IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Navigating Accessibility Rights In The Age Of AI With Special Reference To Assistive Technologies – Challenges And Opportunities

[1]Swetketu Das

[1]Doctoral Research Scholar,
[1]Department of Law,
[1]University of Burdwan, Burdwan, India

Abstract: The integration of AI into assistive technologies is revolutionizing accessibility for persons with disabilities (PwDs) by addressing key barriers outlined in Article 9 of the UNCRPD. This article critically examines the dual impact of AI, highlighting its potential to enhance mobility, communication, and digital access while also addressing the complex challenges that arise in its development and implementation. Issues such as algorithmic bias, data privacy risks, and the digital divide present significant concerns that may limit equitable access to AI-driven solutions. Through real-world case studies, the article explores how AI-powered assistive technologies can be aligned with UNCRPD principles of inclusivity, non-discrimination, and universal design to ensure broader accessibility. Additionally, it presents policy recommendations aimed at strengthening regulatory frameworks, promoting inclusive AI design, and fostering multi-stakeholder collaboration. By addressing these challenges proactively, AI can serve as a powerful tool for enhancing accessibility rights and contributing to a more equitable and inclusive society.

Index Terms - Accessibility Rights, Inclusivity, Artificial Intelligence, Assistive Technologies, UNCRPD

I. INTRODUCTION

"For people without disabilities, technology makes life easier. For people with disabilities, technology makes life possible" - Mary Pat Radabaugh (former Director of IBM's National Support Center for People with Disabilities)

In a world at a time which prioritizes competition and discriminates against those who cannot compete with their fellow beings, to be burdened by any disability or impairment is nothing short of a punishment. The legacy of discrimination against those who are disabled or differently abled is as old as history itself and sometimes even done by those whose duty it is to protect them. The history of treatment and attitude toward people with disabilities has often been marked by societal fears, intolerance, ambivalence, prejudice, and ignorance regarding disability. Throughout history, individuals with disabilities have endured severe mistreatment, including infanticide, starvation, burning, social exclusion, isolation, strangulation, scalding, physical abuse, imprisonment in chains or cages, torture, execution by shooting or hanging, forced sterilization, institutionalization, sedation, and exploitation for entertainment. Shockingly, many of these inhumane practices persisted until the conclusion of World War II. While significant progress was made in curbing such abuse during the latter half of the 20th century, acts of cruelty against people with disabilities still occur in parts of the world today. Despite efforts like the United Nations' 1991 Principles for the Protection of Persons with Mental Illness and the 2006 UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with

Disabilities, many people with mental illnesses continue to face harsh treatment. They are often found living in dire conditions, such as on the streets, in prisons, or in asylum-like institutions.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights recognizes the fundamental rights and freedoms afforded to all people, regardless of race, sexual orientation, gender, origin or other status, including disability. For persons with disabilities, these rights are further described and protected through the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD). The CRPD was developed in recognition that persons with disabilities experience circumstances which are inequitable and different to people without disabilities, and that it was necessary to have the specific rights of persons with disability defined and enshrined in international law. Persons with disabilities have the same rights as anyone else in society, and these rights require additional protections due to discrimination, to enable full participation in society. The CRPD promotes a human rights model of disability, incorporating the social model of disability, and recognizing that individuals who live with physical, mental, intellectual, cognitive or sensory difficulties experience disability in "interaction with various barriers which may hinder their full and effective participation in society on an equal basis with others". The CRPD includes within its scope a plethora of aspects related to the upliftment of those suffering from any kind of disability and even then it leaves room for the state parties to develop their own policies to cater to their specific needs while staying within the broad framework of the Convention.

Among the multitude of aspects dealt with in the CRPD relating to the protection of rights of persons with disabilities the provisions pertaining to 'accessibility rights' are of key importance. Article 9 of the Convention provides "To enable persons with disabilities to live independently and participate fully in all aspects of life, States Parties shall take appropriate measures to ensure to persons with disabilities access, on an equal basis with others, to the physical environment, to transportation, to information and communications, including information and communications technologies and systems, and to other facilities and services open or provided to the public, both in urban and in rural areas" and also creates an obligation on the member states to ensure adherence and maintenance of such rights of the PwDs. These rights are also held in high regard among the advocates for rights of persons with disability as such rights afford an opportunity to the PwD to alleviate some of their suffering and enjoy many aspects of their existence which they normally wouldn't be able to. Among the several accessibility rights guaranteed to the PwD under the CRPD is the access to '*Assistive Technologies'*. Article 32 of the CRPD again specifically asks for international cooperation among Member States to provide technical and economic assistance, including by facilitating access to, and sharing of, accessible and assistive technology (AT), and through the transfer of technologies. The provision of AT as a pillar of universal health coverage supports the participation of persons with disabilities in society. Assistive technology is an umbrella term, referring to the systems and services related to the delivery of assistive products. Assistive products are digital or physical technology which maintain or improve an individual's functioning and independence. AT is central to promoting participation in society for persons with disabilities, or chronic illness and for people as they age, and it has thus been a key focus for the WHO through the Global Cooperation on Assistive Technology initiative, and other key international organizations. The Global Report on Assistive Technology acknowledges the role of AT as both a means to, and an end itself, in the realization of rights of persons with disabilities. Despite a general consensus on the importance of AT by global organizations and experts in the field, estimates suggest only 1(One) in 10(Ten) has access to the assistive products they require, with an estimated 2.5 billion people now requiring one or more assistive products and this number is expected to increase to 3.5 billion by 2050 due to an increase in survivability of previously fatal conditions in childhood, to greater longevity with non-communicable diseases, and general demo-graphic trends towards an ageing population.

AI-driven assistive technologies have transformed accessibility for persons with disabilities (PwDs), shifting from a rigid, one-size-fits-all approach to adaptive, user-responsive solutions. Innovations such as AI-powered hearing aids and smart mobility tools enhance inclusion and autonomy by learning from user behaviors. However, concerns persist regarding data privacy, algorithmic bias, and widening inequalities, raising ethical and legal challenges. As AI continues to evolve in assistive technologies, it is crucial to address these issues to ensure equitable and responsible development that aligns with human rights principles.

II. OPENING NEW FRONTIERS OF ACCESSIBILITY RIGHTS THROUGH IMPLEMENTATION OF AI

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into assistive technologies (ATs) has revolutionized accessibility for persons with disabilities (PwDs), making assistive solutions more personalized, adaptive, and efficient. This section explores AI-driven innovations in accessibility and examines real-world cases that showcase their transformative impact. According to recent data around 2.5 billion people around the world are suffering from some form of disability and require assistive technologies to exercise their right to access and participation. However, the existing ATs offer very little when compared to the diverse needs of this huge number of people around the world. This is where technologies like AI and machine learning (ML) show a promising future in terms of better curated ATs to suit such diverse needs.

The integration of AI and ML in assistive technologies offers unprecedented opportunities for PwDs by addressing critical barriers to independence, communication, and mobility. AIoT (Artificial Intelligence of Things) processes and analyzes the large amount of data generated by Internet of Things (IoT) devices and applies AI techniques, specifically, ML, to discover patterns for generating insights and assisting in decision making. When applied to AT, AIoT allows the conception of an array of disruptive solutions to address the disability issue. Some examples of such solutions are navigation systems for blind people, voice assistants for people with disabilities, the remote monitoring of health conditions, telemedicine and telehealth, communication systems based on sign language, auxiliary memory for people with cognitive disabilities, and a series of smart objects such as medicine dispensers, wheelchairs, exoskeletons, prosthetic limbs *etc*. These are only some of the numerous applications of great value for those in need which we shall now discuss in little detail.

The integration of AI in assistive technologies offers unprecedented opportunities for PwDs by addressing critical barriers to independence, communication, and mobility such as -

- **Personalization and Adaptability:** Unlike traditional ATs, AI-powered devices leverage machine learning to adapt to user-specific needs. For example, **Google's Project Euphonia** uses AI to improve speech recognition for individuals with speech impairments caused by conditions such as ALS or cerebral palsy. By collecting speech samples, converting them into spectrograms, and pairing them with transcriptions, the system learns to interpret slurred speech more accurately. Currently focused on English-language ALS-related impairments, Google aims to expand its application to other speech disorders and languages in the future. **'Live Relay'** is another example of groundbreaking innovation by Google in terms of leveraging the capabilities of machine learning towards enhancing accessibility for PwDs. Live Relay is a research-driven tool that leverages on-device speech recognition and text-to-speech technology to facilitate seamless communication between individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing and those without hearing impairments. The system facilitates real-time, bidirectional communication between hearing individuals and those who are deaf or hard of hearing by converting speech to text and typed responses to audio. This enables seamless phone conversations, bridging the communication gap in an efficient and inclusive way. Live Relay also leverages Google's 'Smart Compose' and Smart 'Reply features' whereby predictive writing suggestions and instant responses help the person typing keep up with the speed of a voice call. Another example of such a piece of technology being developed to enable PwDs to leverage smart home solutions at their disposal is Google's '**Project Diva'** which stands for **DIVersely** Assisted, helps a person who is nonverbal or has limited mobility, give the Google Assistant, commands, without using their voice by using an external switch device to trigger such commands.
- **Real-Time Problem Solving**: AI allows assistive devices to process data in real time, enabling faster responses to user needs. AI-powered navigation tools such as '**WeWalk Smart Cane'** integrate GPS, voice commands, and obstacle detection, helping visually impaired individuals navigate their surroundings with greater autonomy. Similar technologies are also being developed by several other organizations for example a smart autonomous wheelchair developed by a start-up called Adventus Robotics with abilities such as route mapping, collision detection, autonomous movements in environments with dynamic obstacles which shall help persons suffering from ailments such as

cerebral palsy or Parkinson's disease, who otherwise are unable to use traditional mobility solutions like hand driven or electric wheelchairs.

- **Enhanced Accessibility to Digital Platforms**: AI has democratized access to digital content for PwDs. Tools like **'Microsoft's Seeing AI**' use computer vision to describe the world to visually impaired users, offering real-time descriptions of objects, people, and text. A notable breakthrough due to advancement of AI is the creation of adaptive user interfaces that are customized to meet individual user needs. By utilizing machine learning algorithms, these interfaces analyse user behaviour, preferences, and interaction patterns to make real-time adjustments. Key interface elements such as font size, colour contrast, and layout are automatically modified to enhance accessibility and usability. This personalized approach ensures a more inclusive experience for a diverse range of users, including those with cognitive and motor impairments, by tailoring the interface to their unique requirements. AI-powered solutions play a pivotal role in advancing web accessibility by identifying and addressing barriers that hinder inclusive access. Automated accessibility testing tools, driven by machine learning algorithms, assess web content for compliance with standards like the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG). These tools can detect and flag issues such as low colour contrast, missing alternative text for images, and navigation problems for keyboard-only users. By providing developers with actionable insights, AI-driven tools support the creation of more accessible and user-friendly digital environments for all users, including those with disabilities.

These advancements demonstrate how AI-powered ATs are redefining what is possible for PwDs, creating avenues for greater social inclusion, economic participation, and independence. The following are few examples of the advancements in different aspects of accessibility for PwDs where AI and ML have opened new frontiers -

a. Innovations in Mobility Solutions -

While still at the stage of R&D and without much presence in the consumer market, many AI-powered mobility aids are revolutionizing transportation and navigation for individuals with physical and sensory disabilities. For Example -

i. **Autonomous Wheelchairs:** AI-driven wheelchairs, such as the WHILL Autonomous Solutions and Adventus Robotics use sensors and navigation algorithms to help users independently traverse complex environments. These devices can detect obstacles, plan efficient routes, and even learn user preferences over time.

ii. **AI-Enhanced Public Transport**: In Japan, the AI Bus Project uses machine learning to optimize bus routes for elderly and disabled passengers. It collects real-time data to adjust its schedule and stops, ensuring accessibility for those with mobility challenges.

iii. **Smart Cities and Inclusive Navigation:** The concept of "smart cities" incorporates AI-based solutions for accessible urban infrastructure. For example, in Barcelona, smart traffic systems equipped with AI analyze pedestrian flow and prioritize crossings for individuals with limited mobility, making urban spaces more inclusive.

These innovations not only enhance mobility but also ensure that transportation systems are more inclusive and responsive to the needs of PwDs.

b. Communication Technologies Powered by AI -

AI-driven communication tools are working towards bridging significant gaps for individuals with sensory and cognitive disabilities.

i. **Sign Language Recognition and Translation:** AI tools such as **SignAll** among several others similar solutions translate sign language into spoken or written text in real time, enabling seamless communication between deaf individuals and those unfamiliar with sign language.

ii. **Speech-to-Text and Text-to-Speech:** Applications like Otter.ai provide real-time transcription services, making classroom lectures, meetings, and public speeches accessible to individuals with hearing impairments. **Voiceitt**, on the other hand, is an AI-powered app that recognizes and translates speech from individuals with speech disabilities into clear language, facilitating communication.

iii. **Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) Devices:** AI advancements have enhanced AAC devices used by individuals with non-verbal autism or speech disorders. For instance, Tobii **Dynavox** employs eye-tracking AI to allow users to control communication devices using only their gaze.

These examples illustrate how AI has the potential to enable richer, more interactive communication experiences, empowering individuals to express themselves effectively in personal and professional contexts.

c. Cognitive Assistance and AI -

AI has made significant strides in supporting individuals with cognitive disabilities, offering tools for memory assistance, task management, and decision-making.

i. **Smart Assistants for Cognitive Support**: AI-driven apps such as **ClariaZoom** and **Brain in Hand** assist individuals with cognitive disabilities in managing their daily tasks. These tools provide reminders, step-by-step guides, and real-time support to help users maintain independence.

ii. **AI in Education for Cognitive Disabilities**: Formal education among persons with benchmark cognitive disabilities pose a significant challenge. To solve these challenges platforms such as DreamBox Learning, an AI-powered educational platform, adapts its lessons to suit the learning pace and style of students with cognitive disabilities. Similarly, Kurzweil Education offers text-to-speech and annotation tools for students with dyslexia or ADHD, making learning more accessible.

By offering tailored support, these tools address specific challenges faced by individuals with cognitive disabilities, enabling them to engage in educational, professional, and social activities with greater confidence.

III. Challenges in Adoption of AI for Accessibility

Article 9 of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) mandates member states to create an inclusive society by addressing the challenges faced by persons with disabilities (PwDs) and ensuring non-discrimination. Assistive technologies (ATs) have played a crucial role in achieving this goal, supported by international cooperation under Article 32. However, disparities in accessibility persist, with more than 2.5 billion people requiring assistive tools, yet facing inequalities based on economic, social, and healthcare factors. Developed nations typically offer advanced ATs, while developing countries struggle with limited resources, worsened by stringent intellectual property regimes that restrict access to cutting-edge technologies.

The integration of AI into assistive technologies has significantly improved accessibility, offering personalized communication aids and intelligent mobility solutions. However, challenges remain, spanning ethical, legal, technical, and social dimensions, including issues of fairness, privacy, bias, and equitable access. This chapter critically examines these challenges through real-world examples and scholarly analysis, exploring solutions to ensure AI-driven ATs align with principles of accessibility, equity, and human rights.

a. Ethical Challenges

Implementation of AI into ATs in spite of proposing a groundbreaking scope of upliftment for the PwDs, also raises several ethical concerns such as -

i. Algorithmic Bias and Discrimination - One of the most pressing ethical concerns in AI-powered assistive technologies is the issue of algorithmic bias. AI systems are trained on large datasets, and if these datasets are not inclusive or representative, the resulting models may exhibit bias against certain groups of users. For example, AI-driven speech recognition tools like Google's Voice Assistant and Apple's Siri have been found to perform less accurately when processing the speech of individuals with atypical speech patterns caused by conditions like cerebral palsy or ALS. Since these datasets are often based on standard speech samples, users with non-normative speech patterns face higher rates of error, miscommunication, and exclusion. The consequences of algorithmic bias are profound. For instance, facial recognition systems used in AI-powered mobility tools, such as smart wheelchairs, may struggle to detect users with certain facial features, skin tones, or disabilities affecting facial musculature. This failure risks excluding PwDs from the full potential of AI-enabled mobility solutions.

ii. **Ethical Use of User Data** - AI-driven assistive technologies often rely on continuous data collection to provide adaptive, personalized experiences. For instance, tools like Google's Wear OS smartwatches and AI-powered enabled tracking devices monitor user behaviour and physiological data to offer personalized feedback. While this personalization enhances usability, it also raises concerns about data privacy, security, and consent. A significant ethical issue is informed consent. PwDs may not be fully aware of how their data is being collected, stored, and shared, particularly when using smart devices embedded with tracking sensors. The use of biometric data in assistive technologies (e.g., eye-tracking in AAC devices) increases the risk of misuse if data is sold or accessed by third parties without consent.

b. Legal Challenges

Legal challenges associated with AI-driven assistive technologies stem from the need to align these tools with existing accessibility laws, safeguard user rights, and ensure clear accountability when errors or harm occur. As assistive technologies become more autonomous, questions of compliance, liability, and regulatory oversight have grown increasingly complex. Few such critical legal issues are discussed in detail below.

i. **Compliance with Accessibility Laws** - AI-driven assistive technologies must comply with legal standards established under international, regional, and national frameworks. At the global level, the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) is a foundational instrument, particularly Article 9, which emphasizes accessibility in physical, digital, and communication environments. National laws like the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) in the U.S., the Equality Act 2010 in the U.K. or the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 in India also require that public services, workplaces, and digital platforms be accessible to persons with disabilities. However, ensuring compliance with these standards is not always straightforward.

One of the primary challenges is that AI-powered web accessibility tools often fail to achieve full compliance with the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG). Many companies use automated "overlay" tools, like '**accessiBe'** and '**UserWay'**, to make websites accessible with minimal effort. However, advocacy groups such as the National Federation of the Blind (NFB) have criticized these tools for failing to address deeper, structural issues related to logical navigation, semantic HTML, and dynamic content changes. As a result, these tools create a false sense of compliance, potentially exposing businesses to legal action under the ADA. For example, in 2019, Domino's Pizza in the U.S. faced a landmark lawsuit after a blind customer was unable to order food using the company's website and mobile app. The U.S. Ninth Circuit Court ruled that websites and mobile apps must comply with the ADA, affirming that digital spaces are subject to the same accessibility obligations as physical spaces. The ruling underscored the need for AI enabled web accessibility solutions to meet **WCAG** standards to avoid legal liability.

ii. **Accountability and Liability Issues** - Another key legal challenge is determining 'who is liable' when AI-powered assistive devices fail or cause harm. Unlike traditional ATs, which are largely passive, AI-powered devices are autonomous, adaptive, and dynamic. This autonomy raises questions about product liability and algorithmic accountability. For instance, if a visually impaired person relying on an AI-driven smart cane collides with an obstacle due to an AI error, who should be held accountable? Should it be the manufacturer, the software developer, or the AI system itself? Accountability is further complicated in cases where AI systems make discriminatory decisions. For example, if an AI hiring tool used by companies systematically screens out PwDs from job opportunities due to biases in training data, affected individuals may have no clear recourse to seek redress. For example, in 2020, a lawsuit was filed against HireVue, a company that uses AI in its recruitment tools. Plaintiffs alleged that HireVue's facial analysis system exhibited bias against neurodivergent applicants, such as those with autism, by using non-transparent criteria for evaluating "facial expressions" and "communication skills." This case highlights how AI-based decision-making tools can perpetuate ableism and discrimination, resulting in unfair treatment for PwDs. The European Union Artificial Intelligence Act (EU AI Act) proposes that "high-risk" AI applications, such as those

used in employment and healthcare, should be subject to stricter regulatory oversight and mandatory impact assessments.

c. Technical Challenges

The process of implementing AI in assistive technologies for upliftment of accessibility rights of the PwDs have its fair share of technical challenges also in terms of issues such as interoperability or compatibility and Cost or Affordability which are discussed below -

i. **Interoperability and Compatibility Issues -** One major technical hurdle is the lack of interoperability between AI-powered assistive technologies and other devices or platforms. Many devices operate in isolated ecosystems, forcing users to adopt multiple, disconnected tools to achieve comprehensive accessibility. For instance, a person with a visual impairment may use Microsoft Seeing AI on a smartphone but may not be able to integrate the tool with Braille devices or third-party screen readers.

iii. **Cost and Affordability** - AI-powered assistive devices are often prohibitively expensive, making them inaccessible to many PwDs, especially in low-income regions. Devices like Tobii Dynavox AAC devices and smart prosthetics cost thousands of dollars, creating economic disparities in access. Moreover, AI-based accessibility software often requires paid subscriptions, further widening the digital divide.

d. Social Challenges

AI-driven assistive technologies have the potential to bridge long-standing accessibility gaps, but they also risk creating new social challenges. These issues are often linked to inequality, exclusion, and the digital divide. The following sections explore these challenges in greater depth.

i. **The Digital Divide and Access Inequality** - The digital divide refers to disparities in access to information and communication technologies (ICTs) between high-income and low-income communities. For PwDs, this divide is even more pronounced, as assistive devices tend to be prohibitively expensive and require reliable internet access. Since many AI-driven ATs rely on cloud-based processing, users in regions with poor connectivity or limited infrastructure may be unable to benefit from them.

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), nearly 2.5 billion people globally need at least one form of assistive technology, but only 1 in 10 have access to it. For PwDs in low-income countries, access to devices like AI-driven smart canes, smart prosthetics, and AAC devices is often unattainable due to high costs and the absence of distribution channels.

ii. **Lack of Digital Literacy and Technological Awareness** - AI-driven assistive technologies enhance accessibility but require digital literacy for effective use. Many PwDs, older adults, and low-resource communities struggle with adopting these tools due to a lack of training. Addressing bias, privacy risks, and affordability through collaboration among governments, developers, and PwD communities is essential to ensuring AI aligns with UNCRPD principles and fosters a more inclusive society.

IV. Policy Recommendations and the Way Forward

The integration of AI into assistive technologies has enhanced accessibility for persons with disabilities (PwDs), yet ethical, legal, technical, and social challenges remain. To address these barriers, this chapter shall outline certain viable policy recommendations based on the UNCRPD and industry best practices. Key focus areas include regulatory oversight, inclusive design, stakeholder collaboration, and equitable access to ensure AI-driven assistive technologies remain transparent, inclusive, and fair.

a. Strengthening Regulatory and Legal Frameworks

One of the most critical policy actions is the establishment of clear regulatory frameworks to ensure that AI-based assistive technologies comply with international human rights laws, including the UNCRPD, and regional standards like the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and EU Accessibility Act. While these legal instruments mandate equal access to technology, gaps in enforcement, accountability, and oversight persist.

i. **Mandating Compliance with Accessibility Standards** - To ensure legal compliance, AI developers and service providers should be required to adhere to established guidelines such as the Web Content

Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) for digital content and ISO 9241-171:2008 for software ergonomics. However, unlike static websites, AI-based assistive technologies are constantly evolving, which complicates compliance.

Proposed Policy Actions:

- **Periodic Audits and Certification**: Require AI-based accessibility tools to undergo regular third-party audits for compliance with WCAG and other relevant standards. Certification bodies should issue compliance certificates that are publicly available.
- **AI-Specific Accessibility Guidelines**: Establish new, AI-specific guidelines under WCAG to address the unique needs of AI-driven tools like voice assistants, real-time transcription apps, and gesture-based input systems.
- **Sanctions and Fines**: Impose financial penalties on companies that fail to meet accessibility standards. Similar to the GDPR fines for data privacy violations, accessibility violations should have monetary consequences.

ii. **Defining Accountability and Liability** - As AI-driven assistive technologies become more autonomous, determining liability for system errors, malfunctions, or harmful outcomes becomes increasingly complex. Current legal frameworks often fail to clarify who is responsible in cases where AI-driven devices make independent decisions.

Proposed Policy Actions:

- **Liability Sharing Agreements**: Introduce legal requirements for binding agreement between manufacturers, developers, and service providers, specifying shared liability for errors caused by AI-driven devices.
- **Algorithmic Accountability Frameworks**: Mandate the use of algorithmic impact assessments (AIAs) to identify and mitigate risks associated with assistive AI tools before deployment. Similar to environmental impact assessments, AIAs should evaluate potential harm caused by algorithmic errors or biases.
- **User Redress Mechanisms**: Establish complaint mechanisms and accessible grievance procedures for users affected by the malfunctions of AI-based assistive devices, with clear pathways for seeking compensation or redress.

b. Promoting Inclusive and User-Centred Design

A central principle of the UNCRPD is the right to participate in decision-making processes, especially for those directly affected by policy and technological developments. Ensuring that AI-driven assistive technologies are designed with and for PwDs is critical to creating effective, user-centered solutions.

i. **Co-Design with Persons with Disabilities** - To date, most AI-based assistive technologies are designed by technical teams with limited input from the intended users. Co-designing these technologies in partnership with PwDs ensures the end product meets their specific needs.

Proposed Policy Actions:

- **Participatory Design Requirements**: Mandate that developers of assistive technologies collaborate with Disabled Persons Organizations (DPOs) during the design, development, and testing phases of AI products.
- **User-Centred Research Funding**: Allocate research grants to promote participatory design methodologies and establish dedicated funding programs for user-led innovation in assistive technologies.
- **Diversity in Development Teams**: Promote diversity in AI development teams, ensuring that persons with disabilities are included in the workforce of companies developing AI-based assistive tools.

ii. Incorporating Universal Design Principles - Universal design aims to create products that are usable by the widest possible range of people, regardless of their abilities. Embedding universal design in AI-based assistive technologies can ensure their accessibility for PwDs while also benefiting older adults, people with temporary impairments, and other marginalized communities.

Proposed Policy Actions:

- **Adopt Universal Design Mandates**: Governments should require that all AI-based products intended for public use adhere to universal design principles, which should be included in government procurement policies.
- **Promotion of Customization Features**: Require assistive technologies to include customization options, such as adjustable font sizes, colour contrast, and input methods, to cater to individual user needs.

iii. **Fostering Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration** - AI-driven assistive technologies involve contributions from government bodies, private developers, civil society, and academia. Successful policy implementation requires a collaborative approach to leverage the expertise of all stakeholders.

Proposed Policy Actions:

- **Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs):** Encourage partnerships between governments and private AI developers to fund inclusive innovation and scale up affordable assistive technologies.
- **Cross-Sectoral Coordination:** Establish national councils or advisory boards for AI and Disability Inclusion, comprising representatives from the government, PwDs organizations, industry leaders, and research institutions.
- **Open-Source Innovation Hubs:** Support the creation of open-source repositories for AI-driven accessibility solutions, where developers can share code, best practices, and training datasets.

c. **Ensuring Equitable Access and Affordability**

Access to AI-driven assistive technologies is often limited due to high costs, unequal internet access, and the global digital divide. Without intervention, these disparities could worsen, especially in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).

i. **Bridging the Digital Divide** - The reliance of many AI-based assistive technologies on cloud computing and internet connectivity poses a major access barrier for users in remote or underserved areas.

Proposed Policy Actions:

- Offline AI Tools: Promote the development of AI tools that can function offline, reducing dependence on cloud-based services.
- Universal Service Obligation Funds (USOFs): Use USOFs—financial contributions from telecom operators—to subsidize access to high-speed internet for PwDs in underserved areas.
- Global Equity Partnerships: Foster global cooperation between international bodies like the World Health Organization (WHO) and tech companies to distribute affordable AI-based assistive technologies in LMICs.

ii. **Addressing Cost and Affordability Issues** - The high cost of AI-powered assistive technologies creates barriers for PwDs, especially those in low-income households. Devices like Tobii Dynavox AAC devices can cost over $10,000, making them unaffordable for many users.

Proposed Policy Actions:

- Price Regulation and Subsidies: Provide direct subsidies, tax exemptions, or government-procured assistive devices for PwDs.
- Incentivizing Affordable Innovation: Offer tax incentives to companies that develop low-cost AI-driven assistive devices for distribution in developing countries.
- Crowdfunding and Public Financing: Encourage the use of crowdfunding platforms to finance the development and acquisition of assistive technologies for marginalized users.

d. The Role of International Institutions

International organizations like the United Nations, World Bank, and International Telecommunication Union (ITU) could play a vital role in global efforts to create inclusive AI. By establishing global standards and promoting funding mechanisms, these institutions can bridge the gap between developed and developing countries.

Proposed Policy Actions:

- **Global Standards for AI Accessibility**: Advocate for the development of a UN Global Accessibility Index to evaluate country-level AI accessibility performance.
- **Development of Global Research Funds**: Launch a global research fund for AI-driven assistive technologies, modelled on the WHO's GATE initiative.

The successful integration of AI into assistive technologies depends on robust legal frameworks, co-design with PwDs, stakeholder collaboration, and policies that address affordability and access. Governments, civil society, and international institutions must work in unison to ensure that AI-based assistive technologies are ethical, inclusive, and accessible to all. The policy roadmap outlined in this chapter serves as a guide for regulators, developers, and advocates striving to build a future where no one is left behind in the AI revolution.

V. CONCLUSION

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into assistive technologies marks a profound shift in the pursuit of accessibility rights for persons with disabilities (PwDs). By transforming static, "one-size-fits-all" solutions into personalized, adaptive, and dynamic tools, AI has redefined how PwDs engage with their environments. Innovations such as AI-powered smart wheelchairs, voice recognition tools for speech impairments, and real-time transcription services have empowered PwDs to achieve greater independence, inclusion, and dignity. However, as this technological revolution unfolds, it brings with it a host of challenges that require critical reflection and intervention. Ethical concerns surrounding algorithmic bias, data privacy, and user autonomy have revealed how AI systems can unintentionally perpetuate discrimination. Similarly, legal questions concerning accountability, liability, and regulatory oversight remain unresolved. Without clear guidelines and mechanisms for redress, PwDs are at risk of being further marginalized by the very technologies meant to empower them.

Addressing these challenges demands a multi-pronged strategy that combines legal, ethical, social, and technical interventions. Policymakers, developers, and advocates must work collaboratively to strengthen regulatory frameworks, ensure compliance with international human rights standards like the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), and incorporate principles of universal design into AI development. Inclusive design processes that actively involve PwDs in the development of AI-based tools can ensure that the technologies reflect the lived realities of users. Likewise, governments and international organizations must bridge the digital divide by supporting equitable access and affordable distribution of AI-driven assistive devices. Public-private partnerships and open-source innovation hubs have proven to be effective mechanisms for scaling access in low-income regions. Additionally, creating accountability frameworks for AI-driven technologies—such as liability sharing agreements and algorithmic impact assessments—can provide clear guidelines for managing disputes and ensuring the rights of users are upheld.

As the AI revolution in assistive technologies continues to evolve, the way forward is clear: stakeholders must prioritize inclusivity, fairness, and human rights at every stage of development. AI has the potential to be a force for good, but only if its design and implementation are guided by equity, transparency, and user participation. Governments must not only regulate AI responsibly but also invest in open access tools and capacity-building initiatives that empower communities most at risk of exclusion. If policymakers, developers, and disability advocates align their efforts, AI-driven assistive technologies can fulfill their promise as tools for empowerment, independence, and human dignity. By embedding these principles into the AI ecosystem, society can ensure that no one is left behind in the era of technological progress.

REFERENCES

[1] Elizabeth Kath, Osorio Coelho Guimarães Neto and Marcelo El Khouri Buzato, "Posthumanism and Assistive Technologies: On the Social Inclusion/Exclusion of Low-Tech Cyborgs" 58 *Trabalhos em Linguística Aplicada* 679–703 (2019).

[2] Irmo Marini, "The History of Treatment Toward People with Disabilities" 2nd ed. *Psychosocial Aspects of Disability* 3–32 (Springer Publishing Company).

[3] United Nations. Universal declaration of human rights;1948

[4] United Nations Convention on The Rights of Persons with Disabilities; 2006

[5] Emma Smith, Stephanie Huff and Joan O'Donnella, "Assistive technologies are central to the realization of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities" 19 *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* 486–91 (2024).

[6] Theresia Degener, "Disability in a Human Rights Context" 5(3) *Laws* 35 (2016).

[7] Layton Natasha, Bell Diane and Borg Johan, "Assistive Technology as A Pillar of Universal Health Coverage: Qualitative Analysis of Stakeholder Responses to The World Health Assembly Resolution on Assistive Technology" 15(7) *Disability and rehabilitation. Assistive technology* 1–7 (2020).

[8] Chapal Khasnabis, Zafar Mirza and Malcolm MacLachlan, "Opening the GATE to inclusion for people with disabilities" 386(10010) *The Lancet* 2229–30 (2015).

[9] Deirdre Desmond et al., "Assistive technology and people: a position paper from the first global research, innovation and education on assistive technology (GREAT) summit" 13 *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* 437–44 (2018).

[10] Smith, R. O., Scherer, M. J., Cooper, R., Bell, D., Hobbs, D. A., Pettersson, C., Bauer, S. "Assistive technology products: a position paper from the first global research, innovation, and education on assistive technology (GREAT) summit. 13(5) *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology*, 473–485 (2018). Available at https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/17483107.2018.1473895

[11] The WHO Global Report on Assistive Technology, 2022

[12] Tien-Wen Sung et al., "Artificial Intelligence of Things (AIoT) Technologies and Applications," 2021 *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing* 978–1271 (2021).

[13] Maurício Pasetto De Freitas et al., "Artificial Intelligence of Things Applied to Assistive Technology: A Systematic Literature Review," 22 *Sensors* 8531 (2022).

[14] Emil Protalinski, "Google unveils 3 accessibility projects that help people with disabilities" available at https://venturebeat.com/ai/google-ai-accessibility-project-euphonia-diva-live-relay/

[15] "The tech empowering disabled people in cities", available at https://edition.cnn.com/2019/05/29/business/ disability-technology-transport

[16] https://www.adventusrobotics.com/about-us (last visited on Dec 12, 2024)

[17] "Seeing AI: New Technology Research to Support the Blind and Visually Impaired Community" available at https://blogs.microsoft.com/accessibility/seeing-ai/

[18] Sunney Dubey, Enhancing Digital Platform Accessibility with AI (A Step Towards Inclusive Technology), available at https://blogs.infosys.com/digital-experience/emerging-technologies/enhancing-digital-platform-accessibility-with-ai-a-step-towards-inclusive-technology.html

[19] https://whill.inc/us/mobility-service/how-it-works/autonomous-drive

[20] SHIN WATANABE, "Japan's Itochu to bring AI bus optimization to Taiwan smart city" available at https://asia.nikkei.com/Business/Technology/Japan-s-Itochu-to-bring-AI-bus-optimization-to-Taiwan-smart-city

[21] H.M.K.K.M.B. Herath and Mamta Mittal, "Adoption of artificial intelligence in smart cities: A comprehensive review," 2 *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights* 100076 (2022).

[22] Biplov Paneru, Bishwash Paneru and Khem Narayan Poudyal, "Advancing human-computer interaction: AI-driven translation of American Sign Language to Nepali using convolutional neural networks and text-to-speech conversion application," 6 *Systems and Soft Computing* 200165 (2024).

[23] Sheryl Ballenger, "Access for Deaf and Hard of Hearing Individuals in Informational and Educational Remote Sessions," 16 (2) *Assistive Technology Outcomes and Benefits* 45–55 (2022).

[24] Nadia Leigh-Hewitson "AI-powered tech could help people with speech impairments to work remotely" available at https://edition.cnn.com/2024/09/23/tech/voiceitt-voice-recognition-speech-impairments-spc/index.html

[25] Ramazan Karatay et al., "A real-time eye movement-based computer interface for people with disabilities," 34 *Smart Health* 100521 (2024).

[26] Tyler Weitzman, "Empowering Individuals with Disabilities Through AI Technology", available at https://www.forbes.com/councils/forbesbusinesscouncil/2023/06/16/empowering-individuals-with-disabilities-through-ai-technology/

[27] Sahin Ahmed, "How AI is transforming Education: Potential Benefits and Challenges Ahead", available at https://medium.com/@sahin.samia/how-ai-is-transforming-education-potential-benefits-and-challenges-ahead-0ad827575b93

[28] Katie Deighton, "Tech Firms Train Voice Assistants to Understand Atypical Speech" *The Wall Street Journal,* 2021 available at: https://www.wsj.com/articles/tech-firms-train-voice-assistants-to-understand-atypical-speech-11614186019

[29] Reva Schwartz et al., "Towards a Standard for Identifying and Managing Bias in Artificial Intelligence", NIST SP 1270 (National Institute of Standards and Technology (U.S.), Gaithersburg, MD, 15 March 2022).

[30] Tlamelo Makati, Garreth W. Tigwell and Kristen Shinohara, "The Promise and Pitfalls of Web Accessibility Overlays for Blind and Low Vision Users" *The 26th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility* 1–12 (presented at the ASSETS '24: The 26th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility, ACM, St. John's NL Canada, 2024).

[31] Regine M. Gilbert, "Inclusive Design for a Digital World: Designing with Accessibility in Mind" (Apress, Berkeley, CA, 2019).

[32] Robles v. Domino's Pizza LLC, 913 F.3d 898 (2019)

[33] Deyerler v. HireVue Inc., 22 CV 1284 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 26, 2024)

[34] Nico Klingler, "EU AI Act: Key Implications and What Companies Must Know", Available at https://viso.ai/deep-learning/eu-ai-act/