IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Wounds That Speak: Trauma, Resilience, And Recovery In Salman Rushdie's *Knife*

Dr Binny Mathew, Assistant Professor, Department of English, St Berchman's College (Autonomous), Changanassery, Kerala

Abstract: This paper examines Salman Rushdie's 2024 memoir *Knife: Meditations After an Attempted Murder* through the lens of trauma narratives, resilience, and psychological recovery. On August 12, 2022, Rushdie was stabbed multiple times in a public attack—a violent culmination of decades of persecution following the publication of *The Satanic Verses*. In *Knife*, Rushdie transforms his near-death experience into a literary meditation, reconstructing his personal and creative identity through language. This paper employs Cathy Caruth's trauma theory and Judith Herman's framework on recovery to analyze *Knife* as a literary testament to survival. Through a close reading of the memoir, it interrogates how traumatic memory, vulnerability, and resilience intersect in narratives of violence. Furthermore, the paper situates *Knife* within postcolonial literary discourse, linking Rushdie's experience to broader issues of censorship, violence, and freedom of expression.

Key Words: trauma, psyche, resilience, recovery, memory etc.

Salman Rushdie's *Knife: Meditations After an Attempted Murder* (2024) is both a personal and political account of survival and resistance. Written in the aftermath of the August 12, 2022 attack at the Chautauqua Institution in New York, *Knife* navigates the terrain of bodily trauma, psychic rupture, and the arduous process of healing. The memoir transcends conventional autobiographical narrative; it becomes, in Cathy Caruth's terms, an articulation of the "insistent return of the event" (Caruth 4). As a text, it provides an intimate glimpse into the psyche of a writer marked for death and yet compelled to reclaim narrative authority over both body and voice.

Rushdie's memoir is thus a chronicle of pain and a literary act of resistance. Through meditations on the body, memory, and self, *Knife* explores trauma not as a terminal state but as a transitional passage. It becomes an archive of violence and resilience. Judith Herman's *Trauma and Recovery* offers a vital framework for interpreting Rushdie's narrative arc as one of fragmentation and reconstitution. According to Herman, recovery involves three stages: establishing safety, remembrance and mourning, and reconnection. *Knife* traces this arc with precision, mapping Rushdie's journey from a violated body to a voice reasserted through storytelling.

This paper draws upon trauma theory, narrative medicine, and postcolonial criticism to examine how *Knife* operates as a site of both personal healing and political commentary. Beyond documenting an individual trauma, Rushdie critiques the larger structures that enable violence against writers. He locates his personal experience within a continuum of historical censorship and ideological warfare, making *Knife* a critical contribution to contemporary discourses on freedom of expression, religious extremism, and literary dissent.

Trauma narratives, particularly those arising from persecution, illuminate the psychological and sociopolitical structures that shape memory and identity. Rushdie's memoir aligns with the notion of "testimony" as articulated by Shoshana Felman and Dori Laub—a genre that merges personal narrative with historical witnessing (Felman and Laub 5). In *Knife*, Rushdie performs the dual act of bearing witness to his own suffering while interrogating the cultural and ideological conditions that allowed the attack. This dual perspective allows the memoir to function simultaneously as a document of survival and as a critique of systemic intolerance.

Additionally, this paper contextualizes *Knife* within a biopolitical framework by engaging Michel Foucault's theories on state power and Giorgio Agamben's concept of *homo sacer*. Rushdie, in this view, becomes a body marked by sovereign power—targeted, wounded, and rendered vulnerable. Yet through writing, he reclaims agency. *Knife* is not only an account of personal trauma; it is a meditation on the politics of bodily sovereignty, narrative resistance, and the ethics of remembering.

Knife operates within the framework of trauma testimony, where narration functions as both catharsis and reconstruction. Cathy Caruth, in *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*, argues that trauma is not fully experienced in the moment of its occurrence but returns through flashbacks and the repetition of narrative. She observes, "The traumatized… carry an impossible history within them, or they become themselves the symptom of a history that they cannot entirely possess" (Caruth 5).

Rushdie's memoir exemplifies this phenomenon. Rather than following a linear structure, *Knife* is a fragmented meditation, structured as a dialogic engagement with his assailant, pseudonymously named "A."

Addressing the attacker directly serves as a narrative strategy to confront and reframe trauma. The decision to reduce the assailant's identity to a single initial—"A"—anonymizes and diminishes the attacker, thereby stripping him of narrative power. This reflects Dori Laub's assertion that trauma testimony helps survivors regain control over their narrative. Laub contends that the absence of an empathic listener renders trauma silent; testimony restores voice and connection (Laub 68). In *Knife*, the reader is cast in the role of the empathic witness, engaging with Rushdie's vulnerability and participating in his reclamation of narrative authority.

Judith Herman highlights the necessity of reconstructing a coherent narrative as a step toward recovery. Rushdie enacts this process. Despite physical and emotional chaos, he crafts a prose that is controlled, elegant, and laced with reflection. His rhetorical inquiry—"What does it mean to be attacked for your words? What does it mean to be nearly silenced, and yet to speak again?" (Rushdie 29)—underscores the

central concern of the memoir: the fragile yet persistent survival of the authorial voice in the face of annihilation.

Rushdie also addresses the psychological dimension of confronting his assailant's motives, vacillating between fury and bewilderment. In one passage, he reflects on the humanity of his attacker and whether the act was truly ideological or simply an expression of nihilism. This internal conflict becomes a site of ethical and philosophical questioning: Is the capacity for evil innate, or is it manufactured through ideological systems? Rushdie refuses to grant the attacker the satisfaction of being a symbol, instead emphasizing his banality. This echoes Hannah Arendt's notion of the "banality of evil," where the most heinous acts are often committed by individuals devoid of critical self-reflection. By turning the spotlight inward, Rushdie illustrates the trauma survivor's need to make sense of senseless violence.

One of the most poignant aspects of *Knife* is its focus on the body—not only as a site of injury but as a locus of memory and recovery. Rushdie details the corporeal aftermath of the attack—fifteen stab wounds, the loss of an eye, prolonged hospitalization—transforming the body into a palimpsest of suffering. This aligns with Judith Herman's stages of recovery, as Rushdie moves from establishing safety to reconstructing memory and finally restoring connection with the world.

His prose reflects the oscillations of trauma: from despair to humor, rage to reflection. This emotional duality illustrates the non-linear nature of healing. Rushdie's renewed engagement with writing itself becomes an act of resistance. Elaine Scarry, in *The Body in Pain*, argues that physical suffering has the power to destroy language, yet recovery is predicated on the reconstitution of that language (Scarry 4). In *Knife*, language is not destroyed; it becomes a tool of reassertion.

Rushdie's recovery is also marked by community. He frequently acknowledges the support of his wife Rachel, his son, medical professionals, and friends. This communal aspect of healing reflects Arthur Frank's concept of "the wounded storyteller," where narrative is embedded within relational contexts (Frank 199). Rushdie is not portrayed as a solitary hero but as a vulnerable, interconnected being—grateful for love and life, willing to confront pain through storytelling.

Throughout *Knife*, Rushdie employs metaphor to describe pain in ways that are both visceral and poetic. He likens his damaged eye to a "black star"—a powerful image of cosmic collapse that also signals creative regeneration. He remarks, "I lived, but at a price," underscoring how survival itself is both triumph and burden (Rushdie 113). These moments affirm that the body, though wounded, remains a site of aesthetic and existential meaning.

Knife extends beyond personal trauma to critique systemic repression. The stabbing, while singular in execution, is rooted in a decades-long ideological persecution stemming from the fatwa issued in 1989. Rushdie reflects on the absurdity of living under a death sentence for a novel, highlighting the persistent threats to freedom of expression. The memoir historicizes his experience, recalling writers, thinkers, and dissidents who faced censorship or violence. He positions himself within this lineage, transforming individual trauma into collective resistance.

Michel Foucault's concept of biopower—the regulation and subjugation of bodies through ideological systems—is palpable in Rushdie's account. His body becomes a symbolic target of fundamentalist outrage. Giorgio Agamben's *homo sacer* also provides a pertinent framework: Rushdie emerges as a figure who could be killed without legal consequence—a life marked for exclusion from juridical and moral order.

Yet *Knife* is a radical reclamation. By writing, Rushdie transcends the status of *homo sacer*, reaffirming his agency as a writer and human being. The memoir becomes a manifesto: a defiance of authoritarian control, a celebration of literature's enduring power. As Rushdie poignantly declares, "They tried to kill the storyteller. But the story would not die" (Rushdie 199).

In several passages, Rushdie addresses the geopolitical implications of his assault. He critiques not only the fanaticism of the attacker but also the cultural complicity of institutions that remain silent in the face of extremism. He warns that free speech is not merely a Western ideal but a universal necessity, threatened by apathy as much as by violence. His advocacy echoes the sentiments of writers such as Vaclav Havel and Wole Soyinka, who have long argued that silence enables tyranny.

Knife: Meditations After an Attempted Murder is a profound literary testimony that situates personal trauma within broader cultural and political contexts. Through the lenses of trauma theory, biopolitics, and narrative ethics, Rushdie's memoir reveals the resilience of language, the politics of bodily vulnerability, and the transformative potential of storytelling. Narrating trauma, in this case, becomes an act of survival and resistance.

Rushdie transforms his wounded body into a site of memory and meaning, asserting that the voice of the writer cannot be silenced by violence. *Knife* is also a sobering reminder of the ongoing threats to intellectual freedom. Yet above all, it is a celebration—of survival, of love, and of literature. It compels us to read not only with empathy but with vigilance. As such, Rushdie's memoir stands as a luminous testament to the unyielding human spirit.

REFERENCES

Agamben, Giorgio. *Homo Sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life*. Stanford UP, 1998.

Caruth, Cathy. *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1996.

Felman, Shoshana, and Dori Laub. *Testimony: Crises of Witnessing in Literature, Psychoanalysis, and History*. Routledge, 1992.

Foucault, Michel. *The History of Sexuality, Volume 1: An Introduction*. Translated by Robert Hurley, Vintage, 1990.

Frank, Arthur. *The Wounded Storyteller: Body, Illness, and Ethics*. U of Chicago P, 1995.

Herman, Judith. *Trauma and Recovery: The Aftermath of Violence—From Domestic Abuse to Political Terror*. Basic Books, 1992.

Rushdie, Salman. *Knife: Meditations After an Attempted Murder*. Penguin Random House, 2024.

Scarry, Elaine. *The Body in Pain: The Making and Unmaking of the World*. Oxford UP, 1985.