



A Study On The Impact Of Technological Lag On Organizational Productivity

¹G.Sangamitha , ²Dr.A. Sindhiya Rebecca,

¹MBA, ²Assistant Professor,

¹Master Of Business Administration

¹Panimalar Engineering College, Chennai, India

Abstract: This study investigates the impact of technological lag on organizational productivity, with a focus on how delayed adoption or underutilization of modern technologies affects overall operational efficiency and competitive performance. In an increasingly digital and fast-paced business environment, organizations that fail to keep pace with technological advancements may experience reduced productivity, inefficiencies in workflow, and diminished innovation capacity. Through a combination of literature review, case analysis, and empirical data, this research identifies key factors contributing to technological lag—including limited investment in IT infrastructure, resistance to change, and inadequate employee training.

Index Terms - Component, formatting, style, styling, insert.

I. INTRODUCTION

Technological lag refers to the interval between the introduction of new technology and its broad adoption or deployment. It occurs when a culture, organization, or region fails to keep up with technological advancements, creating a disparity between those who rapidly accept and incorporate new technologies and others who do not. This lag can be caused by a number of variables, including economic, cultural, political, and structural barriers. Technological lag is defined as the delay or gap between the creation of new technologies and their broad adoption or deployment within society, businesses, or organizations. While technological improvements are frequently introduced quickly, the process of incorporating these advancements into everyday routines can be delayed due to a variety of problems such as economic restrictions, a lack of infrastructure, insufficient skilled personnel, cultural opposition to change, and legal impediments.

NEED FOR THE STUDY

Research on the impact of technological lag on organizational productivity is vital in today's fast-paced, tech-driven business environment. As technology continues to transform industries, companies that delay deploying new technologies risk falling behind their competitors, resulting in inefficiencies, increased operational costs, and poorer productivity. Technological lag hinders an organization's ability to innovate, meet customer expectations, and adapt to changing markets. Furthermore, outdated processes can lead to employee dissatisfaction, low morale, and difficulty in attracting and maintaining top personnel. Make strategic decisions to improve operations, remain competitive, and achieve long-term growth and success by recognizing the risks of delaying technological adoption.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To identify specific areas where technological lag hampers productivity.
2. To assess the costs incurred by organizations due to technological lag.
3. To analyze the correlation between technological lag and organizational productivity.
4. To explore the relationship between technological lag and employee morale and engagement.
5. To explore strategies for mitigating the negative impacts of technological lag.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The study explores the impact of technological lag on organizational productivity across industries and structures. The study will analyze the impact of technological lag on operational efficiency, employee performance, and competitiveness in several industries, such as manufacturing, retail, healthcare, and information technology. The study will consider the strategic and financial consequences of not keeping up with technological advancements, including decreased market share, increased operating expenses, and stunted innovation. The human resources component will be evaluated, including skill gaps, training needs, and impact on talent retention. The research aims to shed light on the costs of technology lag and offer strategies to reduce its negative impact on productivity, customer satisfaction, and competition.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

1. The findings may not apply to all sectors or organizations, particularly those with distinctive operating structures or specialty technology.
2. The study's accuracy may be compromised due to limited, partial, or self-reported data on technological adoption and productivity.
3. Due to rapid technological advancements, findings may become outdated or miss out on new tools and breakthroughs.
4. Measuring organizational productivity using diverse methods (e.g., financial output, personnel efficiency, customer happiness) may lead to subjectivity or inconsistent results.
5. Studies that focus on a small number of organizations or a single region may not accurately reflect overall trends.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The term research is careful investigation or inquiry specifically through search for new facts in any branch of knowledge. It is an original contribution to the existing stock of knowledge making for its advancement. Research can simply be defined as a task of searching from available data to modify a certain result or theory.

SAMPLING TECHNIQUE:

The sampling technique used in this study is probability sampling.

PROBABILITY SAMPLING:

Probability sampling refers to the selection of a sample from a population, when this selection is based on the principle of randomization, that is, random selection or chance. Probability sampling is more complex, more time-consuming and usually more costly than non-probability sampling.

3.1 Population and Sample

The research was conducted with an organization and the sample for this research includes all the diploma and graduate employees of the Organization. The sample size for this Study is based on Morgon's sampling table which specifies the sample size is 155 when the population is 260. So, the optimal Sample Size is 260 employees at various levels.

3.2 Data and Sources of Data

Primary data is collected from the main source such as through organization, which is not used before. Primary data are collected through the structured Questionnaire. Secondary data collection involves gathering data that has already been collected, processed, and published by other sources. Unlike primary data, which is collected directly from original sources through methods like surveys or experiments. It requires careful evaluation of the data's relevance, accuracy, and timeliness.

NORMALITY TEST:

Null Hypothesis H_0 : The data follows normal distribution.

Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Age	.223	155	.000	.838	155	.000
WE	.259	155	.000	.852	155	.000

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

INFERENCE:

From the above table it is inferred that the significance value is less than 0.05 in age of the respondents and the work experience of the respondents, hence we reject null hypothesis. Therefore the data is derived from the normal distribution. In this we go for Non parametric statistical analysis.

DATA ANALYSIS TOOLS:

In order to analyse the data, the first step is all the responses to be coded and use the SPSS Statistics. Then Microsoft Excel and SPSS software should be used to organise, manage and analyse the data

RESEARCH TOOLS:

Research tools are resources or instruments that help researchers collect, analyze, and interpret data. Research tools help streamline the research process, improve accuracy, and enhance the overall quality of research outcomes. There are various types of tools and some are used in this research are as follows:

- Percentage Analysis
- Correlation Analysis
- Mann-whitney u test
- Chisquare Test

3.3 NON PAREMETRIC TEST

CORRELATION

Correlation for the department in organization and the technical issues regularly cause delays in work and hinder productivity.

HYPOTHESIS:

(H₀): There is no significant relationship between the department in the organization and the perception that technological issues cause work delays and hinder productivity.

(H₁): There is a significant relationship between the department in the organization and the perception that technological issues cause work delays and hinder productivity.

Correlations

		Department In Organisation	Technological issues regularly cause delays in my work and hinder my productivity
Department In Organisation	Pearson Correlation	1	.803**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	155	155
Technological issues regularly cause delays in my work and hinder my productivity	Pearson Correlation	.803**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	155	155

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

INFERENCE:

- There is a **strong positive correlation** ($r = 0.803$) between the department within the organization and the perception that technological issues hinder productivity.
- The **p-value (0.000)** indicates that this correlation is **statistically significant** at the **0.01 level** (typically noted as **), meaning there's a less than 1% chance this strong relationship occurred by random chance.

MANN-WHITNEY U TEST:

Mann-whitney u test for the years of experience and the downtime or delays due to the outdated technology.

HYPOTHESIS:

(H₀): There is **no difference** in the distribution of responses between the two experience groups

(H₁): There is **a difference** in the distribution of responses between the two groups.

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
experience downtime or delays due to outdated technology	155	2.85	1.185	1	5
Years of experience	155	2.15	.782	1	4

Ranks

	Years of experience	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks
experience downtime or delays due to outdated technology	< 1 year	31	20.26	628.00
	2-5 years	75	67.24	5043.00
	Total	106		

Test Statistics^a

	experience downtime or delays due to outdated technology
Mann-Whitney U	132.000
Wilcoxon W	628.000
Z	-7.637
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.000

a. Grouping Variable: Years of experience

- INFERENCE:
- **Mann-Whitney U: 132.000 Z-score: -7.637 Asymptotic Significance (2-tailed): .000.** Since **p-value < 0.05**, we **reject the null hypothesis**. There is a **statistically significant difference** in reported downtime/delays due to outdated technology between those with **<1 year** of experience and those with **2–5 years** of experience.

3.4 SUGGESTION:

- Give training to help employees manage time and use tools properly. Improve team communication through regular meetings and good messaging apps.
- Assess the current network performance to find areas that need improvement. Upgrade outdated hardware or software to improve speed and reliability.
- Offer opportunities for growth and promotion to keep motivation high. Maintain a positive work environment with teamwork and respect.
- Create a regular schedule to review and update technology in the organization. Evaluate which tools or systems are outdated and replace them with newer, more efficient ones.

- Provide proper training to help employees use tools more effectively. Review current tools to see if they meet the actual needs of the job.
- Improve communication between management and staff to ensure employees feel heard and valued. Recognize and reward employee efforts regularly to boost morale.
- Invest in high-quality, durable technology to reduce frequent repairs. Implement a preventive maintenance plan to catch issues early and avoid major breakdowns.
- Develop clear strategies or policies aimed at minimizing these negative effects. Promote a healthy work environment by supporting work-life balance and employee well-being.

3.5 CONCLUSION

The study emphasizes the major impact of technology lag on organizational productivity, indicating that delays in adopting contemporary tools, systems, and procedures can lead to decreased efficiency, lower employee performance, and decreased competitiveness. Technological lag is frequently caused by reasons such as insufficient investment, opposition to change, antiquated infrastructure, and a lack of digital skills, all of which work together to impede an organization's ability to innovate and adapt in a fast-changing business environment. The findings emphasize that firms that proactively embrace digital transformation, invest in workforce upskilling and maintain agile infrastructures are better positioned to sustain productivity and long-term success. Addressing technology lag needs more than just upgrading hardware or software; it necessitates a purposeful, organization-wide commitment to innovation, change management, and continual learning. As a result, decreasing technology lag should be targeted as an important component of any productivity improvement and competitiveness strategy.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

1. Anzola-Román, P., Bayona-Sáez, C., & García-Marco, T. (2018). Organizational innovation, internal R&D and externally sourced innovation practices: Effects on technological innovation outcomes. *Journal of Business Research*, 91, 233-247
2. Andrade-Rojas, M. G., Kathuria, A., & Lee, H. H. (2024). Multilevel synergy of information technology for operational integration: competition networks and operating performance. *Production and Operations Management*, 33(5), 1116-1141.
3. Anzola-Román, Paula, Teresa Garcia-Marco, and Ferdaous Zouaghi. "The influence of CSR orientation on innovative performance: Is the effect conditioned to the implementation of organizational practices?" *Journal of Business Ethics* 190.1 (2024): 261-278.
4. Anzola-Román, P., Bayona-Sáez, C., & García-Marco, T. (2018). Organizational innovation, internal R&D and externally sourced innovation practices: Effects on technological innovation outcomes. *Journal of Business Research*, 91, 233-247.
5. Bhatiasavi, Veera, and Michael Naglis. "Elucidating the determinants of business intelligence adoption and organizational performance." *Information development* 36.1 (2020): 78-96.
6. Cao, J., Law, S.H., Samad, A.R.B.A., Mohamad, W.N.B.W., Wang, J. and Yang, X., 2022. Effect of financial development and technological innovation on green growth—analysis based on spatial Durbin model. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 365, p.132865.

7. Davcik, Nebojsa S., et al. "Exploring the role of international R&D activities in the impact of technological and marketing capabilities on SMEs' performance." *Journal of Business Research* 128 (2021): 650-660.
8. Filatotchev, I., Lanzolla, G., & Syrigos, E. (2025). Impact of CEO's digital technology orientation and board characteristics on firm value: a signaling perspective. *Journal of Management*, 51(2), 875-912.
9. Fini, R., Perkmann, M., & Ross, J. M. (2022). Attention to exploration: The effect of academic entrepreneurship on the production of scientific knowledge. *Organization Science*, 33(2), 688-715
10. Guo, L. (2025). Adoption of Industry 4.0 technologies and total factor productivity: firm- level evidence from emerging economy. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*
11. Ganda, Fortune. "The impact of innovation and technology investments on carbon emissions in selected organisation for economic Co-operation and development countries." *Journal of cleaner production* 217 (2019): 469-483.
12. Jemala, Marek. "Long-term research on technology innovation in the form of new technology patents." *International Journal of Innovation Studies* 5.4 (2021): 148-160
13. Khan, A. N., Jabeen, F., Mehmood, K., Soomro, M. A., & Bresciani, S. (2023). Paving the way for technological innovation through adoption of artificial intelligence in conservative industries. *Journal of Business Research*, 165, 114019.
14. Li, Shiguang, Zheng Yang, and Yixiang Tian. "Digital transformation and corporate performance: evidence from China." *China Economic Journal* 16.3 (2023): 312-334.
15. Manresa, Alba, et al. "Insights into the digitalization-performance relationship: The role of flexibility and quality enhancing organizational practices." *Production Planning & Control* 35.14 (2024)
16. Olakada, N. M., Adewale, B. A., George, S., & Yasiru, A. O. (2024). Understanding technology transfer mechanisms: assessing impact of technology licensing on performance of Nigerian oil and gas industry. *Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies*, 12(1), 110-131.