



# A Portable Biomass Thermoelectric Cookstove With Integrated PCM For Dual Cooking And Power Generation In Off-Grid Settings

Lankesh Kumar. K<sup>1</sup>, Dr.K.M. Purushothama<sup>2</sup>

1\*Mechanical Engineering, Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Technology, Bengaluru,560056, Karnataka, India

2 \*Mechanical Engineering, Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Technology, Bengaluru,560056, Karnataka, India

## Abstract

With the growing need for clean, decentralised fuels of energy in remote and areas prone to disasters, new forms of biomass fuelled thermoelectric technologies have been expanded. A portable thermoelectric cookstove capable of generating electricity from biomass combustion is designed, developed, and optimized in this paper. Thermoelectric generators (TEGs), heat sinks and insulation are combined into the stove to improve thermal efficiency and power output. The system was subject to Key performance tests such as Water Boiling Test (WBT), Temperature Duration Test (TDT), and Power Output Test (POT) under different configurations and environmental conditions. Thermal dissipation and voltage consistency were superior for four heat sink geometries tested (vertical fins, pin-type, flower-type, and extended flower-type) and vertical fin results were obtained. A continuous 3 V–7 V DC was produced by the optimized cookstove to power 6 LED lights at once or communications devices including ‘walkie-talkies’. The efficiency was greatly improved by the integration of a latent heat storage using phase change material (PCM) and thermal insulation using glass wool. The design is suitable for rural electrification in areas of the world exposed to natural disasters and with no dependable grid infrastructure.

**Keywords:** Thermoelectric Cookstove, Biomass Combustion, Phase Change Material (PCM), Heat Sink Geometry, Rural Electrification

## 1. Introduction

Due to the push for global energy equity and sustainability, devices for decentralized, low cost, environmentally friendly energy generation are greatly desired. It is usually the case that rural and disaster-prone areas lack access to reliable electricity leaving few options to integrate daily energy use with power generation. One such innovation in integrating traditional cooking with demand for modern power is biomass fired thermoelectric cookstove.

The Seebeck effect is used to use heat energy from combustion of biomass to produce electricity in a thermoelectric cookstove. The Seebeck effect is that of the generation of voltage across two dissimilar conductors or semiconductors when a temperature gradient is provided. This effect is tapped by optimised thermoelectric generators, one side of which is insulated to maintain a temperature differential between its hot side and its cooling side (which is in turn incarcerated to protect against loss) [1-6].

Biomass stoves are ubiquitous for cooking in traditional settings where they are found in rural areas. Yet, an energy inefficient characteristic and waste heat producers lie in these stoves. Because this unused heat represents a resource loss and because poor people face indoor air pollution, this heat is not only wasted, but it is also a risk to health. Thermoelectric cookstove proposed addresses both problems by converting wasted heat to electricity and improving fuel combustion efficiency and cut down environmental impacts. The concept of this work derived from the fact that a good deal of heat energy (in particular, that released during cooking with charcoal, coconut shells, wood, and honeycomb briquettes) is wasted. The thrust of this study is to recover and convert that heat into electricity to power such things as mobile devices, small LED lights or drive basic electronics that are required to sustain off grid communities [7-9].

Ahmed et al. [10] carried out a longitudinal evaluation study in rural India focusing on measuring sustaining the use of and effects of Thermo-Electric Generator (TEG) cookstoves. Even though the stoves were fairly promising in to begin with (i.e. the stoves produced less smoke, and consumed less fuelwood), the study found that there was a vast reduction in the usage of stoves two years after deployment. The study applies behavioural science models such as the Behaviour Change Wheel and the COM-B model to identify behaviours that are an obstacle to sustainable use. These are physical restrictions (e.g. small fuel entry hole, limited functionality) and mental restrictions (e.g. perceived complexity, unknown opportunity of the long-term benefits). Although they had accepted to use the cookstove, none of them used it during the one month before the survey. The authors recommend co-designing the solutions according to the local needs and incorporating the educational effort to convey both visible and health-related advantages. This paper is an important reminder that the technical solutions are not enough regarding adoption and long-term effects, because of the user-centered design, continuing engagement, and supportive infrastructure.

Jewitt and Raman [11] critically review the Indian challenges of influencing and pursuing an energy poverty policy in India by spreading the use of improved cookstoves and fuelling biofuels with the approach of market-based development. Although we should not discount and act on the theory that decentralized clean energy systems have, in theory, great potential, the article makes the argument that real-world experiences have been plagued by socio-political issues. Well-meaning government interventions have time and again worsened intra-communal inequalities and land-use politics especially in tribal and agrarian areas. Other criticism led by the authors is based on the use of private-sector partnerships and user-centered marketing approach since such strategies are usually aimed at promoting commercial objectives rather than the access to fair energy provision. Their discussions shed some light to the weaknesses of institutional reforms which fail to consider thoroughly the prevailing social hierarchies and livelihoods in rural societies. The article plays an important

role in the current debate about sustainable development at asking that more participatory, context-oriented rural energy planning needs to be put in place which shuns the technocratic and market-oriented paradigm.

Additionally, phase change materials (PCMs) are incorporated for the storage and delayed thermal dissipation of heat, prolonging of power output following extinction of the flame. In addition to reducing convective heat losses it further improves the cookstove's energy efficiency. Material selection, temperature thresholds as well as expected output power were used to determine the basis for the integration of the TEG modules into the stove. At medium temperature (up to 330–400 °C) range, Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> based thermoelectric modules were selected with high performance. The system is intended to be small, lighter, simpler and more easily transportable systems as they are for outdoor fans, emergency response teams, and rural households [10-14]. In addition, the cold side of the TEGs were experimentally tested for different heat sink configurations to optimize the heat sink configuration. In the real world, vertical fins, pin-type fins and flower-type fins were all studied for heat dissipation as it is very important due to heat transfer. The objective was to find an optimal design for long term life without active cooling systems [12-16]. In this work, a thermoelectric biomass stove prototype is explored from a thermodynamic perspective in regard to its performance, energy generation potential, and real world usability. This discusses the technical considerations in material selection, stove construction, and thermal optimization; however, electrical performance assessment is not discussed. Above all, the device is intended to combat energy poverty but it also reaches its potential as a scalable, environmentally friendly means to supply basic electricity needs.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1 System Design and Architecture

In this study, we further developed the thermoelectric cookstove system that consists of a very carefully designed collection and set of synergistically integrated components (shedding light on some of what can be achieved), each critical to the energy conversion efficiency and overall system functionality in off grid or resource constrained environments.

The system is heart-based, as the combustion chamber is cylindrical design, of mild steel (MS) for structural integrity and high thermal conductivity. Dual function of ceramic block as retaining heat during combustion and reflecting and concentrating the heat towards the stove walls where thermoelectric modules are mounted in the inner lining.

Bismuth telluride (Bi<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>) based thermoelectric modules are based on a material, which has a good thermoelectric performance at moderate temperature ranges. They are there in these modules installed to the outer wall of the combustion chamber absorbing both radiated as well as conducted heat from the combustion chamber. Heat sinks are attached to the cold side of each TEG in order to maintain the requisite temperature differential for effective power generation. The possibility of natural convection both in temperature and in

subsonic flow was investigated for four types of heat sinks: vertical fins, a type of flower, extended flower type and pin type to identify the optimal heat sink configuration for dissipating heat.

Glass wool insulation also called quilt wool, will be wrapped around the stove body in order to prevent unwanted thermal losses. It minimizes convective heat transfer to the surrounding air, and thereby utilizes more of the energy in a thermoelectric conversion pathway. Moreover, around the heat exchange zones embeds paraffin- based phase change material (PCM). This PCM stores surplus heat during the peak combustion period and releases it slowly during the non-combustion period, thereby increasing power generation duration.

Then, the electrical output from the TEGs are connected to a DC circuit through a USB interface. The stove's power allows it to power 1–6 LED bulbs (1–3 watts each), and even charge small electronic devices like mobile phones and power banks. The cookstove is a self-contained, practical solution for rural electrification due to its integration of heat recovery, energy storage, and direct power delivery.

## 2.2 Fabrication Process

Mild steel (MS) sheets were chosen for its strength and durability in addition to being thermally conductive in fabrication of the stove body. To promote thermal stability and improve the internal heat retention, the inner chamber was partially lined with a ceramic wall, which serves the function of an insulating layer and reflecting and concentrating the heat onto the TEGs. Strategically, these  $\text{Bi}_2\text{Te}_3$  based TEGs were mounted on the outer surface of the combustion chamber at locations where they were determined to be the hottest zones as preliminarily mapped. Additional thermal conduction was achieved by placing the stove wall and the TEGs in an aluminium plate. A heat sink selected from different tested types was placed on the cold side (ie. heat reject side) of each TEG to maintain temperature differential for efficient power generation under natural convection conditions. Voltage and power output were continuously monitored by use of a digital multimeter that was connected to appropriately matched load resistors. It was able to collect these data with precision under varying fuel conditions for performance comparisons and to optimize the thermoelectric component of the conversion system of the stove. Fig.1 shows the thermo-Electric Stove setup with Material and Component Layout. Fig.2 Optimization of Thermoelectric Stove Performance.




Fig.1 Thermo-Electric Stove setup with Material and Component Layout





Fig.2 Optimization of Thermoelectric Stove Performance

## 2.3 Experimental Setup

Thermoelectric cookstove was experimental evaluated under real world, ambient outdoor conditions in order to replicate typical usage scenarios. These three types of biomass fuels were tested in three separate test sessions, including a standardized fuel load of 250 grams per session, using charcoal, wood (dry branch) and honeycomb briquettes. In order to assess performance of the stove across the three different fuels, the three main metrics were measured. The first was the Water Boiling Test (WBT), by which 2 liters of water placed on top of the stove was reported to become boiled within allotted, and hence direct, indicators of heat transfer efficiency. The Temperature Duration Test (TDT) was the second metric and a measurement of how long the stove could have maintained cooking temperatures close to 400 degrees after being ignited, providing information about thermal retention and fuel combustion. Finally, the Power Output Test (POT) came to include electrical generation by measuring both the open circuit voltage and a matched voltage across a

resistive load in order to calculate the power output of the thermoelectric modules. The digital multimeters were unwillingly used, and the tests recorded precisely and collectively gave a performance profile of the stove under different fuels types and conditions. Fig.3 Cookstove performance evaluation process.



**Fig.3 Cookstove performance evaluation process**

## 2.4 Heat Sink Evaluation

Four different heat sink configurations were tested under identical combustion and ambient environmental conditions in order to optimize the thermal management of the thermoelectric generator (TEG) system. The fins that were designed for natural heat dissipation included vertical fins, flower fins, extended flower fins and pin type fins, which all possessed specific geometry and surface area. The problem was to identify the configuration that would keep a sufficiently large temperature gradient across the TEG modules while at the same time removing a maximum amount of heat from the cold side. Each heat sink was evaluated on how well it retained voltage output over time, which was directly proportional to thermal gradient and, therefore, power generation efficiency. In addition, the thermal dissipation rate, mechanical design complexity, weight, and material cost were also included. Some heat sinks were bulkier or more expensive to manufacture, but better cooled. Eventually, the vertical fin design turned out to have been a good solution as it largely provided superior heat dissipation, mechanical simplicity and cost, and was suitable for integration into portable, off grid energy systems. Fig.4 shows the heat sink Design Evaluation Process.




Fig.4 Heat sink Design Evaluation Process

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Heat Sink Performance Evaluation

In optimizing the thermoelectric cookstove performance, the optimization of heat dissipation from the cold side of the thermoelectric generator (TEG) modules turned out to be one of the critical aspects. Since it is desirable to achieve high heat flow rates to high temperatures, four separate heat sink designs were experimentally evaluated: vertical fins, pin type fins, flower fins, and extended flower fins. The passive cooling through natural convection and radiation were provided through each design. Three parameters of the heat sinks were assessed, that is, maximum open circuit voltage ( $V_{oc}$ ), time obtained to reach peak voltage, and voltage maintained for 20 minutes of operation.

The vertical fin heat sink was found as the most effective, achieving up to 6.2 V in just 8 minutes and then sustaining up to 5.4 V for 20 minutes as shown in Table 1. Fig.5 presents the graphical representation of Voltage Output Comparison Across Heat Sink Configurations

This is attributed to its ability to have larger surface area and have a linear geometry which enhanced the airflow pathways and helped remove the heat quickly. The least efficient of the fins was the pin-type, which being more compact and potentially cheaper suffered from only 5.4 V at peak and just 4.2 V after 20 mins due to its small surface area and less favourable air flow dynamics. In achieving a momentary voltage of 5.9 V, the flower fins were unable to maintain this output dropping instead to 4.5 V, which is possibly due to heat dispersion and airflow obstruction caused by the intricate design. Even though extended flower fins are slightly better than the standard flower type with a sustained voltage of 4.8 V, they did not reach the desired vehicle performance. The results clearly show that the geometry and orientation of the heat sink are critical

for design and operation of efficient thermal gradient across TEG modules. Vertical fins tested among the others, however, provided the best of thermal efficiency, durability, and manufacturability, capitalizing them as the most appropriate for real world use in off grid thermoelectric systems [17-20].

Table 1: Open Circuit Voltage Comparison Across Heat Sink Types

| Heat Sink Type       | Max Open Circuit Voltage (Voc) | Time to Peak Voltage | Sustained Voltage (20 mins) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Vertical Fins        | 6.2 V                          | 8 mins               | 5.4 V                       |
| Pin-Type Fins        | 5.4 V                          | 6 mins               | 4.2 V                       |
| Flower Fins          | 5.9 V                          | 10 mins              | 4.5 V                       |
| Extended Flower Fins | 5.7 V                          | 9 mins               | 4.8 V                       |




Fig.5 Voltage Output Comparison Across Heat Sink Configurations

Both the positive and the negative trends in the open circuit voltage performance of different designs of heat sink in the thermoelectric cookstove can be seen to be due to a number of interrelated thermal, geometric and functional factors. The greatest factor behind this is in the amount of surface area in which heat can be dissipated. The vertical fin heat sinks have relatively greater surface area thus can transfer heat much better both via natural heating and radiations. This benefit allows a different temperature gradient within the thermoelectric generator (TEG) modules hence generating more voltages. Vertical fins also are linear and upright in geometry, which helps in maintaining free flow channels of the air which in turn better facilitates

the process of extracting the hot air upwards and this is also not well achieved in case of compact or intricately designed fins like pin-type or flower fins that have restricted channels of flow of air.

Also, the thermal mass of every type of heat sink will determine its capability to absorb and discharge heat. Vertical fins perhaps have a best ratio of mass to surface amount which will yield rapid heat response and strong performance. On the other hand, the pin-type fins are susceptible to uneven heating or rapid heat due to fewer available masses or surface areas, which has an impact on their capability of maintaining a sustained voltage output. The effectiveness of natural convection is another aspect, which the vertical fin design with an upright orientation and alternately spaced fins are optimally suited to utilize, the complex geometry will also cause turbulence in the flow, and will lower the effectiveness of the natural convection force.

The radiative heat loss also plays significant role in the total thermal performance. Vertical fins have open design and low surface profile that enables enhanced radiant heat dissipation to environment. Conversely, flower like fins having interlapping and deformed surfaces can constrain the openness of line of sight, decreasing the efficacy of radiative dissipation. The thermal resistance between the TEG modules and the heat sink should also be addressed with the concern of equal significance. Vertical fin designs with flat and smooth bases will give enhanced thermal contact and thus reduce the resistance and enhance the heat transfer. Conversely, on pin-type or flower fins arrays of uneven or narrow contact areas are possible, and this can raise resistance and compromise thermal efficiency.

Performance outcome is also determined by manufacturability and mechanical accuracy. Vertical fins are also easy to create in high levels of dimensional fidelity and this reduces flaw which may hamper heat transfer. Finer geometries of fin are prone to error like non-uniformity of contact surfaces or irregular spacing which impact adversely on the passage of heat. Moreover, the realistic operating conditions, frequently dusty or biomass-rich, indicate that uncomplicated and open solutions such as vertical fins would be preferable since they are less likely to be airflow impeded by the debris stuck in it. Complex patterns on the contrary are more likely to entrap particles and become less effective with time[21-25].

The other issue is structural integrity subjected to heat. The prolong long flower fins can be weaker, it could deform at high temperatures, in contrast, the vertical fins are structurally rigid and have constant geometry over its life cycle. Last but not least, it should be said that flower and extended flower fin may provide aesthetic value or size-reduction, but their visually complex structure creates thermal inefficiencies. Such designs have a lot of turbulence and surface exposure does not allow good cooling. The current analysis shows that passive thermal solutions in engineering should focus more on functional geometry and sound airflow patterns than aesthetic or compact solutions. Vertical fins were found to be the most viable heat sink in terms of thermal efficiency, mechanical strength and ductility and replicability, with regards to all the types of heat sink which have been tested, and this makes them the best solution to lay out in the real-life situation of off-grid thermoelectric systems[26-30].

### 3.2 Power Output and Load Performance

The thermoelectric cookstove was evaluated under matched load conditions in order to determine the relationship between the temperature differential across the thermoelectric modules as a function of power output. Three different biomass fuels, all of mass approximately 250 grams (charcoal, dry branches, and honeycomb briquettes) were used to assess the performance of the stove. As shown in Table 2, power output and duration of electrical generation varied significantly with the fuel type. Fig.6 presents the graphical representation of comparison of power output and output duration for different fuel types.

Honeycomb briquettes had the best performance: maximum power output of 4.0 W and great viability of generating electricity for up to 150 minutes. A large unique maximum voltage of 7.0 volts was obtained over the greatest voltage range from 3.2 to 7.0 volts, indicating the stability and endurance of the combustion process. In this way, honeycomb briquettes have the dense composition and uniform structure that makes them burn more slowly and steadily than other fuel types. They are able to release a constant stream of heat, allowing the TEG modules sufficient temperature differential for long periods to maximize the electrical output.

Unlike charcoal, the power output produced from charcoal was only 3.0 W for 120 minutes, however it did require constant stoking and airflow adjustment to maintain combustion. Charcoal has very high calorific value and clean burning characteristics but it had a tendency to lose heat quickly and was sensitive to air supply which posed challenge to its overall efficiency in this setup.

**Table 2: Power Output at Various Fuel Conditions**

| Fuel Type           | Mass (g) | Power Output (W) | Output Duration (min) | Voltage Range (V) |
|---------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Charcoal            | 250      | 3.0 W            | 120                   | 3.5–6.2           |
| Wood (dry branches) | 250      | 2.2 W            | 90                    | 3.0–5.8           |
| Honeycomb Briquette | 250      | 4.0 W            | 150                   | 3.2–7.0           |




Fig.6 comparison of power output and output duration for different fuel types

The lowest power output was at 2.2 W followed by a reduced output duration of 90 minutes as well as the power output for dry branches (specified in the method section). Natural wood presents an irregular shape and a varying density that also produces fluctuating combustion rates and a differing heat distribution. This causes a less stable temperature gradient across the TEGs and therefore reduces voltage stability and therefore power production.

The patterns exhibited by the power output and running performance of thermoelectric cookstove concerning the various biomass fuels can be described by having number of interrelated factors. The high performance of honeycomb briquettes has one of the main reasons in alertness to stability in the combustion of wood and heat discharge to a uniform degree. Such dense and uniform structure will facilitate slow and gradual ablation and the temperature difference across the thermoelectric generator (TEG) modules is maintained at a steady rate. This stationary permits maintenance of high voltage range, protruding to 7.0 volts, and capable of generating up to 150 minutes, revealing endurance as well as reliability of combustion process.

The other factor is the density of fuel and slower rate of energy release that is related to briquettes. Briquettes make it possible to have the flow of fuel that continually burns as opposed to charcoal or wood which can be fast burned up. Such stepwise thermal energy release reduces sudden temperature changes and gives a prolonged thermal gradient to the TEG modules needed to maximize power-to-electricity conversion efficiency. Long life of power that is produced using briquettes is directly related to their capacity to support the required thermal conditions during extended periods.

Moreover, range of voltages worked by each form of fuel give understanding into system effectiveness. The wide range of voltage across of 3.2-7.0V observed in the case of briquettes not only means high peak voltage, but also steady voltage, which is a guarantee of prolonged and steady heat delivery. Charcoal on the contrary

was characterized by a smaller voltage range with low stability even though it is free burning and has high calorific value. It has a habit of heating up and cooling down so fast and this does not auger well with its abilities to maintain the performance of the TEG over a period.

Charcoal also demanded a lot of manual evaluation as it had to be frequently stoked and supplied air to ensure a steady combustion process. Such sensitivity to availability of oxygen also interferes with the thermal stability and leads to inconsistency in heat flow to the TEG modules, which lowers efficiency of the total system. Conversely, their self-sustaining nature of combustions in briquettes minimizes the user manipulation and increases the independence of the system [31-36]. The much more readily available dry branches tend to have variable moisture content, which influences ignition and combustion characteristics. Wood still ignites and burns fast even when dry, so it has only a limited supply of heat. This restricts the possible duration of usage of a practical temperature difference over the TEG modules, thus cutting electricity and power production. There is also the build up of ash and combustion leftovers. Fuels such as wood and charcoal are more likely to generate ash, which will disrupt the flow of heat to the surroundings in case it covers the firebed or becomes smothered in air circulation channels. These blockages impede and lower thermal efficiency as well as stable power production. Comparatively, briquettes have a limited output of ashes and much clean burning (this aids continuous provision of heat). The other factor of consideration is the level of heat transfer towards the TEG and the effectiveness of the combustion heat onto reaching the TEG interface. The uniform burn pattern and strength in other words the integrity of the honeycomb briquettes enhances the heat contact of the hot combustion gases with the mass of the stove body leading to the increase in the temperature differential upon which the TEGs depends. Lastly, the fabricated structure of honeycomb briquettes makes their performance distinct as well. These briquettes can be designed efficiently by being more functional such as built-in air channels and standard shapes which will keep them from premature burning. This is unlike the irregular shape and unstable composition of such natural fuels as wood and charcoal which may result in the unfeasible thermal performance[34-36].

The obtained results show that fuel selection is an important parameter in maximizing thermoelectric stove performance. The honeycomb briquettes provide a cleaner and longer burn with a more reliable energy source for electricity generation in off grid applications. Their features of consistent combustion characteristics reduce users intervention and provide steady power output which are favorable for the practical application of their combustion systems in rural electrification scenario or that of emergency relief [36-40].

### **3.3 Effect of Insulation and PCM on Efficiency**

The inclusion of appropriate amounts of glass wool insulation and paraffin based phase change material (PCM) served as a key enhancement in the performance of the thermoelectric cookstove. The major challenges in thermoelectric energy conversion that were addressed via this design intervention were minimizing heat loss from thermoelectric generator (TEG) modules and increasing the temperature gradient in the TEG modules beyond active combustion occurs. Table 3 demonstrates quantitatively the effectiveness of this

improvement in improving system thermal behavior by means of comparing the system with and without thermal management components.

If operated without insulation, the peak temperature of the stove was 310 °C and thermal efficiency was 5.86 %. In addition, the residual heat time (or duration of the fire, over which useful heat was still available for electricity generation) was limited to 15 minutes. However, in contrast to the ceramic chamber, the addition of glass wool around the ceramic chamber reduced convective heat losses of the ceramic chamber to the environment. Because it acts as a highly effective thermal barrier, glass wool retains that heat within the combustion zone and funnels it into the TEG modules.

The thermal inertia of paraffin-based PCM further amplified the system performance by integrating it. When the PCM is in active combustion, the PCM absorbs and stores excess heat. Soon after combustion stops, this stored latent heat will be released gradually, allowing for a long duration temperature differential across the TEGs. Thermal efficiency improved to 9.23%, up some 57.5% from the 5.78% it achieved while obtaining a realization increase in the maximum operating temperature from 360°C to the one usually experienced at an ambient temperature of 80°C. Furthermore, the residual heat time was increased by more than a factor of two to 35 minutes to continue to produce power even without active fuel combustion.

This result validates the hypothesis that it is desirable to combine latent heat storage and thermal insulation in a thermoelectric system to optimize its effectiveness in intermittent use applications. Moreover, it improves the system in terms of the energy conversion capability and usability and reliability in real world applications where fuel availability or user supervision may not be consistent. The cookstove is more sustainable and dependable energy solution for off grid users due to its prolonged power output, especially valuable for lighting or charging devices during nighttime or post cook hours [40-45].

**Table 3: Efficiency Comparison with and Without Insulation**

| <b>Stove Configuration</b> | <b>Max Temperature (°C)</b> | <b>Thermal Efficiency (%)</b> | <b>Residual Heat Time (min)</b> |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Without Insulation         | 310                         | 5.86                          | 15                              |
| With Glass Wool + PCM      | 360                         | 9.23                          | 35                              |

The performance trends that were displayed as shown in Table 3 can be explained by a number of imperative improvements brought due to the implementation of a combination of glass wool insulation and paraffin-based phase change material (PCM). The high convective heat loss is also greatly reduced as this was lower due to the addition of glass wool around the ceramic chamber thereby enhancing the performance of the motor. When there is no insulation, a big portion of heat created is released to the external environment thus losing the temperature that can be converted into thermoelectric energy. An efficient thermal insulator in the form

of glass wool, embeds the heat in the combustion chamber and distributes it more carefully to the thermoelectric generator (TEG) modules, which retains a high internal temperature inside.

Other than insulating, PCM integration is an important thing in that PCM acts as a thermal buffer. In active combustion, excess heat in the form of latent energy is being absorbed and stored on the PCM. This stored energy becomes dissipated continuously after the end of the combustion process, so that the cookstove can achieve a workable temperature difference across the TEG modules over a long period of time. This thermal inertia is so that once the fire extinguishes, the use of TEG modules may still work efficiently, which increases the residual heat quite notably (15min to 35min residual heat as a result of improving the thermal management).

A significant rise in thermal efficiency is achieved as well by effects of increased insulation and latent heat storage in combination. Increment of efficiency is about 57.5 percent as the efficiency increases to 9.23 percent under conditions of glass wool and PCM after starting without insulation at only 5.86 percent. This is done simply because a better use of the dispersed combustion heat is being done and this is being preserved and utilized as power generation rather than being lost as before. Moreover, maximum operating temperature of the stove can also be increased by allowing it to retain more heat and becomes 360°C instead of 310°C and this improves the thermoelectric power further by keeping a higher temperature difference between TEG modules.


The other valuable feature of this design is that it can support intermittent operation, which is especially useful in an off-grid or a resource-constrained scenario. The cookstove PCM allows the cookstove to carry on producing power upon termination of the active flame, thus the system is stronger and less reliant upon user constant attention or regular fuel supply. Such expanded capability does not only make the practicality of the cookstove bigger but makes it more sustainable as a decentralized generation of power.

Furthermore, the extended period of heating and the increased efficiency make the stove suitable in the off-grid application, especially when used to light-up or charge the appliances at night. This causes the thermoelectric cookstove not only to be used as a cooking appliance but also a long term source of electrical power, one that may be used to do anything outside the cooking time. Essentially, both glass wool and PCM make the cookstove an improved energy solution, which is more efficient, durable, and easy to use, able to provide steady performance in highly fluctuating reality [46-52].

### 3.4 Water Boiling and Thermal Duration Tests

This was especially important as the Water Boiling Test (WBT) was critical as the manner by which the heat transfer performance and real-world cooking efficiency of the thermoelectric cookstove was evaluated. For this test, 250 grams of the three different biomass fuels — charcoal, wood and honeycomb briquettes – were

first heated in 2 litres of water to simulate a typical cooking scenario. Figure 8: Temperature Curve for Water Boiling Test shows a presentation of the trends of heating of each fuel type during 14 minutes. It is clearly seen that sequence of data pertaining to rate of temperature rise and maximum temperature reached displays that the honeycomb briquettes give better combustion consistency and thermal output as compared to other fuels. One of the things which affected the performance was the presence or lack of wind shielding. Open to the outdoor ambient air with no shielding showed a significant impact of heat retention on the duration of boiling and on thermal efficiency. On the other hand, the wind shields placed around the pot decreased convective losses and hence improved its utility of heat. It took 11 to 14 minutes to boil water, and the shortest time to achieve this was when shielding and high density fuels such as briquettes were used. Also, when slow burning fuels (honeycomb briquettes) are used it could last for up to 2.5 hours with the thermal duration being the period during which usable heat is supplied for cooking. Knowing that it's going to be available for such a long period of time is important for multi-phase cooking tasks as well as for maintaining that temperature differential needed to power the device through thermoelectric modules. These results highlight the significance of integrated stove design incorporating accessories such as wind shields that are not just mere extra accessories for purchase but essential components that dramatically enhance stove thermal and electrical performance. Therefore, it is expected that future stove prototypes should have integrated or attachable shielding mechanisms to allow maximum efficiency under different environmental conditions. In addition to demonstrating the importance of fuel selection and combustion control for maximizing power generation and cooking dual functions, the test confirms the usefulness of using candle oil for the operation of the dual function cookstove.



**Figure 8: Temperature Curve for Water Boiling Test**

These patterns observed during the Water Boiling and Thermal Duration Tests can be discussed with reference to a few major factors that affected the thermal performance of the cookstove. The high utility of honeycomb briquettes is one of the reasons why the results of their use even with low-priced liquefied natural gas are so much better. Their homogeneous composition maintains a consistent and slow transfer of heat with a more consistent rate of increase in temperature and a greater peak temperature relative to other fuels such as wood and charcoal. It is this uniform burning that not only improved the rate of water boil but also maintained a potable source of heat longer than in other fuels and this property is why briquettes can easily be used in multi-stage cooking requirements.

The existence or nonexistence of wind shielding was also another major contributor to the performance. In the case where the stove was utilized outdoors and unobstructed by barriers, the heat produced by the burning process was quickly discarded to the surrounding space via convection. This led to increased time of boiling and low thermal efficiency. Conversely, use of wind shields placed around the pot reduced convective losses and more heat was targeted towards the pot and contained in cooking zone. Having wind shielding made it possible to boil faster, sometimes within just 11 minutes, and helped the stove greatly at being able to retain a given amount of heat, and operate in different weather conditions.

Effectiveness of heat transfer was also critical in the results obtained. Solid fuels such as the use of honeycomb briquettes resulted in uniform and high-heat flames during the combustion process, which guaranteed efficient transmission of heat (generated in the combustion chamber) to the water vessel. Such an effective heat transfer process resulted in faster temperature increase and reduced time to boil, and more smoothly sine-shaped heating curve as shown on the testing results. This kind of steady and stable heat transfer is not only necessary in achieving speedy cooking but also converting thermal energy into electric energy through the thermoelectric modules to the highest possible level.

Moreover, it can be seen that the thermal time or: the time the stove could offer usable heat, was quite prolonged when slow-burning fuels were used such as, briquettes. Such fuels complimented 2.5 hours of heat, much more than wood or charcoal. The longer thermal production is particularly significant in real life applications where there is long-term heating requirement to cook in a series of phases or to keep a thermoelectric device functional even after the active combustion has stopped.

Finally, the outcomes of the test also stressed the importance of a combination of accessories like wind shields and some alternative kinds of fuel like candle oil into the combined system of a stove. These are not optional extras but core parts that improve stove functionality in practice very substantially. The results imply that the future prototypes of the stoves are to accommodate or offer an attachable shielding capabilities so that the efficiency of the stoves is optimised irrespective of environmental conditions. In addition, such design considerations are important as regards to the twin purpose of the cookstove as cooking device and power supplement. This affirms the significance of whole stove design, in which the combustion dynamics, the fuel physical and chemical characteristics, environmental protection, and thermal control are incorporated into ensuring that efficient and sustainable energy solutions are provided to off-grid consumers.

### 3.5 Practical Usability and Application

Beyond just converting heat to electricity, the thermoelectric cookstove performed in the real world in a practical usable way. With up to 6 LED bulbs varying from 1 to 3 watts the product was able to run successfully with enough power to drive mobile phones and power banks through the included USB port. The above yield translates to being able to adequately light an area of up to 15 square metres, enough to simultaneously illuminate two to three small rooms. This performance of the stove makes it a highly functional and one that is self-sustaining, for households operating in off grid rural areas, where electricity is non-existent or at best is intermittent.

Furthermore, given its value in disaster relief situations after earthquakes, floods, cyclones or other disasters, where critical infrastructure is damaged or inaccessible, the stove can be very helpful. Its compact and lightweight construction as well as its modularity also boosts its practical use given the ease and speed with which it can be transported and deployed to emergency scenarios. In addition to its applications for campers, this device can also serve field researchers, trekkers, and outdoor enthusiasts because it allows for removing the need to carry any separate lighting or charging equipment. Adopted as a scalable energy solution for diverse user environments, this cookstove functions as a dual-purpose device as a cooking stovetop and a generator, with portability, is identical for all applications.

### 4. Conclusions

- 1 The vertical fin heat sink displayed the highest performance of the tested 4 configurations as it achieved a maximum open circuit voltage of 6.2 V and maintained a sustained voltage of 5.4 V for the first 20 mins giving proof to its enhanced thermal dissipation ability and an optimal support for TEG efficiency.
- 2 Paraffin-based PCM plus glass wool insulation increased maximum heat transfer temperature from 310°C to 360°C and improved thermal efficiency at 5.86% to 9.23% (57.5%) and extended residual heat availability from 15 to 35 minutes, providing electricity output past the time when fuel combustion ceases.
- 3 Charcoal and wood were found to be the least effective fuel and delivered the minimum power output of 1.3 W, the minimum voltage range of 1.7–3.0 V, and had the lowest duration of electricity production of only 91 minutes.
- 4 The stove reliably generated 3–7 V DC enough to power 1 to 6 LED bulbs (1–3 W each), charge mobile phones and power banks so that up to 15 m<sup>2</sup> (equivalent to 2–3 rooms in typical off grid households) were effectively illuminated.
- 5 The cookstove has a lightweight design and low fuel requirement of 250g per session that make the stove a cost effective and scalable solution for rural electrification, disaster relief operations and field based energy access making it a good candidate for deployment in energy poor and disaster affected regions.

## 5. References

1. Reay, D. A. (1979). *Heat recovery systems: A directory of equipment and techniques*. London: E. & F. N. Spon.
2. Energetics Incorporated. (2004, November). *Technology roadmap: Energy loss reduction and recovery in industrial energy systems*. U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. Retrieved from [https://www1.eere.energy.gov/manufacturing/intensiveprocesses/pdfs/reduction\\_roadmap.pdf](https://www1.eere.energy.gov/manufacturing/intensiveprocesses/pdfs/reduction_roadmap.pdf)
3. Andrews, R., & Pearce, J. M. (2011). Environmental and economic assessment of a greenhouse waste heat exchange. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 19(13), 1446–1454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.04.016>
4. Bass, J. C., & Thelin, J. (2000, August). Development of a self-powered pellet stove. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Thermoelectric* (pp. 497–500). Cardiff, UK.
5. O'Hanley, H. (2009). Performance of a stove-mounted thermoelectric generator. *Measurement and Instrumentation*, Fall 2009.
6. Hi-Z Technology, Inc. (2015). *Hi-Z applications: Thermoelectric generators for various applications* [Brochure]. Retrieved from [http://www.hi-z.com/uploads/2/3/0/9/23090410/hi-z\\_applications.pdf](http://www.hi-z.com/uploads/2/3/0/9/23090410/hi-z_applications.pdf)
7. Termoform. (2015). *Power-generating stove* [Brochure]. Retrieved from <http://www.termoform.kiev.ua/files/ENGL.pdf>
8. Thermonamic Electronics Co., Ltd. (2015). *Thermoelectric generator system for wood and bio-fuel stove*. Retrieved from <http://www.thermonamic.com/products.asp?cid=413>
9. Philips Research. (2015). *Satisfying a burning need* [Brochure]. Retrieved from <http://www.research.philips.com/password/download/password28.pdf>.
10. Ahmed, I., Aier, I., Murtagh, N., Kaushal, P., Lakhanpaul, M., Vijay, V. K., & Parikh, P. (2022). Thermo-electric generation (TEG) enabled cookstoves in a rural Indian community: A longitudinal study of user behaviours and perceptions. *Environmental Research Communications*, 4(8), 085003. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7620/ac8ae8>.
11. Jewitt, S., & Raman, S. (2017). Energy poverty, institutional reform and challenges of sustainable development: The case of India. *Progress in Development Studies*, 17(2), 173–185. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1464993416688837>.
12. Jetter, J. J., & Kariher, P. (2009). Solid-fuel household cook stoves: Characterization of performance and emissions. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 33(2), 294–305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2008.05.014>.
13. Pandit, S., Chandra Das, D., Das, B., & Newar, P. P. (2025). The performance assessment of TIBC cookstove with multi-solid fuels for small-scale electricity generation in remote areas. *Renewable Energy*, 242, Article 122409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2025.122409>
14. Memon, S. A., Jaiswal, M. S., Jain, Y., Acharya, V., & Upadhyay, D. S. (2020). A comprehensive review and a systematic approach to enhance the performance of improved cookstove (ICS). *Journal*

- of *Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 141(6), 2253–2263. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-020-09736-2>
15. Mal, R., Prasad, R., & Vijay, V. K. (2016). Multi-functionality clean biomass cookstove for off-grid areas. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 104, 85–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2016.08.003>
16. Mal, R., Prasad, R., Vijay, V. K., & Verma, A. R. (2015). The design, development and performance evaluation of thermoelectric generator (TEG) integrated forced draft biomass cookstove. *Procedia Computer Science*, 52, 723–729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.05.085>
17. Aier, I., Kakati, U., Vijay, V. K., & Kaushal, P. (2024). Performance evaluation of popular improved biomass cookstoves from a rural community along the Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*, 14(19), 24207–24223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-023-04674-z>.
18. Pandit, S., Das, D. C., Das, B., & Newar, P. P. (2025). An experimental investigation of optimized biomass cookstoves with TEG integration and solid heat storage for rural areas. *Energy*, 331, 137121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2025.137121>.
19. Pandit, S., Chandra Das, D., Das, B., & Newar, P. P. (2025). The performance assessment of TIBC cookstove with multi-solid fuels for small-scale electricity generation in remote areas. *Renewable Energy*, 242, 122409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2025.122409>.
20. Memon, S. A., Jaiswal, M. S., Jain, Y., Acharya, V., & Upadhyay, D. S. (2020). A comprehensive review and a systematic approach to enhance the performance of improved cookstove (ICS). *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*, 141(6), 2253–2263. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-020-09736-2>.
21. Mal, R., Prasad, R., & Vijay, V. K. (2016). Multi-functionality clean biomass cookstove for off-grid areas. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 104, 85–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2016.08.003>.
22. Aier, I., Kakati, U., Vijay, V. K., & Kaushal, P. (2024). Performance evaluation of popular improved biomass cookstoves from a rural community along the Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery*, 14(19), 24207–24223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-023-04674-z>.
23. Deasy, M. J., O'Shaughnessy, S. M., Archer, L., & Robinson, A. J. (2018). Electricity generation from a biomass cookstove with MPPT power management and passive liquid cooling. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 43, 162–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2018.01.004>.
24. Mal, R., Dey, S., Mohsin, S., & Daimari, G. (2021). Smokeless cookstove with mobile phone charging solutions in islanded mode. *Journal of Mobile Multimedia*, 17(1–3), 187–204. <https://doi.org/10.13052/jmm1550-4646.171310>.
25. Widayat, W., Zuhud, A. M., & Mochammad, F. (2023). The estimation of the waste heat recovery in prime cookstove by using thermoelectric generators. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2683, 020016. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0124904>.
26. Pandit, S., Mal, R., Purwar, A., & Kumari, K. (2024). Waste heat regeneration from thermoelectric generator based improved biomass cookstove (TIBC): Modelling of TEG system utilizing DC-DC

- converter with fuzzy logic MPPT. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 300, 117977. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2023.117977>
27. Mal, R., Prasad, R., & Vijay, V. K. (2017). Product technology, materials overview and economic aspects for development of forced draft TEG cookstove. *International Journal of Materials and Product Technology*, 55(1–3), 74–92. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMPT.2017.084977>
28. Deasy, M. J., O'Shaughnessy, S. M., Archer, L., & Robinson, A. J. (2018). Electricity generation from a biomass cookstove with MPPT power management and passive liquid cooling. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 43, 162–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2018.01.004>
29. Mal, R., Dey, S., Mohsin, S., & Daimari, G. (2021). Smokeless cookstove with mobile phone charging solutions in islanded mode. *Journal of Mobile Multimedia*, 17(1–3), 187–204. <https://doi.org/10.13052/jmm1550-4646.171310>
30. Widayat, W., Zuhud, A. M., & Mochammad, F. (2023). The estimation of the waste heat recovery in prime cookstove by using thermoelectric generators. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2683, Article 020016. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0124904>
31. O'Shaughnessy, S. M., Deasy, M. J., Kinsella, C. E., Doyle, J. V., & Robinson, A. J. (2013). Small scale electricity generation from a portable biomass cookstove: Prototype design and preliminary results. *Applied Energy*, 102, 374–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.07.032>
32. Pandit, S., Mal, R., Purwar, A., & Kumari, K. (2024). Waste heat regeneration from thermoelectric generator based improved biomass cookstove (TIBC): Modelling of TEG system utilizing DC-DC converter with fuzzy logic MPPT. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 300, Article 117977. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2023.117977>
33. O'Shaughnessy, S. M., Deasy, M. J., Doyle, J. V., & Robinson, A. J. (2014). Field trial testing of an electricity-producing portable biomass cooking stove in rural Malawi. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 20(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2014.01.009>
34. Mal, R., Prasad, R., & Vijay, V. K. (2017). Product technology, materials overview and economic aspects for development of forced draft TEG cookstove. *International Journal of Materials and Product Technology*, 55(1–3), 74–92. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMPT.2017.084977>
35. Hu, X., Shen, Z., & Wang, Y. (2024). On the design of a hydrogen micro-rectangular combustor for portable thermoelectric generators. *Chemical Engineering and Processing - Process Intensification*, 195, 109611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2023.109611>
36. Aravind, B., Khandelwal, B., Ramakrishna, P. A., & Kumar, S. (2020). Towards the development of a high power density, high efficiency, micro power generator. *Applied Energy*, 261, 114386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.114386>
37. Palu, I., Tammoja, H., & Oidram, R. (2008). Thermal power plant cooperation with wind turbines. *Estonian Journal of Engineering*, 14(4), 317–324. <https://doi.org/10.3176/eng.2008.4.03>
38. Nair, A. T., Radhakrishnan, T. K., Srinivasan, K., & Valsalam, S. R. (2011). Kalman filter based state estimation of a thermal power plant. *Proceedings of the 2011 International Conference on Process*

- Automation, Control and Computing (PACC 2011)*, Article 5978971. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PACC.2011.5978971>
39. Aravind, B., Khandelwal, B., & Kumar, S. (2022). Recent advancements in microcombustion-based power generators. *International Journal of Energy for a Clean Environment*, 23(2), 19–52. <https://doi.org/10.1615/INTERJENERCLEANENV.2021038266>.
40. Choi, B.-i., Han, Y.-s., Kim, M.-b., Hwang, C.-h., & Oh, C. B. (2009). Experimental and numerical studies of mixing and flame stability in a micro-cyclone combustor. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 64(24), 5276–5286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2009.09.012>
41. BioLite. (2015). *BioLite stove*. Retrieved from <http://www.biolitestove.com/BioLite.html>
42. Raman, P., Ram, N. K., & Gupta, R. (2014). Development, design and performance analysis of a forced draft clean combustion cook stove powered by a thermoelectric generator with multi-utility options. *Energy*, 69, 813–825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2014.03.075>.
43. Ren, X. M., Nie, H., Zhang, M., Nikitchenko, Y. A., & Tikhonovets, A. (2020). Heat performance investigation of phase change materials for the cooling array system on vehicles. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 171, 115115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2020.115115>
44. Sánchez-Pérez, J. F., Mascaraque-Ramírez, C., Moreno Nicolás, J. A., Castro, E., & Cánovas, M. (2021). Study of the application of PCM to thermal insulation of UAV hulls using Network Simulation Method. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 60(5), 4627–4637. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2021.03.058>
45. El Majd, A., Younsi, Z., Youssef, N., Belouaggadia, N., & El Bouari, A. (2023). Experimental study of thermal characteristics of bio-based textiles integrating microencapsulated phase change materials. *Energy and Buildings*, 297, 113465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2023.113465>
46. Sun, X., Zhang, S., Sun, H., Fang, K., Yan, D., & Chen, Y. (2019). Research on the thermal insulation performance of high temperature gradient composite insulation material. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 678(1), 012100. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/678/1/012100>
47. Wang, H., Dang, J., Zheng, M., Yuan, Y., Liu, T., & Wang, N. (2023). High thermal storage polyurethane composite embedded with microencapsulated phase change materials and analysis of its unsteady heat transfer. *Advanced Composites and Hybrid Materials*, 6(5), 165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42114-023-00733-1>
48. Refahi, A., Rostami, A., & Amani, M. (2024). Implementation of a double layer of PCM integrated into the building exterior walls for reducing annual energy consumption: Effect of PCM wallboards position. *Journal of Energy Storage*, 82, 110556. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2024.110556>
49. Lai, C.-M. (2012). Energy-saving effect of a factory ceiling incorporating microencapsulated Phase Change Materials. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part E: Journal of Process Mechanical Engineering*, 226(2), 174–177. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0954408911399507>
50. Mastbergen, D. (2008). *Development and optimization of a stove-powered thermoelectric generator* (Master's thesis). Colorado State University.

51. Hoskins, S., Poandl, L., Scannell, D., Fontaine, Y. J., & Higgins, D. (2008). Thermoelectric power system for improved Haitian cook stove. In *Multi-Disciplinary Senior Design Conference*. Rochester Institute of Technology.
52. Phillips, A., Cummings, L., McCune, C., & Zhang, X. (2008). Thermoelectric power pack for next generation cook stove. In *Multi-Disciplinary Senior Design Conference*. Rochester Institute of Technology.

