



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Same Sex Marriages

Their adoption rights and validity of same sex marriages

Parbir kaur

Masters of law (2nd semester)

University school of law

Rayat bahra university, Mohali, India

Abstract: This paper explores the social, legal and cultural dimensions of same sex marriages, analyzing its evolution, global status and implications for LGBTQ+ rights. It examines the historical context and the progression of legal recognition across various countries, highlighting the role of activism, judicial rulings, and legislative reforms. The study also investigates the societal impacts of same sex marriages, including changes in public opinion, family structure, and religious debates. Through a comparative analysis, the paper aims to understand the broader significance of marriage equality in advancing human rights and social justice in contemporary society. This paper delves into multifaceted issues such as adoption rights and validity of same sex marriages while examining its legal, social and cultural implications across various global contexts.

I. INTRODUCTION

Same-sex marriage has become one of the most prominent and debated issues in contemporary social and legal discourse. As societies across the world continue to evolve, so too do the conversations surrounding love, identity, and equality. At the heart of the debate over same-sex marriage lies the question of whether individuals, regardless of their sexual orientation, should have equal access to the legal, social, and cultural institution of marriage. Historically, marriage has been defined and regulated by religious and cultural norms that have largely excluded same-sex couples. However, recent decades have witnessed significant progress in the recognition of LGBTQ+ rights, including the legalization of same-sex marriage in many countries.

The struggle for marriage equality has been driven by a combination of legal battles, political activism, and shifting public opinion. Landmark court decisions, such as *Obergefell v. Hodges* in the United States, and legislative victories in countries like Canada, Spain, and South Africa, have established important precedents for marriage equality. These developments reflect broader changes in societal attitudes toward homosexuality and LGBTQ+ rights. Nevertheless, same-sex marriage remains a contentious issue in many parts of the world, with ongoing resistance rooted in religious beliefs, cultural traditions, and political ideologies.

This research paper aims to explore the evolution, challenges, and implications of same-sex marriage across different regions and legal systems. It seeks to understand how the legal recognition of same-sex marriage impacts not only the lives of LGBTQ+ individuals but also the fabric of society as a whole. The paper will examine the historical background of same-sex marriage, tracing its emergence as a legal and political issue. It will then analyze key legal milestones, international trends, and the role of advocacy movements in shaping policy and public opinion.

Furthermore, the paper will investigate the broader implications of same-sex marriage legalization, including changes in family structures, adoption rights, and social acceptance. It will also address the counterarguments posed by opponents of marriage equality, exploring the ways in which these perspectives are framed and justified. By comparing diverse national contexts—from countries where same-sex marriage is

fully legalized to those where it is still prohibited—this study will provide a comprehensive view of the global landscape.

Ultimately, the research presented in this paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing conversation about equality, justice, and human dignity. As the definition and function of marriage continue to evolve, it is essential to understand the legal and cultural significance of extending this institution to same-sex couples. In doing so, societies affirm their commitment to inclusivity, human rights, and the recognition of diverse forms of love and family. The study of same-sex marriage thus offers a valuable lens through which to examine broader issues of civil rights, social change, and the ongoing struggle for equality in the modern world.

II. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY

The primary objective of this study is to explore and analyze the legal, social, cultural, and psychological dimensions of same-sex marriage in contemporary society. With the increasing global recognition of LGBTQ+ rights and the legalization of same-sex marriage in many countries, it is crucial to examine how this shift impacts individuals, families, communities, and legal systems. This study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the motivations behind the movement for marriage equality, the challenges faced by same-sex couples, and the broader implications of same-sex marriage on societal norms and traditional family structures.

A key goal is to investigate the legal frameworks surrounding same-sex marriage across different countries and regions, highlighting the progress made and the disparities that still exist. The study seeks to understand how legal recognition affects same-sex couples in terms of rights, protections, and access to services such as healthcare, inheritance, taxation, and adoption. By comparing jurisdictions where same-sex marriage is legal to those where it is not, the research aims to underscore the importance of legal recognition for achieving full equality.

Social acceptance and cultural attitudes also form a significant part of this study. The research will analyze how same-sex marriage influences societal perceptions of LGBTQ+ individuals, and how cultural, religious, and traditional beliefs shape the public discourse surrounding marriage equality. This includes examining the resistance faced by same-sex couples, particularly in conservative societies, and the role of media, education, and activism in shaping public opinion.

Another objective is to assess the psychological and emotional impact of same-sex marriage on couples. The study will explore how marriage affects the mental health, stability, and life satisfaction of LGBTQ+ individuals, particularly in the context of social validation and legal security. This aspect aims to highlight the personal and relational significance of being able to marry the person of one's choice, and how legal recognition contributes to a sense of belonging and dignity.

Furthermore, the research will address the intersectionality of same-sex marriage, taking into account factors such as gender, race, class, and geography. By acknowledging these overlapping identities, the study seeks to present a nuanced understanding of how various social determinants influence the experience and accessibility of marriage for LGBTQ+ people.

Ultimately, the objective of this research is to contribute to the academic and policy-oriented discourse on same-sex marriage by providing evidence-based insights and recommendations. It aims to inform lawmakers, educators, activists, and the general public about the benefits of inclusive marriage laws and the ongoing challenges that need to be addressed to ensure equality and justice for all couples, regardless of sexual orientation.

By presenting a balanced and thorough analysis, this study aspires to promote greater awareness, foster empathy, and support the ongoing efforts toward achieving full marriage equality on a global scale.

I. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research on same-sex marriages employs a qualitative methodology supported by comparative and analytical approaches to explore the legal, social, and cultural dimensions of marriage equality. The choice of qualitative research is grounded in the need to understand the lived experiences, societal attitudes, and policy impacts related to same-sex marriage, which are best captured through descriptive and interpretive methods rather than purely numerical data.

1. Research Design

The study adopts an exploratory and descriptive design. It aims to uncover the factors influencing same-sex marriage legalization, examine the challenges faced by same-sex couples, and assess the impact of these unions on society. By using open-ended data collection tools, the study allows for the emergence of themes, patterns, and deeper insight into the subject matter.

2. Data Collection Methods

Primary data will be gathered through in-depth interviews and semi-structured questionnaires. Interviews will be conducted with a purposive sample that includes same-sex couples, LGBTQ+ activists, legal experts, and policymakers. This will allow for diverse perspectives on the impact of same-sex marriage on personal lives, rights, and social inclusion.

Secondary data will be sourced from academic journals, books, government reports, NGO publications, and legal documents. This literature will help contextualize the research within a broader socio-legal and historical framework, comparing trends across different countries and cultures.

3. Sampling Technique

The study uses purposive sampling to select participants who have direct experience or expert knowledge of the subject. This includes individuals from countries where same-sex marriage is legal, as well as regions where it remains unrecognized. This comparative aspect aims to highlight the difference in social experiences and legal protections across jurisdictions.

4. Data Analysis

Thematic analysis will be employed to analyze qualitative data from interviews and literature. Responses will be coded and grouped into categories such as legal rights, discrimination, social acceptance, family dynamics, and mental health impacts. This analysis will identify recurring themes and draw meaningful conclusions about the significance of same-sex marriage in various contexts.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The concept of same-sex marriage has garnered significant attention across various disciplines, including sociology, law, psychology, and gender studies. Over the past few decades, the global movement toward marriage equality has prompted widespread academic inquiry into its social, legal, and psychological dimensions. This literature review explores key contributions, debates, and findings surrounding same-sex marriage, aiming to contextualize the issue within a broader academic framework.

1. Historical and Legal Context

Historically, marriage has been perceived as a heterosexual institution grounded in religious and cultural traditions. According to Eskridge (1996), the legal history of same-sex marriage is deeply intertwined with broader civil rights struggles, particularly the fight for LGBTQ+ equality. The 2003 decision in *Lawrence v. Texas* and the landmark 2015 U.S. Supreme Court case *Obergefell v. Hodges* were pivotal in the legal recognition of same-sex marriages in the United States. Globally, countries such as the Netherlands, Canada, and South Africa were among the first to legalize same-sex marriage, prompting international debates about human rights and state sovereignty (Waalwijk, 2004).

Legal scholars have argued that recognition of same-sex marriage is not merely about equality in relationship status but also about broader legal rights such as inheritance, tax benefits, healthcare access, and child custody (Strasser, 2002). These rights have traditionally been linked to heterosexual marriages, creating systemic disadvantages for LGBTQ+ individuals.

2. Social Acceptance and Cultural Influences

The literature indicates a complex relationship between cultural attitudes and the acceptance of same-sex marriage. Pew Research Center surveys (2013–2020) have shown increasing support for same-sex marriage in many Western nations, but resistance remains strong in more conservative and religious societies. Cultural norms, religious beliefs, and traditional views on gender roles often influence public opinion and policy decisions.

Studies by Herek (2000) and Adamczyk & Pitt (2009) emphasize the role of religion in shaping attitudes toward homosexuality and same-sex unions. In regions where religious influence is strong, same-sex marriage is often framed as a threat to traditional family values. Conversely, societies with secular governance and strong human rights traditions tend to exhibit higher levels of support for marriage equality.

3. Psychological and Emotional Well-being

Research in psychology has shown that legal recognition of same-sex marriage positively affects the mental and emotional well-being of LGBTQ+ individuals. According to studies by Badgett et al. (2007) and Riggle et al. (2010), access to marriage rights reduces stress, enhances relationship stability, and promotes social acceptance. Married same-sex couples report higher levels of happiness and lower levels of depression compared to unmarried or legally unrecognized couples.

Moreover, rejection and lack of recognition can contribute to minority stress, leading to negative mental health outcomes. Meyer's (2003) Minority Stress Theory suggests that discrimination, stigma, and internalized homophobia contribute to higher rates of anxiety, depression, and suicide among LGBTQ+ individuals. Legal and social support systems, including marriage recognition, help mitigate these effects.

4. Family and Parenting

One of the most debated aspects of same-sex marriage concerns parenting and child-rearing. Opponents often argue that children need both a mother and a father for healthy development. However, empirical evidence contradicts this claim. Studies by Patterson (2006), Golombok et al. (2003), and the American Psychological Association (APA) consistently show that children raised by same-sex parents fare just as well in terms of emotional, social, and educational development as those raised by heterosexual couples.

These findings challenge the heteronormative assumptions about family structures and reinforce the legitimacy of same-sex families. In jurisdictions where same-sex marriage is legal, adoption rights have also expanded, allowing couples to create families with legal protection and stability.

5. Political and Policy Dimensions

Marriage equality is often a focal point in broader LGBTQ+ rights movements and political agendas. According to Kollman (2007), policy diffusion plays a key role in the spread of same-sex marriage laws across countries. When one nation adopts inclusive policies, it often influences neighboring states through legal precedents, activist networks, and political pressure.

However, political backlash is also common. In many parts of Africa, Asia, and Eastern Europe, same-sex marriage remains illegal and criminalized. In such contexts, researchers like Currier (2014) highlight the importance of grassroots activism and international advocacy in challenging oppressive laws and promoting equality.

6. Intersectionality and Marginalized Voices

Recent literature has emphasized the need for an intersectional approach to understanding same-sex marriage. Crenshaw's (1991) theory of intersectionality suggests that race, gender, class, and sexuality intersect to shape unique experiences of oppression. Queer scholars argue that mainstream narratives around same-sex marriage often privilege white, middle-class gay men while marginalizing the voices of queer people of color, transgender individuals, and low-income LGBTQ+ communities (Spade, 2011).

This critique calls for a broader understanding of marriage equality—not just as a legal right, but as a social justice issue that must address inequality in all its forms.

UNDERSTANDING ADOPTION RIGHTS IN SAME SEX RELATIONSHIP

Adoption Rights of the LGBTQ+ Community

Adoption rights for LGBTQ+ individuals and couples have seen significant progress in recent years, although access remains uneven globally. In many countries, legal reforms have increasingly recognized the right of LGBTQ+ people to adopt children, either as individuals or as couples, contributing to greater inclusivity in family law. However, challenges persist due to cultural, religious, and political opposition in several regions.

Legal Restrictions and Discrimination

Despite advancements, legal barriers persist in several countries. In parts of Africa, the Middle East, and Asia, LGBTQ+ adoption is either explicitly banned or severely limited. For instance:

- **Russia** prohibits adoption by same-sex couples and even by single LGBTQ+ individuals.
- **Poland and Hungary** have enacted laws making it increasingly difficult for LGBTQ+ individuals to adopt or foster children.

In such regions, traditional views on family, strong religious influence, and government policies rooted in conservatism create a hostile environment for LGBTQ+ families.

Social and Institutional Challenges

Even where the law permits LGBTQ+ adoption, discrimination can still be a barrier. Adoption agencies—especially those affiliated with religious organizations—may refuse to serve LGBTQ+ applicants. Bias within the legal system or from social workers can also impact the adoption process. In the U.S., for example, some states have "religious freedom" laws allowing agencies to deny services to LGBTQ+ individuals based on religious beliefs.

Advocacy and Progress

LGBTQ+ rights organizations continue to advocate for equal adoption rights through legal challenges, public awareness campaigns, and international pressure. The United Nations and several human rights organizations support the inclusion of LGBTQ+ individuals and couples in adoption systems, recognizing their capacity to provide loving, stable homes.

Child Welfare Research

Extensive studies confirm that children raised by LGBTQ+ parents fare just as well as those raised by heterosexual parents. Research published by the American Academy of Pediatrics and the American Psychological Association affirms that family functioning, not parental gender or sexual orientation, is the key to a child's development. These findings have been crucial in legal arguments for expanding LGBTQ+ adoption rights.

EVOLUTION OF SAME SEX RELATIONSHIP LAWS

The evolution of same-sex laws in India has been a complex journey shaped by colonial legacies, judicial interpretations, social movements, and changing public discourse. From the criminalization of homosexual acts under British rule to the decriminalization of same-sex relations in 2018, the legal landscape has undergone significant transformation. Despite this progress, full legal equality—particularly in matters such as marriage, adoption, and inheritance—remains elusive for the LGBTQ+ community.

1. Colonial Era and the Introduction of Section 377

India's legal repression of same-sex relationships traces back to colonial rule. In 1860, the British introduced **Section 377 of the Indian Penal Code**, which criminalized "carnal intercourse against the order of nature," effectively targeting homosexual acts. The law was vague but harsh, prescribing up to life imprisonment. Rooted in Victorian morality, Section 377 was not based on Indian traditions—which historically displayed greater fluidity in gender and sexuality—but was instead imported from British legal codes.

2. Post-Independence Period: Silence and Stigma

After gaining independence in 1947, India retained Section 377 without revision. For decades, homosexuality remained a taboo subject, largely absent from public discourse and mainstream media. The LGBTQ+ community faced discrimination, harassment, and violence, often without any legal recourse. Police used Section 377 as a tool to blackmail or intimidate LGBTQ+ individuals. The silence surrounding same-sex relations further marginalized queer voices in both legal and political arenas.

3. Early Legal Challenges and Activism (1990s–2000s)

The 1990s marked a turning point with the rise of queer activism and civil rights organizations. In 1994, the AIDS Bhedbhav Virodhi Andolan (ABVA) first challenged Section 377 in court, arguing that it hindered HIV/AIDS prevention efforts. Although this petition did not lead to legal change, it laid the groundwork for future efforts.

In 2001, the **Naz Foundation**, an NGO working on sexual health, filed a public interest litigation in the Delhi High Court seeking to decriminalize consensual same-sex relations. This case triggered national debate and mobilized civil society groups, academics, and lawyers in support of LGBTQ+ rights.

4. Naz Foundation Judgment (2009)

In a landmark decision in **Naz Foundation v. Government of NCT of Delhi (2009)**, the Delhi High Court ruled that Section 377, insofar as it criminalized consensual sex between adults, violated fundamental rights under Articles 14, 15, and 21 of the Indian Constitution—equality before law, prohibition of discrimination, and the right to life and personal liberty.

This judgment was widely celebrated as a progressive step toward inclusion and dignity for LGBTQ+ individuals. It marked the first time an Indian court had explicitly recognized the constitutional rights of sexual minorities.

5. Supreme Court Reinstates Section 377 (2013)

However, the progress was short-lived. In **Suresh Kumar Koushal v. Naz Foundation (2013)**, the Supreme Court overturned the Delhi High Court's decision, reinstating Section 377. The court held that only Parliament could change the law, arguing that the LGBTQ+ population was a "minuscule minority" and thus did not warrant constitutional protection.

This judgment triggered nationwide protests and criticism, both domestically and internationally. Activists, legal scholars, and human rights organizations condemned the decision as regressive and discriminatory. The backlash reinvigorated the LGBTQ+ rights movement in India.

6. NALSA Judgment and Broader Recognition of Gender Rights (2014)

In a positive development, the Supreme Court in **National Legal Services Authority (NALSA) v. Union of India (2014)** recognized transgender people as a "third gender" and affirmed their rights under the Constitution. While this judgment did not directly address homosexuality, it broadened the scope of gender identity and laid the foundation for future LGBTQ+ legal victories.

7. Navtej Singh Johar Judgment (2018)

The watershed moment came with the Supreme Court's ruling in **Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India (2018)**. In a unanimous verdict, a five-judge constitutional bench struck down the provisions of Section 377 that criminalized consensual same-sex relations among adults. The court held that Section 377 violated the rights to equality, freedom of expression, and personal liberty.

Justice D.Y. Chandrachud emphasized that the Constitution must evolve with time and protect the dignity of every citizen, regardless of sexual orientation. The judgment was hailed as a historic step toward justice and equality and was celebrated across India and globally.

8. Post-Decriminalization: Continuing Challenges

Despite the legal victory in 2018, the LGBTQ+ community in India continues to face several legal and social challenges. Same-sex marriages are not legally recognized, and LGBTQ+ individuals do not have equal rights in adoption, inheritance, or surrogacy. Efforts to legalize same-sex marriage are ongoing, with several petitions currently before the Supreme Court.

Social stigma, discrimination in employment and healthcare, and lack of awareness remain major obstacles. However, there has been increased visibility of LGBTQ+ issues in media, education, and corporate policies, indicating a slow but steady cultural shift.

VALIDITY OF SAME SEX MARRIAGES IN INDIA

India, a country known for its cultural diversity and progressive constitutional framework, has seen significant legal and social changes over the years. One such pivotal area of discourse is the legal recognition of same-sex marriages. While the decriminalization of homosexuality by the Supreme Court in 2018 was a landmark victory for the LGBTQ+ community, the demand for marriage equality remains unresolved. This essay explores the current legal status, judicial interpretations, constitutional considerations, societal implications, and the future prospects of same-sex marriage in India.

Historical Background

The journey toward same-sex marriage rights in India began with the fight against Section 377 of the Indian Penal Code (IPC), a colonial-era law that criminalized consensual homosexual acts. In 2018, the Supreme Court of India in **Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India** struck down this section to the extent that it penalized consensual sex between adults, affirming the dignity and rights of the LGBTQ+ community.

However, this judgment did not extend to legal recognition of same-sex unions or marriages. The court emphasized that although decriminalization was necessary, further rights, including those related to marriage, adoption, and inheritance, would require legislative action.

Current Legal Position (As of 2025)

Despite increasing advocacy and several petitions in courts, same-sex marriages are not legally recognized in India. The existing marriage laws such as:

Hindu Marriage Act, 1955

Special Marriage Act, 1954

Muslim Personal Law

Christian Marriage Act, 1872

Parsi Marriage and Divorce Act, 1936

all presuppose a heterosexual union, often using the terms "bride" and "bridegroom" or "husband" and "wife." The binary interpretation of gender in these laws excludes same-sex couples.

Key Legal Developments

In 2020, several petitions were filed in the Delhi High Court and the Supreme Court, demanding recognition of same-sex marriages under the Special Marriage Act and other personal laws. These petitions argued that non-recognition violates Articles 14, 15, 19, and 21 of the Constitution, which guarantee equality, non-discrimination, freedom of expression, and the right to life and personal liberty.

The most significant development occurred in 2023, when a five-judge Constitutional Bench of the Supreme Court, in a closely watched case, declined to legalize same-sex marriage. The court ruled that:

- The right to marry is not a fundamental right under the Constitution.
- The issue of legalizing same-sex marriages falls within the domain of the legislature.
- However, the court recognized the need to protect the rights of same-sex couples in relationships and asked the government to form a committee to examine these rights in terms of civil unions, inheritance, and healthcare access.

Constitutional Arguments for Legal Recognition

Proponents of same-sex marriage argue that denial of such rights is unconstitutional on several grounds:

1. ****Right to Equality (Article 14)****: Non-recognition creates a class of citizens who are denied equal treatment under the law.
2. ****Non-discrimination (Article 15)****: Discriminating against someone based on sexual orientation is against the spirit of Article 15.
3. ****Right to Life and Personal Liberty (Article 21)****: The right to marry a partner of one's choice is intrinsic to personal liberty and dignity.
4. ****Freedom of Expression (Article 19)****: Denial of marriage recognition restricts the full expression of one's identity and relationships.

The judiciary, while sympathetic to these arguments, has so far taken a ****cautious approach****, preferring ****judicial restraint**** and leaving the matter to the legislature.

Social and Cultural Context

India's cultural landscape is complex. While metropolitan cities like Delhi, Mumbai, and Bangalore have thriving LGBTQ+ communities, a significant part of the country still views same-sex relationships through conservative lenses. Religious beliefs, family structures, and societal norms play a dominant role in marriage, which is often considered a union between two families rather than just two individuals.

The lack of legal recognition also means that same-sex couples cannot:

- Jointly own property or open joint bank accounts
- Make medical decisions for each other
- Inherit property as a legal spouse
- Adopt children together
- Enjoy tax benefits applicable to married couples

Despite these limitations, many same-sex couples live together, celebrate symbolic weddings, and challenge social norms, albeit with varying degrees of acceptance.

International Perspective

Globally, more than ****35 countries**** have legalized same-sex marriages, including the USA, UK, Canada, South Africa, Taiwan, and several EU nations. In South Asia, however, ****India lags behind****, as countries like Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Sri Lanka have neither decriminalized homosexuality nor recognized same-sex marriages.

Legal recognition often leads to greater social acceptance, improved mental health among LGBTQ+ individuals, and societal inclusion. India, with its democratic values and constitutional morality, is seen as a potential leader in the region in this regard.

Public Opinion and Political Response

Public opinion is gradually shifting. Several surveys in recent years, especially post-2018, indicate increasing support for same-sex relationships, especially among the youth and urban population. However, political parties have been largely silent or cautious on this issue. The government, in its response to the Supreme Court, stated that "same-sex marriage is not comparable to the Indian family unit concept of a biological man and woman."

This political hesitancy, coupled with societal conservatism, has delayed legislative reforms.

The Way Forward

While the Supreme Court has deferred to the legislature, it has created a framework for **civil unions** and asked for measures to ensure non-discrimination. This opens the door for:

1. **Civil Union Laws**: A possible intermediate solution allowing legal partnership rights without redefining marriage.
2. **Parliamentary Debate**: Advocacy groups are pushing for a private member's bill or a committee recommendation.
3. **Public Awareness**: Education and sensitization campaigns to change societal attitudes.
4. **Judicial Reinterpretation**: Future litigation could potentially reinterpret existing laws in a gender-neutral way.

CHALLENGE AND GAPS IN SAME SEX MARRIAGES

Despite the decriminalization of homosexuality in India through the *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India* (2018) judgment, same-sex marriages remain unrecognized under Indian law. This legal vacuum creates significant challenges and exposes deep societal, institutional, and policy gaps.

Legally, marriage laws such as the Hindu Marriage Act (1955) and the Special Marriage Act (1954) define marriage strictly as a union between a man and a woman. The 2023 Supreme Court verdict in *Supriyo Chakraborty v. Union of India* further deferred the issue to the legislature, where political consensus remains lacking. As a result, same-sex couples are denied rights such as inheritance, adoption, spousal insurance, and joint property ownership.

Socially, Indian society remains deeply conservative, with strong resistance rooted in tradition, religion, and family structures. LGBTQ+ individuals often face rejection from their families and communities, especially in rural areas. Cultural stigma forces many couples to live discreetly, depriving them of emotional and social support.

Institutionally, bureaucratic systems do not accommodate same-sex partners in matters like health care consent, emergency decision-making, or financial services. There is no policy framework for spousal visas, pension benefits, or child adoption for LGBTQ+ couples.

Religiously, opposition from various faith-based groups further complicates the push for legal reforms. Personal laws governed by religion do not recognize same-sex unions, posing a challenge for uniform legal progress.

In summary, the absence of legal recognition, combined with social stigma and institutional neglect, creates a wide gap between constitutional equality and the lived reality of same-sex couples in India. Bridging these gaps requires legal reform, inclusive policymaking, and sustained public awareness. In 2020, several petitions were filed in the Delhi High Court and the Supreme Court, demanding recognition of same-sex marriages under the Special Marriage Act and other personal laws. These petitions argued that non-recognition violates Articles 14, 15, 19, and 21 of the Constitution, which guarantee equality, non-discrimination, freedom of expression, and the right to life and personal liberty.

The most significant development occurred in 2023, when a five-judge Constitutional Bench of the Supreme Court, in a closely watched case, declined to legalize same-sex marriage. The court ruled that:

The right to marry is not a fundamental right under the Constitution.

The issue of legalizing same-sex marriages falls within the domain of the legislature.

However, the court recognized the need to protect the rights of same-sex couples in relationships and asked the government to form a committee to examine these rights in terms of civil unions, inheritance, and healthcare access.

Constitutional Arguments for Legal Recognition

Proponents of same-sex marriage argue that denial of such rights is unconstitutional on several grounds:

Right to Equality (Article 14): Non-recognition creates a class of citizens who are denied equal treatment under the law.

Non-discrimination (Article 15): Discriminating against someone based on sexual orientation is against the spirit of Article 15.

Right to Life and Personal Liberty (Article 21): The right to marry a partner of one's choice is intrinsic to personal liberty and dignity.

Freedom of Expression (Article 19): Denial of marriage recognition restricts the full expression of one's identity and relationships.

The judiciary, while sympathetic to these arguments, has so far taken a cautious approach, preferring judicial restraint and leaving the matter to the legislature.

Social and Cultural Context

India's cultural landscape is complex. While metropolitan cities like Delhi, Mumbai, and Bangalore have thriving LGBTQ+ communities, a significant part of the country still views same-sex relationships through conservative lenses. Religious beliefs, family structures, and societal norms play a dominant role in marriage, which is often considered a union between two families rather than just two individuals.

The lack of legal recognition also means that same-sex couples cannot:

Jointly own property or open joint bank accounts,

Make medical decisions for each other,

Inherit property as a legal spouse,

Adopt children together,

Enjoy tax benefits applicable to married couples,

Despite these limitations, many same-sex couples live together, celebrate symbolic weddings, and challenge social norms, albeit with varying degrees of acceptance.

International Perspective

Globally, more than 35 countries have legalized same-sex marriages, including the USA, UK, Canada, South Africa, Taiwan, and several EU nations. In South Asia, however, India lags behind, as countries like Pakistan, Bangladesh, and Sri Lanka have neither decriminalized homosexuality nor recognized same-sex marriages.

Legal recognition often leads to greater social acceptance, improved mental health among LGBTQ+ individuals, and societal inclusion. India, with its democratic values and constitutional morality, is seen as a potential leader in the region in this regard.

Public Opinion and Political Response

Public opinion is gradually shifting. Several surveys in recent years, especially post-2018, indicate increasing support for same-sex relationships, especially among the youth and urban population. However, political parties have been largely silent or cautious on this issue. The government, in its response to the Supreme Court, stated that "same-sex marriage is not comparable to the Indian family unit concept of a biological man and woman."

CONCLUSION

India stands at a crossroads where tradition and modern constitutional values often collide. While same-sex marriage is not yet legally valid, the recognition of LGBTQ+ rights has undeniably progressed. The road ahead involves not just legal reform but also societal transformation. A democratic and inclusive society must ensure that all individuals, regardless of their sexual orientation, have the right to love, marry, and live with dignity.

The movement for same-sex marriage is not merely about ceremonial recognition—it is about equal rights, legal protections, and social acceptance. It's only a matter of time before India, guided by its Constitution and driven by its youth, embraces marriage equality.

The movement for same-sex marriage in India faces numerous and interconnected challenges—legal, political, social, religious, and psychological. While significant progress has been made since the decriminalization of homosexuality in 2018, marriage equality remains an unfulfilled dream for millions.

Addressing these challenges requires a multi-pronged approach:

Legislative action to amend or introduce inclusive marriage laws, Judicial courage to interpret existing laws in a gender-neutral way, Public sensitization through education and media, Political leadership that prioritizes human rights over vote-bank politics. Same-sex marriage is not just a legal issue; it's a matter of human dignity, equality, and love. A progressive democratic society like India must work toward removing these challenges to ensure that love and commitment, regardless of gender, are recognized and respected.

