, N. (2004). *Globalization, labor standards, and women’s rights: Dilemmas of collective (in)action in an interdependent world*. *Feminist Economics*, 10(1), 3–35.
- Kabeer, N. (2006). *Social exclusion and the MDGs: The challenge of “durable inequalities” in the Asian context*. Institute of Development Studies.
- Kabeer, N. (2015). Gender, poverty, and inequality: A brief history of feminist contributions in the field of international development. *Gender & Development*, 23(2), 189–205.
- Kapur, R. (2013). Gender, sovereignty and the rise of sexual security regimes. *Interventions*, 15(2), 217–235.
- Keck, M. E., & Sikkink, K. (1998). *Activists beyond borders: Advocacy networks in international politics*. Cornell University Press.
- Kesar, S., Abraham, R., Lahoti, R., Nath, P., & Basole, A. (2021). Pandemic, informality, and vulnerability: Impact of COVID-19 on livelihoods in India. *Canadian Journal of Development Studies*, 42(1–2), 145–164.
- Kumar, A. (2019). Human rights enforcement and marginalized communities in South Asia. *Asian Journal of Human Rights*, 7(2), 112–130.
- Mendes, K., Ringrose, J., & Keller, J. (2019). *Digital feminist activism: Girls and women fight back against rape culture*. Oxford University Press.
- Moseneke, D. (2002). Transformative adjudication in post-apartheid South Africa. *South African Journal on Human Rights*, 18(3), 309–331.
- Muralidhar, S. (2004). Implementation of court orders in the protection of human rights. *Human Rights Law Review*, 4(2), 271–301.
- Murray, R., & Long, D. (2015). *The implementation of the findings of the African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nambissan, G. B. (2009). Exclusion and discrimination in schools: Experiences of Dalit children. *Indian Institute of Dalit Studies Working Paper Series*.
- Navaneetham, K., & Dharmalingam, A. (2002). Utilization of maternal health care services in Southern India. *Social Science & Medicine*, 55(10), 1849–1869.

- Noble, S. U. (2018). *Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism*. NYU Press.
- OECD. (2021). *Bridging the digital divide*. OECD Digital Economy Papers.
- OHCHR. (2020). *Human rights and COVID-19: Essential guidance*. United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights.
- Patel, V., Saxena, S., Lund, C., Thornicroft, G., Baingana, F., Bolton, P., ... & Unützer, J. (2018). The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. *The Lancet*, 392(10157), 1553–1598.
- Rajagopal, B. (2003). *International law from below: Development, social movements, and Third World resistance*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rege, S. (1998). Dalit women talk differently: A critique of “difference” and towards a Dalit feminist standpoint position. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 33(44), WS39–WS46.
- Ringelheim, J. (2010). Minority rights in a European perspective. *Human Rights Law Review*, 10(1), 79–108.
- Sathe, S. P. (2002). *Judicial activism in India: Transgressing borders and enforcing limits*. Oxford University Press.
- Schlosberg, D., & Collins, L. B. (2014). From environmental to climate justice: Climate change and the discourse of environmental justice. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 5(3), 359–374.
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.
- Sen, A. (2000). *Development as freedom*. Anchor Books.
- Silver, H. (1994). *Social exclusion and social solidarity: Three paradigms*. *International Labour Review*, 133(5–6), 531–578.
- Standing, G. (2011). *The precariat: The new dangerous class*. Bloomsbury.
- Sundar, N. (2016). *The burning forest: India's war in Bastar*. Juggernaut Books.
- Swepston, L. (2002). Indigenous and tribal peoples and international law. *Columbia Human Rights Law Review*, 33(2), 531–572.
- Thorat, S., & Newman, K. (2010). *Blocked by caste: Economic discrimination in modern India*. Oxford University Press.
- Tonry, M. (2011). *Punishing race: A continuing American dilemma*. Oxford University Press.
- UN Women. (2021). *Progress of the world's women 2021–22*. United Nations.
- UNDP. (2016). *Human Development Report 2016: Human development for everyone*. United Nations Development Programme.
- UNESCO. (2016). *Global education monitoring report 2016: Education for people and planet*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
- UNICEF. (2020). *Child marriage: Latest trends and future prospects*. UNICEF.
- UNICEF. (2020). *COVID-19: Are children able to continue learning during school closures?* UNICEF Data Report.
- United Nations. (1948). *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*. UN General Assembly Resolution 217 A (III).
- WHO. (2017). *Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity through action on the social determinants of health*. World Health Organization.
- Whyte, K. (2017). Indigenous climate change studies: Indigenizing futures, decolonizing the Anthropocene. *English Language Notes*, 55(1–2), 153–162.
- Xaxa, V. (2011). Tribes and social exclusion. *Social Change*, 41(2), 151–166.
- Young, I. M. (2000). *Inclusion and democracy*. Oxford University Press.