



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

## World Politics: A Case Study On Democratic State Metamorphosing To Outlawed Decree

Name of Author- Salam Debbarma

Designation of Author- Guest lecturer

Name of Department- political science

Name of organisation of Author- political researcher, City- Agartala, Country- India

### Abstract

Today the word democratic has loosed its popularity within the people from some particular state. Some section People has loosed its trust in the democratic form of government and a few portion of citizen are ready to surrender their right to the junta government from some specific state (not all the citizen are in support of junta rule from that specific state).however, this has overthrown power to a particular person where people voice were deprive down below the earth. And this lead to a chaos within the state as some section of people were against the military junta government. Thereafter, people fight back again for their right against the junta government. And a lots of blood shed within its own people for no definite reason. Therefore, question has arisen that has people shifted their focus on third world war and is military junta government reliable for the people.

Keywords: military rule, dictatorship, junta rule, democratic government in an unstructured form

### Introduction-

Military has come one of the essential needs for a country to survive or defence itself from the other country. Military does play a crucial in building up a nation.it also does possess a special function\role within the nation. Nonetheless some of the military leader has loosed its ability to follow the order from the government rather have its alternative approach to form its own government by an authoritative ruling system. Later term them as military junta or junta rule. Military junta ruling system is known for its arbitrary power structure. Where the power is imbalance between the two structures one has democratic form of government and the other has authoritarian dictatorship or repressive government. In the present day the world has been in a chaos by the practise of junta rule around the world (mainly in Asian country). But one must comprehend the source of origin about the military and junta dominant system. However, the word junta rule is already familiar to the world leader despite of their acknowledgement they failed to accomplish their mission or their ideology. Extreme dominant of military junta in the 19<sup>th</sup> century were seen in Argentina (1976-1983), chili (1973-1990), Uruguay (1973-1985), Bolivia (1920-1921), Brazil

(1930-1969), Colombia (1957-1958), El Salvador (1979-1982), Peru (1962-1963). Therefore, with the growing concern of junta ruling system preeminent system across Asian country. It's essential for the Asian leader to bump into with the growing concern on military junta. In present military junta has become a vogue across Asian continent with the following country Myanmar. Nevertheless the democratic government had failed to entertain the republican citizen or had failed to understand its military administration system. Although the military junta is not highly appreciate by most of the public within the state. It does also managed to gain some popularity from its people. Despite of not holding the high regard from the people it manage to rule over the state with its military power. Erratically the question arise through what agency the it manage to address it's ideology to its huge people and assemble them together despite having rebelling army within them as well as set an administration within a short period also how does the administration agency failed to conduct its duty. Is there any change needed in the democratic system of government. However in this subject one can preside over this circumstance.

### ***Annotation on junta\Military government-***

At this current or present moment the world has tendency act of rebelling against the government or civil authorities. In the process of junta government the military \public seize the power from the democratic government. Here, the power totally fall under the hand of them. Gathering some support from the public within its state. However, the power does not only remain within the some section of group. The dictatorship ruler will decide whom shall he\she provide the power and to whom shall the power remain. In this method of ruling system the ruler always have an opponent that does not handle state affairs in a tranquil manner. Junta ruling system which is also popularly known as the dictatorship ruling system has always been against the human right. However, there has been different theory by different leader of the military junta's ruler. Some dictator coated that "military junta is for the summons, demobilisation and reintegration".

### ***Junta\military junta over democratic-***

- Here, the junta form its organisation without the concern of the official government. And lay out its principles within the military group. Later the military will be asked to proceed against the democratic government.
- As the power always remain with the person with arms. Similarly the military hold a great power in a state. So the military always have an advantage to over will the democratic government in case the government take any decision against the military rule\decision.
- Military power always managed to dominant as compared to the democratic. Democratic government respect the views of its citizen without fail whereas according to military junta public are to follow all the command of its ruler. Hence this process copal the democratic to be weak.
- In some case it depend upon the democratic ruling system. For e.g., we can recall the incident of guinea military coup where the president loosed its popularity since he sought continues third term in 2020.he amended the constitution two term limit on a president ability to run for re-election. As he declare, that limit does not imply to him. Decent within the military leading to this coup.
- Though, the military rule has always been disregard by the people. However, the dictatorship rule has kept dominants its rule over state. Such kind of behaviour can still be resolute. As the democratic form of government had failed its administration and surrender itself to the military without any retaliation form its rebellion. Therefore it's not only about the government but some section citizen too. They surrender themselves and make an ally with the military group as this make the democratic more weak.

### *Ideology that construct military junta\ junta tenacious*

The ideology of the military coup can vary from each other. If we are too compared between the two nation. It does set the difference with the standard of their nature (For e.g., we can see the difference ideology of Myanmar and Guinea, Myanmar military coup came into power setting with an ideology of summon, demobilization and reintegration and Guinea military coup set power with an ideology of vanquish the illegitimate president). Such kind of ideology were always appreciate by the people. As, at first it seem that it will work for the welfare of the people but later. With such ideology the military coup always gain its support from the people of the state. Unlike the democratic form of government that keep on regular fluctuating. The military form of government invariably stand firm with their decision as well as does not work on the public opinion and the same rules always implies to everyone whether it had commit or not. Moreover, the behaviour of a military has always been different with the ordinary people. They had a policy of following the commander or their senior command .in such cases they never glance over or mull over the consequence of their action. They are obliged to simply follow the order of their commander or headquarter of their military organization. Such kind of behaviour make the military coup ideology stronger both physically and mentally. That help the power stand firm in the hand of the military command. There are different kind of act that make the military coup ideology stronger. Among those shticks one of its kind is the unstable formation of the government. Where the democratic government failed to stable its situation or failed to take control over its democratic citizens and administration. The main principle that kept the ideology of the military coup affective\ immutable is the disciplinary action of the army .That are to be followed by every citizen of its state. Whether they belong to the military coup family or the family of the general. But this kind of action where not commonly seen among the democratic form of government. The democratic government somehow in some cases allowed the rule of bias. Where the high status people were left behind without any punishment despite, getting involved in illegal means. Howbeit, these kind of judgement were not passed in the era of the military junta coup. The immutable moral judgement has attract the attention of the public. Where most of the people lay their confidence towards the junta government for their rigid decision (this kind of decision/judgement does not imply to every junta ruler).

### *Different types of junta\military rule –*

- ***Military Junta against Malfeasance:*** In this Process the military junta take over the power from the government. In the view of the fact that democratic ruler take over the charge by the process of Illicit. Such conduct were somehow managed to secure the attention of the people. And this act create as a supportive for the military junta.
- ***Egocentrism junta rule:*** This strain ruling system by the military coup could triggers an immediate tension between the people. Further this ruling system does generate a war between the people and the military of its state. As it fight for one person greed rather than serving to its citizen.
- ***Popular vote junta rule:*** In this case the public will be the foreman of the army. As the government act against the principle of the public desire. The public form its own organisation that will function its own government with the help of the military support. Later the dictatorship proceed to the military coup.
- ***De-centralized junta rule:*** Nearly all the country does carry the same rule. When the government does failed to perform its duty. The authority of its state is perform by the military. The military coup perform its duty until the democratic government is capable of performing its fidelity.

### *The structural issue with junta\military rule*

The military junta rule form its own structure of government system. Where the democratic government lost its majority. Though, the military junta enact with the collective doctrine. And established its own regulation that are quite different in different areas. As this kind of behaviour hold-off their development of state. Therefore, any state or region that does initiate their issue from

the beginning means they hold their development from the beginning. Some of the most important issue that the military junta had to deal with is –

- **Law and constitution:** Though in some case the military managed to control its own army. They failed in the most factor that is to maintain the law and constitution of its own nation\state. As they construct its own law and constitution without concerning the public views or public needs. However, the maximum number of constitution and law made by the military junta is incapable of performing any duty in the state.
- **Human Right Abuse:** under the military rule the human right were mostly ignored as the state does not backed by the public views but under the command of few person. According to UNHR under the military junta “the military rule has slaughter thousands of civilians and burdened villages and displaced million. More than 20,000 political prisoner remains behind bar. The economy and public service collapsed” the special Rapporteur said. Among the junta rule Myanmar were seen as the one of the greatest violation in human right.

### *Criticism on junta rule*

Though the military junta has preside over to obtain the power with the support of its arm group and with the support of few local group. It has fail to conduct its own rule. As the ideology and the bearing of the ruler is deficient towards the people. However, despite of failing its rule of conduct it preside over its rule to the people. Some of the most important element that failed the junta government are disdainful towards people, condemning the human right, unlawfulness ruling system, barbarous behaviour and creating a turbulent between the people. Therefore, the junta ruling system generate a dictatorship government. As negotiated transition tend to end in democratization, but forced regime ouster often result in new dictatorships.

### *Conclusion*

The conclusion is clear “that formulating a junta rule is illegal and it is indeed important to formulate a legitimate form of government. An individual or mankind can’t allow one’s or an organisation greed to destroy the whole nation peace and harmony. Though junta government rose to power for different reason the consequence is the same for every nation. “Indeed, a new coordinate international response to the crises is imperative”. Every nation must urged, particularly those that have already costs on the junta, to initiate a strategic approach to strengthen, coordinate and enforce economic sanctions and an arms embargo on the SAC and provide more robust humanitarian aid to the million in desperate need”. Therefore, people called for greater unity and commitment among the international community in three keys areas: scaling up aid efforts among partners; forging a unified position on possible election and the implementation of civilian protection measures. “It is inconceivable any form of peaceful and democratic transition can be initiated by those perpetrating harm on their citizens”. The junta form of government must stop such kind of behaviour such as “violence on its citizen, including the Ariel bombing and burning the civilian infrastructure”.

## Reference

- 1- United states mission to the united nation ; the DPRK human right violation ; <http://usun.usmission.gov>
- 2- The international bar association ; <http://www.ibanet.org>
- 3- United nation human right; office of the high commission; a press release on 30 January 2025.
- 4- An Australian institute of international affairs WEBINER  
Professor ADIL khan, university of Queensland
- 5- <http://www.indiatoday.com>, <http://t.me/indiatoday.com>.
- 6- [www.insightmyanmar.org](http://www.insightmyanmar.org), a statement by human right commission on rohingya crises on September 2017
- 7- The international institute for strategic structure in global politics, <http://www.iiss.org>
- 8- Foreign affairs magazine-analysis and debate of foreign policy, geopolitics and international affairs.
- 9- Sardesai, southwest Asia past and present , vikas publishing house, (1981)
- 10- Christina fink, living silence: Burma under military rule, new York: Zeb Books,(2001)
- 11- Myanmar tryst with Quasi-Democracy, Pereira international, july(2013)

