



Micromanagement In Educational Leadership: Impacts, Challenges And Strategies For Fostering Sustainable Team Performance

Dr. Kevizakielie Suokhrie, Assistant Professor, Oriental College, Kohima, Nagaland, India

Abstract: In today's ever changing educational landscape, effective leadership is crucial in ensuring institutional success and the all round growth and development of both educators and learners. Amongst many leadership practices, micromanagement has become a prominent concern. Its adverse effects on staff morale, collaboration, innovation and quality education underscore the need to explore this approach to leadership within educational management to understand its impact, find effective remedies for the issues it produces and identify sustainable strategies to enhance leadership and team effectiveness. The paper seeks to highlight key challenges associated with micromanagement, including its effects on sustainable team performance and additionally, it also attempt to outlines strategies for educational leaders to shift from more control oriented practices to more empowering, participative leadership models.

Keywords- Micromanagement, Leadership, Sustainable, Team Performance

1. INTRODUCTION

The development and advancement of any nation depends largely on its educational system. As educational institution expand in size, complexity and diversity, effective leadership and sound management practices become indispensable. The success of educational organization is often determined not only by the quality of instruction but also by the effectiveness of their management system. Proper management is vital in the achievement of an organizations/institutions vision and sustainability.

With rising expectations for accountability, performance and innovation, educational leaders are under constant pressure to deliver results. However, in attempting to meet these demands, some leaders may adopt overly controlling practices, referred to as micromanagement. In education, where professional autonomy, creativity and collaboration are vital, micromanagement can undermine morale, hinder innovation and negatively affect team performance. To contextualize the issue, it is important to first understand the broader concept of leadership, management, educational management and micromanagement.

2. CONCEPT OF LEADERSHIP, MANAGEMENT, EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT AND MICROMANAGEMENT

Leadership is defined as "the ability to influence a group towards the achievement of a vision or set of goals" (Robbins & Judge, 2017). Leadership is "the process of influencing for the purpose of achieving the shared goals" (Aswathappa, 2008). It is the ability to persuade others to work towards set goals. Leadership gives a group a sense of unity and pushes it to reach its goals.

Management is a process, a universal process by which an organisation realises its objectives in a planned way. It consists of a combination of certain functions or elements such as planning, organizing, staffing, directing, controlling and co-ordination.

The American Management Association defines, “Management as the art of getting a job done through other people.” It implies that the manager needs skills in the area of human relation, public relation, organization, control, budgeting, financial management and most of all planning. Management frames the policy, directs and guides. Management is concerned with people. It is by, through and for the people. Managers have to provide leadership to the people, so that the stated objectives may be achieved.

Educational management refers to the process of planning, organizing, directing, and controlling resources (such as human, financial, and physical) in order to successfully and efficiently accomplish educational objectives.

Micro management is a management practice characterized by behaviours such as over emphasis on monitoring and controlling subordinates, excessive attention to details, oversight and a reluctance to assign and share decision-making authority. It is the undue interference and involvement of the manager in the work of the employees.

Micro management as defined by Merriam Webster dictionary is “to manage especially with excessive control or attention to details”. It involves planning minute detail and giving the employees the impression that they are being observed (De Caro et al 2011).

3. IMPACTS OF MICROMANAGEMENT

3.1. Reduced morale and engagement of the staff- Staff engagement and morale are negatively impacted by micro management, which signals a lack of trust, which undermines the staff confidence and satisfaction (Milliken, Morrison & Hewlin, 2003). Excessive control and monitoring can de-motivate the subordinate staffs, who may perceive it as a lack of trust or confidence in their capabilities. Deci & Ryan (2000) contend that lack of autonomy leads to disengagement and decreased job satisfaction because it is a basic human need.

3.2. Stifled creativity and morality- Creativity and innovation thrive when there is a sense of freedom to explore and take risk. Micromanagement discourages experimentation, as the teachers and other staff starts to hold back for fear of making mistakes which ultimately affect student’s engagement and learning (Fullan, 2007).

3.3. Decreased productivity- Though micromanagement may create a facade of control giving the impression that everything is under control but in reality it clogs up the works, and ultimately reduces efficiency. Leaders who involve themselves in every minor task distract themselves from what are truly important and priorities, leading to delays and all sort of operational bottlenecks (Goleman, Boyatzis & McKee, 2013).

3.4. Poor team dynamics and collaboration- Micromanaged environment are often characterized by poor communication, low trust and less collaboration. Team members might feel more like competitors than collaborators, leading to disengagement instead of unity. Rather than working together to lift each other up, they are more likely to undermine any collective effort aimed at improving performance.

3.5. High staff turnover- Not giving enough free space for the teachers or assigning institutional duties every time just to see that educators and staffs are occupied during the working hours is stressful and result in decreased retention of staff. Persistent micromanagement may lead to dissatisfaction and increased turnover rates. Replacing experienced educators not only disrupts continuity but also imposes financial and operational burden on the institutions (Ingersoll, 2001).

4. CHALLENGES ASSOCIATED WITH MICROMANAGEMENT

4.1. Reduced teacher autonomy and morale- Micromanagement often deprive educators of their professional independence, which result in dissatisfaction, low morale and lack of motivation. Teachers may feel that their knowledge and expertise is undervalued and disregarded when every choice and behaviour is assessed. This may eventually lead to disengagement, reducing the overall effectiveness of teaching and learning.

4.2. Higher staff turnover- Educators working under micromanagers frequently feel stressed out with no job satisfaction, which can lead to burn out (Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2017). It becomes difficult to retain qualified and experienced educators which can negatively impact students outcomes and an institution longevity.

4.3. Poor leadership development- Future leader’s ability to grow is hampered by micromanagement. When leadership is overly centralized, leaders such as head of departments (HoDs) and dean are unable to develop leadership skills or decision making abilities (Leith wood & Riehl, 2003).

4.4. Erosion of trust and collaboration – An important component of an institution culture is trust. A toxic institutional culture and a decline in cooperation and collaboration can result from micromanagement, which is a sign of a lack of trust in faculty and staff.

4.5. Ineffective use of leadership time and resources- According to Horng et al., (2010), leaders who prioritise details rather than strategic tasks often overlook crucial responsibilities like vision setting, mentoring and stakeholder engagement. This undermines long term planning and impedes efforts to improve institutions.

5. STRATEGIES FOR FOSTERING SUSTAINABLE TEAM PERFORMANCE

5.1. Establishing a clear vision and shared goals- Leaders need to make sure that team members comprehend and are committed to clear, shared visions that are in line with institutional goals. Relevance and alignment are maintained by regularly reviewing and improving these goals (Leithwood & Riehl, 2003).

5.2. Fostering a culture of collaboration and team cohesion- Establishing frameworks that encourage collaboration and team work, such as professional learning communities allows educators to share best practices and jointly develop solutions. Team dynamics are improved by an environment of open communication, respect for one another and peer support (DuFour & Eaker, 1998).

5.3. Empowerment and delegation- Giving staff and employees leadership responsibilities fosters ownership and commitment. Involving team members in decision-making processes and institutional improvement initiatives ensures that leadership is not centralized but shared, which increase engagement and accountability (Harris, 2004).

5.4. Offering ongoing professional development- Continuous learning and adaptation are essential for sustainable performance. Leaders should support ongoing professional development through workshops, orientation, peer observation, publishing articles/papers, access to current research etc. Growth and relevance are promoted when learning opportunities are customized to the needs of the team (Guskey, 2002).

5.5. Implementing reflective practice and feedback systems- Regular reflection on team performance helps identify strengths and areas for improvement. Tools like peer reviews, performance rubrics, and team self-assessments promote continuous growth. According to Brookfield (1995), constructive feedback needs to be timely, specific, and aimed at collective improvement.

5.6. Building psychological safety and trust- Teams function better when members are comfortable taking chances, expressing ideas and owning up to mistakes. In order to foster psychological safety, leaders should encourage polite conversation, non-punitive handling of failure and acknowledgement of contributions. This promotes creativity and open dialogue (Edmondson, 1999).

5.7. Acknowledging and celebrating success- Acknowledging individual and team accomplishments reinforces motivation and commitment. Formal recognition, such as awards, public praise or informal recognition such as verbal appreciation or thank-you notes should always be meaningful and connected to team objectives and goals (Kouzes & Posner, 2007).

5.8. Ensuring and maintaining balance of workload and well-being- Without considering the wellbeing of the staff, team performance cannot be sustained over long-term. Leaders must monitor workloads, provide planning time, and support wellness initiatives to prevent burnout. Promoting work-life balance leads to greater job satisfaction and retention (Skaalvik & Skaalvik, 2017).

6. CONCLUSION

Micromanagement might seem like a way to stay in charge, but often it makes a team less effective overtime. The negative outcome like low morale and high number of people quitting job, make it a bad idea in today's leadership practice. Using strategies that boost empowerment like visionary and supportive leadership, does not only addresses these issues but also builds a work place where people trust each other, come up with new ideas and keep doing well. Because of this, leadership training should focus on improving self-awareness, emotional intelligence and knowing how to delegate tasks so that team can perform at their best.

REFERENCES

1. Amabile, T. M. (1998). *How to kill creativity*. Harvard Business Review, 76(5), 76-87.
2. Anju Mariam John, *Micro Management: Is it Detrimental To The Interest of An Organisation*. International Research Journal of Management Sociology & Humanity (IRJMSH), Vol.12 issue 2(2021).ISSN 2277-9800.
3. Brookfield, S. D. (1995). *Becoming a critically reflective teacher*. Jossey-Bass.
4. Buckingham, M., & Coffman, C. (1999). *First, Break All the Rules: What the World's Greatest Managers Do Differently*. Simon & Schuster.
5. Covey, S. R. (2006). *The Speed of Trust: The One Thing That Changes Everything*. Free Press.
6. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268.
7. DuFour, R., & Eaker, R. (1998). *Professional learning communities at work: Best practices for enhancing student achievement*. Solution Tree.
8. Edmondson, A. (1999). *Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams*. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350–383.
9. Fullan, M. (2001). *Leading in a culture of change*. Jossey-Bass.
10. Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2013). *Primal Leadership: Unleashing the Power of Emotional Intelligence*. Harvard Business Review Press.
11. Guskey, T. R. (2002). *Professional development and teacher change*. *Teachers and Teaching*, 8(3), 381–391.
12. Harris, A. (2004). *Distributed leadership and school improvement*. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 32(1), 11–24.
13. Horng, E. L., Klasik, D., & Loeb, S. (2010). *Principal time-use and school effectiveness*. *American Journal of Education*, 116(4), 491–523.
14. Ingersoll, R. M. (2003). *Who controls teachers' work? Power and accountability in America's schools*. Harvard University Press.
15. Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2007). *The leadership challenges* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
16. Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003). *What we know about successful school leadership*. National College for School Leadership.
17. Namrata Mishra (2023), *Influence of Micromanagement Leadership on the Performance of Teaching Staff in Higher Educational Institutions*. Ph.d Thesis, ICAFI University, Jharkhand, Ranchi. Retrieved from <https://acrobat.adobe.com/id/urn:aaid:sc:AP:5850e7cf-4dcc-4bb6-9a64-07f0b6043916>.
18. Ramos, Bethel F & Malangen, Ardrian (2023) *The Level of Effectivity of Micromanagement Among the Teachers and Middle Managers in the Basic Education*. *Psychology and Education: A multidisciplinary Journal*, 8 (6):648-658, 2023, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4438046>.
19. Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2017). *Still motivated to teach? A study of school context variables, stress, and job satisfaction among teachers in senior high school*. *Social Psychology of Education*, 20(1), 15–37.
20. Tschannen-Moran, M. (2004). *Trust matters: Leadership for successful schools*. Jossey-Bass.
21. <https://www.ccefinland.org/post/leadership-in-education-its-importance-in-today-s-world%3fsrcsltid%3DAfmBOoo6v6vkArRgfqPaXSWodHWEnOebhGfvrKcLVCEZqpQltOkj36x>
22. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377927372_Micromanagement_and_its_impact_on_millennial_followership
23. https://www.researchgate.net/publications/335910122_Leadership_Styles_in_influencing_Employees'_job_performances
24. https://srkvcoe.org/wp/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Educational_Management_pdf
25. <https://robertsmith.com/blog/importance-of-education>
26. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Micromanagement>