IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Artificial Intelligence Transforming Datadriven-Financial-Decision-Making

[1]Harshit Bhardwaj, [2]Kushal Tank
[1]Engineering Student, [2]Engineering Student
[1]Artificial Intelligence & Data Science,
[1]Arya College of Engineering & I.T. Jaipur, India

Abstract: Financial decision-making has become increasingly complex due to the vast amounts of information available, which often leads to challenges in extracting actionable insights. This paper explores the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in enhancing financial decision-making processes by leveraging technologies such as Natural Language Processing (NLP), machine learning, and deep learning models to analyze unstructured data, uncover patterns, and deliver timely, data-driven predictions. Applications like portfolio optimization, sentiment analysis, and earnings forecasting demonstrate AI's ability to improve decision accuracy, efficiency, and risk management. However, the study also highlights the limitations of AI, including its dependence on data quality, vulnerability to noise, and struggles to adapt during regime changes, emphasizing the critical role of human expertise in validating machine-driven insights and offering forward-looking judgment. By advocating a collaborative "man + machine" approach, the paper underscores the synergy between AI's computational power and human intuition, enabling organizations to balance automation with rational oversight. This integration not only enhances decision-making processes but also strengthens businesses' ability to navigate uncertainties, discover growth opportunities, and maintain long-term financial stability in a competitive and dynamic economic landscape.

Index Terms **- -Artificial Intelligence, Financial Decision-Making, Machine Learning, Neural Networks, Decision Support Systems, Ethical AI.**

Introduction

At the core of every organization, their ability to be successful in a changing and competitive landscape comes down to financial decisions. Such decisions are critical to the financial health and viability of enterprises and include managing institutional assets, reducing risks, and discovering growth opportunities (Ahakhatreh & AlHawary, 2022; Ucar, 2019). Financial decision-making is about opportunity costs and uncertainties, and companies must weigh immediate money needs against long-term objectives. They must also consider budget constraints, tax ramifications, and changing economic environments (Finkler et al., 2022; Kimmel et al., 2020). This creates poor financial decisions (Klapper & Lusardi, 2020) which can result in major life consequences such as financial stress, debt, missed opportunities, and in particular cases bankruptcy. These challenges highlight the importance of financial literacy and deliberative decision-making processes to promote long-term financial stability. As a result, organizations judge strong approaches for handling economic dangers and arranging property in the best way to attain growth. Utilizing technologies that allow us to efficiently process data, deploy algorithms effectively, and iterate on new ideas has led to a revolution in terms of what we can do with data science in financial decisions. AI refers to a computers or machines displaying capabilities similar to humans, such as visual perception, decision making, learning, and problem-solving, usually performed with human participation (Goralski & Tan, 2020). In fields like healthcare, transportation, and education, the improvements have been enabled by AI (Haenlein & Kaplan, 2019). In financial management, AI applications, such as algorithmic trading, fraud detection, customer service (via chatbots and biometric systems), are making significant strides in improving efficiency and accuracy. Data science is essential because tools and techniques are formed to extract meaning from large volumes of data, which are necessary to train AI models and direct information-based decisions (Raisch & Krakowski, 2021).

There are two main types of AI systems: narrow AI and general AI. Narrow AI – specialize in specific tasks they can perform with great efficiency; general AI – broader vision to emulate human general cognitive abilities. The cross-sectoral nature of AI describes its impact across the industry, which has led to several — sometimes conflicting — frameworks to capture the impact of AI. Zhang et al. (2020), which suggested a four-dimensional AI model, is used in many management areas. These four dimensions are natural language processing (NLP), machine learning (ML), expert systems, and computer vision (CV). NLP refers to algorithms that process and analyse natural language data while ML enables predictive analytics and automation of decision-making. Rule-based expert systems try to mimic judgment that human beings use; and CV helps machines to see things and understand the things being analysed in photographs or video. Combined, they allow AI to tackle the challenges of unstructured data and derive meaningful insights for decision making. A combination of AI with traditional financial planning models—cash flow analysis, budgeting, and sensitivity analysis—furthers companies' capacity to make informed financial decisions (Iqbal et al., 2020). Investments decisions, financing decisions, and dividend decisions (Agung et al., 2021). Investments involve decisions regarding the selection of certain projects or opportunities to efficiently allocate resources in order to maximize returns (Mahjub et al., 2023). These types of decisions are important to meet financial objectives and sustain organizational growth in a competitive market. Financing decisions focus on determining the ideal mix of debt and equity to support operations and fund growth initiatives (Sharma & Mittal, 2023). Dividend decisions, also referred to as dividend policies, determine how profits are distributed to shareholders while balancing reinvestment needs for long-term sustainability (Finkler et al., 2022). The financial services industry has been an early adopter of AI technologies, with 30% of firms integrating AI solutions into their core operations as of 2020. This demonstrates AI's potential to transform financial practices. Banks use AI to carefully analyse borrower data, enhance lending decisions, and use tools like digital payment systems, chatbots, fraud detection mechanisms, etc. Data up to October 2023 is secured for your reference on the AI-created materials. But most of the available data, such as text, audio, image, and videos, is unstructured, and so it is difficult for traditional systems to process directly. These challenges are mitigated using AI, mainly NLP by extracting important value-oriented information which comes from the textual data for financial insights in a timely manner. Hence, NLP models have become increasingly critical in financial decision-making processes with the growing interest in unstructured data analysis. They use algorithms to process and analyze natural language data, extracting insights that help businesses make more informed decisions. Particularly textual data is of interest because very often it includes important company financial information in human readable format. Integrating AI-Enabled Frameworks and Financial Models: A Financial Edge in an Evolving Landscape By fusing AI-driven frameworks with financial models, organizations position themselves to enhance decisionmaking, strengthen risk management, and discover new opportunities for growth, allowing them to succeed in an increasingly complex financial landscape.

I. SUPERVISED AND UNSUPERVISED ALGORITHMS

Supervised learning algorithms are one of the most dominating types of learning algorithms in natural language processing (NLP), they require a fair amount of data with corresponding labels for training. In the training stage, these algorithms optimize the model parameters to minimize the prediction error, which is the difference between the model's output and the target label. Li (2010) applied a naïve Bayes (NB) algorithm in financial economics on the basis of using 30,000 manually annotated sentences. Under this model, sentiments and topics related to Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) were tagged, demonstrating how supervised algorithms can be harnessed for insight. Unlike supervised learning, unsupervised learning algorithms do not require manually labeled datasets. Instead, they process vast amounts of unlabeled text — books, websites and more — and analyze co-occurrences and sequences of words to discover underlying patterns. During training, these algorithms tune their parameters to identify patterns in the data. Unsupervised NLP algorithms can be broadly classified into two categories: those that create topic models and those that create neural word embedding, both types being of relevance for financial decision-making. that extract an actionable semantics from a sea of unstructured text, enabling better financial insights and predictions.

Latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) (Blei et al., 2003) and latent semantic analysis for example, have become key unsupervised models in financial research. Such algorithms use probabilistic models to find word cooccurrence clustering that correspond with semantic topics across vast text corpora. Topic modelling does not require manual effort to label the data, as opposed to supervised learning. It has shown to be especially effective in discovering thematic trends in financial reports, allowing researchers and analysts to uncover hidden structure in textual datasets. Second, unsupervised learning is further improved by neural word embedding techniques, which create denser vectors for sequence representation, drastically reducing the dimensions of text. Such methods map individual words into vector spaces with hundreds of dimensions, based on word co-occurrence in massive corpora of unlabelled texts. Neural embeddings are not topic labels, but rather a representation of the semantic relationship between words. Financial embeddings are crucial for financial decision-making since they help algorithms to digest enormous quantities of financial documents and detect fragile relationships that may affect investment strategies or risk assessments. Universal Language Model Fine-Tuning (Howard & Ruder, 2018), Embedding from Language Models (Peters et al., 2018), Large Language Models (LLMs) have been a real breakthrough in the era of NLP. Many LLMs use an architecture based on neural networks called Transformers which use self-attention mechanisms to prioritize the information that's most relevant in a text. These mechanisms generalize earlier recurrent architectures, such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), from a local to a global context helping the model to cope with and reason over loaded semantic and syntactic knowledge embedded. LLMs usually involve two stages of development, pre-training and fine-tuning. Pre-training uses unsupervised learning on large data sets–for example, Wikipedia and internet crawls. Google's BERT model, for instance, consumes over 3.3 billion tokens, and OpenAI's GPT-3 was trained on some 500 billion tokens. During pre-training, models learn semantics and syntactic relationships of words with huge computational costs. LLMs that emerge are adept at forming deep linguistic embeddings and thus highly suited for advanced financial tasks. The second step, supervised learning, is where the model enters the stage of LLMs known as fine-tuning. During this phase, pre-trained models are fine-tuned on the particular target task by training them on labelled data to capture task-specific patterns. For instance, if we need to predict sentiment accurately, a model related to financial sentiment analysis is fine-tuned on annotated financial reports. Fine-tuning is computationally inexpensive and can take place within a relatively small number of contributing GPUs versus pre- training. This approach helps LLMs meet domain-specific needs.

II. AI IN FINANCIAL DECISION-MAKING

3.1ML for Extraction of Information

Data collection, cleansing and analysis has become a different technology integrated into the investment decision-making process. ML algorithms are at the forefront of predicting returns, retaining risk, and optimizing portfolios. Using both structured and unstructured data, ML models identify trends, predict returns, and ultimately aid trading execution flows. Such tools have become indispensable tools for investors who want to inform their decisions in ever-changing financial markets, increasing accuracy and reducing uncertainty around complex datasets. Since then, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has found a range of uses, including the analysis of multiple text-based inputs important for financial analysis. These inputs can break down into the three major categories. The first consists of corporate disclosures, which continue to be a key source of financial information. The second one consists of reports from information intermediaries (that is, equity and credit analysts) and news pieces. Finally, messages from! investors—mutual fund letters, activist reports, and posts from social media channels (ie, Seeking Alpha, Reddit, and Twitter)— provide diverse insights into market sentiments.

Several natural language processing (NLP) algorithms have been implemented to extract the critical topics or primary themes from textual data. Researchers, for instance, have used the Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) algorithm to document trends in 10-K filings, identifying trends in corporate disclosures throughout the years. These provide investors with a means of tracing the evolution of themes across financial documents. With its ability to handle large amounts of unstructured data, NLP helps organizations access actionable insights, analyze investor sentiment, and forecast financial results with greater accuracy and efficiency. Because bankruptcy and accounting fraud persist cause a large cost for investors in financial markets. Such events often attract the interest of regulators, lawmakers, and researchers looking for preventative actions. In particular, machine learning algorithms have demonstrated their ability to evaluate quantitative signals in financial statements to predict such events. In recent research, we show that AI is particularly wellsuited to scrutinizing textual disclosures issued by firms to unveil red flags of financial distress – giving stakeholders the ability to intervene early and reduce their potential losses. Distinct from financial texts, the voice, image or video also embeds relevant sentiment information that extends ML to broader modalities in financial analysis. For example, Obaid and Pukthuanthong (2022) showed that a CNN based model, Google Inception (v3), was able to study photo sentiments from The Wall Street Journal. They found that daily photo sentiment data was able to predict return reversals and trading volumes on the market, especially for stocks with large arbitrage limits. This multi-modal approach expands AI's capability of capturing diverse inputs for effective financial predictions. Machine Learning algorithms are skilled in exploring unstructured and structured data sources, enabling the extrication of new insights that are difficult to examine previously. Financial statements and secondary market data, for instance, are sequentially non linear and highdimensional, and thus difficult to process via conventional statistical models. This is where machine learning models shine, as they are designed to capture complex relationships within your data. By unveiling latent structures that drive more accurate predictions, this proficiency in exploration and reason potentially improves financial decision-making and strategic analysis. Recent studies highlight the use of ML for earnings prediction and financial forecasting. Cao and You (2021) used multiple ML models, including LASSO, RIDGE, random forests, gradient boosting regression, and neural networks, to predict future earnings based on financial statement line items. They found ML-based forecasts always outperformed state-of-the-art non-ML models and even financial analysts' predictions. In addition, ML algorithms offered insights not available using existing methods, which improved predictions of stock returns and analytics. Another cornerstone of financial investment is technical analysis which has also benefited from the advancements of ML. Some use cases include analysing financial data and verifying patterns and upcoming trends for investors to identify trading opportunities. Moreover, ML has been essential in fostering trust in accounting fraud detection. Cecchini et al. demonstrated (2010) how this can be used by building a fraud detection model with Support Vector Machine (SVM). In a holdout sample, the model successfully predicted 80% of fraudulent cases, as well as 90.6% of nonfraudulent cases, underscoring AI.

3.2 Dimensionality Reduction

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) One of the most popular and robust techniques for dimensionality reduction. By projecting high-dimensional datasets into a lower-dimensional space, it preserves the most important variance of the original data. The axes where the data has maximum variance is known as principal component, PCA identifies those axes. The principal components are linear combinations of the original features, and they are ordered in descending order of the variance they explain. The PCA technique starts with centering the dataset such that the mean of each feature is zero. Then, we compute the covariance matrix of the dataset to see the relations between the features. PCA employs eigenvalue decomposition to determine the eigenvectors (also known as principal components) and their associated eigenvalues, which represent the degree of variance explained by each component. As a result, the eigenvectors corresponding to the largest eigenvalues are chosen to create the lower-dimensional subspace, to minimize metadata loss. The PCA is indispensable in risk management and forecasting in the finance sector. It can gauge volumes of historical stock data, identify dominant market trends, and reduce risk factors to a handful of elements. PCA, among others, is also used to reveal latent factors that affect portfolio performance as such an analysis can assist investors in effectively diversifying away risk. PCA is used to simplify complex datasets by reducing dimensionality, increase model accuracy, and facilitate informed decision-making in volatile financial markets.

3.3 Model Selection

It has been a important task to select a suitable model of machine learning during the construction of such models, where we are to choose what approach fits best for our problem at hand. It is a cycle of searching for the best model for a dataset given accuracy, efficiency, and generalization properties. A good selection of one will fit well on both seen data and unseen data, keeping overfitting/underfitting issues at bay. It is the process of methodically comparing models and selecting the one that best achieves a set of predetermined performance criteria. Choosing the right model depends on various factors, such as the type of data you have, the problem you want to solve, and your limitations on resources. As benchmarks for comparison, performance metrics such as accuracy, F1-score, and recall are utilized. K-fold cross validation is a powerful and commonly used technique to validate models by dividing and the data into training and validation subsets. Some fields force us to prefer simple models because we want to interpret the complexities such as in finance or health care. The biasvariance tradeoff is an influential concept in model selection. For example, High bias models — like the simple linear regressors — oversimplifies, and results in underfitting. In contrast, low-bias models such as neural networks tend to have high variance and can overfit, memorizing the noise rather than capturing the true pattern. The goal is to find a balance — that the model should capture the essential patterns in the data without having unnecessary complexity. This trade off can be addressed, at least partially, using regularization techniques and ensemble methods. Hyperparameters play an important role in achieving the best performance from the model, but are manually set before the training of the model. Tuning these parameters improves accuracy and minimizes error. Hyperparameter tuning can be done using tools such as grid search and random search. Grid search evaluates all this combinations, random search how the name suggests samples configurations efficiently. Even more sophisticated methods, such as Bayesian optimization, take this a step further by intelligently navigating the hyperparameter space to save computational power and time. Selective models in finance, challenging machine learning setup of fraud detection, portfolio optimization, stock market predictions. And it can be about comparing the different algorithms — like comparing decision trees versus support vector machines (SVM) to find the best model for identifying anomalies in transactions. In stock forecasting, random forests or gradient boosting merge several models for more increase in precision. The performance of an algorithm directly impacts the right choices made, reducing the degree of risk and optimizing the efficiency of decision-making.

3.4 Techniques for Predictive Modeling and Factor Analysis

They are not only interpretable, but they also perform well and have become popular methods for financial prediction, including random forests and boosted trees. These models can capture complex nonlinear relationships between predictors and manage rich interaction effects. Unlike statistical methods, neural networks can model complex patterns in datasets, which make them suitable for forecasting financial returns. [3] Time-Dependency Analysis for Kick-starting Financial Models. There have been many linear and nonlinear machine learning algorithms developed for predicting short-term stock returns. Linear models are easy to interpret, while nonlinear methods like decision trees and neural networks handle interaction effects more naturally. Leippold et al. Fatu (2021) presents analogous results based on Chinese market data, emphasizing the importance of interaction effects in return prediction. He confirmed that the addition of nonlinearities improved out-of-sample R-square and the Sharpe ratio among other performance metrics. Economic Constraints on Machine Learning models have been shown as a quality improvement in bench market prime in other financial decisions. Such restrictions improve transparency, making the models interpretable and consistent with economic theories. Yet those constraints might also restrict the models' flexibility, lowering the chances of their overfitting noise. Striking this balance is crucial when building financial models, as too much noise can make predictions inaccurate. Therefore, thoughtful integration of economic principles with machine learning techniques enhances the robustness of the models and the soundness of decision making. The most popular method for estimating the factor structure in security returns is Principal Component Analysis (PCA). PCA, by looking at the covariance of excess return, identifies the principal components matching the largest eigenvalues which operate as factors. These components help in reducing the dimensionality while preserving the core variance. But this is a shortcoming of PCA-type models: they pick up covariances in returns, but disregard any first-moment information.

This limitation hampers their ability to provide a complete account for the differences in risk premiums among our financial assets. In order to address these limitations of PCA, Lettau and Pelger (2020) introduced another approach — risk-premium PCA (RPPCA). RP-PCA method consists of applying this existing PCA to the adjusted covariance structure (Additive CV-structure) which in this case emphasizes the mean excess return. In particular, it perturbs the covariance matrix with (1+γ)rr′(1 + \gamma)rr'(1+γ)rr′, where rr′ denotes the mean excess return, and γ is a tuning parameter. This refinement captures the risk premia of financial assets better than PCA's inability to explain mean Kelly et al. and (2019) proposed an instrumented PCA model to estimate conditional factor structures. Using a linear mapping matrix, they functionally turn factor loadings into functions of asset characteristics (like zi,tz_{i,t}zi,t) where this mapping is dynamically updated. The flexibility introduced through these timevarying loadings enables the model to learn with varying regimes of financial states. This allows researchers to create more specific and flexible models that take into account cross-sectional asset return variations, improving predictive capabilities. Modern models have made factor loading much more flexible through the use of neural networks. Rather than assuming static loadings, these methods define loadings as a function of asset characteristics zi,tz{i,t}zi,t. These relationships are learned by feed-forward neural networks to establish a nonlinear mapping between characteristics and latent factors. The greater flexibility of models can better explain, for example, returns across sorts on characteristics portfolios. Therefore, neural networks provide a seamless link between the theory of asset pricing and its empirical verification forming a part of a more adaptive approach in stock market collation. Like other methods, you can use machine learning techniques to estimate log-scaled returns for SDF sessions. In place of tangency portfolios, characteristicsbased asset-pricing models approximate the SDF as an affine function of factor returns. However, highdimensional factor returns can fit noise. This is where dimension reduction techniques such as PCA and feature selection come into picture! As a result, the SDFs estimated through ML reflects the most relevant factors, significantly reducing the risk of overfitting and contributing to the robustness of asset pricing models.

3.5 Use of AI to Improve Human Decision-making

Human decision-making is often challenged because of cognitive and processing ability. Human capacity is often overwhelmed by complex tasks involving data analysis, pattern recognition, and real-time decisionmaking. The human errors due to incomplete analysis, biases, or limited attention often have a significant impact on decisions. That is where Artificial Intelligence (AI) comes in: draining through large datasets timeefficiently, discovering complex patterns, and generating unbiased results. for example, allowing datadriven insights through ML (machine learning) algorithms to resolve human inefficiencies during decision-making in critical domains. Recent studies have shown that AI often blows humans out of the water at decision-making tasks. Kleinberg et al. (2018) showed that ML technology enhances judicial decisions like bail outcome predictions by correcting for human bias and mistakes. Similar trends are seen in money management, in which AI offers accuracy, speed, and justice of decision-making. Based on data until October 2023 unlike humans, AI systems are not subject to emotional biases or fatigue and are capable of digesting complex scenarios and providing reliable results tailored to different decision-making environments. A prominent use case of AI is in FinTech lending, wherein lenders employ ML algorithms and alternative data sources. These tools increase the efficiency of decision making through improved assessment of borrower creditworthiness which can be done much more accurately and quicker. The interaction of artificial intelligence with credit may enable better informed credit decisions whilst expanding access to credit to underserved customers. By lowering bias, and niche, or alternative, inputs such real-time online transactions or social media data, lenders can detect qualified borrowers who automated systems may miss and in process promote financial inclusion and uplift.

III. CONCLUSION

In the digital age, data is ubiquitous, however, this abundance leads to more complex decision-making rather than ease of it. Raw data is everywhere, but realizing its value is more difficult than it ought to be. AI technology has great power to industrialize this endeavor and allow organizations to reach correct, timely, and impartial financial decisions. AI technologies improve the extraction of actionable insights by learning from large volumes of data by optimizing information utilization and overcoming the challenges of information overload. Natural Language Processing, Machine Learning, and Predictive Models are all examples of how AI applications have changed financial decisionmaking. Technologies such as sentiment analysis and earnings prediction lead organizations to make datadriven decisions by transforming unstructured information into useful insights. Forecasts are further improved, portfolios [5] are optimized, decision times are improved using AI algorithms. But AI has its weaknesses, especially when the data is noisy, lacks quantity, or is stale. These challenges reinforce the need for human judgment to assist and move alongside AI's capabilities to achieve sustainable decision-making results. AI is great at digesting tons of data, but it doesn't do so well when it concerns regime changes or there is limited data. However, overemphasizing historical patterns can lead to inaccurate forecasts, especially when logical inference is necessary. In those circumstances, human expertise provides invaluable context, ensuring the patterns unearthed by AI are in line with the wellfunctioning, sustainable relationship. Which is why the best approach, therefore, is a combination of the strengths of both humans and machines, because the synergy of "man + machine" propels sound financial decision-making in dynamic enviroments.

Indeed, luminaries like Paul Tudor Jones stress abdication and combined humans and machines in their role, all but confirming that neither can deliver their best results acting alone. Human intuition is still an absolute necessity for omitting noise, interpreting special conditions and rational forecasting. In the future, AIbased frameworks will be coupled with traditional financial models making way for innovative risk management, increased efficiency, and growth opportunities to unlock the potential for businesses to cross generationally in a more complex financial world.

REFERENCES

[1] Abis, S., 2020. Man vs. machine: quantitative and discretionary equity management. Quantitative and Discretionary Equity Management.
[2] Abis, S., Lines, A., 2020. Text-based mutual fund peer groups. ASSA 2021 Virtual Annual Meeting.
[3] Azimi, M., Agrawal, A., 2021. Is positive sentiment in corporate annual reports informative? evidence from deep learning. The Review of Asset Pricing Studies 11, 762–805.
[4] Bao, Y., Ke, B., Li, B., Yu, Y.J., Zhang, J., 2020. Detecting accounting fraud in publicly traded U.S. firms using a machine learning approach. Journal of Accounting Research 58, 199–235.
[5] Bellstam, G., Bhagat, S., Cookson, J.A., 2021. A text-based analysis of corporate innovation. Management Science 67, 4004–4031.
[6] Binz, O., Schipper, K., Standridge, K., 2022. What can analysts learn from artificial intelligence about fundamental analysis? Unpublished working paper.

[7] Blei, D.M., Ng, A.Y., Jordan, M.I., 2003. Latent dirichlet allocation. Journal of Machine Learning Research 3, 993–1022.
[8] Brynjolfsson, E., Mcafee, A., 2017. Artificial intelligence, for real. Harvard Business Review 1, 1–31.
[9] Buehlmaier, M.M.M., Whited, T.M., 2018. Are financial constraints priced? Evidence from textual analysis. Review of Financial Studies 31, 2693–2728.
[10] Campbell, D.W., Shang, R., 2021. Tone at the bottom: measuring corporate misconduct risk from the text of employee reviews. Management Science.
[11] Cao, S., Jiang, W., Yang, B., Zhang, A. L., 2020. How to talk when a machine is listening: corporate disclosure in the age of AI. Unpublished working paper.
[12] Castelo, N., Bos, M.W., Lehmann, D.R., 2019. Task-dependent algorithm aversion. Journal of Marketing Research 56, 809–825.
[13] Castelo, N., Bos, M.W., Lehmann, D.R., 2019. Task-dependent algorithm aversion. Journal of Marketing Research 56, 809–825.
[14] Chen, L., Pelger, M., Zhu, J., 2021. Deep learning in asset pricing. Unpublished working paper.
[15] Chinco, A., Clark-Joseph, A.D., Ye, M., 2019. Sparse signals in the cross-section of returns. Journal of Finance 74, 449–492.
[16] Connor, G., Korajczyk, R.A., 1988. Risk and return in an equilibrium APT. Journal of Financial Economics 21, 255–289.
[17] Connor, G., Korajczyk, R.A., 1988. Risk and return in an equilibrium APT. Journal of Financial Economics 21, 255–289.
[18] Dobbie, W., Liberman, A., Paravisini, D., Pathania, V., 2021. Measuring bias in consumer lending. Review of Economic Studies 88, 2799–2832.
[19] Elavia, T., Kothari, S.P., Li, X., You, H., 2022. Gains from Markowitz optimization: evidence from reoptimization of mutual fund holdings. The Journal of Portfolio Management 48, 199–218.
[20] Frankel, R., Jennings, J., Lee, J., 2016. Using unstructured and qualitative disclosures to explain accruals. Journal of Accounting and Economics 62, 209– 227.