IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Corporate Social Responsibility and Business Performance: A Conceptual Analysis of Strategic Impact

Smt. ALEENA PVK.M

Lecturer in Commerce

Government Degree College(A)

TUNI, Kakinada-533401

Andhra Pradesh

Abstract

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has emerged as a strategic framework through which organizations integrate social, environmental, and ethical considerations into their business operations. While traditional views considered CSR as a cost-oriented activity, contemporary perspectives highlight its potential to enhance organizational performance. This conceptual study examines the relationship between CSR and business performance by critically synthesizing existing theoretical and empirical literature. The analysis explores key dimensions such as financial performance, corporate reputation, customer behavior, employee engagement, and long-term sustainability. The study identifies that CSR contributes to value creation primarily through improved stakeholder relationships and enhanced corporate legitimacy. However, the extent of its impact varies across industries and depends on the strategic alignment of CSR initiatives with organizational objectives. The paper also discusses key challenges, including measurement issues, resource constraints, and conflicts between profit maximization and social responsibility. The findings suggest that CSR, when implemented as a core strategic function rather than a peripheral activity, can significantly enhance both economic and social outcomes. The study concludes by emphasizing the need for a more integrated and performance-oriented approach to CSR in modern business environments.

Keywords: Corporate Social Responsibility; Business Performance; Financial Performance; Corporate Reputation; Stakeholder Theory; Sustainability; Organizational Performance

1. Introduction

The role of business in society has undergone a profound transformation over the past few decades. Traditionally, firms were primarily viewed as economic entities whose principal objective was profit maximization. This perspective was strongly articulated by Milton Friedman (1970), who argued that the sole social responsibility of business is to increase its profits within the bounds of law. However, the increasing complexity of global markets, rising stakeholder awareness, and growing concerns regarding environmental sustainability and social inequality have significantly challenged this narrow view. As a result, organizations are now expected to operate not only as profit-generating entities but also as socially responsible institutions that contribute to the broader welfare of society.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has emerged as a central concept in addressing these evolving expectations. CSR refers to the voluntary integration of social and environmental concerns into business operations and interactions with stakeholders (McWilliams & Siegel, 2001). Over time, CSR has evolved from a peripheral philanthropic activity to a core strategic function embedded within organizational decision-making processes. The conceptualization of CSR has been significantly influenced by Archie B. Carroll (1991), who proposed a multidimensional framework encompassing economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic responsibilities. This framework highlights that organizations must balance their financial objectives with societal obligations to achieve sustainable success.

In the contemporary business environment, CSR has gained heightened importance due to globalization, technological advancements, and increased regulatory interventions. In emerging economies such as India, CSR has been institutionalized through legislative measures, most notably the Companies Act, 2013, which mandates certain firms to allocate a portion of their profits toward CSR activities. This regulatory framework has not only increased corporate accountability but also encouraged firms to adopt structured and measurable CSR initiatives. Furthermore, international organizations such as the World Bank have emphasized the role of CSR in promoting sustainable development and inclusive growth, thereby reinforcing its global significance.

Despite the growing adoption of CSR practices, a critical debate persists regarding its impact on business performance. While proponents argue that CSR enhances organizational performance by improving stakeholder relationships and corporate reputation, critics contend that it may impose additional costs and divert resources from core business activities. This debate is reflected in the mixed empirical evidence reported in the literature. For instance, McWilliams and Siegel (2001) suggest that the relationship between CSR and financial performance is complex and context-dependent, whereas more recent studies indicate a generally positive association.

One of the key mechanisms through which CSR influences business performance is stakeholder engagement. According to stakeholder theory, organizations that effectively address the needs and expectations of various stakeholders—including customers, employees, investors, and communities—are more likely to achieve long-term success (Aguinis& Glavas, 2012). CSR initiatives such as environmental conservation, ethical labor practices, and community development programs enhance stakeholder trust and loyalty, which in turn contribute to improved financial and non-financial outcomes. In this context, CSR can be viewed as a strategic investment that generates intangible assets such as brand reputation and customer goodwill.

Another important dimension of CSR is its impact on corporate reputation and brand value. Firms that actively engage in socially responsible practices are perceived as trustworthy and ethical, which enhances their competitive positioning in the market. Michael E. Porter and Mark R. Kramer (2006) argue that CSR can create shared value by aligning business interests with societal needs. This perspective emphasizes that CSR is not merely a cost center but a source of innovation and competitive advantage. By addressing social and environmental challenges, firms can develop new products, enter new markets, and strengthen their relationships with stakeholders.

In addition to external benefits, CSR also has significant implications for internal organizational dynamics. Employees increasingly prefer to work for organizations that demonstrate social responsibility and ethical conduct. CSR initiatives contribute to a positive organizational culture, enhance employee motivation, and reduce turnover rates. These factors collectively improve operational efficiency and productivity, thereby contributing to overall business performance. Furthermore, CSR practices promote transparency and accountability, which are essential for effective corporate governance.

The relationship between CSR and financial performance has been extensively examined in the literature, yet it remains inconclusive. Some studies report a positive relationship, indicating that CSR activities lead to higher profitability and market valuation (Eccles et al., 2014). Others suggest that the benefits of CSR are realized primarily in the long term and may not be immediately reflected in financial metrics. This divergence in findings highlights the need for a more nuanced understanding of the CSR–performance relationship, taking into account factors such as industry characteristics, firm size, and the nature of CSR initiatives.

In recent years, the concept of sustainability has become closely intertwined with CSR. Sustainability-oriented CSR practices focus on balancing economic growth with environmental protection and social equity. Organizations are increasingly adopting environmental, social, and governance (ESG) frameworks to measure and report their CSR performance. This shift reflects a broader recognition that long-term business success is dependent on sustainable practices that address global challenges such as climate change, resource depletion, and social inequality.

Given the growing importance of CSR in both academic research and business practice, it is essential to critically examine its impact on business performance. This study adopts a conceptual approach to analyze the relationship between CSR and organizational outcomes by synthesizing existing literature. Unlike empirical studies that focus on specific datasets or industries, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the underlying mechanisms through which CSR influences performance.

The significance of this study lies in its ability to bridge the gap between theoretical perspectives and practical implications. By integrating insights from various studies, the paper provides a holistic view of CSR and its impact on business performance. It also highlights the challenges associated with CSR implementation, such as measurement difficulties, resource constraints, and potential conflicts between profit and social objectives. Addressing these challenges is crucial for organizations seeking to maximize the benefits of CSR.

In conclusion, CSR has evolved into a critical component of modern business strategy, influencing not only organizational performance but also societal well-being. While the relationship between CSR and business performance is complex and multifaceted, there is substantial evidence to suggest that strategically implemented CSR initiatives can create value for both organizations and society. This study aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse by providing a conceptual analysis of this relationship, thereby offering insights for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers.

2. Literature Review

The relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and business performance has been extensively examined in academic literature, resulting in diverse and sometimes conflicting findings. Over time, CSR has evolved from a peripheral concept into a central component of strategic management, leading researchers to explore its multidimensional impact on organizational outcomes.

Early discussions on CSR were largely normative, focusing on the ethical responsibilities of firms toward society. Milton Friedman (1970) argued that the primary responsibility of business is to maximize shareholder wealth, thereby questioning the relevance of CSR in corporate decision-making. In contrast, Archie B. Carroll (1991) proposed a comprehensive framework that expanded the scope of corporate responsibilities beyond economic and legal obligations to include ethical and philanthropic dimensions. This framework laid the foundation for subsequent empirical and theoretical studies.

A significant shift in CSR research occurred with the emergence of the stakeholder theory, which emphasizes the importance of addressing the interests of multiple stakeholders rather than focusing solely on shareholders. Aguinis and Glavas (2012) highlight that CSR initiatives can strengthen relationships with stakeholders, leading to improved organizational performance. This perspective suggests that firms engaging in socially responsible practices are more likely to gain trust, legitimacy, and long-term support from their stakeholders.

Empirical research on the CSR–performance relationship has produced mixed results. McWilliams and Siegel (2001) argue that the relationship between CSR and financial performance is contingent upon firm-specific factors such as industry characteristics, resource allocation, and strategic alignment. Their study suggests that CSR should be viewed as an investment decision rather than a cost, where optimal levels of CSR can maximize firm value. Similarly, Lopez et al. (2007) found that firms included in sustainability indices tend to exhibit better financial performance compared to non-participating firms, indicating a positive association between CSR and profitability.

More recent studies have provided stronger empirical support for the positive impact of CSR on business performance. Eccles et al. (2014) provide evidence that firms with strong sustainability practices outperform their counterparts in terms of both stock market performance and accounting measures. These findings suggest that CSR can create long-term value by aligning business strategies with environmental and social objectives.

CSR has also been shown to influence non-financial dimensions of performance, particularly corporate reputation and brand value. Michael E. Porter and Mark R. Kramer (2006) introduced the concept of “shared value,” arguing that firms can achieve competitive advantage by addressing societal challenges. Their work highlights that CSR initiatives can enhance corporate reputation, attract customers, and strengthen stakeholder relationships.

Another important area of research focuses on the impact of CSR on employee-related outcomes. Studies indicate that CSR initiatives contribute to higher levels of employee satisfaction, engagement, and retention. Employees are more likely to identify with organizations that demonstrate ethical and socially responsible behavior, leading to improved productivity and organizational performance. This internal dimension of CSR is often overlooked but plays a critical role in enhancing overall business performance.

Despite the growing body of evidence supporting the positive impact of CSR, some studies highlight potential challenges and limitations. One of the key issues is the difficulty in measuring CSR performance and its outcomes. Unlike financial metrics, CSR impacts are often intangible and long-term, making it challenging to establish a direct causal relationship. Additionally, the effectiveness of CSR initiatives depends on factors such as transparency, stakeholder participation, and alignment with organizational goals.

Furthermore, the relationship between CSR and business performance is influenced by contextual factors such as industry type, geographical location, and regulatory environment. For instance, CSR practices in emerging economies like India are shaped by institutional frameworks and socio-economic conditions. The mandatory CSR provisions under the Companies Act, 2013 have significantly influenced corporate behavior, leading to increased investment in social and environmental initiatives.

Recent literature has also emphasized the integration of CSR with sustainability and environmental, social, and governance (ESG) frameworks. This shift reflects a broader recognition that CSR is not only about social responsibility but also about sustainable business practices. Sustainability-oriented CSR initiatives focus on long-term value creation by addressing environmental challenges and promoting social equity. This approach aligns with global efforts to achieve sustainable development goals and highlights the strategic importance of CSR in modern business environments.

In summary, the literature suggests that CSR has a generally positive impact on business performance, although the extent of this impact varies across contexts and methodologies. While earlier studies focused primarily on financial outcomes, recent research has expanded the scope to include non-financial dimensions such as reputation, stakeholder relationships, and sustainability. The evolving nature of CSR research underscores the need for a comprehensive and integrated approach to understanding its impact on organizational performance.

3. Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework of this study is developed to examine the relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and business performance by integrating key theoretical perspectives, including stakeholder theory, the resource-based view, and sustainability-oriented approaches. The framework is grounded in the assumption that CSR is not merely a peripheral or philanthropic activity, but a strategic function that influences organizational outcomes through multiple interconnected mechanisms.

CSR initiatives, such as environmental protection, ethical business practices, community development, and employee welfare, are considered as core organizational activities that shape the firm's interaction with its external and internal environment. From the perspective of stakeholder theory, organizations that actively engage in CSR are more likely to address the expectations of diverse stakeholder groups, including customers, employees, investors, and the wider community. By fulfilling these expectations, firms can build trust, enhance legitimacy, and strengthen long-term relationships with stakeholders, which ultimately contribute to improved business performance (Aguinis& Glavas, 2012).

In addition to stakeholder engagement, CSR contributes to the creation of intangible resources that serve as a source of competitive advantage. The resource-based view suggests that assets such as corporate reputation, brand equity, and organizational culture are valuable and difficult to replicate, thereby enabling firms to sustain superior performance over time. In this context, CSR plays a crucial role in enhancing corporate reputation and market positioning. As emphasized by Michael E. Porter and Mark R. Kramer (2006), organizations can create shared value by aligning their business strategies with societal needs, thereby achieving both economic and social objectives simultaneously.

Furthermore, the conceptual framework incorporates sustainability as a central dimension linking CSR to long-term business success. Sustainability-oriented CSR practices enable firms to balance economic growth with environmental protection and social equity. Such practices not only reduce operational risks but also enhance the firm's ability to adapt to changing regulatory and market conditions. Empirical evidence suggests that firms with strong sustainability practices tend to outperform their counterparts in the long run (Eccles et al., 2014).

The relationship between CSR and business performance is therefore conceptualized as both direct and indirect. Direct effects arise from improved operational efficiency, cost reduction, and enhanced risk management. Indirect effects occur through various intervening mechanisms, including corporate reputation, customer satisfaction, and employee engagement. For instance, CSR initiatives enhance corporate image, which positively influences customer perceptions and loyalty, ultimately leading to improved financial performance (Chen & Hung, 2021). Similarly, CSR practices contribute to a positive organizational culture, which enhances employee motivation and productivity.

At the same time, the strength and nature of the CSR–performance relationship are influenced by several contextual factors. Organizational characteristics such as firm size and industry type, as well as external factors such as regulatory frameworks and stakeholder awareness, play a significant role in determining the effectiveness of CSR initiatives. In emerging economies, for example, regulatory interventions and socio-economic conditions may shape the adoption and impact of CSR practices in unique ways.

Overall, the proposed conceptual framework highlights that CSR contributes to business performance through a complex and dynamic interplay of strategic, relational, and contextual factors. It underscores the importance of integrating CSR into core business strategies rather than treating it as a standalone activity. By doing so, organizations can enhance their competitive advantage while simultaneously contributing to societal development. This framework provides a comprehensive basis for understanding the multidimensional impact of CSR and offers valuable insights for both academic research and managerial practice.

4. Discussion and Analysis

The present study examines the relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and business performance by integrating theoretical perspectives and prior empirical findings. The analysis indicates that CSR is no longer a peripheral activity but a strategic mechanism through which organizations create value for both stakeholders and shareholders. The relationship between CSR and business performance emerges as multidimensional, involving both direct and indirect pathways.

From a stakeholder perspective, CSR plays a critical role in strengthening relationships between organizations and their stakeholders. Firms that actively engage in socially responsible practices are better positioned to meet stakeholder expectations, thereby enhancing trust and legitimacy. This alignment with stakeholder interests contributes to improved organizational outcomes, as suggested by Aguinis and Glavas (2012). The analysis reveals that stakeholder satisfaction functions as a key intermediary through which CSR influences business performance. Organizations that invest in CSR initiatives such as community development, environmental sustainability, and ethical labor practices are more likely to foster long-term stakeholder loyalty, which ultimately translates into improved financial and non-financial performance.

In addition to stakeholder-related benefits, CSR contributes to the development of intangible assets that enhance competitive advantage. The resource-based view suggests that assets such as corporate reputation, brand equity, and organizational culture are valuable and difficult to imitate. CSR initiatives significantly contribute to the creation of these assets by improving corporate image and public perception. Porter and Kramer (2006) emphasize that firms can achieve competitive advantage by aligning their business strategies with societal needs, thereby creating shared value. The present analysis supports this argument by demonstrating that CSR-driven reputation enhancement leads to increased customer loyalty and investor confidence, both of which positively influence business performance.

The relationship between CSR and financial performance, however, is not uniform across all contexts. While several studies indicate a positive association, the magnitude of this relationship varies depending on factors such as industry characteristics, firm size, and the nature of CSR activities. For instance, firms operating in consumer-oriented industries may experience stronger benefits from CSR due to higher visibility and greater stakeholder scrutiny. Similarly, larger firms with more resources are better able to implement comprehensive CSR strategies, thereby achieving greater performance outcomes. These observations are consistent with the findings of McWilliams and Siegel (2001), who argue that the CSR–performance relationship is contingent upon firm-specific and contextual factors.

The analysis also highlights the role of CSR in influencing customer behavior. In an increasingly competitive market environment, consumers are more inclined to support companies that demonstrate ethical and socially responsible practices. CSR initiatives contribute to the development of a positive corporate image, which enhances customer trust and loyalty.Furthermore, CSR has significant implications for internal organizational dynamics, particularly in relation to employee engagement and productivity. Organizations that prioritize CSR are more likely to create a positive work environment characterized by ethical values and social commitment. Employees in such organizations tend to exhibit higher levels of motivation, satisfaction, and organizational commitment. This, in turn, leads to improved productivity and reduced turnover rates, thereby enhancing overall business performance. The internal benefits of CSR, although less visible than external outcomes, play a crucial role in sustaining long-term organizational success.

Another important dimension of the CSR–performance relationship is sustainability. CSR initiatives that focus on environmental protection and social equity contribute to the long-term viability of organizations. Sustainability-oriented practices enable firms to manage risks associated with environmental regulations, resource scarcity, and social unrest. Eccles et al. (2014) demonstrate that firms with strong sustainability practices tend to outperform their counterparts over the long term. The present analysis reinforces this view by highlighting that CSR-driven sustainability initiatives enhance organizational resilience and adaptability in dynamic market conditions.

Despite the numerous benefits associated with CSR, the analysis also acknowledges certain challenges that may affect its effectiveness. One of the primary issues is the difficulty in measuring the impact of CSR initiatives. Unlike financial metrics, CSR outcomes are often intangible and long-term, making it challenging to establish a direct causal relationship between CSR and business performance. Additionally, organizations may face conflicts between profit maximization and social responsibility, particularly in the short term. These challenges underscore the importance of adopting a strategic approach to CSR that aligns with organizational objectives and stakeholder expectations.

The discussion further reveals that the effectiveness of CSR initiatives is influenced by the extent to which they are integrated into core business strategies. Firms that treat CSR as a compliance requirement or a public relations exercise are less likely to achieve significant performance benefits. In contrast, organizations that embed CSR into their strategic planning processes are better positioned to create value for both stakeholders and shareholders. This highlights the need for a shift from reactive to proactive CSR practices.

Overall, the analysis suggests that CSR has a generally positive impact on business performance, although the relationship is complex and context-dependent. CSR contributes to organizational success through multiple pathways, including stakeholder engagement, reputation enhancement, customer loyalty, employee satisfaction, and sustainability. The findings emphasize that the benefits of CSR are maximized when it is implemented as a strategic function rather than a standalone activity. This integrated approach enables organizations to achieve a balance between economic objectives and social responsibilities, thereby ensuring long-term success.

5. Limitations

While this study provides a comprehensive conceptual analysis of the relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and business performance, it is subject to certain limitations that must be acknowledged. First, the study is based on a conceptual and literature-driven approach rather than empirical investigation. As a result, the findings rely on the interpretation and synthesis of existing studies, which may vary in terms of context, methodology, and scope. This limits the ability to establish direct causal relationships between CSR initiatives and business performance outcomes.

Second, the study does not focus on a specific industry or geographical region, which may affect the generalizability of the conclusions. The impact of CSR can differ significantly across industries due to variations in stakeholder expectations, regulatory frameworks, and market dynamics. Similarly, cultural and institutional differences across countries can influence the adoption and effectiveness of CSR practices. The absence of a focused empirical context therefore limits the ability to draw context-specific conclusions.

Another limitation relates to the measurement of CSR and business performance. CSR is inherently multidimensional and involves both qualitative and quantitative aspects, making it difficult to assess its outcomes using standardized metrics. Similarly, business performance encompasses both financial and non-financial indicators, and the relationship between these dimensions may not be consistently captured in existing studies. This complexity poses challenges in developing a unified framework for analyzing the CSR–performance relationship.

Finally, the study does not incorporate primary data or stakeholder perspectives, which could provide deeper insights into the practical implementation of CSR initiatives. The reliance on secondary sources may overlook recent developments or emerging trends that have not yet been extensively documented in the literature. Despite these limitations, the study offers valuable conceptual insights and provides a foundation for further research in this area.

6. Future Research Directions

The limitations of the present study open several avenues for future research. One important direction is the need for empirical validation of the proposed conceptual framework. Future studies can employ quantitative methods, such as regression analysis or structural equation modeling, to examine the causal relationship between CSR and business performance. Such empirical investigations would provide more robust evidence and enhance the generalizability of the findings.

Another promising area for future research is the exploration of industry-specific and region-specific dynamics. Given that CSR practices and their impact vary across sectors and geographical contexts, researchers can conduct comparative studies to identify patterns and differences. In particular, emerging economies such as India offer a unique context for examining the role of regulatory frameworks and socio-economic factors in shaping CSR outcomes.

The integration of advanced analytical techniques also presents significant opportunities for future research. With the increasing availability of large datasets, researchers can apply machine learning and data analytics to study CSR performance and its impact on business outcomes. These approaches can uncover hidden patterns and provide deeper insights into the complex relationship between CSR and organizational performance.

Additionally, future studies can focus on the role of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors in extending the scope of CSR research. As sustainability becomes a central concern for businesses, examining the interplay between CSR and ESG metrics can provide a more comprehensive understanding of corporate responsibility. Research can also investigate how digital transformation and technological innovation influence CSR practices and their effectiveness.

Finally, qualitative research methods, such as case studies and interviews, can be employed to capture stakeholder perspectives and organizational experiences related to CSR implementation. Such studies would complement quantitative findings and provide a more holistic understanding of CSR in practice.

7. Conclusion

Corporate Social Responsibility has emerged as a fundamental component of modern business strategy, reflecting the growing expectations of stakeholders and the increasing importance of sustainable development. This study has conceptually examined the relationship between CSR and business performance by synthesizing insights from existing literature and theoretical frameworks. The analysis indicates that CSR contributes to organizational success through multiple pathways, including enhanced stakeholder relationships, improved corporate reputation, increased customer loyalty, and strengthened employee engagement.

The findings suggest that CSR is not merely a cost or an obligation but a strategic investment that can generate long-term value for organizations. By aligning CSR initiatives with core business objectives, firms can achieve a balance between economic performance and social responsibility. However, the effectiveness of CSR depends on factors such as strategic integration, stakeholder engagement, and the broader institutional environment.

Despite the challenges associated with measuring and implementing CSR, the overall evidence points toward a positive relationship between CSR and business performance. The study highlights the need for organizations to adopt a proactive and integrated approach to CSR, ensuring that social and environmental considerations are embedded within their strategic decision-making processes.

In conclusion, CSR represents a powerful tool for achieving sustainable growth and competitive advantage in the contemporary business environment. By embracing responsible business practices, organizations can create value not only for themselves but also for society, thereby contributing to long-term economic and social development.

References

1. Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2012). What we know and don't know about corporate social responsibility: A review and research agenda. Journal of Management, 38(4), 932–968.https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206311436079
2. Barnett, M. L., & Salomon, R. M. (2012). Does it pay to be really good? Addressing the shape of the relationship between social and financial performance. Strategic Management Journal, 33(11), 1304–1320.https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.1980
3. Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. Business Horizons, 34(4), 39–48.https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(91)90005-G
4. Carroll, A. B. (1999). Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. Business & Society, 38(3), 268–295.https://doi.org/10.1177/000765039903800303
5. Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. Management Science, 60(11), 2835–2857.https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2014.1984
6. Friedman, M. (1970, September 13). The social responsibility of business is to increase its profits. The New York Times Magazine.
7. Kotler, P., & Lee, N. (2005). Corporate social responsibility: Doing the most good for your company and your cause. John Wiley & Sons.
8. Lopez, M. V., Garcia, A., & Rodriguez, L. (2007). Sustainable development and corporate performance: A study based on the Dow Jones Sustainability Index. Journal of Business Ethics, 75(3), 285–300.https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-006-9253-8

9. Margolis, J. D., & Walsh, J. P. (2003). Misery loves companies: Rethinking social initiatives by business. Administrative Science Quarterly, 48(2), 268–305.https://doi.org/10.2307/3556659
10. McWilliams, A., & Siegel, D. (2001). Corporate social responsibility: A theory of the firm perspective. Academy of Management Review, 26(1), 117–127.https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.2001.4011987
11. Orlitzky, M., Schmidt, F. L., & Rynes, S. L. (2003). Corporate social and financial performance: A meta-analysis. Organization Studies, 24(3), 403–441.https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840603024003910
12. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). Strategy and society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. Harvard Business Review, 84(12), 78–92.
13. Waddock, S. A., & Graves, S. B. (1997). The corporate social performance–financial performance link. Strategic Management Journal, 18(4), 303–319.https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199704)18:4%3C303::AID-SMJ869%3E3.0.CO;2-G
14. Wan-Jan, W. S. (2006). Defining corporate social responsibility. Journal of Public Affairs, 6(3–4), 176–184.https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.227
15. Wood, D. J. (1991). Corporate social performance revisited. Academy of Management Review, 16(4), 691–718.https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1991.4279616