IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Embedding AI In Business Intelligence: A Layered Architecture For Scalable And Explainable Decision Support

[1]Naibin George, [2] Sweety Joy C.,

[1]Doctoral Candidate, [2]Assistant Professor,

[1]Faculty of Economics & Social Science, [2]Department of Computer Science,

[1]University of Latvia,Riga,Latvia

[2]Albertian Institute of Science & Technology,Kochi,India

Abstract: Business Intelligence (BI) has undergone a transformative evolution, shifting from traditional, retrospective reporting systems to advanced, intelligent platforms that leverage Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies. This paper introduces a structured, multiagent architecture to enhance BI systems with autonomous functionalities spanning the entire data pipeline—from acquisition and processing to analytics and decision support. The proposed five-layer framework incorporates specialized AI agents for data enrichment, predictive modelling, prescriptive analytics, and user interaction. These agents work collaboratively to ensure data-driven insights are generated and delivered in real-time, facilitating agile and context-aware decision-making processes. The model is contextualized through applications across diverse business functions, including customer behaviour analysis, sales forecasting, and inventory optimization. Organizations can achieve higher analytical precision, operational efficiency, and strategic foresight through this architecture. In addition to technical design, the study addresses implementation challenges, such as data quality, model interpretability, and ethical considerations in AI deployment. Ultimately, this paper contributes to the growing knowledge of AI-integrated BI by offering a scalable and modular solution that empowers organizations to transition from reactive analytics to proactive and autonomous decision environments.

***Index Terms*:** Business Intelligence, Artificial Intelligence Agents, Predictive Analytics, Prescriptive Analytics, Decision Support Systems, Intelligent Architecture .

1. Introduction

In today's data-intensive environment, Business Intelligence (BI) systems are pivotal in enabling organizations to extract actionable insights from vast and varied datasets. Traditionally, BI was characterized by static reporting, descriptive analytics, and human-led interpretation of historical data (Watson, 2009). However, the exponential growth of data volume, velocity, and variety has exposed limitations in conventional BI approaches, especially their inability to support real-time, predictive, or prescriptive decision-making.

Recent advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI)—including machine learning, natural language processing, and autonomous agents—have triggered a paradigm shift in how BI systems are designed and deployed (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018). AI agents, defined as software entities with autonomous problem-solving capabilities, are increasingly integrated into BI infrastructures to enhance automation, adaptability, and intelligence (Russell & Norvig, 2021). These agents can learn from data, make decisions, and improve over time without constant human intervention.Chen, Chiang, and Storey (2012) highlighted the transformative potential of combining AI with BI, referring to the emergence of “business analytics” as a discipline that leverages statistical, predictive, and optimization models. Despite such progress, a critical gap remains: the lack of a unified architectural framework that can systematically integrate AI agents across all stages of BI—from data acquisition and processing to insight generation and decision execution.

This study addresses this gap by proposing a modular, five-layer architecture incorporating specialized AI agents to facilitate intelligent, scalable, and real-time decision-making. By embedding autonomous agents within each layer of the BI process, the proposed framework aims to empower organizations with greater analytical foresight, responsiveness, and operational agility in an increasingly competitive landscape.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Traditional BI Systems

Traditional Business Intelligence (BI) systems were developed to support structured decision-making by transforming historical business data into dashboards, reports, and summary statistics. These systems heavily relied on relational databases, extract-transform-load (ETL) processes, and static visualization tools (Chaudhuri, Dayal, & Narasayya, 2011). Their primary purpose was descriptive analytics—summarizing what happened and identifying trends using business rules and logic predefined by analysts. Although such systems were instrumental in formalizing organizational reporting, they lacked real-time processing, flexibility, and adaptability, especially in dynamic business environments. Over time, the sheer volume and heterogeneity of data surpassed the capabilities of traditional BI tools, prompting the need for more intelligent, scalable, and responsive systems (Jourdan, Rainer, & Marshall, 2008).

2.2 Role of AI in BI

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies into BI systems has dramatically enhanced the depth and speed of insight generation. Machine learning (ML), natural language processing (NLP), and deep learning techniques allow BI platforms to learn from historical data and deliver predictive and prescriptive insights (Ghasemaghaei, 2019). AI-powered BI systems can detect anomalies, predict future trends, and suggest optimal actions without predefined rules, improving organizational agility and decision-making accuracy. Additionally, conversational interfaces powered by NLP have democratized data access, enabling non-technical users to interact with BI systems via natural queries (Pumplun, Fecho, & Maedche, 2021). These capabilities position AI as a key enabler of next-generation BI, especially in environments that require real-time adaptability.

2.3 Existing Architectures and Gaps

Although significant research has been done into intelligent BI systems, many existing architectures remain limited in scope. For instance, Popovič et al. (2018) examined the relationship between BI maturity and information quality, but their model focused mainly on user readiness and IT infrastructure. Wixom and Ross (2017) explored BI integration into enterprise systems, emphasising governance over technical agent-based layering. Recent advancements have led to the development of business analytics frameworks that utilize machine learning pipelines, yet these often lack full-scale multiagent designs capable of real-time decision support (Akter et al., 2019).

A persistent gap in the literature is the absence of comprehensive frameworks that distribute intelligence across multiple functional layers—from data ingestion to user interaction. Most models centralize AI capabilities at the analytics stage, without incorporating agents for data preprocessing, enrichment, or prescriptive reasoning. Additionally, there is limited discussion on how such systems manage unstructured data sources or enable autonomous, explainable decisions. This study aims to fill these gaps by proposing a scalable, five-layer architecture where intelligent agents are embedded at each stage of the BI value chain.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a conceptual modelling and systems design approach to develop an AI-driven Business Intelligence (BI) architecture that supports real-time, autonomous decision-making. The methodology integrates Design Science Research (DSR) principles and agent-based system modelling, ensuring theoretical robustness and practical applicability (Hevner et al., 2004; Wooldridge, 2009).

3.1 Conceptual Modeling Approach

The proposed architecture was developed using a top-down conceptual modelling approach, where each functional requirement was abstracted into architectural components. The identification of layers and corresponding agent types (e.g., data acquisition agents, NLP agents, optimization agents) was guided by an extensive literature review on intelligent BI systems and enterprise data architectures (Ghasemaghaei, 2019; Akter et al., 2019). The framework was designed to be modular, allowing integration across various industrial domains and scalability in terms of both data volume and complexity.

3.2 Systems Design Methodology

The system is structured into five functional layers: (1) Data Acquisition, (2) Data Processing and Enrichment, (3) Analytics and Insights, (4) Decision-Making, and (5) User Interface. Each layer encapsulates one or more intelligent agents with defined behaviours and communication protocols. The design leverages multiagent systems (MAS) principles, wherein agents act autonomously while maintaining coordination through inter-agent communication mechanisms (Jennings & Wooldridge, 1998).

Technological tools such as UML modelling, Python, and Scikit-learn were employed to prototype the agents and their interactions. The architectural logic was validated through a series of simulated use cases, where agents were tested in representative decision environments such as retail inventory management, customer behaviour prediction, and supply chain optimization.

3.3 Use Case-Based Validation

A scenario-based simulation was used to validate each layer's functionality. For instance, in a retail inventory scenario, a data acquisition agent retrieved transactional records from a POS system; a processing agent cleaned and structured the data; an analytical agent applied a time-series model to forecast demand; and a prescriptive agent optimized reorder points based on cost and lead time constraints. The user interface agent presented the results through an interactive dashboard.

Key performance indicators (KPIs) such as decision latency, forecast accuracy, and system interpretability were used to assess the model. Comparative evaluation against traditional BI workflows showed improved responsiveness and reduced human intervention.

3.4 Theoretical and Practical Alignment

This methodology ensures that the architecture adheres to theoretical rigor and business relevance. The use of DSR allows continuous refinement based on empirical feedback, while the MAS framework provides a robust mechanism for embedding intelligence throughout the BI pipeline. The iterative design and validation cycles ensure adaptability in evolving data environments and align the architecture with current industry demands for scalable, explainable AI in decision-making contexts (Du et al., 2019; Shollo & Galliers, 2016).

4. Proposed Architecture for AI-Driven BI

4.1 Overview

The proposed architecture for AI-driven Business Intelligence is designed as a modular, multiagent framework comprising five functional layers: (1) Data Acquisition, (2) Data Processing and Enrichment, (3) Analytics and Insights, (4) Decision-Making, and (5) User Interface. Each layer houses specialized AI agents with distinct roles, ensuring a seamless flow of information and intelligent action from data input to decision output. This layered model reflects best practices in systems engineering and aligns with emerging trends in intelligent business automation (Shollo & Galleries, 2016; Ghasemaghaei, 2019). The modularity ensures that the framework can be scaled and adapted across different industries and enterprise settings.

4.2 Diagram

.

Figure 1. AI-driven BI Architecture with Agent Layers for Decision Support.

This layered diagram illustrates the functional integration of AI agents across the BI pipeline. Arrows between layers represent data and insights' logical and operational flow, emphasizing a real-time, adaptive decision-support system.

4.3 Functional Roles of Each Layer

4.3.1 Data Acquisition Layer

This foundational layer consists of AI-enabled agents responsible for collecting structured, semi-structured, and unstructured data from diverse internal and external sources. These include enterprise systems (e.g., ERP, CRM), IoT devices, social media feeds, APIs, and data lakes. Agents in this layer automate the extraction and standardization processes, ensuring high-frequency, real-time data availability (Shahzad et al., 2021).

4.3.2 Data Processing and Enrichment Layer

At this stage, preprocessing agents perform tasks such as data cleansing, normalization, and transformation. Natural Language Processing (NLP) agents are deployed to extract sentiments and entities from text data, while feature engineering agents derive composite variables essential for downstream analytics. This layer is critical in ensuring data quality and semantic consistency (Akter et al., 2021).

4.3.3 Analytics and Insights Layer

Analytical agents in this layer apply machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL), and statistical modelling techniques to identify patterns, generate forecasts, and uncover hidden relationships in the data. Popular algorithms include decision trees, support vector machines, and neural networks. These agents continuously learn and adapt from new data inputs, offering predictive and diagnostic insights essential for proactive decision-making (Ghasemaghaei, 2019).

4.3.4 Decision-Making Layer

Prescriptive agents in this layer simulate various decision scenarios and suggest optimal actions based on business goals and constraints. Optimization agents apply operations research models, while rule-based systems ensure compliance with organizational policies. These agents can autonomously initiate alerts or recommendations, reducing decision latency and enhancing organizational responsiveness (Du et al., 2019).

4.3.5 User Interface Layer

The final layer delivers insights to end-users via dashboards, mobile alerts, and conversational AI interfaces. Visualization agents tailor outputs based on user roles and preferences. Conversational agents, using NLP, allow users to query the system in natural language and receive real-time insights. This layer ensures usability and facilitates human-in-the-loop decision-making, particularly in strategic and complex environments (Pumplun et al., 2021).

5.Case Illustration

This section presents a real-world use case in the retail inventory management domain to validate the operational viability of the proposed AI-driven Business Intelligence (BI) architecture. Retailers often encounter challenges in aligning stock levels with fluctuating consumer demand, promotional cycles, and supply chain uncertainties. The integration of AI agents across each architectural layer facilitates proactive and data-driven decision-making to optimize inventory processes (Akter et al., 2021).

5.1 Scenario Overview

Inventory mismanagement in retail—manifested through overstocking or stockouts—can lead to increased operational costs and customer dissatisfaction. With the growing complexity of omnichannel commerce and heightened customer expectations, intelligent inventory systems have become essential for maintaining competitive advantage (Chong et al., 2017).

5.2 Application of Architectural Layers

Layer 1: Data Acquisition Layer AI agents in this layer autonomously collect multi-source data, including POS transaction logs, e-commerce sales data, supplier records, and customer feedback from online platforms. External data such as holiday schedules, weather forecasts, and economic indicators are also ingested to enrich contextual understanding (Shahzad et al., 2021).

Layer 2: Data Processing and Enrichment Layer Data preprocessing agents clean, normalize, and integrate data from disparate sources to ensure quality and usability. Natural Language Processing (NLP) agents mine customer reviews to detect sentiment trends and emerging product issues. Feature engineering agents generate new variables, such as sales velocity and seasonality indexes, enhancing the predictive power of analytical models (Akter et al., 2021).

Layer 3: Analytics and Insights Layer Predictive agents use time-series forecasting models—like ARIMA, or LSTM—to anticipate future demand based on historical patterns and exogenous variables. Clustering agents segment inventory into strategic categories (e.g., fast-moving vs. slow-moving items), supporting differential stocking strategies. These insights enable dynamic demand sensing and proactive decision-making (Ghasemaghaei, 2019).

Layer 4: Decision-Making Layer Prescriptive agents evaluate replenishment scenarios under varying constraints—such as warehouse capacity, lead time, and reorder thresholds—and suggest optimal procurement strategies. Optimization agents use algorithms like mixed-integer linear programming to determine the most cost-effective reorder quantities and timing. These agents can trigger automated reorder approvals, significantly reducing decision latency (Du et al., 2019).

Layer 5: User Interface: Retail managers interact with an intuitive dashboard that visualizes inventory KPIs, predictive alerts, and reorder recommendations. Conversational agents allow voice or text-based querying of BI insights, enhancing user experience and accessibility for non-technical stakeholders (Pumplun et al., 2021).

5.3 Outcome and Benefits

The AI-driven architecture fosters improved inventory visibility, reduced human error, and timely decision-making. Benefits include minimized stockouts, lower holding costs, and enhanced customer satisfaction. This use case confirms the architecture's potential for enhancing operational agility and aligning data-driven intelligence with retail strategy.

6. Discussion

The proposed AI-driven Business Intelligence (BI) architecture offers a significant advancement over traditional BI systems by embedding intelligence across the entire data lifecycle—from acquisition to decision-making. This section compares the proposed framework with existing BI solutions, identifies key implementation challenges, and discusses its scalability, explainability, and implications for data governance.

6.1 Comparison with Existing BI Systems

Traditional BI platforms are primarily descriptive, offering static dashboards and periodic reporting based on historical data (Watson, 2009). These systems rely heavily on human interpretation and lack adaptability in dynamic environments. In contrast, the proposed architecture introduces predictive and prescriptive capabilities via AI agents, enabling proactive responses and autonomous decision support. While conventional systems often focus on centralized processing, this architecture distributes intelligence across multiple agents and layers, aligning with modern needs for real-time, decentralized insight delivery (Chen et al., 2012; Popovič et al., 2018).

6.2 Technical and Organizational Challenges

Despite its advantages, adopting AI-driven BI systems presents several technical hurdles. These include integration complexity with legacy systems, algorithm bias, data sparsity, and the high computational demands of machine learning models (Du et al., 2019). Organizational challenges include workforce resistance, lack of data literacy, and the need for cross-functional collaboration (Akter et al., 2019). Effective change management strategies and stakeholder involvement are essential to overcome resistance and foster AI adoption in business processes.

6.3 Scalability

The modular nature of the proposed architecture supports horizontal and vertical scalability. New agents or functionalities can be added without disrupting existing processes, making the system adaptable to organizations of different sizes and sectors. Distributed computing frameworks and cloud-based deployment further enhance scalability, allowing the system to manage growing data volumes and complexity (Shahzad et al., 2021).

6.4 Explainability (XAI)

One primary concern in AI-based systems is the lack of transparency, mainly when decisions are based on complex models like deep learning. Explainable AI (XAI) tools—such as LIME, SHAP, and decision trees—should be embedded within the analytics and decision-making layers to ensure interpretability (Du et al., 2019). Explainability is crucial for gaining stakeholder trust, ensuring regulatory compliance, and enabling human-in-the-loop decision-making, particularly in high-stakes environments like healthcare and finance.

6.5 Data Governance and Ethical Considerations

AI-driven BI systems must comply with data governance standards to ensure ethical use of data, privacy, and accountability. Data ownership, consent management, and auditability need to be addressed through governance frameworks. Regulatory requirements like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and other sector-specific standards should be integrated into system design and agent behaviors (Wamba et al., 2021). Establishing clear governance protocols also aids in managing data quality, security, and lifecycle management.

7. Conclusion

This paper proposed a multiagent, five-layer architecture for AI-driven Business Intelligence (BI) systems, offering a holistic framework that integrates data acquisition, processing, analytics, decision-making, and user interaction. By embedding intelligent agents throughout the BI pipeline, the model addresses key limitations of traditional systems, such as limited scalability, delayed insights, and manual decision processes. The layered structure ensures modularity and flexibility, making the architecture adaptable to various domains including retail, healthcare, and supply chain management.

The practical relevance of the architecture was illustrated through a retail inventory management use case, showcasing how AI agents can improve forecasting accuracy, automate replenishment decisions, and enhance user engagement through intelligent dashboards and conversational interfaces. The system's ability to support real-time, autonomous decision-making demonstrates its potential for transformative impact across industries.

In addition to its current capabilities, future work will focus on extending the architecture to support real-time analytics through edge computing and streaming data frameworks. Furthermore, the integration of federated learning will enable privacy-preserving, distributed model training across organizational boundaries. Ethical AI integration, including fairness, accountability, and transparency (FAT), will also be a priority to ensure the responsible deployment of AI in decision-critical environments.

By advancing both theoretical understanding and practical implementation, this study contributes to the ongoing evolution of intelligent BI systems and sets a foundation for scalable, explainable, and ethically aligned business analytics solutions.

References

1. Akter, S., Bandara, R., Wamba, S. F., Foropon, C., & Papadopoulos, T. (2021). Analytics-based decision-making for service systems: A qualitative study and agenda for future research. *International Journal of Information Management*, *57*, 102260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102260
2. Akter, S., Wamba, S. F., Gunasekaran, A., Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2019). How can firms improve firm performance using big data analytics capability and business strategy alignment? *International Journal of Production Economics*, *211*, 160–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.01.019
3. Chaudhuri, S., Dayal, U., & Narasayya, V. (2011). An overview of business intelligence technology. *Communications of the ACM*, *54*(8), 88–98. https://doi.org/10.1145/1978542.1978562
4. Chen, H., Chiang, R. H. L., & Storey, V. C. (2012). Business intelligence and analytics: From big data to big impact. *MIS Quarterly*, *36*(4), 1165–1188. https://doi.org/10.2307/41703503
5. Chong, A. Y. L., Ch'ng, E., Liu, M. J., & Li, B. (2017). Predicting online product sales via online reviews, sentiments, and promotion strategies: A big data architecture and neural network approach. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, *37*(3), 307–329. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-03-2015-0151
6. Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review*, *96*(1), 108–116.
7. Du, M., Liu, N., & Hu, X. (2019). Techniques for interpretable machine learning. *Communications of the ACM*, *63*(1), 68–77. https://doi.org/10.1145/3359786
8. Ghasemaghaei, M. (2019). Does data analytics use improve firm decision making quality? The role of knowledge sharing and data analytics competency. *Decision Support Systems*, *120*, 14–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2019.03.004
9. Hevner, A. R., March, S. T., Park, J., & Ram, S. (2004). Design science in information systems research. *MIS Quarterly*, *28*(1), 75–105. https://doi.org/10.2307/25148625
10. Jennings, N. R., & Wooldridge, M. (1998). Applications of intelligent agents. In M. Wooldridge & N. R. Jennings (Eds.), *Agent technology: Foundations, applications, and markets* (pp. 3–28). Springer.
11. Jourdan, Z., Rainer, R. K., & Marshall, T. E. (2008). Business intelligence: An analysis of the literature. *Information Systems Management*, *25*(2), 121–131. https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530801941138
12. Popovič, A., Hackney, R., Tassabehji, R., & Castelli, M. (2018). The impact of business intelligence system maturity on information quality. *Information Systems Frontiers*, *20*(4), 741–755. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-016-9700-3
13. Pumplun, L., Fecho, M., & Maedche, A. (2021). Adoption of AI-based systems in business decision-making: A study of conversational business intelligence. *Information Systems Frontiers*, *23*(3), 681–699. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-020-10015-2
14. Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.

15. Shahzad, A., Xiu, G., Khan, I., & Wang, J. (2021). Leveraging big data analytics to improve sustainability of SMEs: A case study in the food sector. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *173*, 121117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121117
16. Shollo, A., & Galliers, R. D. (2016). Towards an understanding of the role of business intelligence systems in organizational knowing. *Information Systems Journal*, *26*(4), 339–367. https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12071
17. Watson, H. J. (2009). Tutorial: Business Intelligence—Past, present, and future. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, *25*(1), 39–66. https://doi.org/10.17705/1CAIS.02539
18. Wamba, S. F., Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., & Akter, S. (2021). The performance effects of big data analytics and supply chain ambidexterity: The moderating effect of environmental dynamism. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *229*, 107762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107762
19. Wixom, B. H., & Ross, J. W. (2017). How to monetize your data. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, *58*(3), 10–13.
20. Wooldridge, M. (2009). *An introduction to multiagent systems* (2nd ed.). Wiley.