IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

The Evolution Of Digital Asset Regulation In India: Legal Implications, Economic Risks And Balancing Innovation With Consumer Protection

Anjali Shukla
Roll No.-24LLM09
LLM Student
School of Law
Lingaya's Vidyapeeth, Faridabad

Prof. Dr. Monika Rastogi
H.O.D. (School of Law)

Ms. Sweksha
Assistant Professor

Abstract: The development of digital assets such as cryptocurrencies and financial products based on blockchain, has contributed to the rise in the number of serious regulatory issues for various nations. India is also in an important position to develop a well-integrated legal framework for digital assets with its expanding fintech industry. This research study explores the development of digital asset regulation in India, outlining the transition from initial legal hurdles and government disapproval to current legislative and judicial developments. It also analyzes the legal implications of existing and future legislative frameworks as well as their compliance with international norms and constitutional rights. As much as evaluating the legitimate economic gains of adopting blockchain innovation, the author in this research paper, considers the monetary risks that accompany the unregulated digital asset markets such as financial instability, capital flight and frauds. It further highlights the intricate balance, which the governments must maintain between promoting consumer protection, financial integrity and national security and innovation in technology. Drawing on similar regulatory strategies and stakeholder perspectives, the research paper provides strategic suggestions for a balanced, adaptive, future-proof regulatory framework for digital assets in India.

Keywords*:* Digital Assets, Cryptocurrency Regulation, Blockchain Technology, Fintech in India, Legal Framework, Economic Risks, Consumer Protection, Financial Innovation, Regulatory Policy, India Digital Economy, Virtual Currencies, Compliance and Enforcement, Technology Law, Digital Finance, Public Policy

I. INTRODUCTION

Digital assets such as cryptocurrencies, stablecoins, non-fungible tokens (NFTs) and other financial instruments based on blockchain in the recent times have emerged as groundbreaking elements in the global economic and technological sphere. Their dispersed nature, rapid acceptance and potential to disrupt traditional financial institutions have prompted governments across the globe to rethink and reframe the current legal frameworks. India, with its rapidly expanding digital economy and huge pool of educated citizens, has witnessed a robust increase in investment and interest in digital assets. This has occurred within a largely volatile and dynamic regulatory landscape characterized by periodic bans, court battles and illogical policy responses.

Once specialization development items, virtual digital assets (VDAs) or crypto assets in some definitions are now intrinsic part of the worldwide financial field. Virtual Digital Assets (VDAs) shall not be considered as little as

having a value above USD 3 trillion with over 560 million users. With millions of users and a vibrant domestic market with organized institutions such as exchanges and technical firms, India has witnessed a significant rise in VDA usage. The VDA market in India is projected to reach USD 6.4 billion with more than 107.30 million active users by 2025. The lack of clear legislative framework for VDAs in India is challenging, particularly given that the G20 Leaders Declaration under India's presidency emphasized the necessity of a coordinated and integrated approach towards VDA policy and regulation, thus implying a cohesive road map for resolving emerging problems[1].

However, with these challenges is an enormously important requirement for the promotion of technical progress. The foundation for digital assets, blockchain technology opens incredible potential for enhancing security, efficiency and transparency in various sectors such as banking, supply chains, the government and healthcare. Policymaking slowness or overly restrictive rules might render India less capable to lead the global stage in Web3 and fintech industries.

Accordingly, this paper seeks disparagingly from a multidimensional perspective to explore how digital asset regulation has evolved in India. It considers the legal implications of new legislation, examines the financial risks associated with digital asset markets and analyzes how optimum regulatory frameworks might achieve a balance between nurturing innovation and safeguarding consumers. Through a comparative analysis of global best practices and India's present policy path, this paper attempts to provide practical suggestions for creating a robust, adaptable and inclusive regulatory framework for digital assets in India.

Objectives of the study:

- The aim of the study is to outline the historical developments which came up in the field of digital asset regulation in India.
- The aim of this study is to analyse the existing regulatory framework.
- The aim is to identify and assess economic risks related to digital assets in India.
- The aim is to evaluate the influence of regulation on innovation, specifically in India's fintech and blockchain industries.

Review of the Literature

- Choudhary (2019), in *"Regulating the Unregulated: Cryptocurrency and Indian Law"*[2], analyses the legal vagueness about digital assets in India, highlighting the necessity for statutory classification to resolve enforcement and compliance challenges.
- *Bansal and Jain (2021), in their study on "Crypto-Regulation and Institutional Overlap,"*[3] this work examines the regulatory methods among principal Indian institutions such as the RBI, SEBI and the Ministry of Finance, further, illustrating that how the lack of unified strategy creates ambiguity in the legal classification of cryptocurrencies.
- Sharma and Reddy (2022), in their paper *"Crypto Markets and Economic Vulnerability"*[4], assesses the dangers linked to unregulated digital asset trading, threatening against the possibility of systemic financial instability and investor losses.
- Gupta and Saxena (2020), in their analysis *"Blockchain Beyond Cryptocurrency"*[5], investigate about the larger applications of blockchain and discusses for a forward-thinking regulatory model that encourages innovation in public infrastructure and governance.

[1] **Regulating Virtual Digital Assets In India: Balancing Innovation And Risks** by Arindam Goswami and Nirupama Soundararajan available at: https://www.businessworld.in/article/regulating-virtual-digital-assets-in-india-balancing-innovation-and-risks-546567

[2] Choudhary, *Regulating the Unregulated: Cryptocurrency and Indian Law*, Eastern Book Company, 2019.

[3] Bansal and Jain, "Crypto-Regulation and Institutional Overlap", (2021) 3 *Journal of Financial Law and Policy* 45.

[4] Sharma and Reddy, "Crypto Markets and Economic Vulnerability", (2022) 14 *Indian Economic Review* 87.

[5] Gupta and Saxena, "Blockchain Beyond Cryptocurrency: A Technological Perspective", (2020) 2 *Journal of Information Technology and Society* 33.

Research Questions

- How has been the evolution of the regulatory framework for digital assets in India over the last decade?
- What are the principal legal obstacles related to the regulation of digital assets in India?
- What economic risks are associated with the expansion of digital asset markets in India?
- How can India effectively strike a balance between the promotion of innovation in blockchain and fintech with the implementation of essential regulatory safeguards?

Research Methodologies

This study article solely focuses on intellectual aspects. We used secondary sources to gather pertinent information. Furthermore, there are online papers and journals; certain well-known written books were also recommended during the course of developing this study endeavor.

II. CONCEPTUAL AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF DIGITAL ASSETS

2.1 Definition and Categories of Digital Assets

Digital assets are electronically kept resources with value, ownership, or rights that might be traded, saved, or moved across digital networks. Built on fundamental technologies like distributed ledgers or blockchain systems, which ensure decentralisation, immutability, and transparency, they are based Though the word "digital asset" is broad, in financial and legal environments it mostly refers to:

- **Cryptocurrencies:** Decentralized, cryptographically secured tokens, including Bitcoin and Ethereum, that serve as mediums of trade or stores of value.
- **Utility Tokens:** These grant access to a product or service within a blockchain ecosystem (e.g., Filecoin, BAT).
- **Security Tokens:** Denote ownership in tangible assets or financial instruments (such as equities or real estate) and are governed by securities legislation.
- **Non-Fungible Tokens (NFTs):** Distinct digital assets authenticated by blockchain technology, frequently symbolising artwork, music, or gaming resources.
- **Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs)** are state-sponsored digital currencies issued by central banks, exemplified by India's digital rupee. Comprehending these disparities is essential, as regulatory treatment varies according on the classification of the digital asset.

2.2 Juridical Character of Digital Assets

One of the most complex issues both globally and in India is the legal classification of digital resources. Lack of a globally accepted classification leads to inconsistent legal interpretation. Although there is no particular law in India defining digital assets, the Income Tax Act (after the 2022 changes) names them as "Virtual Digital Assets (VDAs").

Principal legal discussions encompass:

Do digital assets include securities, commodities, or currencies? While most people consider cryptocurrencies to be commodities or property, if they show traits of investment contracts, they could be subject to securities laws. The question is whether people really "own" digital goods, especially in distributed systems devoid of central authority to verify ownership.

Legal acceptance of smart contracts—which run on blockchain systems- is in development. While Indian law supports electronic contracts per the IT Act, 2000, it does not address their enforceability.

2.3 Principal Stakeholders: Investors, Exchanges, Regulators

The digital asset ecosystem includes various stakeholders whose roles and responsibilities are crucial to regulatory considerations:

- **Investors and Consumers:** This category encompasses both retail and institutional investors engaged in digital asset markets. They encounter dangers include market volatility, fraudulent schemes and ambiguous legal remedies.
- **Cryptocurrency Exchanges and Wallet Providers:** These organizations enable the acquisition, disposition and safekeeping of digital assets. In India, exchanges such as CoinDCX, WazirX and CoinSwitch have established compliance frameworks following the 2022 tax legislation.
- **Regulatory Authorities and Policy Makers:** Different regulatory authorities form digital asset policy. The RBI is mostly concerned with financial stability, monetary policy, and CBDC development. SEBI could control security tokens. Legislative projects and taxation are under supervision by the Ministry of Finance. On the other hand, the Financial Intelligence Unit (FIU) and the Enforcement Directorate (ED) are assigned to look into financial offences linked with cryptocurrencies. Finally, digital infrastructure and technological control are supervised by the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY).

Regulatory clarity is essential to prevent conflicts and cultivate trust due to overlapping jurisdictions.

2.4 Overview of International Regulatory Models

Worldwide, the regulation of digital assets ranges from permissive to stringent, contingent upon each nation's legislative framework and risk assessment. Principal models comprise:

The **United States** employs a fragmented regulatory strategy, involving the SEC, CFTC, IRS and FinCEN in various capacities. Cryptocurrencies are classified as property for tax purposes, with enforcement determined by the "Howey Test" applicable to securities.

The **United Kingdom** prioritises consumer protection and the prevention of financial crime. The FCA regulates cryptocurrency enterprises for anti-money laundering compliance. Cryptocurrency is not recognised as legal cash but is governed by financial services regulations.

The **European Union** has implemented the Markets in Crypto Assets (MiCA) regulation to establish a cohesive framework among member states, emphasising licensing, disclosure and consumer protection.

Singapore: Adopts a risk-oriented, innovation-promoting framework. The Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS) mandates that cryptocurrency exchanges register and adhere to stringent AML/KYC regulations while permitting operational freedom.

China has adopted a restrictive position by prohibiting cryptocurrency trading and mining, while concurrently advocating for its digital yuan (CBDC)[6].

While, **India** is presently deriving insights from these models. The objective is to provide a hybrid architecture that facilitates blockchain innovation while mitigating potential misuse.

III. EVOLUTION OF DIGITAL ASSET REGULATION IN INDIA

3.1 Description (In a chronological order)

- **2009- 2017**

Over the past ten years, India's regulatory environment for digital assets has seen significant change. Early years, from roughly 2009 to 2017, cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin entered the Indian market free from any institutional governmental control. Legal uncertainty defined this era as early adopters engaged in bitcoin trading via unofficial

[6] People's Bank of China, *Notice on Further Preventing and Dealing with Risks in Virtual Currency Trading*, September 2021.

markets as ZebPay, Unocoin, and Koinex. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) first issued an advisory circular in 2013 warning consumers, holders, and traders on the growing usage of virtual currencies and the related financial, legal, and security risks[7]. Nonetheless, the absence of a ban or regulatory framework facilitated the market's unregulated expansion.

- **2018- 2020**

When the RBI issued a circular prohibiting all regulated financial institutions from providing services to individuals or businesses operating in virtual currencies in April 2018, things changed noticeably. This action basically cut off the link between bitcoin exchanges and the banking system, which resulted in a notable drop in activity and encouraged legal actions by the Internet and Mobile Association of India (IAMAI). Based on constitutional rights under Article 19(1)(g) and proportionality, the Supreme Court of India decided in March 2020 supporting IAMAI, therefore rejecting the RBI circular[8]. This decision rejuvenated the cryptocurrency sector in India, reinstating investor confidence and allowing exchanges to recommence operations.

- **2021-2022**

With this legislative amendment, the Indian government's policy shifted from outright ban to measured involvement. Initiated by the Ministry of Finance, the Cryptocurrency and Regulation of Official Digital Currency Bill sought to restrict private cryptocurrencies while so enabling the creation of a central bank digital currency (CBDC). Though the measure was not before Parliament, the government's goal suggested a more organised route of policy. The Union Budget 2022 reinforced this with a 1% TDS on bitcoin transactions and a 30% tax on income produced from virtual digital assets (VDAs). This did basically bring digital assets into the tax system and acknowledge their increasing significance in the financial system, even though it did not grant official recognition.

- **2022- till now**

The Indian government took more steps in 2023 to include digital asset operations within the legal system. Virtual asset service providers must follow anti-money laundering (AML) and Know Your Customer (KYC) rules under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA), therefore increasing responsibility and reducing criminal activity. Concurrently, the RBI took a cautious stance, regularly expressing concerns about financial instability and supporting a ban on cryptocurrencies, but also supporting pilot projects for the official CBDC, the digital rupee. The launch of the CBDC was a significant turning point in India's digital financial development since it showed the government's eagerness to adopt blockchain technology inside under control and sovereign framework. Concurrent with this, the government announced plans to replace the Information Technology Act, 2000 with a new Digital India Act expected to address digital asset management among other issues. Furthermore underlining the global dimension of the technology and its related risks, India underlined during its G20 Presidency in 2023 the need of international cooperation in creating universal regulatory standards for digital assets.

Digital asset control in India shows a change from unbridled growth to measured awareness and incremental formalisation. Though the country has not yet passed a comprehensive legislation specifically controlling cryptocurrencies and blockchain-based assets, regulatory efforts have grown ever more coordinated and encompassing. The Indian approach is defined by an attempt to balance consumer protection, financial stability, technical development with financial security. Still, challenges remain particularly concerning legal classification, jurisdictional overlap and the need for a unified, forward-looking regulatory framework.

IV. ECONOMIC IMPACT AND RISKS

Digital assets' rise in India has brought not only significant economic consequences but also legal and regulatory problems. Understanding the wider economic consequences—both positive and negative—is crucial as India

[7] RBI, *Press Release on Virtual Currencies*, December 24, 2013.

[8] *Internet and Mobile Association of India v. Reserve Bank of India*, (2020) 10 SCC 274.

looks at its place in the global digital banking scene. This chapter evaluates the impact of digital assets on the Indian economy, their inclusion into the financial system, related risks and the need of robust regulations to prevent systematic hazards.

4.1.Impact on Financial Markets and Capital Flow

The arrival of digital assets has generated a new investment niche inside the financial scene of India. Particularly because of their great return potential, cryptocurrencies—especially—have attracted growing attention from both personal and institutional investors. Blockchain-based assets offer fresh chances for diversification, capital raising, and creative financial technology development. The industry has accelerated the rise of start-ups, service providers, home crypto exchanges, thereby creating jobs and improving digital entrepreneurship.

Macroeconomic concerns are raised by this flood of money into mostly uncontrolled and risky assets. There are worries that capital flight via cross-border or anonymous bitcoin transactions could evade traditional banking rules, therefore compromising the Indian rupee and upsetting the home financial scene. Furthermore, excessive speculation in bitcoin markets can cause funds from more reliable and profitable sectors to be diverted, therefore affecting liquidity management and investing behaviour.

4.2.Investor Risk and Consumer Protection Concerns

The cryptocurrency market in India has experienced rapid expansion, frequently propelled by retail investors possessing insufficient financial acumen. These investors face various risks:

- **Volatility:** Digital assets exhibit significant volatility, with value variations influenced by speculative behaviour, social media sentiment and global regulatory alterations.
- **Fraud & Scams:** Insufficient regulation has resulted in several Ponzi scams, fraudulent initial coin offerings (ICOs) and phishing assaults aimed at Indian customers.
- **Loss of Access:** In the absence of regulatory protections, the loss of private keys or the cessation of platform operations may result in irreversible asset loss.
- **Legal Ambiguity:** The lack of definitive legal avenues complicates customers' ability to reclaim monies or pursue remedies in conflicts with digital assets.

These hazards underscore the pressing necessity for a consumer protection framework that encompasses obligatory disclosures, asset custody regulations, grievance resolution processes and educational programs to mitigate financial misinformation[9].

IV.3 Taxation and Revenue Considerations

Taxation and Revenue Factors:

The Indian government's implementation of a 30% tax on profits from virtual digital assets (VDAs) and a 1% Tax Deducted at Source (TDS) on all cryptocurrency transactions marked a significant milestone in recognising digital assets within the economic framework. This action has several ramifications:

- **Revenue Generation:** The taxation structure establishes a novel source of government revenue, however actual collection may be constrained by non-compliance and transaction anonymisation.
- **Formalisation:** Taxation confers legitimacy and subject's digital asset activities to regulatory oversight, enhancing transaction monitoring.

The existing tax rate, together with TDS, has been condemned for diminishing liquidity and deterring active trading, which may compel consumers to seek offshore or informal venues.

Although taxes represent progress towards integration, further elucidation is required about the taxation of staking, mining, airdrops and cross-border digital asset transactions.

IV.4 Risk of Fraud, Scams and Market Volatility

India has experienced numerous prominent frauds and exchange failures due to a lack of regulatory supervision. Malicious individuals frequently exploit regulatory gaps and technological anonymity to commit fraud. Prevalent fraudulent schemes encompass:

- **Rug Pulls:** Initiatives that vanish subsequent to capital acquisition.
- **Pump and Dump Schemes:** Manipulating asset values to deceive investors.
- **Phishing and Hacking:** Unauthorised access to wallets and the theft of digital assets.

[9] Nishith Desai Associates, *Cryptocurrency in India: The Road Ahead*, Legal and Tax Analysis, 2022.

Global bitcoin market is quite sensitive to geopolitical events, social media posts from influencers and foreign policy decisions. Notwithstanding internal regulatory measures, this overseas volatility could have detrimental effects on Indian investors and platforms. Implementing monitoring techniques, setting registration criteria for service providers, and organising enforcement activities by financial and cybercrime organisations will help to mitigate these threats.

Digital assets in India have economic consequences that combine possibility with danger. They promise new economic frontiers, improved efficiency, and financial creativity. On the other hand, they clearly compromise regulatory control, investor protection, and financial stability. India has to strike a careful balance: encouraging entrepreneurship and innovation while implementing policies meant to cut volatility, fraud, and speculation. Ensuring that digital assets favourably effect India's economic environment will depend critically on regulatory clarity, consumer awareness, and intersectoral coordination.

V. Balancing Innovation with Regulation

India is at a pivotal point in defining its strategy for digital asset regulation. As the sector swiftly progresses, the necessity to achieve a balance between promoting technical innovation and protecting public interest also intensifies. This chapter investigates the obstacles and opportunities in attaining this equilibrium, analyzing how India may promote blockchain innovation while alleviating economic and legal risks. It examines current regulatory measures, worldwide trends and offers ideas to establish a pro-innovation, risk-sensitive policy framework.

5.1 Necessity for Innovation in Blockchain and Financial Technology

The emergence of blockchain technology and digital assets has brought about transformative potential in banking, governance and commerce. This innovation in India has been propelled by:
Financial Inclusion: Blockchain possesses the capacity to improve transparency and access for marginalised communities through decentralised finance (DeFi).
Public Sector Applications: State governments have implemented blockchain technology in land records, supply chain monitoring and identity verification (e.g., Telangana, Maharashtra).
Fintech Start-ups: India hosts an expanding ecosystem of blockchain developers, cryptocurrency service providers and decentralised finance platforms delivering novel financial solutions.
Restrictive or confusing regulations threaten to hinder innovation and compel talent and capital to relocate overseas. The nation must consequently establish an ecosystem that facilitates companies in experimenting, testing and scaling responsibly.

5.2 Regulatory Sandboxes and Public-Private Partnerships

The regulatory sandbox is a highly promising instrument for reconciling innovation with oversight. Launched by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) in 2019, this initiative permits fintech companies to trial new products within a regulated environment under supervisory oversight. The primary advantages of sandboxes encompass: Lowered entry barriers for startups. Immediate feedback to regulators regarding technological effects. Promotion of responsible innovation. In addition to the RBI, SEBI and IRDAI have also initiated sector-specific sandboxes. These initiatives are enhanced by partnerships with private entities via industry groups, think tanks and policy forums. The scope of sandboxes must be broadened to encompass blockchain-based digital assets more directly.

5.3 Comparative Examination of India's Equilibrium Strategy

India's strategy for digital asset regulation has thus far been reactive and gradual. In comparison to more innovation-friendly jurisdictions such as Singapore and Switzerland, India falls short in offering legislative clarity and institutional support. In contrast to countries such as China that impose explicit prohibitions, India's position is more permissive.

Favourable Attributes:

- Recognition of digital assets within tax policy.
- Inclusion of Virtual Digital Asset Service Providers (VASPs) in the scope of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA).

- Initiation of India's Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) pilot program to investigate regulated alternatives. **Opportunities for Enhancement:** Lack of comprehensive legislation. Conflicting jurisdictions of regulatory agencies. Insufficient distinction between asset categories (utility tokens versus securities). India's plan seems to embody a prudent openness—an initiative to facilitate regulated innovation while preserving institutional integrity.

5.4 Suggestions for a Pro-Innovation Regulatory Framework

India must implement a progressive, cohesive framework to promote responsible innovation while safeguarding consumers and the economy. Several policy recommendations encompass:

Comprehensive Legislation: Enact a Digital Asset Regulation Act that explicitly defines digital assets, outlines regulatory roles and guarantees uniformity across industries.

Licensing of Service Providers: Require the registration of exchanges, custodians and wallet providers with regulatory entities such as SEBI or a specialised digital asset authority.

Consumer Protection Initiatives: Implement disclosure standards, grievance resolution mechanisms and financial literacy programs to protect ordinary investors.

Tiered Regulation: Establish regulations that vary according on the characteristics, scale and risk profile of digital assets.

Inter-Agency Coordination: Establish a regulatory council or digital asset task force to synchronise initiatives with RBI, SEBI, MeitY and the Finance Ministry.

Global Convergence: Align rules with FATF standards, G20 proposals and exemplary practices from MiCA, Singapore's MAS and others.

Promoting R&D: Advocate for academic research, financial support and incubation centres dedicated to blockchain, Web3 and tokenisation.

India's digital asset evolution is characterised by measured advancement, influenced by its economic aspirations and legal heritage. As the world adopts Web3, token economies and decentralised finance, India must ensure it remains competitive. The difficulty is not in selecting between innovation and regulation, but in formulating frameworks that enable their coexistence. Through strategic policymaking, stakeholder engagement and international cooperation, India may position itself as a leader in responsible digital asset innovation.

VI. CONCLUSION

The evolution of digital asset control in India shows a complex relationship among legal uncertainty, technology innovation, and commercial possibilities. As legislators realise the growing relevance of digital assets in both national and international financial systems, the first period of regulatory silence has turned into a moment of cautious interaction. The Reserve Bank of India's 2018 ban, the Supreme Court's important 2020 decision, the application of a tax system and the improvement of anti-money laundering laws define India's dynamic and responsive legislative development. These developments mark important turning points, but they also highlight the jagged nature of the current system and the urgent need for thorough, forward-looking legislation.

The need of legal certainty, economic stability and consumer protection gets more urgent as digital assets grow in complexity and scope. Apart from increasing investor uncertainty, the absence of a coherent legislative framework compromises India's potential leadership in digital banking and blockchain innovation. Concurrent with this, too strict control or governmental immobility could impede technological development and inspire

talent and capital to countries better fit for innovation. India thus has to try to reach a complex equilibrium that supports ethical innovation, protects consumers against market volatility and fraud and follows worldwide best practices.

The future calls for a cooperative, flexible, risk-based regulatory system spanning regulators, business players, attorneys, technology developers, and others. By means of well written laws, inter-agency cooperation, and anticipatory policymaking, India can become a major player in the field of digital assets and act as a global model for how developing nations ought to handle innovation while preserving public welfare.

REFERENCES

- **Secondary Sources**
  - ❖ **Books**
    - Jeffrey Goldsworthy, Parliamentary Sovereignty: Contemporary Debates, (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
    - Varun Sethi, in the Blockchain, (Blockchain & Legal Publications, 2021).
  - ❖ **Legislation**
    - *Income Tax Act*, 1961, Sec. 115BBH (inserted by Finance Act, 2022).
    - *Income Tax Act*, 1961, Sec. 2(47A) (definition of Virtual Digital Assets).
    - *Prevention of Money Laundering Act*, 2002
    - *Information Technology Act*, 2000
    - *Payment Services Act*, 2019 (Singapore), Monetary Authority of Singapore.
  - ❖ **Government Reports and Official Documents**
    - Reserve Bank of India, Press Release on "Caution Regarding Risks of Virtual Currencies", December 24, 2013.
    - Reserve Bank of India, "Enabling Framework for Regulatory Sandbox", August 13, 2019.
    - Ministry of Finance, Union Budget 2022–23: Speech of Nirmala Sitharaman, Finance Minister, February 1, 2022.
    - Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), National Strategy on Blockchain, December 2021.
    - Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), Framework for Innovation Sandbox, SEBI Circular No. SEBI/HO/MRD/DP/CIR/P/2019/68, May 20, 2019.
    - Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI), Regulatory Sandbox Guidelines, October 2019.
    - G20 Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors, High-Level Principles on Crypto-Asset Policies, 2023.
  - ❖ **Journal Articles and Academic Reports**
    - Nishith Desai Associates, *Cryptocurrency in India: The Road Ahead*, Legal and Tax Analysis, 2022.
    - International Monetary Fund, *Crypto Assets and Financial Stability: A Global Perspective*, January 2022.
    - World Economic Forum, *Crypto, What Is It Good For? An Overview of Cryptocurrency Use Cases*, 2021.

❖ **Web Sources and News Articles**

- "1% TDS on Crypto Is Hurting Indian Startups and Retail Investors: Industry Experts" in Business Standard on July 2022, available at: www.business-standard.com
- "Crypto Rug Pulls, Phishing Scams on the Rise in India as Investments Surge" in Economic Times March 2022, available at: www.economictimes.indiatimes.com
- "Government Cautions Against Cryptocurrency Investment Amid Rising Scams" published in Press Information Bureau on November 2021, available at: www.pib.gov.in
- "India's Crypto Market Grows Despite Regulatory Uncertainty" in CoinDesk, December 2021, available at: www.coindesk.com