



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Understanding The Structure Of Mind

Uparikar Premkant D.¹, Mangat, J.K.,², Singh Amool R.³

1. Associate Professor and Department Head of Clinical Psychology, Amity University Raipur, State Highway-9, Manth (Kharora)-493225, (Chhattisgarh), India
2. Research Scientist-I, ICMR-AIIMS, Department of Psychiatry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Sri Aurobindo Marg, Ansari Nagar, Ansari Nagar East, New Delhi, Delhi 110029, India. RCI Licensed Clinical Psychologist.
3. Professor and Department Head of Clinical Psychology, Ranchi Institute of Neuro-Psychiatry and Allied Sciences (RINPAS), Kanke, Ranchi – 6 (Jharkhand), India

Corresponding author: Dr Premkant D. Uparikar, Associate Professor & Consultant Clinical Psychologist, Amity University Raipur, State Highway 9, Manth (Kharora)-493225, (Chhattisgarh), India

Orcid ID

Author 1 <https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6694-2795>

Author 2 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7319-6559>

Author 3 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8623-039X>

Abstract

One of the universe's most intricate systems is the human mind. The human brain is the primary source of consciousness and the physical foundation of the mind. With the aid of consciousness, the mind integrates all information from all sensory modalities. Better mental and physical health outcomes may result from understanding this connection. Positive emotions can be used to train the heart through positive psychology techniques, increasing the frequency with which the brain releases chemicals that promote health and healing. It remains unclear how the human brain transforms neurochemical interactions into conscious experiences. External factors are unable to decipher this extremely cryptic conversion. Future research on the mind-body connection may mark a significant turning point in psychophysical health. **Aim:** This paper aims to emphasise the significance of understanding the composition and operation of the human mind.

Methods: To gain a deeper understanding of the mind's structure, a critical discussion is conducted using systematic and narrative review processes.

Findings: Studies on mind structure provide insightful information that can guide workplace, healthcare, and educational policies and procedures.

Conclusion: Focusing on a single discipline is insufficient to comprehend the mind fully. It is crucial to incorporate ideas from philosophy, psychology, and neuroscience that address both the objective and subjective aspects of human experience. Deciphering the secrets of the mind requires an interdisciplinary approach. Once wholly comprehended, the mind-body connection has the potential to revolutionise our understanding of mental and physical well-being and mark a significant turning point in psychophysical health.

Keywords: Mind-body connection, Positive emotions, Psychophysical health, Neurochemical, sensory modalities, consciousness, Positive psychology.

Introduction

Scientists have made an incredible discovery about the human brain. It consists of 60% fat and 40% made up of water, protein, carbohydrates, and salts. Recent research suggests the human brain can detect sounds and light at a quantum level (Bullon, 2020). This remarkable ability has significant implications for our understanding of the mind. For instance, the ear may discern vibrations similar to those of a photon vibrating in the green spectrum. This has led some to believe that this capacity might explain why specific individuals appear to possess almost supernatural abilities, such as hearing colours or tasting words (Citro, 2011). The researchers are still in the process of studying this phenomenon and plan to release new findings soon. This research highlights how much we still have to learn about our bodies. For years, people have argued that the human body is more complex than it seems, and this study may support that perspective. While the mind cannot be localized to specific areas of the brain, the brain serves as the primary residence of the mind. From a mathematical perspective, the mind can be the algebraic sum of various brain functions (Nunez, 2012). The concept of the mind is intricate and has been explored from multiple viewpoints, including philosophy, psychology, neuroscience, and cognitive science. The laws of physics and underlying principles govern the functioning of the human brain. (Arbib, 2012).

Defining Mind

The human brain functions as a biological machine, while the Mind operates as the software within that machine (Perlovsky, 2016). Although 'Mind' appears frequently in ancient and modern religious, philosophical, and psychological literature, a precise definition has yet to be established (Hofman, 2015). This is largely due to the complexity of the Mind, which involves the challenge of describing subjective experiences from a first-person perspective and explaining them objectively from a third-person viewpoint (Kim, 2003). The concept of the Mind needs to be clearly defined in philosophy and psychology. Many definitions focus on its functional aspects but fail to address its true meaning. In much of the scientific literature, particularly in conventional psychology, the Mind is viewed as an epiphenomenon of the body. Specifically, the brain is considered merely a mass of matter (Campbell, 2001; Kim, 2003; Rorty, 1982). The brain is often seen as the seat of the Mind. The neurobiological approach attempts to explain the Mind as a byproduct of physicochemical processes involving the billions of neurons in the human brain (Roth, 2013). Its components include awareness, thought processes, concentration, emotions, and intelligence. (Le Pore, & Loewer, 1987). The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language defines the Mind as 'the collective conscious and unconscious processes in a sentient organism that direct and influence mental and physical behaviour'. This definition ties the Mind to sentient beings and describes it as the mechanism that controls behaviour (Murphy, 2001). 'Collective Consciousness' refers to an individual's awareness of their unique thoughts, memories, feelings, sensations, and environment. In contrast, the collective unconscious encompasses the accumulated knowledge and imagery that every person is born with, shared by all human beings due to ancestral experience. This collective unconscious can be likened to an inherited 'database' or a computing 'Cloud' (Clowes, 2015; Wilkinson, 2014). It represents a vast information stretching back to ancient times, which we can all access when needed, allowing us to experience aspects typical of humanity (Zalta et al., 2002). Another researcher defined the Mind as a physical system instantiated by the brain, suggesting that while the brain is the physical substance, the Mind is the conscious outcome of neuronal activity (Kim, 2000; Von Bertalanffy, 1964). However, growing evidence indicates that the Mind extends well beyond the mere physical workings of our brain. This idea is supported by extensive evidence from neuroscience, cognitive science, and related fields. Neuroscience has identified specific neural correlates of consciousness, indicating that certain patterns of brain activity are linked to conscious experiences (Bickle, 2003). Studies using neuroimaging techniques, such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and electroencephalography (EEG), have demonstrated that different mental states and cognitive processes correspond to distinct patterns of brain activity (Bennett, 2007). Similarly, damage to specific brain areas can lead to predictable deficits in

cognitive functions and changes in behaviour, reinforcing the notion that the mind is a product of brain activity. For example, damage to the hippocampus can impair memory, while damage to the prefrontal cortex can affect decision-making and social behaviour (Churchland & Grush, 1999). Some researchers argue that the organization and function of neural mechanisms approach various aspects of cognition, including perception, memory, attention, language, thinking, reasoning, and motor control. They emphasize that the mind operates through afferent and efferent representational units related to the sensory and motor functions of the brain (Cooper & Shallice, 1995). The concept of the mind as a physical system created by the brain is well-supported in neuroscience, cognitive science, and philosophy. Evidence from neural correlates of consciousness, brain lesion studies, neuroplasticity, evolutionary biology, computational models, and philosophical arguments all support the view that the mind is an emergent property of the brain's physical structure and function (Churchland & Grush, 1999). Cognitive scientists proposed that the mind functions as a symbol-processing system, a concept influential in both artificial intelligence and cognitive science. (Roth & Dicke, 2019; Simon & Newell, 1976). The concept of the Mind as a symbol processor is fundamental to artificial intelligence (AI) and cognitive science. It suggests that the Mind functions by manipulating symbols and abstract representations of concepts or ideas. This symbolic manipulation is vital to cognition and computation (Laird et al., 2017). The physical symbol system hypothesis, argues that a physical symbol system possesses the necessary and sufficient means for intelligent action (Simon & Newel, 1976). In other words, the Mind, a physical symbol system, can engage with the physical universe by manipulating symbols (Kolers, & Smythe, 1984). Quantum theorists concluded that "Mind is the matrix of all matter". This assertion presents a complex and multifaceted concept encompassing various philosophical, scientific, and spiritual disciplines. The human mind continuously absorbs information from its surroundings and integrates this knowledge into current thought processes. This idea suggests a fundamental relationship between the mind and the physical world (matter). This concept is rooted in philosophical and metaphysical traditions, particularly idealism and panpsychism (Klein, 2023). Quantum mechanics indicate that observation (a mental act) affects physical reality at the quantum level. As a result, some speculate that consciousness plays a role in shaping physical reality, aligning with the notion that the mind is the matrix of all matter (Stapp, 2004). According to Buddhism, reality exists only where the mind creates a focus; thus, the human mind acts as a reality-making apparatus (Coseru, 2009). This can be justified by examining the role of the mind in shaping our perception and interactions with the world (Conze, 1962). The mind influences our experiences through the complex interplay of the id, ego, and superego (Freud's classification of the mind), ensuring that we can navigate reality in a balanced and functional manner. The mind's ability to mediate between instinctual drives and societal norms, and to operate on both conscious and unconscious levels, underscores its role as the primary mechanism for creating and interpreting reality (Rennison, 2015).

Traditional theories on the structure of the mind

The human mind cannot be explained through a single theory due to its complexity, multifaceted nature, and the interplay of various factors that influence its functioning.

Psychoanalytical Theory of Mind:

According to Freud, the mind is responsible for conscious and unconscious decisions based on psychological drives. He described three aspects of the mind: the conscious, the unconscious, and the subconscious. The conscious mind encompasses everything within our awareness, while the unconscious mind serves as the primary source of human behaviour (Peters, 1956). The subconscious mind contains associations and impulses inaccessible to our consciousness. Freud classifies the mind into three parts: the id, the ego, and the superego. The id represents a set of uncoordinated instinctual needs. It is the impulsive part of the personality, driven by a tendency to seek pleasure and avoid pain. In contrast, the superego holds the internalized moral standards and ideals that we acquire from our parents and society (Lapsley, & Stey, 2012). It is a judgmental and morally rigid aspect of personality that plays a crucial role in developing feelings of guilt and shame. The ego serves as a perceiving and logically organizing agent of the mind. The ego is the conscious part of the personality that mediates between the id and the superego, making decisions based on competing demands. (A. Freud, 1923; S. Freud, 1925).

Identity Theory of Mind:

The identity theory of mind is a philosophical perspective that posits the mind and the brain is identical, meaning that mental states correspond directly to brain processes. This theory represents a form of materialism that aims to clarify the nature of consciousness and the relationship between the mind and the body (Stoljar, 2010). This theory proposes that every mental state aligns with a specific brain state, suggesting that mental events are essentially brain events. This viewpoint is commonly linked to physicalism, which rejects the notion of non-physical properties of the mind (Smart, 2000).

Huxley's Theory of Mind:

According to Huxley, our minds normally filter reality; without this filtering, we would be overwhelmed by the vast knowledge of the universal Mind. Psychedelic drugs can remove this filter and allow us to connect with the Mind at large, resulting in experiences that many mystics have described (Robinson, 2006). He believed that the conscious mind is a secondary outcome of brain activity and does not directly influence the brain itself, a concept he referred to as epiphenomenon (Robinson, 2010). This means that while the brain generates consciousness, consciousness itself does not influence the brain's activities. (Hyslop, 1998). In other words, consciousness is a byproduct or 'epiphenomenon' of brain processes, rather than an active participant in them (Campbell, 2001). Huxley's epiphenomena list view suggests that mental states are passive outcomes of physical processes in the brain. This perspective aligns with the core principle of Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT), which states that our thoughts, emotions, and behaviours are interconnected. By changing dysfunctional thought patterns, we can lead to changes in emotions and behaviours (Block, 1985).

The Triune Brain Theory:

This theory describes the human brain as comprising three distinct but interconnected structures that have evolved. These structures are associated with different aspects of human behaviour and cognition (MacLean, 1990). According to his theory, the brain can be divided into three parts: the Reptilian Complex (Body-Mind), the Limbic System (Emotional Mind), and the Neocortex (Rational Mind) (Cory, 2000). The Reptilian Complex, also known as the body-mind, is responsible for essential survival functions and instinctual behaviours. It regulates fundamental physiological processes such as heart rate, breathing, and balance, and governs instinctual behaviours like aggression, dominance, territoriality, and ritualistic actions (Reiner, 1990). Behaviours influenced by the Reptilian Complex are often automatic and reflexive, aimed at ensuring the organism's survival (MacLean, 1974). In humans, this can manifest as instinctual reactions to threats or opportunities, such as the fight-or-flight response. Dysregulation in the reptilian complex can lead to disorders characterized by rigid, compulsive, and ritualistic behaviours. Conditions such as obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) and certain anxiety disorders may involve overactivity in this brain region, resulting in repetitive behaviours aimed at reducing perceived threats. The limbic brain, also called the emotional mind, is essential for processing emotions, memory, and motivation. It plays a critical role in emotional responses, social bonding, and the formation of memories. The limbic system is responsible for more complex emotions, including fear, pleasure, and attachment (Ploog, 2003). These emotions influence behaviours and drive actions such as social interactions, nurturing behaviours, and responses to different stimuli. In humans, this system can trigger emotions such as love, fear, anger, and joy, motivating individuals to pursue rewards and avoid punishments (Cory, 2004; Ploog, 2003). Dysfunctions in the limbic system can lead to emotional dysregulation and mood disorders. For example, both depression and anxiety disorders often involve abnormalities in the limbic system, particularly in the amygdala and hippocampus, which are essential for emotional processing and memory formation. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is another condition where dysfunctional limbic system activity is linked to traumatic memories and heightened emotional responses (Ploog, 2003). The third component, the neocortex, is responsible for complex reasoning, problem-solving, language, and abstract thinking. This area enables humans to engage in advanced planning, make decisions, and develop self-awareness. The neocortex is the part of the brain responsible for higher cognitive functions, including language, creativity, and analytical thinking. Behaviours that originate from the neocortex are often rational and deliberate. This brain area encompasses planning for the future, making complex decisions, and engaging in abstract thinking. In humans, these functions can be seen in logical reasoning, problem-solving, and the ability to understand and use language. Impairments in the neocortex can lead to disorders that affect cognitive skills. For instance, schizophrenia

is linked to abnormalities in the prefrontal cortex, which is crucial for executive functions such as decision-making and social behaviour (Mac Lean, 1982). Autism spectrum disorders may involve atypical development and functioning of the neocortex, which can affect social interactions and communication (Harris, 2002). This concept provides a framework for understanding the complexity of human behaviour by illustrating how different parts of the brain contribute to various cognitive and emotional aspects. Human behaviour is influenced by more than just rational thought; instincts, emotions, and higher cognitive functions also shape it (MacLean, 1970).

Indian Theories of Mind:

The mind in Indian philosophy is a complex and multifaceted entity, encompassing various functions and roles (Rao, 2002). Patanjali refers to the mind as 'Chitta', representing more than what depth psychologists label as the 'unconscious', 'subconscious', or 'conscious ego'. Chitta acts as a bridge to the 'superconscious core of the Purusha'. Purusha is the master of Chitta and remains unchanging (Upadhyay-Dhungel, & Dahal, 2014). Chitta vrittis are the disturbances or fluctuations within Chitta, similar to waves in a lake. These disturbances give rise to the conscious world as we perceive it. Different aspects of the mind, such as thinking, feeling, memory, and behaviour, represent various forms of these disturbances. Patanjali further distinguishes different states of Chitta, known as Chitta bhumis, which can be likened to various mental states. There are five states or Chitta bhumis: (a) Kshipta, (b) Mudha, (c) Viskhipta, (d) Ekagra, and (e) Niruddha. This hierarchy indicates that attaining the state of Niruddha is essential for experiencing super consciousness (Prabhu, & Bhat, 2013). Most ordinary people remain in the first three states, which only serves to increase their attachment to the phenomenal world (Bhaduri, 2000). According to Indian philosophy, there are four types of minds: the sensory mind (manas), the intellect (buddhi), the ego (ahamkara), and memory (chitta). These components process sensory inputs, form perceptions, make decisions, and influence behaviour and consciousness. The ultimate goal of the mind, as suggested by many Indian philosophical traditions, is to achieve mental clarity and self-realization by controlling and transcending its fluctuations. In the Saankhya Shashtra, it is stated that the mind does not have a structural presence in the body; rather, it exists only functionally, operating on different levels (Archana et al., 2013). The mind acts as a mediator between the realm of Prakriti (nature) and the individual Purusha (soul) (Sharma, & Acharya, 2020). Shakyamuni Buddha describes the mind as a composite of various psychological and physical elements. It is constantly changing and manifests as mental phenomena and sense impressions. When we cease all functions of the mind, it can seemingly disappear entirely. As Buddha explained, the mind is shaped by a collection of conditioned experiences and is influenced by culture, environment, and knowledge (Coseru, 2009).

Is the human brain an Illusion creator biological machine

The human brain can be viewed as an 'illusion-creating biological machine'. Neuroscientific studies have shown that the brain does not always accurately reproduce the physical reality of the outside world. Instead, it constructs a subjective perception that can significantly differ from actual physical stimuli. This suggests that what we perceive is often a 'figment of our imagination' rather than a direct representation of reality (Ondrias, 1999). Neuroscience research indicates that the same neural mechanisms responsible for interpreting real sensory inputs also play a role in our dreams, delusions, and memory failures. This highlights that the brain's processing of sensory information is not always reliable and can result in illusions. Furthermore, perception is not merely a passive reception of sensory input; it is an active process where the brain constructs a coherent picture of the world. Our experiences, expectations, and the unique wiring of our neural networks greatly influence this construction (Manassi, & Whitney, 2022). The brain interprets sensory information through two main processes: bottom-up processing and top-down processing. Bottom-up processing starts with raw sensory data, while top-down processing is influenced by prior knowledge and context. This interaction allows the brain to make predictions and fill in gaps, sometimes leading to illusions (Gilbert, & Sigman, 2007). According to the predictive brain model, the brain functions as a predictive machine, continuously generating hypotheses about the world based on sensory input and past experiences (Downing, 2009). This predictive coding is essential for quickly interpreting sensory data and addressing gaps, which is crucial for survival. However, it can also lead to

illusions when predictions are incorrect (Eccles, 2013). The brain's ability to simulate and predict outcomes is vital for memory, planning, and decision-making. There is a significant overlap between perception and imagination, meaning our reality experience is a blend of actual sensory input and the brain's predictions. Research shows that perception can vary between individuals due to differences in brain structure and function. As a result, two people may perceive the same event differently, highlighting the subjective nature of reality shaped by the brain. Recent studies have revealed that the brain's adaptability and neuroplasticity allow it to refine perceptual abilities over time. This flexibility means that our perception of reality is not fixed; it can be influenced by various factors, including attention and the context in which experiences occur (Kays et al., 2012).

Mind-body relation

The mind-body problem is a puzzle that intrigues philosophers and scientists alike. The issue is more complex than it initially appears. This problem revolves around understanding the relationship between the mind and the body, specifically whether mental phenomena are simply a part of physical phenomena (Wolff, 1961). Our thinking influences our feelings and behaviours, shapes our perceptions of the world, and ultimately impacts our lives. While many thoughts may seem nonsensical, they are still a real part of our imagination. Thoughts can be understood as tiny packets of energy that exist as waves. When we think, these tiny vibrational messages are received by our nerve cells, which interpret the information and communicate it to the other cells in our body (Stonier, 1997). When we consistently think negatively, we program our bodies to respond in harmful ways. Negative thoughts trigger the release of chemicals and hormones that can leave us feeling tired, sick, angry, and upset (Colbert, 2006). These detrimental thoughts influence our mood and impede our ability to learn new things, form relationships, and think creatively. Unfortunately, many of our thoughts tend to be harmful due to a survival mechanism that is inherent to us; this is not our fault. Negative thoughts often dominate our minds because we learn more from our mistakes than our successes, and our brains work to keep us on the right track (Nelson, 2019). The primary purpose of negative feedback is to help us avoid repeating the mistakes we made in the past. When someone gets trapped in a cycle of negative thinking, they often feel low in energy, angry, irritable, mentally foggy, lonely, fatigued, and may even become more susceptible to illness. Negative thoughts can affect our decisions and experiences, making life feel heavy and burdensome (Borysenko, 1995). The good news is that we can reprogram our cells and rewire our brains through positive thinking. Our cells renew themselves every two months, which means we can take control of our minds and bodies with persistence and a positive mindset. (Colbert, 2006). While it's impossible to eliminate every negative thought, we can introduce new, meaningful, and positive thoughts into our lives. The conscious practice of thinking positively is a way for us to reshape our minds and change our reality; consciously thinking, saying and doing positive things will help to boost our immunity, which means we will get sick less often, will encourage the growth of new neural pathways in our brain; which means we get more competent and change the chemistry and electricity of our brain and body; people will find we more attractive to be around, we will make more friends and new opportunities will arise as we broaden our perception and experiences in life (Dispenza, 2008; Nelson, 2019).

Psychology & The Mind-Body Debate

Different approaches in psychology present various opinions about the relationship between the mind and body (Campbell, 1992). Thinking involves the freedom of choice, and it is a mental event that can lead to behaviours, such as muscle movements in response to thoughts. Therefore, it can be stated that the mind influences the physical world—essentially, the idea that 'the mind moves matter' (Weertman-Roobeek et al., 2023). Behaviourists argue that psychology should focus solely on observable actions, specifically the stimulus-response relationship. They contend that thought processes, such as those occurring in the mind, cannot be studied scientifically and objectively, and therefore should be disregarded. Radical behaviourists take this a step further, claiming that the mind does not exist at all. Biologists who assert that the mind cannot exist because there is no physical structure associated with it also align with this perspective (Moore, 2011). Biologists believe that, eventually, research will show that the brain and the mind are the same entity. They argue that the mind can be identified through the study of brain structures, cells, and neural connections. Both behaviourists and biologists advocate a single reality—one that can be seen, felt, and

touched—leading to their shared perspective known as Monism. Monism posits that the mind and brain are identical (Scriven, 1956). This perspective is rooted in materialism (Moore, 2011). However, behaviourists and biologists struggle to explain certain phenomena, such as hypnosis. Some researchers conducted studies on hypnosis by placing participants in a trance. During this state, they suggested that participants would feel a ‘red-hot’ sensation if they touched a metal object with a pencil. Interestingly, participants in deep trances exhibited a skin reaction similar to that of someone who had been burned, even though they had only touched the pencil. This demonstrates how the mind can influence bodily reactions, suggesting a disconnect between the two (Hilgard, & Hilgard, 2013). Furthermore, similar results have been observed in patients using hypnosis to manage pain (Jensen, 2024). These findings challenge the monistic viewpoint, as the body should not react to unconscious suggestions in this manner. This evidence supports the concept of dualism, which holds that the mind and body operate separately. Additionally, humanists like Carl Rogers also oppose the ideas of materialism and monism (DeCarvalho, 1991; Sartre et al., 2022). Humanists believe that subjective experiences are the primary means of studying human behaviour. They do not deny the existence of the real world; rather, they hold that each individual has a unique subjective approach to defining what is significant in reality. In the context of mental illness, for example, a person with schizophrenia may not perceive their actions as problematic; instead, they might believe they possess insights into occurrences that others do not see (Aanstoos, 2003). This perspective is why humanists emphasize the importance of understanding how each person views themselves (Bland, & DeRobertis, 2020). However, the relationship between consciousness and reality from a subjective perspective presents challenges. For instance, a paranoid delusional patient who believes that the postal service is comprised of government agents attempting to harm him continues to have a mental illness and requires treatment to ensure they do not pose a danger to themselves or others (Nagel, 2013). Recent research from cognitive psychologists has brought new attention to this debate. The proponents of this debate have used the computer analogy to describe Artificial Intelligence (Wilensky, 1980). They argue that the brain can be compared to computer hardware, which is ‘wired’ or connected to the human body. In this view, the mind functions like software, enabling various programs to operate (Baria, & Cross, 2021). This perspective helps explain why different people may respond differently to the same stimulus. It aligns with cognitive mediation processes, which emphasize the role of thinking. The computer analogy provides a modern interpretation of dualism, allowing us to use contemporary terms like ‘computers’ and ‘software’ instead of Descartes’ famous phrase, ‘I think, therefore I am’ (Carter, 2007).

Future Directions for research on the mind

Understanding Cognitive Processes: Examining the structure of the mind provides researchers with valuable insights into the organization and functioning of various cognitive processes. This understanding is essential for creating more effective treatments for cognitive disorders and enhancing cognitive performance in healthy individuals (Rowlands, 1999).

Identifying Research Hotspots and Trends: Bibliometric analyses of existing research help pinpoint current areas of interest and emerging trends in the field. For instance, there has been a significant increase in studies focusing on body-mind exercises (BME) for mild cognitive impairment (MCI), with particular attention given to specific types of BME, such as Tai Chi and Baduanjin (Medical Qigong). This information assists researchers in directing their efforts toward promising areas and methodologies (Wang et al., 2017).

Developing Measurement Tools and Frameworks: Research into the structure of the mind plays a crucial role in developing more precise and comprehensive measurement tools and frameworks. These tools are essential for evaluating cognitive functions, mental states, and the effectiveness of various interventions. For example, the concept of the ‘thermodynamics of the mind’ offers a novel framework for understanding brain dynamics and cognitive processes through the lens of thermodynamics (Kringelbach et al., 2024).

Informing Policy and Practice: Research on mind structure offers valuable insights that can inform policies and practices in healthcare, education, and the workplace. For example, understanding how different environments affect cognition can help design more effective learning spaces and workplaces that promote mental health and enhance productivity (Kohrt & Harper, 2008).

Advancing Treatment and Prevention: By gaining a deeper understanding of the mind's structure and function, researchers can develop more targeted and effective treatments for mental health disorders. This includes both pharmacological interventions, such as medication, and non-pharmacological approaches, such as cognitive-behavioural therapies and mindfulness practices (Bambling, 2006).

Practitioner Points

- Consciousness is an emerging characteristic of the Mind and is essential for comprehending the mind-body connection. It originates from the intricate interactions within the brain and functions as a conduit between the mind and body.
- Comprehending this relationship can result in improved mental and physical health outcomes.
- Utilizing positive psychological methods, one can condition the heart to evoke happy feelings, hence facilitating the brain's consistent release of neurochemicals that promote healing and well-being.
- By gaining a deeper understanding of the structure and function of the mind, researchers can create more targeted and effective treatments for mental health disorders. This includes both pharmacological interventions, such as medication, and non-pharmacological approaches, such as cognitive-behavioural therapies and mindfulness practices (Bambling, 2006).

Conclusion

In conclusion, the mind can be defined as the combination of individual ('I-based') thinking and collective thinking, which together create both subjective and objective experiences based on information gathered from the body's five senses. It serves as the seat of human consciousness. The primary language of the mind for communication is feelings. Through the expression of these feelings, the mind interacts with the external world. The mind is a byproduct of the brain's total psychological activities, which aligns with individualism in thought. In contrast, collective thinking represents a shared or communal approach to understanding reality, going beyond individual perceptions. The mechanisms of the mind are complex and multifaceted, involving neurobiological processes, consciousness, emotional influences, and evolutionary adaptations. To truly understand the mind, it is not enough to focus on a single discipline. Integrating insights from neuroscience, psychology, and philosophy is essential, as it encompasses both the objective and subjective dimensions of human experience. This interdisciplinary approach is crucial in unravelling the mysteries of the mind. Our brain functions like a machine that creates illusions, shaping our perceptions based on a combination of genetic predispositions and learned experiences. While it processes sensory information to help us navigate the world, it often prioritises survival and personal experience over objective truth. This tendency can lead to the formation of various illusions.

References

- Aanstoos, C. M. (2003). The relevance of humanistic psychology. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 43(3), 121–132. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022167803043003010>
- Arbib, M. A. (2012). *Brains, machines, and mathematics*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Archana, I., Kumar, P., & Vyas, M. (2013). Speculations of Sankhya darshana in ayurveda to attain complete health. *Ayintharm-International Journal of Ayurveda and Allied Sciences*, 2(7), 196–202.
- Bambling, M. (2006). Mind, body and heart: Psychotherapy and the relationship between mental and physical health. *Psychotherapy in Australia*, 12(2), 54–61.
- Baria, A. T., & Cross, K. (2021). The brain is a computer is a brain: Neuroscience's internal debate and the social significance of the computational metaphor. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.14042*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2107.14042>
- Bennett, M. R. (2007). *Neuroscience and philosophy: Brain, mind, and language*. Columbia University Press.
- Bhaduri, S. (2000). *Yoga sutra of Patanjali*. New Delhi, India: *DK print world*.

- Bickle, J. (2003). Philosophy of mind and the neurosciences. In S.P. Stich & T.A. Warfield (Eds.), *The Blackwell guide to Philosophy of Mind*, (pp. 322–351). Wiley.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470998762.ch14>
- Bland, A. M., & DeRobertis, E. M. (2020). Humanistic perspective. In V. Zeigler-Hill & T.K Shackelford (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of personality and individual differences* (pp. 2061–2079). Springer International Publishing.
- Block, E. (1985). *T. H. Huxley's rhetoric and the mind-matter debate: 1868–1874*. Sage.
- Borysenko, J. Z. (1995). *The power of the mind to heal: Renewing body, mind and spirit*. Hay House, Inc.
- Bullon, P. (2020). Ageing diseases beyond quantum medicine. *Preprints 2020*, 2020050149.
<https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202005.0149.v1>
- Campbell, K. (1992). *Body and mind*. University of Notre Dame Press.
- Campbell, N. (2001). What was Huxley's epiphenomenalism?. *Biology and Philosophy*, 16, 357–375.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010670809779>
- Carter, M. (2007). *Minds and computers: An introduction to the philosophy of artificial intelligence*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Churchland, P. S., & Grush, R. (1999). Computation and the brain. In R. A. Wilson & F. C. Keil (Eds.), *The MIT Encyclopaedia of Cognitive Sciences*, (pp. 155–158). MIT Press.
- Citro, M. (2011). *The basic code of the universe: The science of the invisible in physics, medicine, and spirituality*. Simon and Schuster.
- Clowes, R. (2015). Thinking in the cloud: The cognitive incorporation of cloud-based technology. *Philosophy & Technology*, 28, 261–296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-014-0153-z>
- Colbert, D. (2006). *Deadly emotions: Understand the mind-body-spirit connection that can heal*. Thomas Nelson Inc.
- Conze, E. (1962). *Buddhist thought in India*. George Allen & Unwin.
- Cooper, R., & Shallice, T. (1995). Soar and the case for unified theories of cognition. *Cognition*, 55(2), 115–149. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277\(94\)00644-z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(94)00644-z)
- Cory Jr., G. A. (2000). From MacLean's triune brain concept to the conflict systems neurobehavioural model: The subjective basis of moral and spiritual consciousness. *Zygon®*, 35(2), 385–414.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/0591-2385.00283>
- Cory, G. A. (2004). The Maslow Hierarchy of Needs vs. Maclean's Triune Brain. In *"The Consilient Brain: The Bio-Neurological Basis of Economics, Society, and Politics"* (pp. 7–14). Springer.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-0045-2_2
- Coseru, C. (2009). Mind in Indian Buddhist Philosophy. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy*. Metaphysics Research Lab.
- DeCarvalho, R. J. (1991). *The founders of humanistic psychology*. Praeger Publishers.
- Dispenza, J. (2008). *Evolve Your Brain: The Science of Changing Your Mind*. Health Communications, Inc.
- Downing, K. L. (2009). Predictive models in the brain. *Connection Science*, 21(1), 39–74.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09540090802610666>
- Eccles, J. C. (2013). *The cerebellum is a neuronal machine*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Freud, A. (1923). The relationship between beating phantasies and daydreams. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis*, 4, 89–102.

- Freud, S. (1925). The resistance to psychoanalysis. *Standard Edition*, 19, 213–222.
- Gilbert, C. D., & Sigman, M. (2007). Brain States: Top-Down Influences in Sensory Processing. *Neuron*, 54(5), 677–696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.05.019>
- Harris, J. C. (2002). Empathy, Autism, and the Integration of the Triune Brain In G. A. Cory Jr. & R. Gardner Jr. (Eds.), *The evolutionary neuroethology of Paul MacLean* (pp. 156–166). Praeger. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9798400648717.ch-009>
- Hilgard, E. R., & Hilgard, J. R. (2013). *Hypnosis in the relief of pain*. Routledge.
- Hofman, M. A. (2015). Evolution of the Human Brain: From Matter to Mind. In S. Goldstein, D. Princiotta, & J. Naglieri (Eds.), *Handbook of intelligence: Evolutionary theory, historical perspective, and current concepts* (pp. 65–82). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1562-0_5
- Hyslop, A. (1998). Methodological epiphenomenalism. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 76(1), 61–70. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00048409812348191>
- Jensen, M. P. (2024). Hypnosis and chronic pain management. In J. H. Linden, G. De Benedittis, L. I. Sugarman, & K. Varga (Eds.), *The Routledge International Handbook of Clinical Hypnosis* (pp. 628–639). Routledge.
- Kays, J. L., Hurley, R. A., & Taber, K. H. (2012). The Dynamic Brain: Neuroplasticity and Mental Health. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 24(2), 118–124. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.neuropsych.24.1.118>
- Kim, J. (2000). *Mind in a physical world: An essay on the mind-body problem and mental causation*. MIT Press.
- Kim, J. (2003). Philosophy of mind and psychology. In *Donald Davidson* (pp. 1–2). Cambridge University Press.
- Klein, E. (2023, May 28). Beyond the ‘matrix’ theory of the mind. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2023/05/28/opinion/artificial-intelligence-thinking-minds-concentration.html>
- Kohrt, B. A., & Harper, I. (2008). Navigating Diagnoses: Understanding Mind-Body Relations, Mental Health, and Stigma in Nepal. *Culture, Medicine, and Psychiatry*, 32(4), 462–491. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11013-008-9110-6>
- Kolers, P. A., & Smythe, W. E. (1984). Symbol Manipulation: Alternatives to the Computational View of the Mind. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behaviour*, 23(3), 289–314. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371\(84\)90182-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371(84)90182-8)
- Kringelbach, M. L., Perl, Y. S., & Deco, G. (2024). The thermodynamics of mind. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 28(6), 568–581. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2024.03.009>
- Laird, J. E., Lebiere, C., & Rosenbloom, P. S. (2017). A Standard Model of the Mind: Toward a Common Computational Framework across Artificial Intelligence, Cognitive Science, Neuroscience, and Robotics. *Ai Magazine*, 38(4), 13–26. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v38i4.2744>
- Lapsley, D. K., & Stey, P. C. (2012). Id, ego, and superego. *Encyclopedia of Human Behaviour*, 2, 393–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-375000-6.00199-3>
- Le Pore, E., & Loewer, B. (1987). Mind matters. *Journal of Philosophy*, 84(11), 630–642.
- MacLean, P. D. (1970). The Triune Brain, Emotion, and Scientific Bias. In F. O. Schmitt (Ed.), *The neuro-sciences second study program* (pp. 336–349). The Rockefeller Univ. Press.
- MacLean, P.D. (1974). *Triune concept of the brain and behaviour* (1st ed.). University of Toronto Press.

- MacLean, P. D. (1982). On the Origin and Progressive Evolution of the Triune Brain. In E. Armstrong & D. Falk (Eds.), *Primate brain evolution: Methods and concepts* (pp. 291–316). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-4148-2_19
- MacLean, P.D. (1990). *The Triune Brain in Evolution: Its Role in Paleocerebral Functions*. Plenum Press.
- Manassi, M., & Whitney, D. (2022). The illusion of visual stability through active perceptual serial dependence. *Science Advances*, 8(2), eabk2480. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abk2480>
- Moore, J. (2011). Behaviourism. *The Psychological Record*, 61(3), 449–463. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03395771>
- Murphy, M. L. (2001). The American Heritage® dictionary of the English language. *Dictionaries: Journal of the Dictionary Society of North America*, 22(1), 181–199.
- Nagel, T. (2013). Consciousness and objective reality. In R. Warner & T. Szubka (Eds.), *Minds and bodies* (pp. 217–222). Routledge.
- Nelson, B. (2019). *The Emotion Code: How to Release Your Trapped Emotions for Abundant Health, Love, and Happiness*. Ebury Digital.
- Nunez, P. L. (2012). *Brain, Mind, and the Structure of Reality*. Oxford University Press.
- Ondrias, K. (1999). *The Brain, Consciousness, and the Illusion of Truth*. Universal-Publishers.
- Perlovsky, L. I. (2016). Physics of the mind. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, 10, 84.
- Peters, R. S. (1956). Freud's theory. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 7(25), 4–12.
- Ploog, D. W. (2003). The Role of the Triune Brain in Psychiatry. *Physiology & Behaviour*, 79(3), 487–493. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0031-9384\(03\)00154-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0031-9384(03)00154-9)
- Prabhu, H. R. A., & Bhat, P. S. (2013). Mind and Consciousness in Yoga–Vedanta: A Comparative Analysis with Western Psychological Concepts. *Indian journal of psychiatry*, 55(Suppl. 2), S182–S186. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.105524>
- Rao, A. V. (2002). Mind in Indian philosophy. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 44(4), 315–325.
- Reiner, A. (1990). An Explanation of behaviour: The Triune Brain in Evolution. Role in paleo cerebral functions. Paul D. MacLean. Plenum, New York, 1990. xxiv, 672 pp., illus. \$75. *Science*, 250(4978), 303–305.
- Rennison, N. (2015). *Freud and psychoanalysis: Everything you need to know about id, ego, super-ego and more*. Oldcastle books.
- Robinson, W. S. (2006). Knowing epiphenomena. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 13(1–2), 85–100.
- Robinson, W. S. (2010). Epiphenomenalism. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science*, 1(4), 539–547. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcs.19>
- Rorty, R. (1982). Contemporary philosophy of mind. *Synthese*, 53, 323–348. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00484908>
- Roth, G. (2013). *The Long Evolution of Brains and Minds*. Springer.
- Roth, G., & Dicke, U. (2019). Origin and evolution of human cognition. *Progress in brain research*, 250, 285–316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.pbr.2019.02.004>
- Rowlands, M. (1999). *The Body in Mind: Understanding Cognitive Processes*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sartre, J. P., de Beauvoir, S., Camus, A., Jaspers, K., Buber, M., Husserl, E., ... & Rogers, Ψ. C. (2022). 18 Humanistic Psychology. *History and Systems of Psychology*, 390.

- Scriven, M. (1956). A study of radical behaviourism. *Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science*, 1, 88–130
- Sharma, V. K., & Acharya, T. D. (2020). Understanding Sankhya Darshana from an Ayurvedic Perspective. *Pharma Science Monitor*, 11(3), 23.
- Simon, H. A., & Newell, A. (1976). Computer science as empirical inquiry: Symbols and search. *Communications of the ACM*, 19(3), 11–126. <https://doi.org/10.1145/360018.360022>
- Smart, J. J. C. (2000). The mind/brain identity theory. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.
- Stapp, H. P. (2004). Mind, matter, and quantum mechanics (pp. 81–118). Springer.
- Stoljar, D. (2010). Identity theory of mind. In G. R. Oppy, N. Trakakis, L. Burns, S. Gardner, & F. Leigh (Eds.), *Companion to Philosophy in Australia and New Zealand* (p. 1 pp). Monash University ePress.
- Stonier, T. (1997). How neurons create thought. In *Information and meaning: An evolutionary perspective* (pp. 199–220). Springer London.
- Upadhyay-Dhungel, K., & Dahal, B. K. (2014). ‘Chitta’, ‘the mind-stuff’ as a cognitive apparatus: Model of mind and process of cognition as in yogasutra of Patanjali. *Janaki Medical College Journal of Medical Science*, 2(1), 10–16. <https://doi.org/10.3126/jmcjms.v2i1.11390>
- Von Bertalanffy, L. (1964). The mind-body problem: A new view. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 26(1), 29–45.
- Wang, Y. T., Huang, G., Duke, G., & Yang, Y. (2017). Tai Chi, yoga, and qigong as mind-body exercises. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine: eCAM*, 2017, 8763915. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/8763915>
- Weertman-Roobeek, A., Andeweg, I. H., & Merry, P. (2023). ‘Mind moves matter’: Can you manifest your intention?.
- Wilensky, R. (1980). Computers, cognition and philosophy. *Behavioural and Brain Sciences*, 3(3), 449–450. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X00006026>
- Wilkinson, M. (2014). *Coming to mind: The Mind-Brain Relationship: A Jungian Clinical Perspective*. Routledge.
- Wolff, H. G. (1961). The mind-body relationship. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 1, 12–30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01532009>
- Zalta, E. N., Nodelman, U., Allen, C., & Anderson, R. L. (2002). Stanford encyclopaedia of philosophy. <http://plato.stanford.edu/>