IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

PRESERVING HUMANITY'S COLLECTIVE MEMORY - THE NEXUS OF DIGITAL CULTURAL HERITAGE AND INTERNET GOVERNANCE

Tanishka Pandey, Dr. Reshma Umair

Abstract: In the digital age, the preservation of cultural heritage has evolved through the integration of advanced technologies, particularly immersive platforms like the metaverse. This paper explores the intersection of digital cultural heritage and internet governance, emphasizing the transformative role of digitization in safeguarding collective memory while highlighting challenges such as cyber threats, algorithmic bias, and data sovereignty. It underscores the need for robust legal frameworks, ethical digitization practices, and inclusive algorithmic design. Furthermore, it calls for international collaboration and sustainable digital infrastructure to ensure the long-term protection and equitable representation of global cultural heritage.

"Digitization is happening at such a wide speed and spread, it is almost colonizing human activity."

In the contemporary digital era, where vast amounts of information are stored and accessed online, the preservation of cultural heritage has found a new and secure home in digital technology. Science and technology play a vital role in this transformation by offering advanced tools and techniques for documentation, analysis, conservation, environmental monitoring, and public engagement. In this regard, immersive technologies like the metaverse present groundbreaking opportunities for preserving and promoting cultural and heritage sites.

The metaverse is a collective virtual space that merges digitally enhanced physical and digital realities. It provides an interactive and immersive environment accessible via the Internet, where users can engage with computer-generated settings and interact with others. Libraries and archives, which have historically faced the threat of destruction during conflicts or natural disasters, now rely on digital platforms as modern sanctuaries. These virtual repositories offer a way to safeguard irreplaceable materials from physical decay, loss, or deliberate harm.

Moreover, the metaverse can function as a comprehensive digital archive, housing high-resolution scans, 3D models, and virtual museums dedicated to cultural and heritage artifacts. The digitization of cultural heritage represents a revolutionary shift in how societies preserve and share their collective memory. By converting physical artifacts, manuscripts, and even intangible cultural expressions into digital formats,

humanity has gained unprecedented access to a vast knowledge repository. This democratization cultivates intercultural understanding and helps bridge geographical divides. However, as cultural heritage increasingly enters the digital domain, it becomes vulnerable to newer challenges. These issues from cyber threats and ethical concerns to questions of sovereignty and intellectual property lie at the intersection of Internet governance and data protection.

The Legal Foundations of Cultural Heritage Protection

International law has long recognized the importance of safeguarding cultural heritage. Treaties like the 1972 UNESCO World Heritage Convention and the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict focus primarily on tangible cultural assets. Yet, their scope does not adequately address the complexities of the digital realm which includes cyber-attacks by nation-state during conflicts. While these agreements lay the groundwork, the evolving nature of technology demands that international law expands its purview to account for the unique attributes of digital cultural heritage.

For instance, the UNESCO Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and Preventing the Illicit Import, Export, and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property provides mechanisms for combating the theft and smuggling of cultural artifacts. However, it does not address the digital duplication or online dissemination of such artifacts. This gap emphasizes the need for international frameworks tailored to the digital age, where intellectual property rights and ethical considerations take on new dimensions.

The Role of Internet Governance in Safeguarding Digital Heritage

Internet governance, which encompasses the rules and standards governing online spaces, plays a pivotal role in protecting digital cultural heritage. As more cultural institutions rely on cloud storage and digital platforms to archive artifacts, the question of custodianship becomes paramount. Who owns and controls digital cultural assets? How should responsibilities be divided among stakeholders, including cultural institutions, governments, private tech firms, and indigenous communities?

Data sovereignty, the principle that data is subject to the laws and governance of the nation where it is collected is particularly relevant. A case in point is that of the indigenous communities who often view their cultural artifacts not as commodities but as integral parts of their identity. When such artifacts are digitized and hosted on foreign servers, these communities risk losing control over how their heritage is represented and accessed. Internet governance must establish protocols that respect data sovereignty while enabling global collaboration. Beyond the structural governance, there is an emerging challenge in ensuring that the algorithms driving digital repositories and platforms are equitable and do not perpetuate systemic biases.

Inclusivity and Algorithmic Bias

While digital platforms enable global access to cultural heritage, they also risk perpetuating systemic biases. Algorithms that curate and recommend content often prioritize material from dominant cultures, marginalizing underrepresented groups. The controversy surrounding the Google Art Project, which faced

criticism for Eurocentrism and overshadowing contributions from other communities, underscores the importance of inclusivity and stakeholder participation.

To address this imbalance, internet governance must advocate for transparency in algorithmic design. Platforms should disclose how their algorithms prioritize and present cultural content, enabling independent audits to ensure inclusivity. Furthermore, cultural institutions could collaborate with tech companies to develop algorithms that reflect diverse perspectives, ensuring equitable representation in the digital domain.

Cybersecurity and the Protection of Digital Assets

The preservation of cultural heritage in the digital age raises critical concerns regarding data security and privacy, especially when advanced technologies like metaverse applications are utilized. The accuracy of digital representations is vital for maintaining historical integrity, yet there is a risk of data being tampered with or corrupted, whether intentionally or accidentally, which could lead to distorted depictions of cultural artifacts or sites. In digital repositories, unauthorized access poses another significant threat, as heritage data could be misused for unintended purposes, such as commercial exploitation without proper acknowledgment or consent.

The digital world often promises an unwavering sense of security, offering a means to preserve knowledge beyond physical constraints. However, the 2024 cyberattack on Gallery Systems, (New York Times 2024) a software company that provided services to art institutions, exposed the fragility of digital cultural heritage. The attack affected hundreds of museums, including the Museum of Fine Arts in Boston, the Rubin Museum of Art in New York, and the Crystal Bridges Museum of American Art in Arkansas, all of which relied on the company's software to manage their online archives and collections. Despite the existence of security measures, the breach compromised access to invaluable art collections, disrupting research and cultural preservation. This attack serves as a stark reminder of the growing risks faced by digital archives and underscores the pressing need for more robust and comprehensive cybersecurity practices to protect these precious resources from malicious threats. A coordinated cyberattack could erase irreplaceable cultural records or manipulate historical narratives, with far-reaching implications.

Ensuring the long-term accessibility and preservation of digital data presents additional challenges. The rapid obsolescence of digital formats and the high costs of maintaining technological infrastructure for compatibility and accessibility are significant hurdles. Digital storage systems are vulnerable to cybersecurity threats, such as hacking, theft, ransomware, or malicious damage.

Intellectual Property Rights and Ethical Use

Intellectual property (IP) laws are another critical component of this discussion. The digital representation of cultural heritage often involves navigating complex IP landscapes. For instance, digitizing a centuries-old manuscript may not violate copyright laws, but the metadata, digital images, and associated annotations might still be protected. Institutions that host such content must ensure that their practices align with both

domestic and international IP regulations. Issues surrounding intellectual property rights complicate the situation, raising questions about ownership, authorization, sharing, storage, and reproduction of digital heritage data. These concerns are especially pertinent for living cultures, where digital representations might include sensitive details about individuals or communities that regard certain knowledge, practices, or sites as private or sacred. Consequently, handling cultural heritage data must align with privacy expectations and cultural norms.

Many indigenous and local communities are concerned that the digitization and distribution of their cultural traditions could result in misappropriation, exploitation, or commercialization. Moreover, ethical considerations extend beyond legal compliance. Many cultural artifacts originate from colonized or marginalized communities, raising questions about consent and benefit-sharing. Should these communities have a say in how their heritage is digitized and shared? International legal instruments like the Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit-sharing (Nagoya Protocol, 2014) provide a potential model for ensuring equitable treatment, but their application to digital cultural heritage remains limited.

Towards a Comprehensive Framework

As we look to the future of preserving cultural heritage in the digital era, it is essential to adopt an approach that balances innovation with the protection of the authenticity and integrity of cultural assets.

First and foremost, it is crucial to incorporate ethical principles into the digitization process. Local communities, particularly indigenous peoples, must be actively engaged in decision-making to ensure that the digital representation of their cultural heritage is accurate and respectful. A collaborative approach that includes these communities in the planning and execution of digitization projects will help safeguard the meaning and significance of cultural practices, preventing the exploitation or distortion of cultural identities. By fostering citizen science, crowdsourcing, and community-driven initiatives, we can ensure that the preservation of cultural heritage remains rooted in local knowledge and collective responsibility.

In tandem with ethical considerations, the resilience of digital archives and repositories must be prioritized. As digital platforms become the primary means of preserving cultural heritage, they must be robust against emerging cybersecurity threats. Institutions managing digital repositories should adhere to established standards, such as the Trustworthy Repositories Audit & Certification (TRAC) framework, (CRL, 2024) to ensure that their systems are secure, trustworthy, and capable of maintaining the integrity and authenticity of digital assets. Ongoing security audits, risk assessments, and the adoption of advanced security technologies, such as encryption and intrusion detection, are essential to mitigate cyber threats. Additionally, cultivating a culture of cybersecurity awareness within organizations through training and education will help safeguard these invaluable resources over time.

The global nature of digital cultural heritage demands enhanced international collaboration. While UNESCO's 2003 Charter on the Preservation of Digital Heritage (UNESCO, 2003) laid a foundational

framework for safeguarding digital resources, there remain gaps that need addressing. The Charter's emphasis on international cooperation and the protection of digital heritage is vital, yet there are challenges related to technological obsolescence, the rapid pace of innovation, and the digital divide that must be tackled more effectively. Expanding existing frameworks to include provisions specifically focused on the preservation of digital cultural assets would provide more targeted support to nations and institutions facing these challenges. Furthermore, fostering partnerships across governments, industries, and academia will help bridge the gaps in resources and expertise, ensuring that cultural heritage is preserved for future generations.

As we work towards a comprehensive, inclusive, and secure framework for preserving digital cultural heritage, it is crucial to also address the sustainability of these digital infrastructures. The environmental impact of the technologies that support digital archives must be considered to ensure that the preservation of our cultural heritage does not come at the cost of the planet's future. The energy consumption of digital heritage data centres, coupled with the environmental harm caused by the production and disposal of electronic components, presents significant sustainability concerns. To mitigate these effects, it is important to adopt green technologies, such as renewable energy sources, and implement strategies to reduce electronic waste and promote the recycling of hardware. Additionally, ensuring the long-term preservation of digital assets requires robust data management practices, including regular updates and migrations to prevent technological obsolescence and data degradation.

Looking ahead, we must integrate these considerations into a unified approach to digital cultural heritage preservation. By adopting sustainable practices, ensuring inclusive representation, strengthening cybersecurity measures, and fostering international cooperation, we can build a resilient and equitable framework for preserving our shared cultural legacy in the digital age.

References:

1. Véliz, C., 2022. Online harms and the architecture of choice. International Journal of Law and Information Technology, 30(1), pp.1–17. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11196-022-09958-7.
2. Jansen, S. and Schreiner, C., 2023. Digital Colonialism and the Contestation of Cultural Memory: Digital Technologies and the Future of Heritage. In: J. Winter, ed. Heritage and the Making of Political Legitimacy in the United Nations. Cham: Springer. pp.139–158. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-43404-4_7.
3. UNESCO, 1972. Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage. [online] UNESCO World Heritage Centre. Available at: https://whc.unesco.org/en/convention/
4. Terras, M., 2020. Artefacts and errors: Acknowledging issues of representation in the digital cultural heritage record. Digital Scholarship in the Humanities, 35(4), pp.902–925. https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqaa055
5. De Nardis, L. and Musiani, F., 2021. Internet governance as a site of power: The role of infrastructure. In: L. DeNardis, D. Cogburn, N. Levin and F. Musiani, eds., **Research Handbook on Internet Governance**, 2nd ed. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, pp.468–482. https://doi.org/10.4337/9781800376922.00039