



Moral Development In The School Environment: Applying Kohlberg's Theory Through NEP 2020 Guidelines

Authors

Kirit Patil¹

M.Sc.M.Ed.

Palchhin Yadav²

M.Sc. M.Ed.

Center Of Education

Indian Institute of Teacher Education, Gandhinagar

Abstract

This research paper explores how Kohlberg's theory of moral development can be practically applied in Indian school settings by aligning it with the goals of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. Recognizing that character building and value education are integral to holistic learning, the paper connects Kohlberg's six developmental stages with the cognitive and emotional maturity of school-age children. By dividing these stages across appropriate class levels, the study proposes realistic, age-wise strategies for integrating moral education into everyday school practices. It includes classroom activities, teacher behaviour guidelines and changes to the school environment that together support the moral growth of students. The aim is to help educators build ethical awareness among children not through forced discipline but through developmental understanding, peer influence, role models and critical thinking. This work provides a framework for implementing moral education that is both psychologically grounded and policy-driven, offering educators and school leaders a meaningful way to shape responsible, empathetic and value-oriented future citizens.

Key Words

Moral Development, Kohlberg's Theory, NEP 2020, School Education

Introduction

Moral education has always been an essential part of human development but in today's complex and fast-changing world, its role has become even more critical. With growing concerns around ethical behaviour, social responsibility, empathy and civic consciousness, schools are increasingly being recognized not just as centers for academic learning but also as foundational spaces for character development. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, introduced by the Government of India, strongly reinforces this idea by placing value-based education, ethical reasoning and holistic development at the core of school learning. NEP 2020 emphasizes the need to instill values such as respect, responsibility, compassion and integrity in children from an early age, acknowledging that moral development is not an isolated subject but an integrated outcome of school culture, pedagogy and policy. One of the most influential psychological frameworks to understand how moral thinking evolves in individuals is Lawrence Kohlberg's theory of moral development. Building upon Jean Piaget's cognitive development theory, Kohlberg proposed that moral reasoning progresses in a sequence of six stages grouped into three main levels: pre-conventional, conventional and post-conventional. Each stage reflects a more advanced level of ethical understanding, beginning with obedience to avoid punishment and advancing toward adherence to universal moral principles. Kohlberg's theory highlights that children's moral judgments are influenced by age, social interactions, cognitive maturity and the environment in which they grow. This makes schools a vital space where age-appropriate moral development can be systematically guided.

This research paper proposes a practical, school-based model for applying Kohlberg's theory within the framework of NEP 2020. It explores how the six stages of moral development can be aligned with different class levels and cognitive milestones to provide students with relevant, age-sensitive moral learning experiences. The paper emphasizes the importance of not only teaching moral concepts through textbooks but also embedding them into classroom activities, teacher behaviour, peer relationships and the broader school environment. Teachers are seen as moral agents and role models whose behaviour, language and interactions greatly influence students' ethical understanding. Similarly, daily routines, reward systems, group projects and open discussions can serve as opportunities for moral reflection and decision-making. The core objective of this study is to provide a structured, age-wise framework for schools to implement moral development programs effectively. The paper outlines practical strategies including suggested activities, behavioural expectations for teachers and environmental designs that promote moral reasoning at each developmental stage. It also identifies what practices should be avoided to prevent moral stagnation or confusion among students. By integrating Kohlberg's theoretical insights with the developmental vision of NEP 2020, this research aims to offer actionable solutions for educators, policymakers and school leaders who are committed to nurturing ethical and emotionally intelligent citizens of the future.

Review of Related Literature

Kohlberg's Theory of Moral Development

Lawrence Kohlberg, building on Piaget's work, formulated a comprehensive theory explaining how moral reasoning matures over time. He proposed six stages of moral development grouped into three levels:

-  **Level I: Pre-Conventional Morality** (typically up to age 9)
 - Stage 1: Obedience and Punishment Orientation - Moral reasoning is based on avoiding punishment. Example: A child refrains from hitting because they fear being scolded.
 - Stage 2: Self-Interest Orientation - Right actions serve individual needs or gain rewards. Example: A student behaves in class for extra playtime.
-  **Level II: Conventional Morality** (typically early adolescence)
 - Stage 3: Interpersonal Accord and Conformity - Moral behaviour is driven by social approval. Example: A teenager volunteers because peers value social service.
 - Stage 4: Authority and Social Order Maintaining Orientation - Morality is about obeying laws and fulfilling duties to maintain social order.
-  **Level III: Post-Conventional Morality** (late adolescence to adulthood)
 - Stage 5: Social Contract Orientation - Laws are seen as social contracts to promote the greater good. Rules can be changed if they fail to uphold human rights.
 - Stage 6: Universal Ethical Principles - Individual's act based on internalized universal principles of justice, even if they conflict with laws (Kohlberg, 1981).

Kohlberg's theory highlights that moral development is progressive, age-dependent and heavily influenced by social interaction and structured environments like schools. It encourages educators to adapt their approaches according to the student's stage of reasoning rather than relying on punishment or rigid instruction.

NEP 2020 and Moral Development

The National Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) introduces a paradigm shift by placing character building, ethical reasoning and value education at the heart of school learning. It advocates for integrating values such as truth (satya), non-violence (ahimsa), righteous conduct (dharma), peace (shanti) and compassion (prem) into the curriculum across all levels of education (Chakma & Dvivedi, 2023). NEP emphasizes the use of experiential learning, storytelling, critical thinking and community-based learning to instil moral and civic values from foundational to higher secondary stages. It recognizes the need for contextual learning, respecting cultural diversity and developing empathy and human values through holistic practices. Moreover, it states that teachers must be trained in value-oriented teaching practices and must act as ethical role models. According to Chakma and Dvivedi, NEP 2020 recommends a stage-wise inculcation of values, aligning well with Kohlberg's progressive framework. Their analysis highlights how curriculum design and teacher preparation must focus not just on academics, but on forming ethical citizens who contribute positively to society.

Role of Teachers and Learning Environment

Several scholars argue that the learning environment, along with the teacher's behaviour, significantly impacts a child's moral reasoning. Teachers are seen not just as content deliverers but as facilitators of moral dialogue. The role of the school extends beyond classrooms reward systems, student councils, discipline policies and peer activities all influence moral development. Experiential learning, as discussed by H. Malsawmdawnga and Pooja Walia (2023), supports the development of life skills and values through real-world engagement. They argue that when students are actively involved in learning through experience, reflection and application, it leads to deeper moral understanding and stronger ethical behaviour. The classroom must offer space for moral discussion, ethical dilemmas and collaborative decision-making. Teachers must avoid authoritarianism and instead create respectful, inclusive environments where students can internalize values through modelling and practice.

Research Gap and Rationale

While NEP 2020 recognizes the need for value education and Kohlberg's theory provides a psychological framework, there is limited structured research on how both can be integrated in a systematic, age-specific and school-wide manner. Most existing works focus either on theoretical discussion or general value inculcation but fail to propose a practical, stage-wise strategy that maps Kohlberg's stages to specific class levels with recommended activities, teacher behaviours and environmental conditions. This study aims to fill that gap by offering an implementable framework that connects psychological theory with educational policy, thereby helping schools nurture ethical and socially responsible students.

Moral Development in NEP 2020: Significant Shift

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 marks a significant shift in India's educational landscape by broadening the scope of learning beyond academic excellence to include the holistic development of learners. At its core, the policy envisions education as a means to foster not only intellectual growth but also ethical and moral consciousness. It recognizes that character formation is as crucial as cognitive development, especially in shaping responsible, empathetic and socially aware citizens. One of the foundational pillars of NEP 2020 is value-based education which is emphasized across all stages of schooling from the foundational years through secondary education. The policy underscores the integration of moral values, life skills, constitutional awareness and ethics as an inseparable part of curriculum design. Rather than treating moral instruction as a separate subject, NEP 2020 advocates for its infusion across disciplines and learning experiences, encouraging students to engage in reflective thinking and internalize ethical principles through practice and participation. Another salient feature of the policy is the emphasis on teacher preparation and capacity-building with specific reference to the role of educators in modelling moral behaviour. Teachers are expected not only to instruct but also to inspire functioning as mentors who exhibit fairness, compassion and integrity in their interactions with students. NEP recommends that teacher education programs incorporate training in emotional intelligence, conflict resolution and ethical leadership, enabling teachers to create a classroom environment conducive to value formation.

Practical Application and Stage-wise Implementation in School Settings

Translating theoretical frameworks into classroom practice is essential for meaningful moral development. Kohlberg's theory of moral development with its six progressive stages, offers a psychologically sound roadmap that can be effectively applied within the school environment. Each stage represents a qualitatively different mode of moral reasoning and when mapped onto corresponding age groups, it provides a developmentally appropriate guide for curriculum planning and instructional strategies. NEP 2020's emphasis on age-appropriate learning, experiential education and teacher-led value formation makes it an ideal framework to operationalize Kohlberg's model. The stage-wise application of moral development in school curricula ensures that moral instruction resonates with the learner's cognitive and emotional maturity. Here's how each stage can be strategically integrated into the school system:

Stage-Wise Class Grouping and Expected Moral Traits

Stage	Approximate Class Level	Focus	Teacher Behaviour	Environment
Stage 1	Grades 1–2	Obedience and consequences	Provide clear instructions; enforce rules gently	Maintain a structured, predictable and secure classroom
Stage 2	Grades 3–4	Fairness and reward	Use reward-based encouragement; explain rules	Create group tasks and reward systems that promote fairness
Stage 3	Grades 5–7	Social approval and empathy	Praise cooperative behaviour; model empathy	Foster a sense of belonging through teamwork and peer interaction
Stage 4	Grades 8–10	Law, order and duty	Link discipline to social order; introduce roles of responsibility	Encourage participation in school governance and rule-setting
Stage 5	Grades 11–12	Rights and social contract	Encourage open dialogue on ethical issues	Conduct model parliaments, structured debates and rights-based projects
Stage 6	Beyond school	Universal ethical principles	Stimulate critical ethical reasoning	Support real-life ethical inquiries and long-term value projects

This table provides a blueprint for aligning school practices with moral development stages, ensuring that students not only understand values but also internalize and practice them in age-appropriate ways.

Age-Appropriate Activities for Moral Development

To ensure that moral learning is not confined to theoretical discourse, NEP 2020 supports experiential learning and co-curricular engagements. Activities should be designed to reflect the student's evolving sense of right and wrong and progressively challenge them to act responsibly and think ethically.

- Grades 1–4: At this early stage, children respond best to concrete actions and outcomes. Activities like role-playing stories with moral choices, maintaining kindness journals, or collaboratively creating a classroom rules chart help instil basic values like honesty, sharing and respect.
- Grades 5–7: As children begin to seek social approval and understand empathy, activities such as peer mediation, “walk in their shoes” empathy games and community volunteering tasks can deepen their moral insight and social connection.

- Grades 8–10: This phase marks the ability to understand structured systems and responsibilities. Students can participate in civic clubs, traffic rule awareness programs or even create a classroom constitution that promotes dialogue and accountability.
- Grades 11–12: At this higher stage of abstract reasoning, adolescents' benefit from debates on real ethical dilemmas, case study discussions, community service and engagement with United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). These activities promote moral autonomy and prepare students to engage with complex social realities.



Dos and Don'ts for Educators

Ensuring moral development in classrooms is not only about what is taught but also how it is delivered. Teachers play a pivotal role as facilitators of moral learning. The following dos and don'ts can guide educators in shaping a nurturing and ethically stimulating environment:

✓ Do:

- **Facilitate moral dialogue:** Encourage students to express and evaluate different perspectives on moral dilemmas, helping them develop ethical reasoning.
- **Model ethical conduct:** Be a consistent role model by displaying honesty, empathy and fairness in all interactions.
- **Promote reflection:** Use storytelling, journaling and group discussions to help students reflect on their values and actions.
- **Create a safe space:** Foster an emotionally secure classroom where students feel comfortable discussing sensitive or ethical issues.
- **Integrate across subjects:** Embed moral questions and values in diverse subjects like literature, social science and even science ethics.

✗ Don't:

- **Avoid controversial topics:** Shielding students from real-world ethical challenges limits moral growth. Instead, approach such topics with sensitivity and openness.
- **Use punitive discipline excessively:** Fear-based control undermines internal moral development. Favour positive reinforcement and reasoned guidance.
- **Ignore unethical behaviour:** Dismissing small acts of dishonesty or disrespect sends mixed signals. Every incident is a teachable moment.
- **Be inconsistent in expectations:** Mixed messaging from authority figures confuses students about right and wrong. Moral standards must be consistently upheld.
- **Dominate moral discussions:** Allow students to construct their moral frameworks instead of imposing adult viewpoints.

Conclusion

Kohlberg's theory with its clearly defined stages of moral development, offers an empirically grounded structure for moral education in schools. When this framework is thoughtfully integrated with the values and vision of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, it empowers educators to shape morally conscious and responsible citizens. NEP's emphasis on value-based education, experiential learning and teacher training aligns perfectly with Kohlberg's idea that moral growth is a developmental and social process. By implementing age-appropriate strategies ranging from rule-following activities in early grades to ethical debates in senior classes schools can cultivate ethical reasoning, empathy and civic responsibility in learners. Ultimately, character formation is not an isolated goal but a continuous process embedded in every interaction, subject and decision. With intentional practice, India's classrooms can become not only centers of academic excellence but also laboratories of moral and ethical living.

References

- Berkowitz, M. W., & Bier, M. C. (2005). *What works in character education: A research-driven guide for educators*. Character Education Partnership.
- Chakma, R., & Dvivedi, S. (2023). Integrating moral values in education: A policy review of NEP 2020. *Journal of Indian Educational Policy Review*, 10(2), 35–48.
- Ghosh, S. (2022). Holistic education and NEP 2020: A philosophical perspective. *Indian Journal of Educational Philosophy*, 7(1), 12–22.
- Gilligan, C. (1982). *In a different voice: Psychological theory and women's development*. Harvard University Press.
- Kaur, G., & Sharma, V. (2020). Character building through curriculum: Role of teachers and schools in NEP 2020. *Educational Insights*, 18(2), 45–53.
- Kohlberg, L. (1981). *The philosophy of moral development: Moral stages and the idea of justice* (Vol. 1). Harper & Row.
- Lickona, T. (1991). *Educating for character: How our schools can teach respect and responsibility*. Bantam Books.
- Malsawmdawnga, H., & Walia, P. (2023). Experiential learning as a tool for value inculcation in school education. *International Journal of Education and Development*, 15(1), 42–56.
- Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf
- Narvaez, D., & Lapsley, D. K. (2005). The psychological foundations of moral education and character development. In M. Killen & J. Smetana (Eds.), *Handbook of moral and character education* (2nd ed., pp. 140–157). Routledge.
- NCTE (National Council for Teacher Education). (2021). *Guidelines for teacher education under NEP 2020*. <https://ncte.gov.in>
- Noddings, N. (2002). *Educating moral people: A caring alternative to character education*. Teachers College Press.
- Piaget, J. (1965). *The moral judgment of the child* (M. Gabain, Trans.). Free Press. (Original work published 1932)

Singh, A., & Rani, P. (2021). The role of NEP 2020 in transforming school education in India: A review. *International Journal of Education Reform*, 30(3), 287–299.

UNESCO. (2015). *Rethinking education: Towards a global common good?*
<https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000232555>

